Calculus 214 Lecture notes

Mariusz Wodzicki

February 13, 2002

Contents

0	Vocabulary				
	0.1	Γ-diagrams and categories	2		
	0.2	Modules	4		
		0.2.1 Case $R = \mathbb{Z}$	7		
		0.2.2 Case of a general ring	8		
	0.3	Exactness	8		
I	Derivations				
	1.1	Non-graded case	10		
		1.1.1 Split k-algebra extensions	10		
		1.1.2 The Lie algebra structure on $Der_{A/k}(A)$	12		
		1.1.3 Functorial properties of derivations	12		
		1.1.4 Example: Derivations from the tensor algebra	13		
		1.1.5 Variant: Derivations from the symmetric algebra	14		
		1.1.6 Variant: Derivations from the exterior algebra	15		
		1.1.7 The universal derivation	15		
		1.1.8 Point derivations	17		
	1.2	Graded case	19		
		1.2.1 Example: Derivations from the exterior algebra	20		
2	Differential forms				
	2. I	Kähler's realization	22		
	2.2	Hochschild's realization	23		

	2.2.1	A-linearization of k-linear maps	23
	2.2.2	Hochschild homology	24
2.3	Serre's	realization	26
2.4	Functo	orialities	27
	2.4. I	First Fundamental Exact Sequence	27
	2.4.2	Second Fundamental Exact Sequence	28
2.5	Examp	bles	29
	2.5.1	The symmetric algebra	29
	2.5.2	Affine algebra $A = k[X_1,, X_n]/(F_1,, F_m)$	30
2.6	The al	gebra of differential forms	30

o Vocabulary

0.1 Γ-diagrams and categories

Recall that an oriented graph

$$\Gamma: \quad \Gamma_0 \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\Leftarrow}} \Gamma_1$$

consists of a pair of sets: Γ_0 , whose elements are called *vertices*, and Γ_1 , whose elements are called *edges*, and of a pair of maps s and t; for an edge $e \in \Gamma_1$, s(e) is called the *source* of e, while t(e) is called the *target* of e. Morphisms between graphs are defined naturally as pairs of maps

$$(\Gamma_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} \Gamma'_0, \ \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \Gamma'_1)$$

which are compatible with the corresponding source and target maps.

By reversing the direction of all edges we obtain the **opposite** graph Γ^{op} . One has $\Gamma_i^{op} = \Gamma_i$, i = 0, 1, but $s^{op} = t$ and $t^{op} = s$.

Definition 0.1 A *category* structure on a graph Γ , consists of an associative law of composition for arrows

$$\mu: \Gamma_1 \times_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_1$$

where the fibered product of two copies of Γ_1 over Γ_0 is defined as follows:

$$\Gamma_1 \times_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma_1 := \{(e_1, e_2) \mid s(e_1) = t(e_2)\}.$$

The elements of Γ_0 are then referred to as **objects** and the elements of Γ_1 as **morphisms**, or **arrows**, of the category.

The original definition of a category requires, in addition, the existence of "the identity" morphisms, i.e., that multiplication μ has a neutral element $1: \Gamma_0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_1, \nu \longmapsto 1_{\nu}$.

Formally speaking, we defined above the so called *small* categories. General categories are defined similarly, but Γ_i , i = 0, 1, are allowed to be *classes* instead of being sets. Needless to say, caution is required when operations on classes are involved.

The *underlying graph* $|\mathscr{C}|$ of a given category \mathscr{C} is obtained by forgetting multiplication μ .

Morphisms between categories are called **functors**. They are defined naturally as morphisms between the underlying graphs $|\mathscr{C}| \rightarrow |\mathscr{C}'|$ which are compatible with the corresponding multiplication laws (and take the identity morphisms to the corresponding identity morphisms).

When we think of functors as morphisms between categories, then small categories form a category themselves. It is denoted *Cat* and called the category of all (small) categories.

Semigroups or, more properly, monoids, are nothing but categories with a single object (in that case the whole structure reduces to the multiplication on the set of arrows).

By formally reversing the direction of all arrows in a given category \mathscr{C} , one obtains the **opposite category** \mathscr{C}^{op} . Note that $|\mathscr{C}^{op}| = |\mathscr{C}|^{op}$.

Functors $F: \mathscr{C}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}$ are usually referred to as **contravariant** functors from \mathscr{C} to \mathscr{D} in order to distinguish them from actual functors $\mathscr{C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}$ which are then referred to as **covariant** functors.

Definition 0.2 Let Γ be a graph and C be a category. A morphism $\Delta : \Gamma \rightarrow |C|$ is called a Γ -diagram in category C.

Exercise. For a given graph Γ , Γ -diagrams in a category \mathscr{C} naturally form a category, denoted $Diag_{\Gamma}(\mathscr{C})$. Give its definition.

A functor $F : \mathscr{C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}$ transforms Γ -diagrams in category \mathscr{C} into Γ diagrams in category \mathscr{D} ,

$$\Delta \mapsto F(\Delta) \qquad (\Delta \in Ob \, Diag_{\Gamma}(\mathscr{C})),$$

whereas a *contravariant* functor $G : \mathscr{C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}$ transforms Γ -diagrams \mathscr{C} into Γ^{op} -diagrams in \mathscr{D} .

Definition 0.3 We shall say that a graph Γ is sequential if each vertex has at most one out-going and at most one in-going edge. The connected components of sequential graphs are the graphs

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}: \quad \bullet \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \bullet \quad (n \text{ vertices}). \tag{1}$$

 Γ -diagrams in a category C, where Γ is sequential, will be referred to as sequences in C.

0.2 Modules

Let R be a ring (always assumed to be associative, unless otherwise stated, but not necessarily unital), and $\mu : R \times R \longrightarrow R$ be the corresponding multiplication.

Definition 0.4 The opposite ring R^{op} is defined as follows: as an additive abelian group it coincides with (R, +), but the multiplication is new:



where τ is the involution:

$$\tau(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = \tau(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_2) \qquad (\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2\in \mathbf{R}).$$

It is advisable to use the following convention: denote $r \in R$, when it is viewed as an element of opposite ring R^{op} , as r^{op} . Then multiplication in R^{op} is given by the formula:

$$\mathbf{r}^{op}\cdot\mathbf{s}^{op}=(\mathbf{sr})^{op}.$$

Note that $(\mathbf{R}^{op})^{op} = \mathbf{R}$.

Definition 0.5 A ring R is commutative if $R^{op} = R$.

Definition 0.6 A *left* (respectively, *right*) R-module structure on an abelian group M is a ring homomorphism

$$\lambda: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Ab}}(\mathbb{M}), \qquad \mathbb{r} \longmapsto \lambda_{\mathbb{r}},$$

(respectively, a ring homomorphism

$$\rho: \mathbb{R}^{op} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Ab}}(\mathbb{M}), \qquad \mathbb{r}^{op} \longmapsto \rho_{\mathbb{r}^{op}}).$$

Traditional notation:

$$\mathrm{rm} := \lambda_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{m})$$

in the left R-module case, and

$$\mathfrak{mr} := \rho_{\mathfrak{r}^{op}}(\mathfrak{m})$$

in the right R-module case.

Note that a right R-module structure on M is the same as a left R^{op} -module structure.

If $R \ni 1$ and $\lambda : 1 \mapsto id_A$, then the left module is said to be **unitary** (similarly for right modules). All modules over a unital ring are tacitly assumed to be unitary unless explicitly stated otherwise.

In the rest of this chapter R is assumed to have 1 unless otherwise stated. The category of (unitary) left R-modules is denoted R-mod while the category of (unitary) right R-modules is denoted mod-R.

Definition 0.7 A right R-module M is said to be:

(a) projective if, for any diagram of right R-modules



there exists a morphism $\tilde{f}: M \longrightarrow L_1$ such that the triangle



commutes (\tilde{f} is called a lifting of f). In other words, if the functor

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(M,): \operatorname{mod-R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ab}$

preserves epimorphisms, i.e., if

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(M, L_0) \xleftarrow{\pi_*} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(M, L_1)$

is an epimorphism whenever π is one; here $\pi_*(\phi) := \pi \circ \phi$.

