

## Discussion #14/15

GSI: Zack Stier

Date: October 3/6

1. Answer the following true-or-false questions.

(a) Any continuous function on the domain  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$  will attain a maximum.  
**False:**  $f(x, y) = x$  is a counterexample.

(b) If  $xye^x = \lambda y$  and  $xye^x = \lambda x$ , then we can conclude that  $x = y$ .  
**False:** It is true that  $\lambda x = \lambda y$ , but the case  $\lambda = 0$  poses a problem. For example, if  $x = 0, y = 1, \lambda = 0$ , then both equations are satisfied.

(c) If  $f(x, y)$  is differentiable and attains a maximum at  $(a, b)$  in the region  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| + |y| \leq 1\}$ , then  $f_x(a, b) = f_y(a, b) = 0$ .  
**False:** This is true if  $(a, b)$  is in the interior of the region, but not necessarily if  $|a| + |b| = 1$ .

(d) It is possible that a function  $f(x, y)$  can have no extrema along a level curve  $g(x, y) = 0$ .  
**True:** for example  $f(x, y) = x$  and  $g(x, y) = y = 0$ .

2. Use Lagrange multipliers to solve the following problems.

(a) Find the extreme values of the function  $f(x, y) = 2x + y + 2z$  subject to the constraint that  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ .

We solve the Lagrange multiplier equation:  $\langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle = \lambda \langle 2x, 2y, 2z \rangle$ . Note that  $\lambda$  cannot be zero in this equation, so the equalities  $2 = 2\lambda x, 1 = 2\lambda y, 2 = 2\lambda z$  are equivalent to  $x = z = 2y$ . Substituting this into the constraint yields  $4y^2 + y^2 + 4y^2 = 1$ , so  $y = \pm 1/3$ . The max and min values occur at  $(2/3, 1/3, 2/3)$  and  $(-2/3, -1/3, -2/3)$ , respectively, with function values  $\pm 3$ .

(b) Find the extreme values of the function  $f(x, y) = y^2 e^x$  on the domain  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ .

The gradient of this function is  $(y^2 e^x, 2ye^x)$ , which is zero along the  $x$ -axis  $y = 0$ . Here the function value of 0 is a minimum, since  $f(x, y) \geq 0$  everywhere. On the boundary we have the Lagrange multiplier equation:  $y^2 e^x = 2\lambda x$  and  $2ye^x = 2\lambda y$ . We may assume  $y \neq 0$  as we have already considered this case, and then we get  $2y = x/y$ , so  $y^2 = 2x$ . Together with the equation  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ , we obtain  $2 - x^2 = 2x$ , so  $x = \pm\sqrt{2} - 1$ . We only need the "+" solution because the "-" one lies outside of the unit disk. We know  $y^2 = 2x = 2(\sqrt{2} - 1)$  and therefore the maximum value of  $f$  on the unit disk is

$$f\left(\sqrt{2} - 1, \pm\sqrt{2(\sqrt{2} - 1)}\right) = 2(\sqrt{2} - 1)e^{\sqrt{2}-1}$$

(c) Use Lagrange multipliers to find the closest point(s) on the parabola  $y = x^2$  to the point  $(0, 1)$ . How could one solve this problem without using any multivariate calculus?

We maximize the function  $f(x, y) = x^2 + (y - 1)^2$  subject to the constraint  $g(x, y) = y - x^2 = 0$ . We obtain the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} 2x &= -2\lambda x \\ 2(y - 1) &= \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the second equation into the first, we find  $2x = -2(2(y - 1))x$ , so either  $x = 0$  or  $y = 1/2$ . In the first case, the point  $(0, 0)$  is distance 1 from  $(0, 1)$ . In the second case,  $(\pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1/2)$  is distance  $\sqrt{1/2 + 1/4} = \sqrt{3/4} < 1$  from the point  $(0, 1)$ . These two points are the closest. (This problem could also be solved by minimizing the function  $\sqrt{t^2 + (t^2 - 1)^2}$ .)

(d) You have 24 square inches of cardboard and want to build a box (in the shape of a rectangular prism). Show that a  $2'' \times 2'' \times 2''$  cube encloses the largest volume.

