

ERRATUM

We provide a small correction to the statement and proof of [1, Proposition 2.1]. The key component is the Ingham inequality [3]. Suppose that λ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ is a sequence of real numbers satisfying

$$(1) \quad \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \geq \gamma > 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots .$$

Then there exists a constant A depending only on γ such that for any $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}; \mathbb{C})$,

$$(2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt \leq A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 .$$

If γ in (1) satisfies $\gamma > 1$ then we also have

$$(3) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt \geq B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 ,$$

for some constant B depending only on γ . Here we will only need (2) but we note that both inequalities are very important in control theory – see for instance [2].

Going back to [1] we now correct the proposition and its proof:

Proposition 2.1 *For any $W \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $k \in [0, 1)$, and $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$ the solution to the Schrödinger equation*

$$(4) \quad (i\partial_t + (\partial_x + ik)^2 - W)u = 0, \quad v|_{t=0} = u_0$$

satisfies

$$(5) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^1_x; L^2(0, T))} \leq (C_0 + T)(C_1 + \|W\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^1)}^2) \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^1)}, \quad T > 0,$$

where the constants C_0, C_1 are independent of k .

Proof. For $W \equiv 0$ we put $T = 2\pi$ so that, with $c_n = \hat{u}_0(n)$, we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e^{it(\partial_x + ik)^2} u_0\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}^2 &= \sup_x \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{-it|n+k|^2 + inx} \right|^2 dt \\ &\leq 3 \sup_x \left(2\pi |c_0|^2 + \sum_{\pm} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{\pm n} e^{-it|n \pm k|^2 \pm inx} \right|^2 dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since for $k \in [0, 1)$,

$$|n+1 \pm k|^2 - |n \pm k|^2 = 2n+1 \pm 2k \geq 1, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots ,$$

we can apply (2) to get

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x+ik)^2}u_0\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2([0,T])}^2 \leq C\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad 0 \leq T \leq 2\pi.$$

with the constant C is independent of k .

For a non-zero potential $W \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$ we use Duhamel's formula and write

$$u(t) = e^{it(\partial_x+ik)^2}u_0 + \frac{1}{i} \int_0^T \mathbb{1}_{s<t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x+ik)^2} (Wu(s)) ds.$$

Applying (6) (now with $T > 0$ to be chosen later) and the Minkowski inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2([0,T])} &\leq C\|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \int_0^T \|\mathbb{1}_{s<t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x+ik)^2} (Wu(s))\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2([0,T])} ds \\ (7) \qquad &\leq C\|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \int_0^T \|e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x+ik)^2} (Wu(s))\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2([0,T])} ds \\ &\leq C\|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + C \int_0^T \|Wu(s)\|_{L_x^2} ds \\ &\leq C\|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + C\sqrt{T}\|W\|_{L_x^2}\|u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2([0,T])}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(8) \qquad \|u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2([0,T])} \leq 2C\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}, \quad \text{if } C\sqrt{T}\|W\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

To obtain the estimate for multiples of $T = KT_0$, $T_0 = 1/(1 + 4\|W\|^2 C^2)$, we note that, by the invariance of the L_x^2 norm of $u(t)$, $\int_{(k-1)T_0}^{kT_0} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 dt \leq 2C\|u((k-1)T_0)\|_{L_x^2} = 2C\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}$. Iterating this inequality gives (5). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain, N. Burq and M. Zworski, *Control for Schrödinger operators on 2-tori: rough potentials*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. **15**(2013), 1597–1628.
- [2] Y. Privat, E. Trélat, E. Zuazua, *Optimal observability of the multi-dimensional wave and Schrödinger equations in quantum ergodic domains*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. **18**(2016), 1043–1111.
- [3] A.E. Ingham, *Some trigonometrical inequalities with applications to the theory of series*. Math. Z. **41**(1936), 367–379.