(b) injective if, for any diagram of right R-modules



there exists a morphism $\tilde{g}: L_1 \longrightarrow M$ such that the triangle



commutes (\tilde{g} is an extension of g). In other words, if the functor

 $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathsf{mod-R}}(\ ,\mathsf{M}):(\mathsf{mod-R})^{op}\longrightarrow\mathsf{Ab}$

preserves epimorphisms, i.e., if

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(L_0, M) \xleftarrow{\iota^*} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(L_1, M)$

is an epimorphism whenever ι^{op} is one (this happens precisely when ι is a monomorphism in mod-R); here $\iota^*(\varphi) := \varphi \circ \iota$.

(c) a generator (of category mod-R) if, for any right R-module L and any $\ell \in L$, there exists a morphism $f : M \longrightarrow L$ such that $\ell \in f(M)$. In other words, if any right R-module L is isomorphic to a quotient module of $\bigoplus_{x \in X} M$ for some set X (take, e.g., $X = \text{Hom}_{\text{mod-R}}(M, L)$).

- (d) a cogenerator (of category mod-R) if, for any right R-module L and any $\ell \in L$, there exists a morphism $g: L \longrightarrow M$ such that $g(\ell) \neq 0$. In other words, if any right R-module L is isomorphic to a submodule of $\prod_{x \in X} M$ for some set X (take, e.g., X = Hom_{mod-R}(L, M)).
- (e) free if there exists a subset $X \subseteq M$ such that any element $m \in M$ can be expressed as $m = \sum_{x \in X} xr_x$ for a unique collection $r_x \in R$ of finite support. In this situation, X is called a basis of M and the correspondence $m \mapsto (r_x)_{x \in X}$ defines an isomorphism of right Rmodules $M \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X} R$.

The proof of the following lemma is a simple exercise

Lemma 0.8 A right R-module M is:

- (a) projective if and only if M is isomorphic to a direct summand of free module ⊕_{x∈X} R for some set X;
- (b) a generator **if and only if** rank 1 free module R is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\bigoplus_{x \in X} M$ for some set X.

0.2.1 Case $R = \mathbb{Z}$

Definition 0.9 An abelian group is said to be divisible if, for any $a \in A$ and positive integer n, there exists $a' \in A$ such that a = na'.

Proposition 0.10 An abelian group is injective **if and only if** it is divisible. \Box

The multiplicative group of complex roots of identity $\mu_{\infty} := \bigcup_{n \ge 1} \mu_n$, where

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{n}} := \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta^{\mathfrak{n}} = 1 \},\$$

is divisible; the correspondence $q\mapsto e^{2\pi q},$ identifies the additive group \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} with $\mu_\infty.$

Proposition 0.11 μ_{∞} *is an injective cogenerator of the category of abelian groups.*

0.2.2 Case of a general ring

For any abelian group A and any left (respectively, right) R-module L, abelian group $Hom_{Ab}(L, A)$ is equipped with a canonical structure of a right R-module:

 $(\phi \mathbf{r})(\ell) := \phi(\mathbf{r}\ell) \qquad (\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}; \ell \in \mathbf{L})$

(respectively, left R-module:

$$(\mathbf{r}\phi)(\ell) := \phi(\ell \mathbf{r}) \qquad (\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}; \ell \in \mathbf{L}).$$

Definition 0.12 For any R-module L, the module $L^* := Hom_{Ab}(L, \mu_{\infty})$ is called the character module of L.

Note that R^* is both a left and a right R-module.

Proposition 0.13 For any ring R, character module R^* is an injective cogenerator in categories R-mod and mod-R.

The following is the counterpart of Lemma 0.8

Corollary 0.14 A right R-module M is:

- (a) injective if and only if M is isomorphic to a direct summand of the character module of some free R-module $\prod_{x \in X} R^* = (\bigoplus_{x \in X} R)^*$;
- (b) a cogenerator if and only if character module R^* is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\prod_{x \in X} M$ for some set X.

0.3 Exactness

Definition 0.15 A connected sequence of R-modules

$$M_0 \stackrel{f_1}{\leftarrow} M_1 \stackrel{f_2}{\leftarrow} \cdots \stackrel{f_n}{\leftarrow} M_n$$

is exact if $\text{Im} f_i = \text{Ker} f_{i-1}$ for all i = 1, ..., n. A general sequence S of R-modules is exact if all of its connected components are such.

The following definitions is particularly important.

Definition 0.16 A functor F from R-mod, or mod-R, to category of abelian groups Ab:

- (a) preserves exactness (such functors are called exact) if, for any exact sequence S of R-modules, sequence F(S) is exact;
- (b) reflects exactness if, for any sequence S of R-modules, the latter is exact if F(S) is such.

In the above definition it suffices to consider only Σ_3 -sequences (cf. (1)):

$$\mathsf{M}_0 \stackrel{^{\mathsf{T}_1}}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{M}_1 \stackrel{^{\mathsf{T}_2}}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{M}_2 \tag{2}$$

Exercise. Verify that the *covariant* Hom-functor:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(\mathsf{M}, \): \operatorname{mod-R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ab}, \qquad \operatorname{L} \longmapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(\mathsf{M}, \mathsf{L}), \tag{3}$$

and the contravariant Hom-functor:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(, M) : \operatorname{mod-R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ab}, \qquad L \longmapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(L, M), \tag{4}$$

preserve the exactness of sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{L}^0 \xrightarrow{\mathsf{f}^0} \mathsf{L}^1 \xrightarrow{\mathsf{f}^1} \mathsf{L}^2.$$

Such functors are called left exact and form a very important class of functors.

It follows directly from the respective definitions of a projective and of an injective module, see Definitions 0.7(a) and 0.7(b), that functor (3) is *not exact* if M is not projective, and that functor (4) is *not exact* if M is not injective. It turns out that the exactness of the corresponding Hom-functors characterizes projectivity and, respectively, injectivity.

Proposition 0.17 A right R-module M is:

- (a) projective \Leftrightarrow functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(M,)$ preserves exactness;
- (b) injective \Leftrightarrow functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(\ , M)$ preserves exactness;
- (c) a generator \Leftrightarrow functor $Hom_{mod-R}(M,)$ reflects exactness;
- (d) a cogenerator \Leftrightarrow functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mod-R}}(, M)$ reflects exactness.

1 Derivations

1.1 Non-graded case

1.1.1 Split k-algebra extensions

An extension of k-algebras

$$\mathscr{E}: \qquad A \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} B \stackrel{\iota}{\leftarrow} J$$

is said to be *split* if there exists a morphism of k-algebras

$$\sigma: A \longrightarrow B$$

such that $\pi \circ \iota = id_A$; σ is then called a *splitting* of \mathscr{E} . The set of splittings of extension \mathscr{E} will be denoted $Split(\mathscr{E})$.

When $J^2 = 0$, the extension ideal J is a bimodule over $B/J \simeq A$:

$$ax := \sigma(a)x$$
 and $xa := x\sigma(a)$ $(a \in A; x \in J)$

for any splitting σ (the result does not depend on the choice of σ).

For any $\sigma, \sigma' \in Split(\mathscr{E})$, their difference $\delta = \sigma' - \sigma$ has the following properties:

$$\delta(\mathfrak{a}) \in J \qquad (\mathfrak{a} \in A),$$

since $\pi \circ \delta = \pi \circ \sigma' - \pi \circ \sigma = id_A - id_A = 0$, and

$$\begin{split} \delta(a_1a_2) &= \sigma'(a_1a_2) - \sigma(a_1a_2) = \sigma'(a_1)\sigma'(a_2) - \sigma(a_1)\sigma(a_2) \\ &= (\sigma'(a_1) - \sigma(a_1))\sigma'(a_2) + \sigma(a_1)(\sigma'(a_2) - \sigma(a_2)) \\ &= \delta(a_1)\sigma'(a_2) + \sigma(a_1)\delta(a_2) = \delta(a_1)a_2 + a_1\delta(a_2) \end{split}$$

Definition 1.1 A k-linear map $\delta : A \longrightarrow M$ of a k-algebra A into an Abimodule M is called *derivation* if

$$\delta(a_1 a_2) = \delta(a_1) a_2 + a_1 \delta(a_2) \qquad (a_1, a_2 \in A).$$
 (5)

The set of k-linear derivations, denoted $Der_{A/k}(M)$, is a k-module.