If  $x, y, z$  are the side lengths of the solid, then we have a constraint  $xy + yz + zx = 12$  and want to optimize the function  $f(x, y, z) = xyz$ . A maximum value must exist since the volume goes to zero if any of the side lengths do. We have  $yz = \lambda(y + z)$  and  $xz = \lambda(x + z)$  and  $xy = \lambda(x + y)$ . Multiplying the first equation by  $x$  and the second by  $y$  and equating, we get  $x\lambda(y + z) = xyz = y\lambda(x + z)$ . All quantities are positive, so we may simplify to get  $x(y + z) = y(x + z)$ , which simplifies to  $x = y$ . Arguing similarly with the third equation, we find that all side lengths are equal.

(e) Find the largest possible volume of a rectangular prism with edges parallel to the coordinate axes and all vertices lying on the ellipsoid

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1$$

(where  $a, b, c > 0$ .)

Let  $x, y$ , and  $z$  each be half of the side length pointing along the coordinate axes. Then the volume of the prism is  $f(x, y, z) = 8xyz$ . We want to maximize this subject to the constraint  $g = 1$ , where  $g(x, y, z) = x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 + z^2/c^2$ . Our Lagrange multiplier equation  $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g$  becomes

$$8yz = \frac{2\lambda x}{a^2}, \quad 8xz = \frac{2\lambda y}{b^2}, \quad 8xy = \frac{2\lambda z}{c^2}$$

If  $\lambda = 0$  then at least one of  $x, y$ , and  $z$  must be zero, giving a total volume of zero. As this is clearly not maximal, we can ignore this case and assume  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Multiplying the first equation by  $x/2\lambda$  gives  $x^2/a^2 = xyz/2\lambda$ . Let  $k = xyz/2\lambda$ ; then we are just saying  $x^2/a^2 = k$ . Similarly, we obtain  $y^2/b^2 = z^2/c^2 = k$ . Plugging these into the equation for the ellipse gives  $3k = 1$ , so  $k = 1/3$ . Thus  $x = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}a$ , and since  $x$  is a length, we should get  $x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}a$ . Similarly, we obtain  $y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}b$  and  $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}c$ .

(f) Use Lagrange multipliers to find the closest points to the origin on the hyperbola  $xy = 1$ .

We want to minimize  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$  subject to  $g(x, y) = 1$ , where  $g(x, y) = xy$ . Setting  $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g$ , we obtain  $2x = \lambda y$  and  $2y = \lambda x$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $x = y = 0$ , but  $(0, 0)$  is not a point on the hyperbola, so we can ignore this case. So  $\lambda \neq 0$ , and we can write  $y = 2x/\lambda$ . Plugging this into  $xy = 1$ , we get  $2x^2/\lambda = 1$ , or  $\lambda = 2x^2$ . Taking this equation and plugging it into  $2y = \lambda x$ , we see  $2y = 2x^3$ , or  $y = x^3$ . Then  $1 = xy = x^4$ , so  $x = \pm 1$ . For  $x = 1$  we solve  $xy = 1$  to get  $y = 1$ ; likewise, for  $x = -1$  we get  $y = -1$ . It is geometrically obvious that these correspond to minima, so the closest points to the origin on  $xy = 1$  are  $(1, 1)$  and  $(-1, -1)$ .

3. Here are some more Lagrange multiplier problems.

(a) Consider the functions  $f(x, y, z) = x + 4y + 4z$ ,  $g(x, y, z) = x^2 + 4y^2 + 4z^2$ .

i.  $g(x, y, z) = 2$  parameterizes an ellipsoid. Find the maximum and minimum of  $f$  on the ellipsoid given by  $g(x, y, z) = 2$ .

We use the Lagrange multiplier for this question.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f &= \lambda \nabla g \\ (1, 4, 4) &= \lambda(2x, 8y, 8z)\end{aligned}$$

First of all,  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then it is fairly obvious that to satisfy the equation, we must have  $x = y = z$ . So we solve for  $g(x, x, x) = 9x^2 = 2$ . This gives

$$x = y = z = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$$

We plug in the points to find that

$$f\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\right) = 3\sqrt{2}; \quad f\left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\right) = -3\sqrt{2}$$

Of which  $3\sqrt{2}$  is the maximum value of  $f$  on the ellipsoid, and  $-3\sqrt{2}$  is the minimum.

ii. What is the maximum and minimum of  $f$  among the points satisfying  $g(x, y, z) \leq 2$ ?

