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Abstract

We classify all bifurcations from traveling waves to non-trivial time-periodic solutions of the Benjamin-Ono equation that are predicted by linearization. We use a spectrally accurate numerical continuation method to study several paths of non-trivial solutions beyond the realm of linear theory. These paths are found to either re-connect with a different traveling wave or to blow up. In the latter case, as the bifurcation parameter approaches a critical value, the amplitude of the initial condition grows without bound and the period approaches zero. We then prove a theorem that gives the mapping from one bifurcation to its counterpart on the other side of the path and exhibits exact formulas for the time-periodic solutions on this path. The Fourier coefficients of these solutions are power sums of a finite number of particle positions whose elementary symmetric functions execute simple orbits (circles or epicycles) in the unit disk of the complex plane. We also find examples of interior bifurcations from these paths of already non-trivial solutions, but we do not attempt to analyze their analytic structure.
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1 Introduction

The Benjamin-Ono equation is a non-local, non-linear dispersive equation intended to describe the propagation of internal waves in a deep, stratified fluid [6, 15, 30]. In spite of non-locality, it is an integrable Hamiltonian system with meromorphic particle solutions [12, 13], $N$-soliton solutions [24], and $N$-phase multi-periodic solutions [32, 16, 26]. A bilinear formalism [32] and a Bäcklund transformation [28, 7, 25] have been found to generate special solutions of the equation, and, in the non-periodic setting of rapidly decaying initial conditions, an inverse scattering transform has been developed [18, 20] that exploits an interesting Lax pair structure in which the solution plays the role of a compatibility condition in a Riemann-Hilbert problem.

It is common practice in numerical analysis to test a numerical method using a problem for which exact solutions can be found. Our initial interest in Benjamin-Ono was to serve as such a test problem. Although many of the tools mentioned above can be used to study time-periodic solutions, they do not generalize to problems such as the vortex sheet with surface tension [2, 4] or the true water wave [31, 19], which are not known to be integrable. Our goal in this paper is to develop tools that will generalize to these harder problems and use them to study bifurcation and global reconnection in the space of time-periodic solutions of B-O. Specifically, we employ a variant of the numerical continuation method we introduced in [3] for this purpose, which yields solutions that are accurate enough that we are able to recognize their analytic form.

Because we approached the problem from a completely different viewpoint, our description of these exact solutions is very different from previously known representations of multi-periodic solutions. Rather than solve a system of non-linear algebraic equations at each $x$ to find $u(x, t)$ as was done in [26], we represent $u(x, t)$ in terms of its Fourier coefficients $c_k(t)$, which turn out to be power sums $c_k = 2[\beta_1^k + \cdots + \beta_N^k]$ of a collection of $N$ particles $\beta_j(t)$ evolving in the unit disk of the complex plane as the zeros of a polynomial $z \mapsto P(z, t)$ whose coefficients execute simple orbits (circles or epicycles in $\mathbb{C}$). The connection between the new representation and previous representations will be explored elsewhere [37].

Many of our findings on the structure of bifurcations and reconnections in the manifold of time-periodic solutions of the Benjamin-Ono equation are likely to hold for other systems as well. One interesting pitfall we have identified by applying our method to an integrable problem is that degenerate bifurcations can exist that are not predicted by counting linearly independent, periodic solutions of the linearization about traveling waves. Although it is possible that such degeneracy is a consequence of the symmetries that make this problem
integrable, it is also possible that other problems such as the water wave will also possess
degenerate bifurcations that are invisible to a linearized analysis. We have also found that
one cannot achieve a complete understanding of these manifolds of time-periodic solutions by
holding e.g. the mean constant and varying only one parameter. In some of the simulations
where we hold the mean fixed, the solution (i.e. the $L^2$ norm of the initial condition) blows up
as the parameter approaches a critical value rather than reconnecting with another traveling
wave. However, if the mean is simultaneously varied, it is always possible to reconnect.
Thus, although numerical continuation with more than one parameter is difficult, it will
likely be necessary to explore multi-dimensional parameter spaces to achieve a thorough
understanding of time-periodic solutions of other problems.

On the numerical side, we believe our use of certain Fourier modes of the initial condi-
tions as bifurcation parameters will prove useful in many other problems beyond Benjamin-
Ono. We also wish to advocate the use of variational calculus and optimal control for
the purpose of finding time-periodic solutions (or solving other two point boundary value
problems). For ODE, a competing method known as orthogonal collocation (e.g. as imple-
mented in AUTO [17]) has proved to be a very powerful technique for solving boundary
value problems. This approach becomes quite expensive when the dimension of the system
increases, and is therefore less competitive for PDE than it is for ODE. For PDE, many
authors do not attempt to find exact periodic solutions, and instead point out that typical
solutions of certain equations do tend to pass near their initial states at a later time [11].
If true periodic solutions are sought, a more common approach has been to either iterate
on a Poincaré map and use stability of the orbit to find time-periodic solutions [10], or use
a shooting method [33, 34] to find a fixed point of the Poincaré map.

In a shooting method, we define a functional $F(u_0, T) = [u(\cdot, T) - u_0]$ that maps initial
conditions and a supposed period to the deviation from periodicity. The equation $F = 0$
is then solved by Newton’s method, where the Jacobian $J = DF$ is either computed using
finite differences [35] or by solving the variational equation repeatedly to compute each
column of $J$. We have found that it is much more efficient (by a factor of the number of
columns of $J$) to instead minimize the scalar functional $G = \frac{1}{2}\|F\|^2$ via a quasi-Newton
method in which the gradient $DG$ is computed by solving an adjoint PDE.

Bristeau et. al. [8] developed a similar approach for linear (but two- or three-dimensional)
scattering problems. Three dimensional problems are intractable by the standard shooting
approach as $J$ could easily have $10^5$ columns. However, the gradient of $G$ can be computed
by solving a single adjoint PDE. The success of the method then boils down to a question
of the number of iterations required for the minimization algorithm to converge. For linear
problems, Bristeau et. al. have had success using conjugate gradients to minimize $G$. We
find that BFGS [9] works very well for nonlinear problems like the Benjamin-Ono equation and the vortex sheet with surface tension [4].

To find non-trivial time-periodic solutions in the present work, we use a symmetric variant of the algorithm described in [3]. Although the original method works well, we use the symmetric variant for the simulations in this paper because evolving to $T/2$ requires half the time-steps and yields more accurate answers (as there is less time for numerical roundoff error to corrupt the calculation). Moreover, the number of degrees of freedom in the search space of initial conditions is also cut in half and the condition number of the problem improves when we eliminate phase shift degrees of freedom via symmetry rather than including them in the penalty function described in Section 3.1. Although we do not make use of it, there is a procedure known as the Meyer-Marsden-Weinstein reduction [27, 23] that allows one to reduce the dimension of a symplectic manifold on which a group acts symplectically. This allows one to eliminate actions of the group (e.g. translations) from the phase space. Equilibria and periodic solutions of the reduced Hamiltonian system correspond to (families of) relative equilibria and relative periodic solutions [39] of the original system.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss stationary, traveling and particle solutions of B-O, linearize about traveling waves, and classify all bifurcations predicted by linear theory from traveling waves to non-trivial time-periodic solutions. Some of the more technical material from this section is given in Appendix A. In Section 3, we present a collection of numerical experiments using our continuation method to follow several paths of non-trivial solutions beyond the realm of linear theory in order to formulate a theorem that gives the global mapping from one traveling wave bifurcation to its counterpart on the other side of the path. In Section 4, we study the behavior of the Fourier modes of the time-periodic solutions found in Section 3 and state a theorem about the exact form of these solutions, which is proved in Appendix B. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss interior bifurcations from these paths of already non-trivial solutions to still more complicated solutions. Although the existence of such a hierarchy of solutions was already known [32], bifurcation between various levels of the hierarchy has not previously been discussed.