Example For any element $m \in M$, the correspondence

$$a \mapsto [a, m] := am - ma$$
 $(a \in A; m \in M)$ (6)

is a derivation. Such derivations are called inner. They form a k-submodule of $Der_{A/k}(M)$. The quotient

$$\mathsf{H}^{1}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{M}) := Der_{\mathsf{A}/\mathsf{k}}(\mathsf{M})/Der_{\mathsf{A}/\mathsf{k}}^{mn}(\mathsf{M}) \tag{7}$$

is the first **Hochschild cohomology group** of k-algebra A with coefficients in bimodule M.

Returning to our discussion of split extensions with $J^2 = 0$, we note that, for any $\sigma \in Split(\mathscr{E})$ and $\delta \in Der_{A/k}(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma+\delta)(\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2) &= \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_1)\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_2) + \delta(\mathfrak{a}_1)\mathfrak{a}_2 + \mathfrak{a}_1\delta(\mathfrak{a}_2) \\ &= \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_1)\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_2) + \delta(\mathfrak{a}_1)\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_2) + \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_1)\delta(\mathfrak{a}_2) \\ &= (\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_1) + \delta(\mathfrak{a}_1))(\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_2) + \delta(\mathfrak{a}_2)), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\sigma + \delta$ is another splitting of \mathscr{E} .

Definition 1.2 Let G be a group and X be a set on which G operates (i.e., X is a G-set). We say that X is a G-torsor if, for any $x, x' \in X$, there exists a unique $g \in G$ such that x' = gx.

Thus, we have established

Lemma 1.3 For any split extension \mathscr{E} with $J^2 = 0$, the set of splittings $Split(\mathscr{E})$ is a $Der_{A/k}(M)$ -torsor.

For any A-bimodule M, there exists a canonically split extension of A by M, called the **semidirect** product of A by M, and denoted $A \ltimes M$. As a k-module, it coincides with $A \bigoplus M$ while the multiplication is given by

$$(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{m})(\mathfrak{a}',\mathfrak{m}')=(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}',\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a}'+\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}')\,.$$

Corollary 1.4 A map $\delta : A \longrightarrow M$ is a derivation if and only if the map

$$h_{\delta}: A \longrightarrow A \ltimes M, \quad a \longmapsto (a, \delta(a)) \qquad (a \in A; M \in M),$$

is a k-algebra morphism. Every splitting of the extension

$$A \twoheadleftarrow A \ltimes M \hookleftarrow M$$

is of this form. Thus, the correspondence $\delta \mapsto h_{\delta}$ establishes a natural isomorphism of k-modules

$$Der_{A/k}(M) \simeq Split(A \ltimes M)$$
.

1.1.2 The Lie algebra structure on $Der_{A/k}(A)$

For any δ_1 , $\delta_2 \in Der_{A/k}(A)$, their commutator $[\delta_1, \delta_2]$ is a derivation too:

$$\begin{split} [\delta_1, \delta_2](a_1 a_2) &= \delta_1(\delta_2(a_1) a_2 + a_1 \delta_2(a_2)) - \delta_2(\delta_1(a_1) a_2 + a_1 \delta_1(a_2)) \\ &= ((\delta_1 \delta_2)(a_1)) a_2 + \delta_2(a_1) \delta_1(a_2) + \delta_1(a_1) \delta_2(a_2) + a_1((\delta_1 \delta_2)(a_2)) \\ &- ((\delta_2 \delta_1)(a_1)) a_2 - \delta_2(a_1) \delta_1(a_2) - \delta_1(a_1) \delta_2(a_2) - a_1((\delta_2 \delta_1)(a_2)) \\ &= ([\delta_1, \delta_2](a_1)) a_2 + a_1([\delta_1, \delta_2](a_2)). \end{split}$$

Note that we did not use above associativity of multiplication. Thus, for *any* binary k-algebra A, k-module of derivations $Der_{A/k}(A)$ is a Lie subalgebra of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_k(A)$ of k-linear endomorphisms of k-module A.

1.1.3 Functorial properties of derivations

A homomorphism of k-algebras $f : A \rightarrow B$ makes any B-bimodule M into an A-bimodule, and also induces the obvious map of k-modules

$$f^*: \operatorname{Der}_{B/k}(M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{A/k}(M), \qquad \delta \longmapsto f^*(\delta) := \delta \circ f,$$

whose kernel, $Der_{B/A/k}(M)$, consists of those derivations $\delta : B \longrightarrow M$ which vanish on the image of A in B. We record this fact as

Lemma 1.5 For any homomorphism of k-algebras $f : A \rightarrow B$ and any B-bimodule M, one has the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Der_{B/A/k}(M) \longrightarrow Der_{B/k}(M) \xrightarrow{f^*} Der_{A/k}(M) .$$
(8)

All derivations $\delta : B \longrightarrow M$ which vanish on A are automatically Abimodule maps. If $B \ni 1$ then also the opposite is true:

$$\delta(\mathbf{a}) = \delta(\mathbf{a} \cdot 1) = \mathbf{a}\delta(1) = 0,$$

since

$$0 = \delta(1^2) - \delta(1) = \delta(1)1 + 1\delta(1) - \delta(1) = \delta(1)$$

If A is an extension of B with an ideal J:

$$B \stackrel{\tau}{\leftarrow} A \leftarrow J, \tag{9}$$

then $Der_{B/A/k}(M) = 0$ and

$$f^*: Der_{B/k}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \delta \in Der_{A/k}(M) \mid \delta_{|J} = 0 \}$$

1.1.4 Example: Derivations from the tensor algebra

Let E be a bimodule over a unital k-algebra B and M be a bimodule over the tensor algebra $A = T_B^*E$. The restriction of any derivation $\delta : T_B^*E \longrightarrow M$ to $T_B^0E = B$ is a derivation $\delta_0 : B \longrightarrow M$ and thus

$$h_0: B \longrightarrow A \ltimes M, \quad B \longmapsto (b, \delta_0(b)) \qquad (b \in B),$$

is a homomorphism of algebras. This endows $A \ltimes M$ with a new structure of a B-bimodule:

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{m}) := (\mathbf{b}, \delta_0(\mathbf{b}))(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{m}) = (\mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\mathbf{m} + \delta_0(\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}) \tag{10}$$

and

$$(a, m)b := (a, m)(b, \delta_0(b)) = (ab, mb + a\delta_0(b))$$
 (11)

 $(b \in B; a \in T^*_BM; m \in M).$

The restriction of δ to $T^1BE=E,$ denoted $\delta_1,$ satisfies the pair of identities

$$\delta_1(be) = \delta_0(b)e + b\delta_1(e) \tag{12}$$

and

$$\delta_1(eb) = \delta_1(e)b + e\delta_0(b) \tag{13}$$

 $(b \in B; e \in E)$ which express the fact that the map

 $h_1: \mathsf{E} \longrightarrow A \ltimes M, \quad e \longmapsto (e, \delta_1(e)) \qquad (e \in \mathsf{E})\,, \tag{14}$

is a morphism of B-bimodules *if* $A \ltimes M$ is given the bimodule structure described in (12) and (13).

The pair of maps

$$(B \xrightarrow{\delta_0} M, E \xrightarrow{\delta_1} M)$$
(15)

determines derivation $\delta : T_B^*E \longrightarrow M$ uniquely, since T_B^*E is generated by $B \cup E$ as a k-algebra.

Vice-versa, given a pair like (15), consisting of a derivation $\delta_0 : B \longrightarrow M$ and of a k-module map $\delta_1 : E \longrightarrow M$ which satisfies identities (12) and (13), we obtain B-bimodule map (14) which, by the universal property of the tensor algebra, extends to a unique B-algebra homomorphism

$$h: T^*_B E \longrightarrow T^*_B E \ltimes M$$

which splits the extension

$$\mathsf{T}^*_\mathsf{B}\mathsf{E} \twoheadleftarrow \mathsf{T}^*_\mathsf{B}\mathsf{E} \ltimes \mathsf{M} \hookleftarrow \mathsf{M}$$
 .

In other words, h(a) has the form $(a, \delta(a))$ where $\delta : T_B^*E \longrightarrow M$ is a (unique) derivation that equals δ_0 on B and δ_1 on E.