Since  $\nabla f \neq 0$ , there is no critical points inside the ellipsoid, and so the maximum and minimum is the same as the ones in  $\mathbf{b.}$ ,  $\pm 3\sqrt{2}$ .

(b) Consider the function  $f(x, y, z) = xy + xz + yz$ .

i. What is the maximum and minimum of  $f$  on the sphere  $g(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) = 2$ .

To solve the problem, we need to use the Lagrange multiplier

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f &= \lambda \nabla g \\ (y+z, x+z, x+y) &= \lambda(x, y, z)\end{aligned}$$

This gives me three equations:

$$\lambda x = y + z; \quad \lambda y = x + z; \quad \lambda z = x + y$$

Observe that if we add all three equations,

$$\lambda(x + y + z) = 2(x + y + z) \quad (0.1)$$

Then  $\lambda = 2$  or  $x + y + z = 0$ , which correspond to  $\lambda = -1$ . For  $\lambda_1 = 2$ , we can plug everything back in and see that  $x = y = z$ , then we must have  $x = y = z = \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ .

$$f\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right) = 4 \quad f\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right) = 4$$

For  $\lambda_2 = -1$ , we see that  $x + y + z = 0$ . However, fear not that you can not solve for a point. Consider

$$(x + y + z)^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 2xy + 2xz + 2yz = 4 + 2f(x, y, z) = 0$$

Which means that  $f(x, y, z) = -2$  on all points such that  $x + y + z = 0$  and  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 4$ . Then we see the maximum of  $f$  on the sphere is 4 while the minimum is -2.

ii. What is the maximum and minimum of  $f$  inside the solid sphere including the boundary  $g(x, y, z) \leq 2$ .

We need to find critical point of the function inside the sphere, so we set  $\nabla f = (0, 0, 0)$ , this gives me three equations:

$$y + z = 0 \quad x + z = 0 \quad x + y = 0$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (y + z) - (x + z) - (x + y) &= -2x = 0 \\ -(y + z) + (x + z) - (x + y) &= -2y = 0 \\ +(y + z) - (x + z) + (x + y) &= -2z = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $f$  has only one critical point at  $(0, 0, 0)$ , and  $f(0, 0, 0) = 0$ . But  $-2 < 0 < 4$ , so the maximum and minimum is still the ones we found in a., 4 and -2.

4. Use Lagrange multipliers to solve the following problems.

(a) Maximize and minimize  $3x - y - 3z$  subject to  $x + y - z = 1$  and  $x^2 + 2z^2 = 1$ . Let  $f = 3x - y - 3z$ ,  $g = x + y - z$ ,  $h = x^2 + 2z^2$ . Then  $\nabla f = (3, -1, -3)$ ,  $\nabla g = (1, 1, -1)$ , and  $\nabla h = (2x, 0, 4z)$ . Our Lagrange multiplier equation  $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g + \mu \nabla h$  splits into

$$3 = \lambda + 2\mu x, \quad -1 = \lambda + 0, \quad -3 = -\lambda + 4\mu z.$$

Hence  $\lambda = -1$ , and we can plug this in to the other equations to see  $\mu = 2/x = -1/z$ , so  $x = -2z$ . Plugging this into  $x^2 + 2z^2 = 1$  gives  $6z^2 = 1$  so  $z = \pm 1/\sqrt{6}$ ,  $x = \mp 2/\sqrt{6}$  (so  $x$  has the opposite sign of  $z$ ). Plugging this into  $x + y - z = 1$  shows  $y = 1 + 3z$  and so  $(x, y, z)$  is either

$$(-2/\sqrt{6}, 1 + 3/\sqrt{6}, 1/\sqrt{6})$$

or

$$(2/\sqrt{6}, 1 - 3/\sqrt{6}, -1/\sqrt{6}).$$

Computing  $3x - y - 3z$  for each shows that the former gives a minimum  $(-1 - 2\sqrt{6})$  and the latter gives a minimum  $(1 + 2\sqrt{6})$ .