2 Bifurcation from Traveling Waves

In this section, we study the linearization of the Benjamin-Ono equation about stationary solutions and traveling waves by solving an infinite dimensional eigenvalue problem in closed form. Each eigenvector corresponds to a time-periodic solution of the linearized equation. The traveling case is reduced to the stationary case by requiring that the period of the
perturbation (with a suitable spatial phase shift) coincide with the period of the traveling wave. The main goal of this section is to devise a classification scheme of the bifurcations from traveling waves so that in later sections we can describe which (local) bifurcations are connected together by a global path of non-trivial time-periodic solutions.

2.1 Stationary, Traveling and Particle Solutions

We consider the Benjamin-Ono equation on the periodic interval \( \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \), namely

\[
    u_t = Hu_{xx} - uu_x. \tag{1}
\]

Here \( H \) is the Hilbert transform, which has the symbol \( \hat{H}(k) = -i \text{sgn}(k) \). The Benjamin-Ono equation possesses solutions [12, 3] of the form

\[
    u(x, t) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{N} \phi(x; \beta_l(t)), \tag{2}
\]

where \( \alpha_0 \) is the mean, \( \beta_1(t), \ldots, \beta_N(t) \) are the trajectories of \( N \) particles evolving in the unit disk \( \Delta \) of the complex plane and governed by the ODE

\[
    \dot{\beta}_l = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{-2i\beta_l^2}{\beta_l - \beta_m} + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{2i\beta_m^2}{\beta_l - \beta_m} + i(2N - 1 - \alpha_0)\beta_l, \quad (1 \leq l \leq N), \tag{3}
\]

and \( \phi(x; \beta) \) is the function with Fourier representation

\[
    \hat{\phi}(k; \beta) = \begin{cases} 
        0, & k = 0 \\
        2\beta^k, & k > 0 \\
        2\beta^{|k|}, & k < 0
    \end{cases}, \quad \beta \in \Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}. \tag{4}
\]

The function \( \phi(x; \beta) \) has a peak centered at \( x = \arg(\beta) \) with amplitude growing to infinity as \( |\beta| \) approaches 1. The \( N \)-hump traveling waves (with a spatial period of \( 2\pi/N \)) are a special case of the particle solutions given by (2) and (3):

\[
    u_{\text{trav}}(x, t; \alpha_0, N, \beta) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{N} \phi(x; \beta_l(t)), \quad \beta_l(t) = \frac{N}{\sqrt{\beta}} e^{-ict}, \quad c = \alpha_0 - N\alpha(\beta). \tag{5}
\]

Each \( \beta_l \) is assigned a distinct \( N \)th root of \( \beta \) and \( \alpha(\beta) \) is the mean of the one-hump stationary solution, namely

\[
    \alpha(\beta) = \frac{1 - 3|\beta|^2}{1 - |\beta|^2}, \quad |\beta|^2 = \frac{1 - \alpha(\beta)}{3 - \alpha(\beta)}. \tag{6}
\]

The solution (5) moves to the right when \( c > 0 \). Indeed, it may also be written

\[
    u_{\text{trav}}(x, t; \alpha_0, N, \beta) = u_{\text{stat}}(x - ct; N, \beta) + c. \tag{7}
\]
where $u_{\text{stat}}$ is the $N$-hump stationary solution

$$u_{\text{stat}}(x; N, \beta) = N \alpha(\beta) + \sum_{\gamma : \gamma N = \beta} \phi(x; \gamma) = N \alpha(\beta) + N \phi(Nx; \beta). \quad (8)$$

The Fourier representation of $u_{\text{stat}}$ is

$$\hat{u}_{\text{stat}}(k; N, \beta) = \begin{cases} 
N \alpha(\beta), & k = 0, \\
2N\beta^{k/N}, & k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 0, \\
2N\beta^{-k/N}, & k \in \mathbb{N}, k < 0, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Amick and Toland have shown [5] that all traveling waves of the Benjamin-Ono equation have the form (7); see also [37].

### 2.2 Linearization about Stationary Solutions

Let $u(x) = u_{\text{stat}}(x; N, \beta)$ be an $N$-hump stationary solution. In [3], we solved the linearization of (1) about $u$, namely

$$v_t = Hv_{xx} - (uv)_x = iBAv, \quad A = H\partial_x - u, \quad B = \frac{1}{i}\partial_x, \quad (10)$$

by substituting $v(x, t) = \text{Re}\{Cz(x)e^{i\omega t}\}$ into (10) and solving the eigenvalue problem

$$BAz = \omega z \quad (11)$$

in closed form. Specifically, we showed that the eigenvalues $\omega_{N,n}$ are given by

$$\omega_{N,n} = \begin{cases} 
-\omega_{N,-n}, & n < 0 \\
0, & n = 0 \\
(n)(N - n), & 1 \leq n \leq N - 1 \\
(n + 1 - N)(n + 1 + N(1 - \alpha(\beta))), & n \geq N 
\end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The zero eigenvalue $\omega_{N,0} = 0$ has geometric multiplicity two and algebraic multiplicity three. The eigenfunctions in the kernel of $BA$ are

$$z_{N,0}^{(1,0)}(x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u_{\text{stat}}(x; N, \beta), \quad z_{N,0}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial |\beta|}u_{\text{stat}}(x; N, \beta), \quad (13)$$

which correspond to changing the phase or amplitude of $\beta$ in the underlying stationary solution. There is also a Jordan chain [36] of length two associated with $z_{N,0}^{(1,0)}(x)$, namely

$$z_{N,0}^{(1,1)}(x) = 1, \quad (iBAz_{N,0}^{(1,1)} = z_{N,0}^{(1,0)}), \quad (14)$$
which corresponds to the fact that adding a constant to a stationary solution causes it to travel. The fact that all the eigenvalues \( i\omega_{N,n} \) in the linearization (10) are purely imaginary is a consequence of the Hamiltonian structure [13] of the Benjamin-Ono equation. For non-Hamiltonian systems, one does not generally expect to find time-periodic perturbations of traveling waves (as periodic solutions of the linearized problem may not even exist).

The eigenfunctions \( z_{N,n}(x) \) corresponding to positive eigenvalues \( \omega_{N,n} \) (with \( n \geq 1 \)) have the Fourier representation

\[
\hat{z}_{N,n}(k)_{k=n+jN} = \begin{cases} 
(1 + \frac{N(j-1)}{N-n}) \beta^{j-1} & j < 0 \\
\frac{1}{1+n} \beta^{j+1} & j \geq 0 
\end{cases}, \quad \left( C = \frac{1 \leq n \leq N-1}{(N-n)\left|n+(N-n)\beta^2\right|} \right),
\]

with all other Fourier coefficients equal to zero. The eigenfunctions corresponding to negative eigenvalues \( \omega_{N,n} \) (with \( n \leq -1 \)) satisfy \( z_{N,n}(x) = z_{N,-n}(x) \), so the Fourier coefficients appear in reverse order, conjugated. For \( 1 \leq n \leq N-1 \), any linear combination of \( z_{N,n}(x) \) and \( z_{N,N-n}(x) \) is also an eigenfunction; however, the choices here seem most natural as they simultaneously diagonalize the shift operator (discussed below) and yield directions along which non-trivial solutions exist beyond the linearization. Said differently, we have listed the first \( N-1 \) positive eigenvalues \( \omega_{N,n} \) in an unusual order (rather than enumerating them monotonically and coalescing multiple eigenvalues) because this is the order that leads to the simplest description of the global paths of non-trivial solutions connecting these traveling waves.