Proposition 1.6 For any T_B^*E -bimodule M, there is a natural k-module isomorphism between $Der_{(T_B^*E)/k}(M)$ and

$$\{(\delta_0, \delta_1) \in Der_{B/k}(M) \times Hom_{k-bimod}(E, M) \mid \delta_1 \text{ satisfies (12) and (13)} \}.$$
(16)

In the special case B = k, one has $Der_{k/k}(M) = 0$, so $\delta_0 = 0$ and identities (12) and (13) together mean that $\delta_1 : E \longrightarrow M$ is a map of k-bimodules. Hence the following

Corollary 1.7 For any T_k^*E -bimodule M, the correspondence $\delta \mapsto \delta_1$ defines a natural k-module isomorphism

$$Der_{(\mathsf{T}^*_{\mathsf{k}}\mathsf{E})/\mathsf{k}}(\mathsf{M}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{k}\text{-bimod}}(\mathsf{E},\mathsf{M})$$
 (17)

1.1.5 Variant: Derivations from the symmetric algebra

Let E be a module over a unital *commutative* k-algebra B and M be a bimodule over the symmetric algebra $A = S_B^*E$.

Derivations $S_B^*E \longrightarrow M$ are the same as derivations $T_B^*E \longrightarrow M$ satisfying the identity

$$[\delta(e_1), e_2] + [e_1, \delta(e_2)] = 0 \qquad (e_1, e_2 \in \mathsf{E})$$
(18)

which expresses the fact that $\delta([e_1, e_2]) = \delta(e_1 \otimes e_2) - \delta(e_2 \otimes e_1) = 0$. Thus, the following statement is a corollary of Proposition 1.6.

Proposition 1.8 For any S_B^*E -bimodule M, there is a natural k-module isomorphism between $Der_{(S_B^*E)/k}(M)$ and

$$\{(\delta_0, \delta_1) \in Der_{B/k}(M) \times Hom_{k-bimod}(E, M) \mid \delta_1 \text{ satisfies (12), (13) and (18)}\}$$
(19)

In the special case B = k, we have the following analog of Corollary 1.7

Corollary 1.9 For any S_k^*E -bimodule M, the correspondence $\delta \mapsto \delta_1$ defines a natural k-module isomorphism

 $Der_{(S_{\nu}^{*}E)/k}(M) \simeq \{\delta_{1} \in Hom_{k-bimod}(E, M) \mid \delta_{1} \text{ satisfies (18)}\}.$ (20)

1.1.6 Variant: Derivations from the exterior algebra

Let E be a module over a unital *commutative* k-algebra B and M be a bimodule over the exterior algebra $A = \Lambda_B^* E$.

Derivations $\Lambda_B^* E \longrightarrow M$ are the same as derivations $T_B^* E \longrightarrow M$ satisfying the identity

$$\delta(\mathbf{e})\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e}\delta(\mathbf{e}) = 0 \qquad (\mathbf{e} \in \mathsf{E}) \tag{21}$$

which expresses the fact that $\delta(e \otimes e) = 0$. Thus, the following statement is a corollary of Proposition 1.6.

Proposition 1.10 For any Λ_B^*E -bimodule M, there is a natural k-module isomorphism between $Der_{(\Lambda_B^*E)/k}(M)$ and

$$\{(\delta_0, \delta_1) \in Der_{B/k}(M) \times Hom_{k-bimod}(E, M) \mid \delta_1 \text{ satisfies (12), (13) and (21)}\}$$
(22)

1.1.7 The universal derivation

For any k-algebra A, the kernel of the multiplication map

$$I_{\Delta}(A) := \operatorname{Ker}(A \otimes_{k} A \xrightarrow{\mu} A), \quad \mu(a_{1} \otimes a_{2}) := a_{1}a_{2}.$$
(23)

is an A-sub-bimodule of A. We will call it the **diagonal ideal** of A. The terminology stems from the fact that $I_{\Delta} = I_{\Delta}(A)$ is a left ideal in algebra $A \otimes A^{op}$ (all tensor products over k unless indicated otherwise).

Assumption: in this subsection A is assumed to be unital.

Lemma 1.11 *The correspondence*

$$\mathbf{d}_{\Delta}: \mathbf{a} \longmapsto \mathbf{d}_{\Delta} \mathbf{a} := 1 \otimes \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a} \otimes 1 \tag{24}$$

is a k-linear derivation $A \rightarrow I_{\Delta}$.

Indeed,

$$\begin{split} d_{\Delta}(a_1a_2) &= 1 \otimes (a_1a_2) - (a_1a_2) \otimes 1 \\ &= (1 \otimes (a_1a_2) - a_1 \otimes a_2) + (a_1 \otimes a_2 - (a_1a_2) \otimes 1) \\ &= (1 \otimes a_1 - a_1 \otimes 1)a_2 + a_1(1 \otimes a_2 - a_2 \otimes 1) \\ &= d_{\Delta}(a_1)a_2 + a_1d_{\Delta}(a_2) \,. \end{split}$$

Observation 1.12 If $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha'_i \otimes \alpha''_i \in I_{\Delta}$, then

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a'_i d_{\Delta}(a''_i) . \qquad (25)$$

Indeed,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathfrak{a}'_i \otimes \mathfrak{a}''_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathfrak{a}'_i \mathfrak{d}_{\Delta}(\mathfrak{a}''_i) + (\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathfrak{a}'_i \mathfrak{a}''_i) \otimes 1.$$

Proposition 1.13 For any derivation $\delta : A \longrightarrow M$ from A into an Abimodule M, there exists a unique A-bimodule map $\overline{\delta} : I_{\Delta} \longrightarrow M$ such that the following triangle commutes:



Proof. The pairing $A \times A \longrightarrow M$, $(a_0, a_1) \longmapsto a_0 \delta(a_1)$, is biadditive and k-balanced, hence induces a map

$$A \otimes A \longrightarrow M, \quad a_0 \otimes a_1 \longmapsto a_0 \delta(a_1),$$
 (26)

which is clearly left A-linear. The restriction of (26) to I_{Δ} is also right A-linear. Indeed,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a'_i \delta(a''_i b) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a'_i \delta(a''_i) b + (\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a'_i a''_i) \delta b$$
(27)

and the right hand sum in (27) vanishes if $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a'_i \otimes a''_i \in I_{\Delta}$.

The uniqueness of $\overline{\delta}$ follows from the fact that I_{Δ} is generated by $d_{\Delta}A$, the image of A in I_{Δ} , as a left A-module, while $\overline{\delta}$ is requested to be A-linear.

Corollary 1.14 The correspondence $f \mapsto f \circ d_{\Delta}$ defines a canonical isomorphism of k-modules:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A\operatorname{-bimod}}(I_{\Delta}, M) \simeq \operatorname{Der}_{A/k}(M).$$
(28)

1.1.8 Point derivations

Definition 1.15 A point of a k-algebra A (more precisely, a k-point) is a k-algebra homomorphism $p : A \rightarrow k$. The set of k-points will be denoted $Spec_k(A)$ and called the k-spectrum of A.

When talking about points, it is customary to write a(p) instead of p(a), for $a \in A$, as if the elements of A where functions on the spectrum of A and the homomorphism $A \longrightarrow k$ were the "evaluation at point p".

For a given point p, the set

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{ \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{A} \mid \mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0 \}$$
(29)

is an ideal in A ('ideal' will always mean 'two-sided ideal', unless stated otherwise).

A choice of a point p equips k with a structure of an A-bimodule:

$$ac := a(p)c, \quad ca := ca(p) \qquad (a \in A; c \in k).$$

The corresponding derivations $v : A \longrightarrow k$ form the **tangent space**, T_pA , to A at point p. We shall call them **point derivations** (at p), or **tangent vectors** to A at p.

From now on we assume A to be unital.

The correspondence

$$a \mapsto (a(p), \Delta_p a) \qquad (a \in A),$$

where $\Delta_p a := a - a(p)$, defines a splitting of k-module A into a direct sum $k \oplus \mathfrak{m}_p$.

Proposition 1.16 (a) For any point p of A, the correspondence

 $d_p: a \mapsto class of \Delta_p a modulo \mathfrak{m}_p^2$

defines a derivation $A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$.