(b) Maximize and minimize  $z$  subject to  $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$  and  $x + y + z = 24$ . This has no maximum or minimum. How do we see this? We show that when  $z$  is large (how large exactly we're about to see) then the system

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + y^2 &= z^2 \\ x + y + z &= 24 \end{aligned}$$

has a solution  $(x, y)$ . To check this we solve for  $y$  in the second equation and plug back into the first, obtaining

$$x^2 + (24 - x - z)^2 = z^2$$

which simplifies to

$$x^2 + (z - 24)x + (288 - 24z) = 0$$

This is a quadratic equation and we know that they have solutions when the discriminant is greater or equal to zero. Here the discriminant is

$$(z - 24)^2 + 4(288 - 24z) = z^2 + 48z - 576$$

This describes a parabola that's "open from above" so when  $z$  is very large or very negative the discriminant will be positive, meaning that there are  $x, y$  such that  $(x, y, z)$  satisfies our constraints. So  $f(x, y, z)$  can be arbitrarily large and arbitrarily small given our constraints.

5. Here are some challenge problems.

(a) Using the method of Lagrange multipliers, prove the following inequality: if  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are positive real numbers, then

$$\frac{n}{1/x_1 + \dots + 1/x_n} \leq \sqrt[n]{x_1 \dots x_n}$$

with equality if and only if  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ . The left-hand side is called the *harmonic mean* of the numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and the right-hand side is called their *geometric mean*, and this result is known as the *GM–HM inequality*.

We maximize the function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{n}{1/x_1 + \dots + 1/x_n} \leq \sqrt[n]{x_1 \dots x_n}$  subject to the constraint that  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) := x_1 \dots x_n = C$  for a constant  $C$ . Note that maximizing  $f$  is equivalent to minimizing the function  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n}$ . This function must obtain a minimum on the hypersurface  $x_1 \dots x_n = C > 0$  because this quantity tends to infinity as  $\min(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ , so the minimum must occur at a point found by Lagrange multipliers (since the gradient of the constraint function is nonzero on its level set.) For each  $k$ , we have

$$\frac{-1}{x_k^2} = \lambda x_1 \dots \hat{x}_k \dots x_n.$$

Where the hat over  $x_k$  indicates that it is omitted from the product. Rearranging,

$$-1 = \lambda x_1 \dots \hat{x}_k^2 \dots x_n = C \lambda x_k.$$

Now,  $\lambda$  must be nonzero for this to hold, in which case we find that  $x_1 = \dots = x_n$  ( $= \sqrt[n]{C}$ ), which we may check gives equality for the claimed inequality. By the previous reasoning, this must correspond to a minimum for  $F$ , or a maximum for  $f$ , so at any other point, the LHS is strictly smaller than the RHS.

(b) If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are real numbers, prove that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}.$$

The left-hand side is called the *arithmetic mean* of the numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and the right-hand side is called their *quadratic mean*, and this result is known as the *QM–AM inequality*.

Let  $r = \sqrt{\sum_i x_i^2}$ . Define functions  $f(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_i y_i$  and  $g(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$ . To show our desired inequality, it suffices to show that the maximum value of  $f$  on the sphere  $g(y_1, \dots, y_n) = r$  is at most  $\sqrt{nr}$  (because then  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \sqrt{nr}$ , so  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2 \leq nr$ , which is exactly the inequality we are trying to show). So we optimize  $f$  subject to the constraint  $g = r$ . To do this, we use Lagrange multipliers, and so we set  $\nabla f(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \lambda \nabla g(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  for some scalar  $\lambda$ . Computing our gradients and plugging them in, we get  $1 = 2\lambda y_i$  for each  $i$ . Thus we must have  $y_i = 1/(2\lambda)$  for all  $i$  (since  $\lambda = 0$  would lead to the equation  $1 = 0$ , which can't hold). Plugging these into the equation  $g(y_1, \dots, y_n) = r$ , we obtain

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} = \frac{n}{4\lambda^2},$$

so  $\lambda = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n/r}$ . It follows that  $y_i = \pm \sqrt{r/n}$  for all  $i$ , so

$$\sum_i y_i = n \cdot \pm \sqrt{\frac{r}{n}} = \pm \sqrt{nr}.$$

The (global) maximum is clearly obtained when the sign here is  $+$ , so we see that the maximum value of  $f$  on the sphere  $g = r$  is  $\sqrt{nr}$ , as needed.

Problem 3 courtesy of Galen Liang. All other problems courtesy of Carlos Esparza.