### 2.3 Classification of bifurcations from traveling waves

Time-periodic solutions of the Benjamin-Ono equation with period \( T \) have initial conditions that satisfy \( F(u_0, T) = 0 \), where \( F : H^1 \times \mathbb{R} \to H^1 \) is given by

\[
F(u_0, T) = u(\cdot, T) - u_0, \quad u_t = Hu_{xx} - uu_x, \quad u(\cdot, 0) = u_0.
\]

We begin by linearizing \( F \) about an \( N \)-hump stationary solution \( u_0(x) = u_{\text{stat}}(x; N, \beta) \). The Fréchet derivative \( \mathbb{D}F = (D_1 F, D_2 F) : H^1 \times \mathbb{R} \to H^1 \) yields directional derivatives

\[
D_1 F(u_0, T)v_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} F(u_0 + \varepsilon v_0, T) = v(\cdot, T) - v_0 = [e^{i\beta AT} - I] v_0,
\]

\[
D_2 F(u_0, T)\tau = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} F(u_0, T + \varepsilon \tau) = 0.
\]
Note that \( v_0 \in \ker D_1 F(u, T) \) if and only if the solution \( v(x, t) \) of the linearized problem is periodic with period \( T \). As a result, a basis for the kernel \( \mathcal{N} = \ker DF(u_0, T) \) consists of \( (0; 1) \) together with all pairs \((v_0; 0)\) of the form

\[
v_0(x) = \text{Re}\{z_{N,n}(x)\} \quad \text{or} \quad v_0(x) = \text{Im}\{z_{N,n}(x)\},
\]

where \( n \) ranges over all integers such that

\[
\omega_{N,n} T \in 2\pi \mathbb{Z}
\]

with \( N \) and \( \beta \) (in the formula (12) for \( \omega_{N,n} \)) held fixed. The corresponding periodic solutions of the linearized problem are

\[
v(x, t) = \text{Re}\{z_{N,n}(x)e^{i\omega_{N,n} t}\} \quad \text{or} \quad v(x, t) = \text{Im}\{z_{N,n}(x)e^{i\omega_{N,n} t}\}.
\]

Negative values of \( n \) have already been accounted for in (18) and (20) using \( z_{N,-n}(x) = \overline{z_{N,n}(x)} \), and the \( n = 0 \) case always yields two vectors in the kernel, namely those in (13). These directions do not cause bifurcations as they lead to other stationary solutions.

Next we wish to linearize \( F \) about an arbitrary traveling wave. Suppose \( u(x) = u_{\text{stat}}(x; N, \beta) \) is an \( N \)-hump stationary solution and \( U(x, t) = u(x - ct) + c \) is a traveling wave. Then the solutions \( v \) and \( V \) of the linearizations about \( u \) and \( U \), respectively, satisfy

\[
V(x, t) = v(x - cT, T) - v_0(x) = (S_\theta e^{iBAt} - I)v_0(x),
\]

where \( v \) solves (10) and the shift operator \( S_\theta \) is defined via

\[
S_\theta z(x) = z(x - \theta), \quad S_{\theta,kl} = e^{-ik\theta} \delta_{kl}.
\]

One element of \( \mathcal{N} = \ker DF(U_0, T) \) arises from (14), which gives

\[
e^{iBAt}1 = 1 - tu_x \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_1 F(U_0, T)(-c/T) + D_2 F(U_0, T)1 = 0,
\]

and implies \((-c/T; 1) \in \mathcal{N}\). This just means that we can change the period \( T \) by a small amount \( \tau \) by adding the constant \(-c/T \) to \( U_0 \); (this also follows from the condition (21) that \( cT = \theta = \text{const} \)). If we wish to change the period without changing the mean, we need
to simultaneously adjust $|\beta|$ in the underlying stationary solution $u(x) = u_{\text{stat}}(x; N, \beta)$. The other elements of $\mathcal{N}$ are of the form $(v_0; 0)$ with

$$v_0(x) = \text{Re}\{z_{N,n}(x)\} \quad \text{or} \quad v_0(x) = \text{Im}\{z_{N,n}(x)\}.$$  

(24)

The admissible values of $n$ here are found using (22) together with

$$S\theta e^{iBT} z_{N,n} = e^{i(\omega_{N,n} T - \theta k_{N,n})} z_{N,n}, \quad \theta = \frac{2\pi \nu}{N},$$

(25)

where $k_{N,n}$ is the stride offset of the non-zero Fourier coefficients of $z_{N,n}$, i.e.

$$\hat{z}_{N,n}(k) \neq 0 \Rightarrow k - k_{N,n} \in \mathbb{N}\mathbb{Z}.$$  

(26)

Thus, instead of (19), $n$ ranges over all integers such that

$$\omega_{N,n} T \in 2\pi \left(\frac{\nu k_{N,n}}{N} + \mathbb{Z}\right), \quad k_{N,n} = \begin{cases} -k_{N,-n}, & n < 0, \\ 0, & n = 0, \\ n, & 1 \leq n \leq N - 1, \\ \text{mod}(n + 1, N), & n \geq N. \end{cases}$$

(27)

As before, negative values of $n$ need not be considered once we take real and imaginary parts in (24), and the $n = 0$ case always gives the two vectors $(z_{N,0}^{(1)}, 0), (z_{N,0}^{(2)}, 0) \in \mathcal{N}$, which lead to other traveling waves rather than bifurcations to non-trivial solutions.

Our numerical experiments have led us to the following conjecture, which we prove as part of Theorem 3 in Section 4:

**Conjecture 1** For every $\beta \in \Delta$ and $(N, \nu, n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ satisfying

$$N \geq 1, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad m \geq 1, \quad m \in \nu k_{N,n} + \mathbb{N}\mathbb{Z},$$

(28)

there is a four parameter sheet of non-trivial time-periodic solutions bifurcating from the $N$-hump traveling wave with speed index $\nu$, $(cT = 2\pi \nu/N)$, bifurcation index $n$, and oscillation index $m$, $(\omega_{N,n} T = 2\pi m/N)$. The phase and amplitude of the traveling wave are determined by $\beta$.

The main content of this conjecture is that we do not have to consider linear combinations of the $z_{N,n}$ with different values of $n$ to find periodic solutions of the non-linear problem — this basis is already “diagonal” with respect to these bifurcations. This is true in spite of a small divisor problem preventing $DF(U_0, T)$ from being Fredholm. The decision to number the first $N - 1$ eigenvalues $\omega_{N,n}$ non-monotonically in (12) and to simultaneously
diagonalize the shift operator $S_\theta$ when choosing eigenvectors $z_{N,n}$ in (15) was essential to make this work. Formulas relating the period, $T$, the mean, $\alpha_0$, and the decay parameter, $|\beta|$, for each of these bifurcations are given in Appendix A along with a list of bifurcation rules governing “legal” values of the mean.

A canonical way to generate one of these bifurcations is to take $\beta$ real and perturb the initial condition in the direction $v_0(x) = \text{Re}\{z_{N,n}(x)\}$. This leads to non-trivial solutions with even symmetry at $t = 0$. Perturbation in the $\text{Im}\{z_{N,n}(x)\}$ direction yields the same set of non-trivial solutions, but with a spatial and temporal phase shift:

$$\text{Im}\{z_{N,n}(x - ct)e^{i\omega t}\} = \text{Re}\left\{z_{N,n}\left(\left(x - \frac{ct}{2\omega}\right) - c\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2\omega}\right)\right)e^{i\omega(t - \frac{\pi}{2\omega})}\right\},$$

(29)

where $\omega = \omega_{N,n}$. The manifold of non-trivial solutions is four dimensional with two essential parameters (e.g. the mean $\alpha_0$ and a parameter governing the distance from the traveling wave) and two inessential parameters (the spatial and temporal phase). In our numerical studies, we use the real part of a Fourier coefficient $c_k$ of the initial condition (with $k$ such that $\hat{z}_{N,n}(k) \neq 0$) for the second essential bifurcation parameter. When we discuss exact solutions in Section 4, a different parameter will be used.