(b) Derivation d_p is universal for all point derivations at point p. More precisely, for any tangent vector $v \in T_pA$, there exists a unique A-bimodule map \overline{v} such that the triangle commutes:



Proof. Part (a) is an immediate consequence of the identity

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{p}}(\mathfrak{a}_{1}\mathfrak{a}_{2}) = (\Delta_{\mathsf{p}}\mathfrak{a}_{1})\mathfrak{a}_{2} + \mathfrak{a}_{1}(\Delta_{\mathsf{p}}\mathfrak{a}_{2}) - (\Delta_{\mathsf{p}}\mathfrak{a}_{1})(\Delta_{\mathsf{p}}\mathfrak{a}_{2}) \qquad (\mathfrak{a}_{1},\mathfrak{a}_{2}\in\mathsf{A})\,.$$

For a tangent vector $v \in T_pA$ and $a \in A$,

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p})) + \mathbf{v}(\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{v}(\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{a})$$

and the right hand term depends only on the class of $\Delta_p a$ modulo \mathfrak{m}_p^2 , since ν vanishes on \mathfrak{m}_p^2 :

$$v(ab) = v(a)b(p) + a(p)v(b) = v(a)0 + 0v(b) = 0.$$

Since any element of $\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$ is of the form $d_p \mathfrak{a}$ for some $\mathfrak{a} \in A$, this implies that the correspondence $\bar{\nu} : d_p \mathfrak{a} \mapsto \nu(\mathfrak{a})$ produces a well defined k-linear map $\bar{\nu} : \mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2 \longrightarrow k$. Noting that the action of A on both $\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$ and k factorizes through $A/\mathfrak{m}_p \simeq k$, we obtain the canonical isomorphism:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{k\operatorname{-mod}}(\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2, k) = \operatorname{Hom}_{A\operatorname{-bimod}}(\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2, k) \simeq \mathsf{T}_p A.$$
(30)

Definition 1.17 We call $d_p a \in \mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$ the differential of $a \in A$ at point p. We shall denote $\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$ either $\Omega_{A/k,p}$ or $\Omega_{A/k}(p)$.

The space of differentials at a given point p plays the role of the **cotangent** space in Analysis on Manifolds. There, it is defined as the *dual*, $(T_p)^*$, of the tangent space. As we have seen, it is rather the tangent space which appears as the dual of the space of differentials of $a \in A$ ("functions") at point p. The canonical A-bimodule map $I_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$, which sends $\mathfrak{a}_0 d_{\Delta} \mathfrak{a}_1$ to $\mathfrak{a}(p)d_p\mathfrak{a}_1$, is the restriction to I_{Δ} of the tensor product of the canonical quotient maps

$$(A \twoheadrightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}_p) \otimes_k (A \twoheadrightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}_p^2)$$

viewed as an A-bimodule map $A \otimes A \longrightarrow k \otimes (A/\mathfrak{m}_p^2) \simeq A/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$.

1.2 Graded case

Suppose that algebra \mathcal{A} is \mathbb{Z} -graded, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{i+j} \qquad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

and that \mathcal{M} is a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathcal{A} -bimodule, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{M}_j \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{i+j} \supseteq \mathcal{M}_i \mathcal{A}_j.$$

A nonzero element $a \in A$ is said to be *homogeneous of degree* i if $a \in A_i$ (by \tilde{a} we shall denote the parity of the degree of a; thus $\tilde{a} = 0$ or 1). Similarly for elements of \mathcal{M} .

Definition 1.18 For any graded A-bimodule M and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *j*-shifted bimodule [j]M is defined as follows:

$$([j]\mathcal{M})_{\mathfrak{i}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{i}-\mathfrak{j}} \qquad (\mathfrak{i} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

with the left and right actions of A given by

 $([\mathbf{j}]\mathbf{m})\mathbf{a} = [\mathbf{j}](\mathbf{m}\mathbf{a}), \quad \mathbf{a}([\mathbf{j}]\mathbf{m}) = (-1)^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}[\mathbf{j}](\mathbf{a}\mathbf{m}) \qquad (\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}}; \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}) \,.$

Definition 1.19 A map between \mathbb{Z} -graded modules $f : \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is said to have degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $f(\mathcal{L}_i) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{i+d}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Maps of degree 0 are also called graded maps.

Note that the shift map $[j] : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow [j]\mathcal{M}$, $\mathfrak{m} \longmapsto [j]\mathfrak{m}$, has degree j, and that the composition $f \circ g$ of maps of degree d and, respectively, e has degree d + e.

Definition 1.20 A map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of degree d is said to be a k-linear derivation of degree d if, $[-d]\delta$, *i.e.*, the composition with shift $[-d] \circ \delta$, is a k-linear derivation $[-d]\delta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow [-d]\mathcal{M}$.

Equivalently, $\delta A_i \subseteq M_{i+d}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\delta(\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2) = (\delta(\mathfrak{a}_1))\mathfrak{a}_2 + (-1)^{\mathfrak{id}}\mathfrak{a}_1(\delta(\mathfrak{a}_2)) \qquad (\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{i}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{i}}, \mathfrak{a}_2 \in \mathcal{A})$$

The following is a version of Corollary 1.4 for graded bimodules.

Corollary 1.21 A map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a derivation of degree d if and only if

$$\mathfrak{a} \mapsto (\mathfrak{a}, [-d]\delta(\mathfrak{a}))$$

is a graded k-algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \ltimes [-d]\mathcal{M}$.

1.2.1 Example: Derivations from the exterior algebra

Let E be a module over a unital commutative k-algebra A and M be a graded module over the exterior algebra $\mathcal{A} = \Lambda_A^*(E)$.

Note that $\Lambda_A^*(E) = S_A^*([1]E)$, provided $\frac{1}{2} \in k$; here E is treated as a graded module whose i-components, for $i \neq 0$, are zero.

Let $\delta : \Lambda_A^*(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a derivation of degree d. Its 0-th component $\delta_0 : A = \Lambda_A^0(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ satisfies the condition that the correspondence

$$\mathbf{a} \longmapsto (\mathbf{a}, \delta_0(\mathbf{a})) \tag{31}$$

is a homomorphism of k-algebras

$$A \longrightarrow A \ltimes \mathcal{M}_d$$

(note that $A \ltimes \mathcal{M}_d$ is the 0-th component of graded algebra $\Lambda_A^*(E) \ltimes [-d] \mathcal{M}$). Equivalently, δ_0 is a derivation.

The component $\delta_1 : E = \Lambda^1_A(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d+1}$ satisfies the identity

$$\delta_1(ae) = \delta_0(a)e + a\delta_1(e) \qquad (a \in A; e \in E)$$
(32)

and, since $e^2 = 0$ for any $e \in E$, also the identity

$$\delta_1(e)e + (-1)^{-d}e\delta_1(e) = 0$$
 $(e \in E).$ (33)

Conversely, for any derivation $\delta_0 : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$, correspondence (31) defines a morphism of k-algebras $A \longrightarrow \Lambda^*_A(E) \ltimes [-d]\mathcal{M}$ which makes

 $\Lambda^*_A(E) \ltimes [-d] \mathcal{M}$ an A-algebra, and thus an A-module. Identity (32) then esxpresses the fact that the correspondence

$$e \longmapsto (e, [-d]\delta_a(e)) \tag{34}$$

which maps E into

$$\mathsf{E} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{d+1} = (\Lambda^*_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathsf{E}) \ltimes [-d]\mathcal{M})_1,$$

defines an A-linear map $h_1 : E \longrightarrow \Lambda^*_A(E) \ltimes [-d]\mathcal{M}$. The unique extension of h_1 to a homomorphism of graded A-algebras

$$h: \mathsf{T}^*_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathsf{E}) \longrightarrow \Lambda^*_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathsf{E}) \ltimes [-d]\mathcal{M}$$

annihilates elements $e \otimes e \in T^2_A(E)$, This follows from the formula precisely when δ_1 satisfies identity (33).

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{h}(e \otimes e) &= \mathfrak{h}_1(e)^2 = (e, [-d]\delta_1(e))^2 \\ &= (e \wedge e, [-d](\delta_1(e)e + (-1)^{-d}e\delta_1(e))) \\ &= (0, [-d](\delta_1(e)e + (-1)^{-d}e\delta_1(e))) \,, \end{split}$$

If so, then homomorphism h passes to the quotient algebra $\Lambda^*_A(E)$ and the obtained graded k-algebra homomorphism

$$h: \Lambda^*_A(E) \longrightarrow \Lambda^*_A(E) \ltimes [-d]\mathcal{M}$$

is then of the form

$$h(\alpha) = (\alpha, [-d]\delta'(\alpha))$$

for some derivation $\delta': \Lambda^*_A(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of degree d. Since $\delta'_i = \delta_i$, for i = 0, 1, we have

$$\delta'(ae_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n) = \delta_0(a) \wedge e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{(i-1)d} ae_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_1(e_i) \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$$

$$= \delta(ae_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n),$$
(35)

i.e., $\delta' = \delta$. Identities (35) mean, in particular, that any derivation δ of degree d is *uniquely* determined by its components $\delta_0 : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ and $\delta_1 : E \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d+1}$.