We remark that this enumeration of bifurcations accounts for all time-periodic solutions of the linearization about traveling waves; therefore, the heuristic that each bifurcation of the non-linear problem gives rise to a linearly independent vector in the kernel $N$ of the linearized problem suggests that we have found all bifurcations from traveling waves. Interestingly, this turns out not to be the case; the interior bifurcations we discuss in Section 5 can occur at the endpoints of the path, allowing for degenerate bifurcations directly from traveling waves to higher levels in the infinite hierarchy of time-periodic solutions. Only the transition from the first level of the hierarchy to the second is “visible” to a linearized analysis about traveling waves. The other transitions become linearly dependent on these in the limit as the traveling wave is approached; they will be analyzed in [37].

3 Numerical Experiments

In this section we present a collection of numerical experiments in which we start with a given bifurcation $(N, \nu, n, m, \beta)$ and use a symmetric variant of the method we described in [3] for finding periodic solutions of non-linear PDE to continue these solutions until another traveling wave is found, or until the solution blows up as the bifurcation parameter approaches a critical value. We determine the bifurcation indices $(N', \nu', n', m')$ at the other end of the path of non-trivial solutions by fitting the data to the formulas of the previous section. By trial and error, we are then able to guess a formula relating $(N', \nu', n', m')$ to $(N, \nu, n, m)$ that we use in Section 4 to construct exact solutions.


3.1 Numerical Method

As mentioned in Section 2.3, a natural choice of spatial and temporal phase can be achieved by choosing the parameter $\beta$ of the traveling wave to be real and perturbing the initial condition in the direction $v_0(x) = \text{Re}\{z_{N,n}(x)\}$. For reasons of efficiency and accuracy (explained in the introduction), we now restrict our search for time-periodic solutions of (1) to functions $u(x,t)$ that possess even spatial symmetry at $t = 0$. If we succeed in finding solutions with this symmetry, then they (together with their phase-shifted counterparts analogous to (29)) span the nullspace $N = \ker DF(U_0,T)$ in the limit that the perturbation goes to zero. Thus, we do not expect symmetry breaking bifurcations from traveling waves that cannot be phase shifted to have even symmetry at $t = 0$.

The Benjamin-Ono equation has the property that if $u(x,t)$ is a solution of (1), then so is $U(x,t) = u(-x,-t)$. As a result, if $u$ is a solution such that $u(x,T/2) = U(x,-T/2)$, then $u(x,T) = U(x,0)$, i.e. $u$ is time-periodic if the initial condition has even symmetry. Thus, we seek initial conditions $u_0$ with even symmetry and a period $T$ to minimize the functional

$$G_{\text{tot}}(u_0,T) = G(u_0,T) + G_{\text{penalty}}(u_0,T),$$

(30)

where

$$G(u_0,T) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} [u(x,T/2) - u(2\pi - x,T/2)]^2 \, dx$$

(31)

and $G_{\text{penalty}}(u_0,T)$ is a non-negative penalty function to impose the mean and set the bifurcation parameter. To compute the gradient of $G$ with respect to variation of the initial conditions, we use

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} G(u_0 + \varepsilon v_0,T) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\delta G}{\delta u_0}(x)v_0(x) \, dx,$$

(32)

where the variational derivative

$$\frac{\delta G}{\delta u_0}(x) = 2w(x,T/2), \quad w_0(x) = u(x,T/2) - u(2\pi - x,T/2)$$

(33)

is found by solving the following adjoint equation from $s = 0$ to $s = T/2$:

$$w_x(x,s) = -Hw_{xx}(x,s) + u(x,T/2) - u(2\pi - x,T/2)$$

(34)

Since $v_0$ is assumed symmetric in this formulation, (33) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\delta G}{\delta u_0}(x) = w(x,T/2) + w(2\pi - x,T/2).$$

(35)

The Benjamin-Ono and adjoint equations are solved using a pseudo-spectral collocation method employing a fourth order semi-implicit additive Runge-Kutta method [14, 21, 38].
to advance the solution in time. The BFGS method [9, 29] is then used to minimize $G_{\text{tot}}$ (varying the period and the Fourier coefficients of the initial conditions). We use the penalty function

$$G_{\text{penalty}}(u_0, T) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |a_0(0) - \alpha_0|^2 + |a_K(0) - \rho|^2 \right)$$

(36)

to specify the mean $\alpha_0$ and the real part $\rho$ of the $K$th Fourier coefficient of the initial condition

$$u_0(x) = \sum_{k=-M/2+1}^{M/2} c_k(0)e^{ikx}, \quad c_k(t) = a_k(t) + ib_k(t).$$

(37)

The parameters $\alpha_0$ and $\rho$ serve as the bifurcation parameters while the phases are determined by requiring that the solution have even symmetry at $t = 0$. We generally choose $K$ to be the first $k \geq 1$ such that $\hat{z}_{N,n}(k) \neq 0$.

Our continuation method consists of three stages. First, we choose a traveling wave and a set of bifurcation indices to begin the path of non-trivial solutions. We also choose a direction in which to vary the bifurcation parameter $\rho$ and the mean $\alpha_0$. In most of our numerical experiments, we hold $\alpha_0$ fixed; however, in the example of Figure 6 below, we vary $\rho$ and $\alpha_0$ simultaneously. The traveling wave serves as the zeroth point on the path. The initial guess for the first point on the path is obtained by perturbing the initial condition of the traveling wave in the direction $\text{Re}\{z_{N,n}(x)\}$. We use the period $T$ given in (77) in Appendix A as a starting guess. We then use the minimization algorithm to descend from the starting guess predicted by linear theory to an actual time-periodic solution. The second stage of the continuation algorithm consists of varying $\rho$ (and possibly $\alpha_0$), using linear extrapolation for the starting guess (for $u_0$ and $T$) of the next solution, and then minimizing $G_{\text{tot}}$ to find an actual time-periodic solution with these values of $\rho$ and $\alpha_0$. If the initial value of $G_{\text{tot}}$ from the extrapolation step is too large, we discard the step and try again with a smaller change in $\rho$ and $\alpha_0$. The final stage of the algorithm consists of identifying the reconnection on the other side of the path. We do this by blindly overshooting the target values of $\rho$ and $\alpha_0$ (which we do not know in advance). Invariably, the algorithm will lock onto a family of traveling waves once we reach the end of the path of non-trivial solutions. We look at the Fourier coefficients of the last non-trivial solution before the traveling waves are reached and match them with the formulas for $\hat{z}_{N',n'}(k)$ to determine the correct bifurcation indices on this side of the path. (A prime indicates indices for the bifurcation at the other end of the path.) We then recompute the last several solutions on the path of non-trivial solutions with appropriate values of $\rho$ and $\alpha_0$ to arrive exactly at the traveling wave on the last iteration. We sometimes change $K$ in (36) to compute this reconnection to avoid $\hat{z}_{N',n'}(K) = 0$. 
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The running time of our algorithm (on a 2.4 GHz desktop machine) varies from a few hours to compute one of the paths labeled \(a \rightarrow l\) in (38)–(41) below, to a few days to compute a path in which the solution blows up, such as the one shown in Figure 5 below. We always refine the mesh and timestep enough so that the solutions are essentially exact (with \(G_{\text{tot}} \leq 10^{-26}\) in the easy cases and \(10^{-20}\) in the hard cases).