We have thus established

Proposition 1.22 For any graded $\Lambda_A^*(E)$ -bimodule \mathfrak{M} , there is a natural bijective correspondence between derivations $\delta : \Lambda_A^*(E) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ of degree d and pairs of k-linear maps

$$(A \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathcal{M}_d, \ E \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathcal{M}_{d+1})$$
(36)

such that δ_0 is a derivation and δ_1 satisfies identities (32) and (33).

Exercise. Prove the following variant of Proposition 1.22:

For any graded $T^*_A(E)$ -bimodule \mathcal{M} , there is a natural bijective correspondence between derivations $\delta : T^*_A(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of degree d and pairs of k-linear maps (36) such that δ_0 is a derivation and δ_1 satisfies identity (32).

2 Differential forms

Unless otherwise stated, A represents in this section and the next section a unital commutative k-algebra and M an A-module treated as a symmetric A-bimodule:

am = ma $(a \in A; m \in M)$.

We shall give in this chapter three realizations of the derivation that is **universal** in the class of unital commutative algebras and modules over them.

2.1 Kähler's realization

Let $A\langle da | a \in A \rangle$ be the A-module freely generated by the set whose elements are *formal symbols* da, for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.1 The A-module of Kähler differentials (or, Kähler differential 1-forms) of a unital commutative k-algebra A is the quotient module

$$\Omega_{A/k} := A \langle da \mid a \in A \rangle / N \tag{37}$$

by the A-submodule N generated by elements of three types

- (a) $d(a_1 + a_2) da_1 da_2$,
- (b) d(ca) cda,

(c) $d(a_1a_2) - a_2da_1 - a_1da_2$, where $a_1, a_2 \in A$, and $c \in k$.

It follows tautologically from the definition that

$$\mathbf{d}: \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{k}}, \quad \mathbf{d}: \mathbf{a} \longmapsto \mathbf{d}\mathbf{a} \,, \tag{38}$$

is a derivation universal in the class of unital commutative algebras and modules over them.

2.2 Hochschild's realization

2.2.1 A-linearization of k-linear maps

Any k-linear map f from a k-module E into an A-module M induces a unique A-linear map $\tilde{f} : A \otimes_k E \longrightarrow M$ such that the triangle commutes:



where $\epsilon : k \longrightarrow A$ is the structural homomorphism $1_k \longmapsto 1_A$. We can express this also by saying that there is a canonical isomorphism of k-modules

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{k-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathsf{E},\mathsf{M}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathsf{A} \otimes_k \mathsf{E},\mathsf{M})).$$
 (39)

Definition 2.2 An A-module is relatively free if there exists a k-module E and a k-module map $f : E \rightarrow M$ such that \tilde{f} is an isomorphism.

Consider the linearization, $\tilde{\delta} : A \otimes A \longrightarrow M$, of a k-module map $\delta : A \longrightarrow M$. Note that:

$$(\delta \mathfrak{a}_1)\mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathfrak{a}_2(\delta \mathfrak{a}_1) = \delta(\mathfrak{a}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{a}_1),$$

and

$$\mathfrak{a}_1(\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{a}_2) = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{a}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{a}_2),$$

as well as

$$\delta(\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2) = \delta(1_A \otimes \mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2)$$

It follows that

$$(\delta a_1)a_2 + a_1(\delta a_2) - \delta(a_1a_2) = \tilde{\delta}(a_1 \otimes a_2 - 1_A \otimes a_1a_2 + a_2 \otimes a_1). \quad (40)$$

The three-term expression in parentheses on the right hand side of (40) is related to a very important object introduced below.

2.2.2 Hochschild homology

For any A-bimodule M over an arbitrary k-algebra A, let

$$C_n(A;M) := M \otimes A^{\otimes n} \tag{41}$$

and maps $b_n : C_n(A; M) \longrightarrow C_{n-1}(A; M)$, $n \ge 1$, be defined as follows:

$$b_{n}(m \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}) = ma_{1} \otimes a_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i}a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}$$

$$+ (-1)^{n}a_{n}m \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}.$$

$$(42)$$

The following lemma is established by a straightforward calculation.

Lemma 2.3 Sequence of k-modules and k-linear maps $(C_*(A; M), b_*)$ is a chain complex, i.e., $b_{n-1}b_n = 0$ for all $n \ge 1$.

Definition 2.4 $(C_*(A; M), b_*)$ is called the Hochschild complex of a k-algebra A with coefficients in an A bimodule M, and its homology groups $H_*(A; M)$ are called the Hochschild homology groups of A with coefficients in M. Maps b_n are called the Hochschild boundary maps,

When M = A (and A is unital), then $HH_*(A) := H_*(A; A)$ is called the Hochschild homology of algebra A.

One has

$$HH_0(A; M) = M/[A, M]$$
(43)

where [A, M] denotes the *commutator space*

$$[A,M] := \{ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i m_i - m_i a_i) \mid a_i \in A, m_i \in M \}$$

$$(44)$$

Comment When A is commutative and M is a symmetric A-bimodule, then the Hochschild boundary maps are A-linear and, consequently, groups $H_*(A; M)$ are A-modules.

Now, returning to the case of commutative A and symmetric module M, identity (40) can be rewritten as

$$(\delta a_1)a_2 + a_1(\delta a_2) - \delta(a_1a_2) = (\tilde{\delta} \circ b_2)(1_A \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2)$$
(45)

where $b_2: A^{\otimes 3} \longrightarrow A^{\otimes 2}$ is the corresponding Hochschild boundary map in $C_*(A; A)$.

Since A is commutative, we have

$$\mathbf{a}_0\mathbf{b}_2(\mathbf{1}_A\otimes\mathbf{a}_1\otimes\mathbf{a}_2)=\mathbf{b}_2(\mathbf{a}_0\otimes\mathbf{a}_1\otimes\mathbf{a}_2)\qquad (\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}\in\mathbf{A})\,,$$

and thus we conclude that δ is a derivation if and only if δ vanishes on $b_2 A^{\otimes 3}$. In the latter case, $\tilde{\delta}$ induces an A-linear map $\bar{\delta} : HH_1(A) \longrightarrow M$.

For any $a \in A$, let

$$d_{H}a := \text{ the class of } 1_A \otimes a \mod b_2 A^{\otimes 3}.$$
 (46)

We note that $1 \otimes a = 1 \otimes a - a \otimes 1 + b_2(a \otimes 1 \otimes 1)$, i.e., d_Ha is the image in HH₁(A) of *diagonal* differential $d_{\Delta}a$, cf. (24).

We also note that $a_1d_Ha_2 - d_H(a_1a_2) + a_2d_Ha_1$ coincides with the class *modulo* $b_2A^{\otimes 3}$ of

$$\mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{1}_A \otimes \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{b}_2(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_2),$$

i.e., vanishes. Thus we have

Proposition 2.5 The map $d_H : A \longrightarrow HH_1(A)$, $a \longrightarrow d_Ha$, is a derivation and, for any derivation $\delta : A \longrightarrow M$, there exists a unique A-linear map $\overline{\delta} : HH_1(A) \longrightarrow M$ such that the triangle



commutes. In other words, $d_H : A \longrightarrow HH_1(A)$ is a universal derivation in the class of unital commutative algebras and modules over them.

The uniqueness, up to a *unique* isomorphism, of the universal derivation (in the class of unital commutative algebras and modules), plus the fact that both Kähler's and Hochschild's derivations are universal, result in the following

Corollary 2.6 The correspondence $a_0da_1 \mapsto a_0d_Ha_1$ defines a canonical isomorphism of A-modules

$$\Omega_{A/k} \simeq HH_1(A) \,. \tag{47}$$

2.3 Serre's realization

Let $\tilde{d}_{\Delta} : A \longrightarrow I_{\Delta}/I_{\Delta}^2$ be the diagonal derivation, $d_{\Delta} : a \longrightarrow I_{\Delta}$, followed by the canonical quotient map $I_{\Delta} \twoheadrightarrow I_{\Delta}/I_{\Delta}^2$. Since the latter is an A-bimodule map, the result is a derivation $A \longrightarrow I_{\Delta}/I_{\Delta}^2$.

Lemma 2.7 I_{Δ}/I_{Δ}^2 is a symmetric A-bimodule; more precisely:

$$I_{\Delta}^2 = [A, I_{\Delta}] \tag{48}$$

(cf. (44)). In particular,

$$I_{\Delta}/I_{\Delta}^2 = I_{\Delta}^2/[A, I_{\Delta}] = HH_0(A; I_{\Delta}).$$
(49)

Proof. One has the following identity in $A \otimes A$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{d}_\Delta \mathbf{a}_2] &= \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \otimes \mathbf{a}_1 \\ &= -(1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{a}_2 \otimes \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \otimes 1) \\ &= -(\mathbf{d}_\Delta \mathbf{a}_1)(\mathbf{d}_\Delta \mathbf{a}_2) \,, \end{aligned}$$
(50)

which, in view of commutativity of A, implies that

$$[\mathfrak{a}, \alpha] = (\mathfrak{d}_{\Delta}\mathfrak{a})\alpha \in I^2_{\Delta} \quad (\mathfrak{a} \in A; \alpha \in I_{\Delta})$$

(cf. representation (25) of elements of I_{Δ}).

Vice-versa,

$$\mathfrak{a}_0(\mathfrak{d}_\Delta\mathfrak{a}_1)(\mathfrak{d}_\Delta\mathfrak{a}_2) = [\mathfrak{a}_0(\mathfrak{d}_\Delta\mathfrak{a}_1),\mathfrak{a}_2] \in [I_\Delta,A] = [A,I_\Delta]\,,$$

and I^2_{Δ} is additively spanned by products

$$\mathbf{a}_0(\mathbf{d}_{\Delta}\mathbf{a}_1)(\mathbf{d}_{\Delta}\mathbf{a}_2)\,. \tag{51}$$

This proves equality (48), and (49) follows with help of equality (43).

Proposition 2.8 Derivation $\tilde{d}_{\Delta} : A \longrightarrow I_{\Delta}/I_{\Delta}^2$ is universal in the class of unital commutative algebras and modules over them. In particular, the correspondence $a_0 \tilde{d}_{\Delta} a_1 \mapsto a_0 d_H a_1$ establishes a canonical isopmorphism of A-modules:

$$I_{\Delta}/I_{\Delta}^2 = H_0(A; I_{\Delta}) \simeq HH_1(A).$$
(52)

Proof. In view of the universality of $d_H : A \longrightarrow HH_1(A)$, the correspondence $a_0d_Ha_1 \mapsto a_0\tilde{d}_{\Delta}a_1$ yields a well defined a-module map $HH_1(A) \longrightarrow I_{\Delta}/I_{\Delta}^2$. The universality of $d_{\Delta} : A \longrightarrow I_{\Delta}$ in the class of all A-bimodules yields an A-bimodule map $I_{\Delta} \longrightarrow HH_1(A)$ which sends $a_0d_{\Delta}a_1$ to $a_0d_Ha_1$. It remains to show that this last map passes to I_{Δ}/I_{Δ}^2 or, equivalently, that $d_{\Delta}(I_{\Delta}^2) \subseteq b_2 A^{\otimes 3}$. This follows from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_0(\mathbf{d}_\Delta \mathbf{a}_1)(\mathbf{d}_\Delta \mathbf{a}_2) &= \mathbf{a}_0 \otimes \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{a}_0 \otimes \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_0 \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \otimes 1 - \mathbf{a}_0 \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_2 & (53) \\ &= \mathbf{b}_2(\mathbf{a}_0 \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 - \mathbf{a}_0 \otimes \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_2) \,. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows we shall be freely identifying all three models of the module of differential 1-forms, and we shall be using notation $\Omega_{A/k}$ and da irrespective of the model chosen.

2.4 Functorialities

2.4.1 First Fundamental Exact Sequence

Let $f : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of unital commutative k-algebras. The composite $d_{B/k} \circ f : A \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/k}$ is a derivation (where $\Omega_{B/k}$ is given an A-module structure via $f : A \longrightarrow B$). In view of the universal property of derivation $d_{A/k} : A \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/k}$, there exists a unique A-module morphism

$$f_{\bullet}:\Omega_{A/k}\longrightarrow\Omega_{B/k}$$

such that the following square

$$\begin{array}{c|c} A & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} B \\ d_{A/k} & & 0 \\ f_{\bullet} & & d_{B/k} \\ \Omega_{A/k} & \stackrel{f_{\bullet}}{\longrightarrow} \Omega_{B/k} \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $f_* : B \otimes_A \Omega_{A/k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/k}$ be its B-linearization:

$$f_*(b \otimes a_0 da_1) = a_0 b d(f(a))$$
 $(a_0, a_1 \in A; b \in B)$,

see Section 2.2.1. The image of f_* coincides with the B-submodule generated by dA, while a glance at Kähler's definition of the module of differential forms shows that the quotient $\Omega_{B/k}/BdA$ canonically identifies with $\Omega_{B/A}$. Thus, we obtain the First Fundamental Exact Squence:

$$B \otimes_{A} \Omega_{A/k} \xrightarrow{f_{*}} \Omega_{B/k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \longrightarrow 0$$
(54)

whose dual form we already encountered in more general situation, cf. (8).

2.4.2 Second Fundamental Exact Sequence

Suppose f is an epimorphism, i.e., A is an extension of B by some ideal $J \subset A$, see (9). In this case, $\Omega_{B/A} = 0$ and therefore f_* is surjective. By tensoring $\Omega_{A/k}$ with exact sequence (9), we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$J \otimes_{A} \Omega_{A/k} \longrightarrow A \otimes_{A} \Omega_{A/k} \longrightarrow B \otimes_{A} \Omega_{A/k} \longrightarrow 0$$
(55)

which shows that B-module $B \otimes_A \Omega_{A/k}$ is canonically isomorphic to the quotient module $\Omega_{A/k}/JdA$. Since $d(J^2) \subseteq JdJ \subset JdA$, the restriction of $d_{A/k}$ to J induces a map

$$d: J/J^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/k}/JdA \simeq B \otimes_A \Omega_{A/k} \,,$$

and

Coker
$$d \simeq \Omega_{A/k}/(JdA + dJ)$$
.

Note that $\Omega_{A/k}/(JdA+dJ)$ is a B-module and that the composite derivation

$$A \xrightarrow{a_{A/k}} \Omega_{A/k} \twoheadrightarrow \Omega_{A/k} / (JdA + dJ)$$

vanishes on J, and thus induces a derivation

$$\delta: B \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/k}/(JdA + dJ)$$

The latter induces a B-module map

$$\delta: \Omega_{B/k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/k} / (JdA + dJ) \,. \tag{56}$$

For any $a \in A$, let \overline{da} denote the class of da modulo JdA + dJ. Then f_* sends \overline{da} to $d(f(a)) \in \Omega_{B/k}$, and $\overline{\delta}$ sends d(f(a)) to \overline{da} . In other words, B-linear maps f_* and $\overline{\delta}$ supply mutually inverse correspondences between set \overline{dA} , which generates B-module $\Omega_{A/k}/(JdA + dJ)$, and set dB, which generates B-module $\Omega_{B/k}$.

We conclude that f_{*} induces an isomorphism

Coker
$$d \simeq \Omega_{B/k}$$

which establishes the Second Fundamental Exact Sequence

$$J/J^2 \xrightarrow{d} B \otimes_A \Omega_{A/k} \xrightarrow{f_*} \Omega_{B/k} \longrightarrow 0.$$
(57)

We shall sometimes be using it in the form

Proposition 2.9 For any extension (9) of unital commutative k-algebras, the epimorphism $\Omega_{A/k} \twoheadrightarrow \Omega_{B/k}$ induces a canonical isomorphism of Bmodules

$$\Omega_{A/k}/(J \, dA + dJ) \simeq \Omega_{B/k} \,. \tag{58}$$

2.5 Examples

2.5.1 The symmetric algebra

Let $A = S_k^*E$ be the symmetric algebra of a k-module E and M be any S_k^*E -module. Corollary 1.9, combined with canonical isomorphism (39), yields in this case the canonical isomorphisms

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{k}^{*}E\operatorname{-mod}}(\Omega_{S_{k}^{*}E/k},M) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{k\operatorname{-mod}}(E,M) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{k}^{*}E\operatorname{-mod}}(S_{k}^{*}E \otimes E,M),$

which show that

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{S}_{k}^{*}\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{k}} \simeq \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}\mathbf{E} \otimes \mathbf{E}$$
(59)

(it suffices to take $M = \Omega_{S_k^*E/k}$). Under (59), differentials de, $e \in E$, correspond to elements $1 \otimes e \in S_k^*E \otimes E$. We notice that $\Omega_{S_k^*E/k}$ is a *relatively free* S_k^*E -module, cf. Definition 2.2.