### 3.2 Global paths of non-trivial solutions

We now investigate the global behavior of non-trivial solutions that bifurcate from arbitrary stationary or traveling waves. We find that these non-trivial solutions act as rungs in a ladder, connecting stationary and traveling solutions with different speeds and wavelengths by creating or annihilating oscillatory humps that grow or shrink in amplitude until they become part of the stationary or traveling wave on the other side of the rung. In some cases, rather than re-connecting with another traveling wave, the solution blows up (i.e. the \(L^2\)-norm of the initial condition grows without bound) as the bifurcation parameter \(\rho\) approaches a critical value. However, even in these cases a re-connection with another traveling wave does occur if, in addition to \(\rho\), we vary the mean, \(\alpha_0\), in an appropriate way.

Recall from Section 2.3 that we can enumerate all such bifurcations by specifying a complex parameter \(\beta\) in the unit disk \(\Delta\) along with four integers \((N, \nu, n, m)\) satisfying (28), and in most cases we can solve for \(|\beta|\) in terms of the mean, \(\alpha_0\), using (80) in Appendix A. In [3], we presented a detailed study of the solutions on the path connecting a one-hump stationary solution to a two-hump traveling wave moving left. We denote this path by

\[a: \quad (1,0,1,1) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad (2,-1,1,1),\]  

(38)

where the label \(a\) refers to the bifurcation diagram in Figure 1. We have also computed the next several bifurcations \((n = 2,3,4)\) from the one-hump stationary solution and found that they connect up with a traveling wave with \(N' = n + 1\) humps moving left with speed index \(\nu' = -1\), where we denote the bifurcation on the other side of the path by \((N', \nu', n', m')\). By comparing the Fourier coefficients of the last few non-trivial solutions on these paths to those of the linearization about the \(N'\)-hump traveling wave, we determined that the bifurcation and oscillation indices satisfy \(n' = n\) and \(m' = 1\), respectively. Studying these reconnections revealed that the correct way to number the eigenvalues \(\omega_{N',n'}\) was to split the double eigenvalues with \(n < N'\) apart as we did in (12) by simultaneously diagonalizing the shift operator and ordering the \(\omega_{N',n'}\) via the stride offset of the corresponding eigenvectors (rather than monotonically). Using this ordering, the non-trivial solutions connect up with the \(N'\)-hump traveling wave along the \(z_{N',n'}\) direction (without involving \(z_{N',N'-n'}\)). These
First several bifurcations connecting stationary and traveling waves

Figure 1: Paths of non-trivial solutions listed in equations (38)–(41). The second Fourier mode of the eigenvector $z_{N,n}(x)$ in the linearization is non-zero for the pitchfork bifurcations and is zero for the one-sided, oblique-angle bifurcations. The point labeled P corresponds to the solution in Fig. 3 below.

results are summarized as

\[ b : (1, 0, 2, 1) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (3, -1, 2, 1), \]
\[ c : (1, 0, 3, 1) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (4, -1, 3, 1), \quad \text{(39)} \]
\[ d : (1, 0, 4, 1) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (5, -1, 4, 1). \]

The labels $a, b, c, d$ in (38) and (39) correspond to the paths labeled $7d, 8d, 5c, a$, etc. in the bifurcation diagram. When an integer $p$ precedes a label, it means that the period $T$ that is plotted is $p$ times larger than the fundamental period of the solution represented. Thus, curve $7d$ is the image of curve $d$ (not shown) under the linear transformation $(T, a_2) \mapsto (7T, a_2)$. In our labeling scheme, we just need to multiply $\nu, m, \nu', m'$ by $p$ to obtain the new path, e.g.

\[ 7d : (1, 0, 4, 7) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (5, -7, 4, 7). \quad \text{(40)} \]

In this diagram, we plot $a_2(0)$ vs. $T$ with the spatial and temporal phases chosen so the solution is even at $t = 0$. For example, on path $d$, as we decrease $\rho = a_2(0)$ from 0.371087
Periodic solution between one-hump stationary and five-hump traveling waves

\[ \text{Figure 2: Periodic solution on path } d \text{ connecting the one-hump stationary solution to the five-hump left-traveling wave (} \alpha_0 = 0.544375). \text{ The second Fourier mode of } z_{1,4}(x) \text{ is zero, which explains why } a_2(0) = 0.366113 \text{ for this solution is only 1.35% of the way between the stationary solution } a_2(0) = 0.371087 \text{ and the five-hump traveling wave } a_2(0) = 0. \]

to 0, the solution transitions from the one-hump stationary solution to the five-hump left-traveling wave as shown in Figure 2.

It is interesting that the paths labeled \(a\) and \(3b\) in Figure 1 meet the one-hump stationary solutions in a pitchfork while the other paths (such as \(5c\) and \(8d\)) meet at an oblique angle from one side only. This is because the second Fourier mode of the eigenvector \(z_{1,n}(x)\) in the linearization about the stationary solution is zero in these latter cases, so the change in \(a_2(0)\) from that of the stationary solution (namely 0.371087) is a higher order effect, (as is the change in \(T\)). This explains the oblique angle. We now explain why these bifurcations occur from one side only. When we go beyond the linearization as we have here, we find that 
\[ c_2(t) = a_2(t) + ib_2(t) \]
has a nearly circular (epitrochoidal) orbit in case \(a\), a circular orbit in case \(b\), and remains constant in time in cases \(c\) and \(d\) (see Section 4). If one branch of the pitchfork corresponds to \(a_2(0)\), the other is \(a_2(T/2)\) since the function \(u(\cdot, T/2)\) also has even symmetry. But in cases \(c\) and \(d\), \(a_2(0)\) is equal to \(a_2(T/2)\) even though the functions \(u(\cdot, 0)\) and \(u(\cdot, T/2)\) are different. These cases also become pitchforks when a different Fourier coefficient \(a_K(0)\) is used as the bifurcation parameter.

Next we compute the first several bifurcations from the two-hump traveling waves with mean \(\alpha_0 = 0.544375\) and speed index \(\nu = -1\). We set \(N = 2\), \(\nu = -1\), \(n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\)
and choose the first several legal $m$ values, i.e. values of $m$ that satisfy the bifurcation rules of Figure 14 in Appendix A. For example, the curves labeled $i$, $j$, $k$ and $l$ in Figure 1 correspond to the bifurcations $(2, -1, 4, m)$ with $m = 11, 13, 15, 17$; smaller values (and even values) of $m$ are not allowed. In addition to the path $a$ in (38) above, we obtain the paths

\[

e : (2, -1, 2, 3) \leftrightarrow (3, -3, 1, 3), \quad i : (2, -1, 4, 11) \leftrightarrow (5, -8, 3, 11),
\]
\[
f : (2, -1, 3, 6) \leftrightarrow (4, -5, 2, 6), \quad j : (2, -1, 4, 13) \leftrightarrow (5, -9, 3, 13),
\]
\[
g : (2, -1, 3, 8) \leftrightarrow (4, -6, 2, 8), \quad k : (2, -1, 4, 15) \leftrightarrow (5, -10, 3, 15),
\]
\[
h : (2, -1, 3, 10) \leftrightarrow (4, -7, 2, 10), \quad l : (2, -1, 4, 17) \leftrightarrow (5, -11, 3, 17).
\]

The paths $f$, $g$ and $h$ meet the curve representing the two-hump traveling waves in a pitchfork bifurcation while the others meet obliquely from one side. This, again, is an anomaly of having chosen the second Fourier mode for the bifurcation parameter. The dotted line near the path $e$ is the curve obtained when $e$ is reflected across the $T$-axis. Solutions on this dotted line correspond to solutions on path $e$ shifted by $\pi/2$ in space, which changes the sign of $\rho = a_2(0)$ but also breaks the even symmetry of the solution at $t = 0$. The paths labeled $i$, $j$, $k$ and $l$ are exactly symmetric when reflected about the $T$-axis because $c_2(t)$ has a circular orbit centered at zero in these cases. It is interesting that so many of the paths in this bifurcation diagram terminate when $T = \pi$ (or a simple rational multiple of $\pi$). This is due to the fact that $T$ in (77) in Appendix A is independent of $\alpha$ when $n < N$.