If E is a free k-module with basis $((e_i)_{i \in I})$, then $S_k^* E \otimes E$ is a free $S_k^* E$ -module with the same basis. In this case, $\Omega_{S_k^* E/k}$ is a free $S_k^* E$ -module with basis $((de_i)_{i \in I})$:

$$\Omega_{S_{k}^{*}E/k} = S_{k}^{*} \langle de_{i} \mid i \in I \rangle.$$
(60)

In the special case $E = k^n$, symmetric algebra S_k^*E becomes the k-algebra of polynomials in n variables:

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{k}[\mathbf{X}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{n}],$$

and the formula for the differential

$$d: k[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \longrightarrow \Omega_{k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/k}$$

takes the familiar form

$$df = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} dX_i$$
(61)

for $f \in k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$.

When k-module E is projective, S_k^*E -module $S_k^*E \otimes E$ is projective, and thus also $\Omega_{S_k^*E/k}$.

2.5.2 Affine algebra $A = k[X_1, ..., X_n]/(F_1, ..., F_m)$.

Let $k_n := k[X_1, ..., X_n]$ and $\Omega_n := \Omega_{k_n/k}$. As a k_n -module, the latter is freely generated by differentials of variables $dX_1, ..., dX_n$. According to (58), $\Omega_{A/k}$ is canonically isomorphic to the quotient of Ω_n by the k_n -submodule

$$(F_1,\ldots,F_m)\Omega_n + k_n dF_1 + \ldots + k_n dF_m.$$
(62)

Example: Plane curve XY = c For a given $c \in k$, let $A_c := k[X, Y]/(XY - c)$. If c is invertible then the correspondence $T \mapsto c^{-1}X$ induces a k-algebra isomorphism:

$$k[T, T^{-1}] \simeq k[X, Y]/(XY - c)$$

where $k[T, T^{-1}]$ is the algebra of Laurent polynomials with coefficients in k.

2.6 The algebra of differential forms

Definition 2.10 The exterior algebra $\Omega^*_{A/k} := \Lambda^*_A \Omega_{A/k}$ is called the Kählerde Rham algebra of a unital commutative k-algebra A. Elements of $\Omega^q_{A/k} = \Lambda^q_A \Omega_{A/k}$ are called differential q-forms.

Note that

$$\Omega^0_{A/k} = A$$
 and $\Omega^1_{A/k} = \Omega_{A/k}$

Proposition 2.11 There exists a unique extension of derivation $d : A \rightarrow \Omega^1_{A/k}$ to a derivation of degree 1 of \mathbb{N} -graded k-algebra

$$\mathbf{d}: \Omega^*_{A/k} \longrightarrow \Omega^*_{A/k} \tag{63}$$

such that

$$\mathbf{d} \circ \mathbf{d} : \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \Omega^2_{\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{k}} \text{ is zero.}$$
(64)

Proof. According to Proposition 1.22, derivations $d_* : \Omega^*_{A/k} \longrightarrow \Omega^*_{A/k}$ of degree 1 are in bijective correspondence with pairs:

 (d_0) a k-linear derivation $d_0: A \longrightarrow \Omega^1_{A/k}$;

 $(\,d_1)\,$ a k-linear map $\,d_1:\Omega^1_{A/k}\longrightarrow\Omega^2_{A/k}\,$ which satisfies the identity

$$\mathbf{d}_1(\mathbf{a}\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \mathbf{d}_0\mathbf{a}\wedge\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{d}_1\boldsymbol{\varphi}$$

Identity (33) is automatically satisfied:

$$\mathbf{d}_1 \boldsymbol{\varphi} \wedge \boldsymbol{\varphi} + (-1)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \wedge \mathbf{d}_1 \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \mathbf{d}_1 \boldsymbol{\varphi} \wedge \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \mathbf{d}_1 \boldsymbol{\varphi} \wedge \boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0 \,,$$

since $d_1\phi$ has degree 2, and thus commutes with all elements of $\Omega^*_{A/k}$.

We shall construct d_1 as follows. The k-bilinear pairing

$$\mathsf{A} \times \mathsf{A} \longrightarrow \Omega^2_{\mathsf{A}/\mathsf{k}}, \quad (\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathfrak{a}_1) \longmapsto \mathsf{d} \mathfrak{a}_0 \wedge \mathsf{d} \mathfrak{a}_1 \qquad (\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathfrak{a}_1 \in \mathsf{A})\,,$$

induces a k-linear map

$$A \otimes A \longrightarrow \Omega^2_{A/k} \,. \tag{65}$$

Since $a_0(d_{\Delta}a_1)(d_{\Delta}a_2)$ is sent by (65) to

$$\begin{aligned} da_0 \wedge d(a_1 a_2) - d(a_0 a_2) \wedge da_1 - d(a_0 a_1) \wedge da_2 + d(a_0 a_1 a_2) \wedge d1 \\ &= (a_2 da_0 \wedge da_1 + a_1 da_0 \wedge da_2) \\ &- (a_2 da_0 \wedge da_1 + a_0 da_2 \wedge da_1) \\ &- (a_1 da_0 \wedge da_2 + a_0 da_1 \wedge da_2) = 0 \,, \end{aligned}$$

and elements (51) additively span $I^2_\Delta,$ map (65) vanishes on $I^2_\Delta.$ Denote the induced map

$$\Omega^{1}_{A/k} \simeq I_{\Delta}/I_{\Delta}^{2} \hookrightarrow A^{\otimes 2}/I_{\Delta}^{2} \longrightarrow \Omega^{2}_{A/k}$$
(66)

by d_1 and notice that $d_1(a_0(a_1da_2))$ is the image of $a_0a_1 \otimes a_2 - a_0a_1a_2 \otimes 1$ under map (65):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{d}(\mathfrak{a}_0\mathfrak{a}_1)\wedge\mathsf{d}\mathfrak{a}_2-\mathsf{d}(\mathfrak{a}_0\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2)\wedge\mathsf{d}1&=\mathsf{d}\mathfrak{a}_0\wedge(\mathfrak{a}_1\mathsf{d}\mathfrak{a}_2)+\mathfrak{a}_0\mathsf{d}\mathfrak{a}_1\wedge\mathsf{d}\mathfrak{a}_2\\ &=\mathsf{d}\mathfrak{a}_0\wedge(\mathfrak{a}_1\mathsf{d}\mathfrak{a}_2)+\mathfrak{a}_0\wedge(\mathfrak{a}_1\mathsf{d}\mathfrak{a}_2)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, our $d_1: \Omega^1_{A/k} \longrightarrow \Omega^2_{A/k}$ satisfies condition (32), and

$$(\mathbf{d}_1 \circ \mathbf{d}_0)(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{d}_1(1 \otimes \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a} \otimes 1) = \mathbf{d}1 \wedge \mathbf{d}\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d}\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{d}1 = 0$$

which proves the existence of the desired derivation $d: \Omega^*_{A/k} \longrightarrow \Omega^*_{A/k}$.

The uniqueness follows from Leibniz' identity combined with condition (64):

$$d(a_0 da_1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_q) = da_0 \wedge da_1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_q$$

$$+ a_0 d^2 a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_q$$

$$- a_0 da_1 \wedge d^2 a_2 \wedge \dots \wedge da_q + \dots$$

$$= da_0 \wedge da_1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_q.$$
(67)

Comment One has $d \circ d = 0$ on forms of any degree as follows immediately from formula (67). In particular, $(\Omega^*_{A/k}, d)$ is a cochain complex of k-modules.

Definition 2.12 We will refer to $(\Omega^*_{A/k}, d)$ as the Kähler-de Rham complex (or, just the de Rham complex) of a unital commutative k-algebra A. Its cohomology, $H^*_{dR}(A/k)$, will be called the de Rham cohomology of A.

De Rham cohomology $H^*_{dR}(A/k)$ is a very important invariant of algebra A.