The solutions $u(x, t)$ corresponding to points along the paths $b$, $c$ and $d$ are qualitatively similar to each other. As shown in Figure 2, these solutions look like $N'$-hump waves traveling over a stationary one-hump carrier signal. At one end of the path the high frequency wave may be viewed as a perturbation of the one-hump stationary solution, while at the other end of the path it is more appropriate to regard the stationary solution as the perturbation, causing the traveling wave to bulge upward as it passes near $x = \pi$ and downward near $x = 0$ and $x = 2\pi$. In all these cases, the solution repeats itself when one of the high frequency waves has moved left one slot to assume the shape of its left neighbor at $t = 0$.

By contrast, the solutions that bifurcate from the two-hump traveling waves, i.e. those on the paths listed in (41), have the property that when a wave has moved left one slot to the location that its neighbor occupied at $t = 0$, it has acquired a different shape and must keep progressing a number of slots before it finally lines up with one of the initial waves. This is illustrated in Figure 3 for the solution labeled P in Figure 1 on the path

\[
e : (2, -1, 2, 3) \leftrightarrow (3, -3, 1, 3).
\]

This solution is qualitatively similar to the linearized solution $(3, -3, 1, 3)$. There are $N' = 3$ humps oscillating with the same amplitude but with different phases as they travel left.
Figure 3: Time-periodic solution (labeled P in Fig. 1) on path e connecting two- and three-hump traveling waves. The amplitude of each hump oscillates as it travels left. The dotted curves in the top panel represent the traveling waves at each end of the path at \( t = 0 \).

They do not line up with the initial condition again until they have traveled three slots \((\nu' = -3)\) and progressed through one cycle \((m'/N' = 3/3)\), which leads to a braided effect when the time history of the solution is plotted on one graph. All the solutions on path e are irreducible in the sense that there is no smaller time \( T \) in which they are periodic (unlike the cases labeled 3b, 5c, 7d etc. in Figure 1, which are reducible to b, c and d, respectively). Note that although \( \nu' = -3 \) and \( m' = 3 \) are both divisible by 3, we cannot reduce \((3, -3, 1, 3)\) to \((3, -1, 1, 1)\) as the latter indices violate the bifurcation rules of Figure 14 in Appendix A. We also mention that at the beginning of the path, near \((2, -1, 2, 3)\), the braiding effect is not present; instead, the solution can be described as two humps bouncing out of phase as they travel left. In one period, they each travel left one slot \((\nu = -1)\) and bounce 1.5 times \((m/N = 3/2)\) to assume the shape of the other hump at \( t = 0 \). The transition from this behavior to the braided behavior occurs at the point on path e that a third hump becomes recognizable in the wave profile. The solutions on the paths f, g, h, i, j, k and
are similar to those on path e, but the braiding patterns are more complicated near the right end-points of these paths.

All the traveling waves we have described until now move left. To see what happens to a right-moving wave, we computed the first bifurcation from the simplest such case and obtained the path

\[(1, 1, 1, 2) \rightarrow (2, 0, 1, 2). \quad (43)\]

Thus, the one-hump right-traveling wave is connected to the two-hump stationary solution. Solutions near the left end of this path consist of a large-amplitude, right-moving soliton traveling over a small-amplitude, left-moving soliton. As we progress along the path, the amplitude of the left-moving soliton increases until the solitons cease to fully merge at \(t = T/4\) and \(t = 3T/4\). Instead, a dimple forms in the wave profile at these times and the solitons begin to bounce off each other, trading amplitude so the right-moving wave is larger than the left-moving wave. This type of behavior has also been observed by Leveque [22] for the KdV equation for solitons of nearly equal amplitude. Both types of behavior (merging and bouncing off one another) are illustrated in Figure 4. As we proceed further along this path, the solitons settle into a synchronized dancing motion without changing their shape or deviating far from their initial positions. Eventually the “dancing amplitude” becomes small and the non-trivial solution turns into a stationary two-hump solution.

In order to guess a general formula for the relationship between two traveling waves that are connected by a path of non-trivial solutions, we generated two additional paths, namely

\[(2, 0, 2, 2) \leftrightarrow (3, -1, 1, 2), \quad (3, 0, 3, 3) \leftrightarrow (4, -1, 1, 3). \quad (44)\]

After studying all the paths listed in (38)-(44), we propose the following conjecture, which we prove as part of Theorem 3 in Section 4:

**Conjecture 2** The four-parameter sheet of non-trivial solutions with bifurcation parameters \((N, \nu, n, m)\) coincides with the sheet with parameters \((N', \nu', n', m')\) if and only if

\[\begin{align*}
\text{if } n < N & : \quad N' = N - n, \quad \nu' = \frac{(N - n)\nu + m}{N}, \quad n' = N - 1, \quad m' = m, \\
\text{if } n \geq N & : \quad N' = n + 1, \quad \nu' = \frac{(n + 1)\nu - m}{N}, \quad n' = n + 1 - N, \quad m' = m.
\end{align*} \quad (45, 46)\]

By symmetry, we may interchange the primed and unprimed indices in either formula; thus, \(N' > N \Leftrightarrow n < N \Leftrightarrow n' \geq N'\). In most of our numerical calculations, \(N'\) turned out to be larger than \(N\). In the exact formulas of Section 4, we find it more convenient to adopt the convention that \(N' < N\) since, in that case, all the solutions on the path connecting these traveling waves turn out to be \(N\)-particle solutions as described in Section 2.1.
Figure 4: Periodic solutions with mean $\alpha_0 = 0.544375$ between the one-hump right-traveling wave (dotted curve, top panel) and the two-hump stationary solution (dotted curve, bottom panel). Top: a large, right-traveling soliton temporarily merges with a small, left traveling soliton at $t = \frac{T}{4}$ and $t = \frac{3}{4}T$. Bottom: two solitons traveling in opposite directions bounce off each other at $\frac{T}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}T$ and change direction.

Figure 5: Left: path of non-trivial solutions with mean $\alpha_0 = 1.2$ that bifurcates with indices $(2,1,1,1)$ from the two-hump traveling wave. These solutions do not re-connect with another traveling wave, but instead blow up as $T \to 0$. The solution Q is shown at right, where a large, right-moving soliton travels rapidly over a small, stationary hump. The dotted curves are initial conditions for the points labeled A, B, C at left.
Equations (45) and (46) are consistent with the bifurcation rules of Appendix A in that

\[ n < N, \ m \in n \nu + NZ \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nu' \in \mathbb{Z}, \ m' \in (n' + 1)\nu' + N'\mathbb{Z}, \]  
\[ n \geq N, \ m \in (n + 1)\nu + NZ \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nu' \in \mathbb{Z}, \ m' \in n'\nu' + N'\mathbb{Z}. \]  

(47)  

(48)

However, if the mean is held constant, they do not necessarily respect the requirements on \( \alpha_0 \) listed in Figure 14 in Appendix A. For example, if \( \alpha_0 \leq 3 \), then \((2, 1, 1, 1)\) is a valid bifurcation, but the re-connection \((1, 1, 1, 1)\) predicted by (45) is legal only if \( \alpha_0 = 3 \). Interestingly, when we use our numerical method to follow the path of non-trivial solutions that bifurcate from \((2, 1, 1, 1)\) with the mean \( \alpha_0 = 1.2 \) held constant, it does not connect up with another traveling wave. Instead, as illustrated in Figure 5, as we vary the bifurcation parameter, the two humps (of the solutions labeled A, B, C) grow in amplitude and merge together until they become a single soliton traveling very rapidly on top of a small amplitude stationary hump. As the bifurcation parameter \( \rho = a_1(0) \) approaches a critical value, the period \( T \) approaches zero and the solution blows up in \( L^2(0, 2\pi) \) with the Fourier coefficients of any time-slice decaying more and more slowly.

As another example, the bifurcation \((3, 1, 1, 1)\) is valid when \( \alpha_0 \leq 5 \) but the reconnection \((2, 1, 2, 1)\) is only valid if \( \alpha_0 = 5 \). If we hold \( \alpha_0 < 5 \) constant, the solution blows up as we vary \( \rho = a_2(0) \) from 0 to a critical value. However, if we simultaneously vary the mean so that it approaches 5, we do indeed reach a traveling wave with bifurcation indices \((2, 1, 2, 1)\).

To check this numerically, we started at \((3, 1, 1, 1)\) with \( \alpha_0 = 4.8 \) (which has \( \alpha = \frac{14}{15}, \ |\beta| = 1/\sqrt{31} \)) and computed 40 solutions varying \( \rho \) from 0 to 0.1 and setting \( \alpha_0 = 4.8 + 2\rho \). The bifurcation at the other end turned out to be \((2, 1, 2, 1)\) with \( \alpha_0 = 5, \ \beta = \frac{1}{4}\rho = 0.025, \ \alpha = (1 - 3\beta^2)/(1 - \beta^2), \ T = \pi/(5 - 2\alpha) \), as predicted by Conjecture 2. The solutions on this path have the interesting property that the envelope of the solution pinches off into a football shape at one point in the transition from the three-hump traveling wave to the two-hump traveling wave. Using a bracketing technique, we were able to find a solution such that the value of \( u(0, t) \) remained constant in time to 8 digits of accuracy. The result is shown in Figure 6.

In summary, it appears that the family of bifurcations with indices \((N, \nu, n, m)\) is always connected to the family with indices \((N', \nu', n', m')\) given by (45) and (46) by a sheet of non-trivial solutions, but we often have to vary both the mean and a Fourier coefficient of the initial condition to achieve a re-connection. Thus, the manifold of non-trivial solutions is genuinely two-dimensional (or four dimensional if phase shifts are included). Some of its important properties cannot be seen if we hold the mean \( \alpha_0 \) constant.
Figure 6: Left: One of the solutions on the path from \((3,1,1,1), \beta = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{31}}\) to \((2,1,2,1), \beta = \frac{1}{20}\) consists of a traveling wave inside a football-shaped envelope. The exact solution appears to be of the form \(u(x, t) = A + B \left(\sin \frac{\pi}{2}x\right) \sin \left(\frac{5}{2}x - \frac{2\pi}{T}t\right)\).

4 Exact Solutions

In this section we use data fitting techniques to determine the analytic form of the numerical solutions of Section 3. We then state a theorem that confirms our numerical predictions and explains why some paths of solutions reconnect with traveling waves when the mean is held fixed while others lead to blow-up. The theorem is proved in Appendix B.

4.1 Fourier Coefficients and Lattice Sums

One striking feature of the time-periodic solutions we have found numerically is that the trajectories of the Fourier modes \(c_k(t)\) are often circular or nearly circular. Other Fourier modes have more complicated trajectories resembling cartioids, flowers and many other familiar “spirograph” patterns (see Figure 7). This led us to experiment with data fitting to try to guess the analytic form of these solutions. The first thing we noticed was that the trajectories of the spatial Fourier coefficients are band-limited in time, with the width of the band growing linearly with the wave number:

\[
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k(t)e^{ikx}, \quad c_k(t) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{kj}e^{-ij\frac{2\pi}{T}t}, \quad c_{kj} = 0 \text{ if } |j| > r|k|.
\]  

Here \(r\) is a fixed positive integer (depending on which path of non-trivial solutions \(u\) belongs to) and the \(c_{kj}\) are real numbers when a suitable choice of spatial and temporal phase is made. Since \(u\) is real, these coefficients satisfy \(c_{-k,-j} = c_{kj}\).

Each path of non-trivial time-periodic solutions has a lattice pattern of non-zero Fourier coefficients \(c_{kj}\) associated with it. In Figure 8, we show the lattice of integers \((k, j)\) such
Figure 7: Left: Trajectories $c_2(t)$ for five solutions on path $g$ in (41). The evolution of $c_2(t)$ on paths $f$ and $h$ in (41) are similar, but with three- and five-fold symmetry rather than four. Right: Trajectories $c_8(t)$ for three solutions on path $i$ in (41).

Figure 8: Each pair (aligned vertically) corresponds to a path of non-trivial solutions connecting two traveling waves. Solid dots represent the non-zero entries $c_{kj}$ in (49) of the exact solutions along this path; open circles represent a traveling wave; and open squares represent the non-zero entries $d_{kj}$ in the linearization about the traveling wave.
that $c_{kj} \neq 0$ for solutions on the paths

$$(1,0,1,1) \leftrightarrow (2,-1,1,1), \quad (2,-1,2,3) \leftrightarrow (3,-3,1,3),$$

$$(1,1,1,2) \leftrightarrow (2,0,1,2), \quad (2,-1,4,11) \leftrightarrow (5,-8,3,11).$$

(50)

All solutions on a given path have the same lattice pattern (of solid dots), but different paths have different patterns. One may show that if $u(x,t)$ is of the form (49) and

$$\frac{k}{2} \sum_{l,p} c_{lp} c_{k-l,j-p} = \left( k|k| + \frac{2\pi}{T} j \right) c_{kj}, \quad (k > 0, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

then $u(x,t)$ satisfies the Benjamin-Ono equation, $uu_x = Hu_{xx} - u_t$. The traveling waves at each end of the path have fewer non-zero entries, namely

$$\tilde{c}_{kj} = \begin{cases} 
N\alpha + \frac{2\pi\nu}{NT} & k = j = 0, \\
2N\beta|k|/N & k \in N\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \ j = \frac{nk}{N}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \quad \left( \alpha = \frac{1 - 3\beta^2}{1 - \beta^2} \right).$$

(52)

Here a tilde is used to indicate a solution about which we linearize. Substitution of $c_{kj} = \tilde{c}_{kj} + \varepsilon d_{kj}$ into (51) and matching terms of order $\varepsilon$ leads to an eigenvalue problem with solution

$$d_{kj} = \begin{cases} 
\hat{z}_{N,n}(k), & k \in k_{N,n} + N\mathbb{Z}, \ j = \frac{kn-m}{N}, \\
\hat{z}_{N,n}(-k), & k \in -k_{N,n} + N\mathbb{Z}, \ j = \frac{kn+m}{N}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}$$

(53)

with $\hat{z}_{N,n}(k)$ as in (15). The non-zero coefficients $d_{kj}$ in this linearization are represented by open squares in Figure 8. Recall from (15) that if $n \geq N$ and $k \leq n - N$ then $\hat{z}_{N,n}(k) = 0$, but if $n < N$, the non-zero entries of $\hat{z}_{N,n}(k)$ continue in both directions (with $k$ approaching $+\infty$ or $-\infty$). This is why the rows of open squares terminate in the graphs in the top row of Figure 8 rather than continuing past the origin as in the graphs in the bottom row.

4.2 Elementary Symmetric Functions

It is interesting that the lattice patterns that arise for the exact solutions (beyond the linearization) contain only positive integer combinations of the lattice points of the linearization and of the traveling wave (treating the left and right half-planes separately). Somehow the double convolution in (51) leads to exact cancellation at all other lattice sites! This suggests that the $c_{kj}$ have a highly regular structure that generalizes the simple power law decay rate of the Fourier coefficients $\hat{u}_{\text{stat}}(k; N, \beta)$ of the $N$-hump stationary solution.
The first step to understand this is to realize that there is a close connection between the trajectories of the Fourier coefficients and the trajectories of the elementary symmetric functions of the particles $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N$ in (2) above. Specifically, because the Fourier coefficients of $\phi(x; \beta)$ in (4) are of the form $2 \beta^k$ for $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\beta_1^k(t) + \cdots + \beta_N^k(t) = \frac{1}{2} c_k(t), \quad (k \geq 1), \quad c_k(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x, t) e^{-ikx} \, dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (54)

Next we define the elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_j$ via

$$\sigma_0 = 1, \quad \sigma_j = \sum_{l_1 < \cdots < l_j} \beta_{l_1} \cdots \beta_{l_j}, \quad (j = 1, \ldots, N)$$  \hspace{1cm} (55)

so that

$$P(z) := \prod_{l=1}^{N} (z - \beta_l) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} (-1)^j \sigma_j z^{N-j}. \hspace{1cm} (56)$$

It is well known [38] that the companion matrix $\Sigma$ of $P$ has the Jordan canonical form

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \pm \sigma_N \\ -\sigma_2 & \cdots & -\sigma_2 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^{-1} \Sigma V = \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \beta_{l(r)} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \beta_{l(r)} & \beta_{l(r)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $l : \{1, \ldots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, N\}$ is an enumeration of the distinct roots of $P(z) = 0$ and the size of the Jordan block $J_r$ is equal to the multiplicity of $\beta_{l(r)}$. As a result, the trace of powers of $\Sigma$ will give the power sums of the $\beta_l$, and hence the Fourier coefficients:

$$c_k = 2 \text{tr}(\Sigma^k), \quad (k \geq 1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (57)

Thus, if the elementary symmetric functions are finite sums of circular orbits, then the Fourier coefficients will be as well, and we expect higher Fourier modes to involve more terms, in accordance with our findings above.

Before presenting our main result, note that once the mapping (45) from $(N, \nu, n, m)$ to $(N', \nu', n', m')$ is known, we can choose $N, \nu, N'$ and $\nu'$ independently, subject to the conditions

$$N' < N, \quad \nu' > \frac{N'}{N} \nu.$$  \hspace{1cm} (58)

The first condition is merely a labeling convention while the second is an actual restriction on which traveling waves are connected together by a path of non-trivial solutions. The formulas of Conjecture 2 then imply that

$$m = m' = N\nu' - N'\nu > 0, \quad n = N - N', \quad n' = N - 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (59)
After extensive experimentation with data fitting on the numerical simulations described in Section 3, we arrived at the form (61) below for the polynomial $P$. We then substituted the ansatz (60) into (1) to obtain algebraic relationships between $A$, $B$, $C$, $\alpha_0$, $\omega$, $N$, $N'$, $\nu$ and $\nu'$, namely (91)--(93) in Appendix B. We solved these using Mathematica to obtain formulas for $A$, $B$ and $\omega$ in terms of $C$, $\alpha_0$, $N$, $N'$, $\nu$ and $\nu'$. We had to break the analysis into three cases depending on whether $\nu$ is less than, equal to, or greater than $\nu'$. By comparing our exact solutions with previously known representations of multi-periodic solutions [26], we found that all three cases could be unified by replacing $C$ and $\alpha_0$ by two new parameters, $\rho$ and $\rho'$, related to $C$ and $\alpha_0$ by (62) below. We give a direct proof of the following theorem in Appendix B.

**Theorem 3** Let $N$, $N'$, $\nu$ and $\nu'$ be integers satisfying $N > N' > 0$ and $N\nu' - N'\nu > 0$. There is a four-parameter family of time-periodic solutions connecting the traveling wave bifurcations $(N', \nu', N-1, m)$ and $(N, \nu, N-N', m)$, where $m = N\nu' - N'\nu$. These solutions are of the form

$$u(x, t) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{N} \phi(x; \beta_l(t)), \quad \hat{\phi}(k; \beta) = \begin{cases} 2|\beta|^k, & k < 0, \\ 0, & k = 0, \\ 2\beta^k, & k > 0, \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

where $\beta_1(t), \ldots, \beta_N(t)$ are the roots of the polynomial

$$P(z) = z^N + A e^{-i\nu'\omega t} z^{N-N'} + B e^{-i(\nu-\nu')\omega t} z^{N'} + C e^{-i\nu\omega t} \quad (61)$$

with

$$A = e^{i\nu'\omega t_0} e^{-iN'x_0} \sqrt{\frac{N - N' + \rho + \rho'}{N + \rho + \rho'}} \sqrt{\frac{(N + \rho')\rho'}{N'(N - N') + (N + \rho')\rho'}}$$

$$B = e^{i(\nu-\nu')\omega t_0} e^{-i(N-N')x_0} \sqrt{\frac{(N + \rho')\rho'}{N'(N - N') + (N + \rho')\rho'}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{N - N' + \rho}}$$

$$C = e^{i\nu\omega t_0} e^{-iN x_0} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{N - N' + \rho}} \sqrt{\frac{N - N' + \rho + \rho'}{N + \rho + \rho'}}$$

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{N^2\nu' - (N')^2\nu}{m} - 2\rho - \frac{2N'(\nu' - \nu)}{m} \rho', \quad \omega = \frac{2\pi}{T} = \frac{N'(N - N')(N + 2\rho')}{m}, \quad (62)$$

The four parameters are $\rho \geq 0$, $\rho' \geq 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. The $N$- and $N'$-hump traveling waves occur when $\rho' = 0$ and $\rho = 0$, respectively. When both are zero, we obtain the constant solution $u(x, t) \equiv \frac{N^2\nu' - (N')^2\nu}{m}$. 
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Remark 4 The parameters $x_0$ and $t_0$ are spatial and temporal phase shifts. A straightforward calculation shows that if $u$ has parameters $\rho$, $\rho'$, $x_0$ and $t_0$ in Theorem 3 while $\tilde{u}$ has parameters $\rho$, $\rho'$, 0 and 0, then $u(x,t) = \tilde{u}(x-x_0,t-t_0)$.

There are two features of this theorem that are new. First, it had not previously been observed that the dynamics of the Fourier modes of multiperiodic solutions was so simple. And second, in our representation, it is clear that these solutions reduce to traveling waves in the limit as $\rho$ or $\rho'$ approaches zero. By contrast, other representations become indeterminate in the equivalent limit, and are missing a key degree of freedom (the mean) to allow bifurcation between levels of the hierarchy of multi-periodic solutions.

4.3 Three Types of Reconnection

We now wish to explain why following a path of non-trivial solutions with the mean $\alpha_0$ held fixed sometimes leads to re-connection with a different traveling wave and sometimes leads to blow-up of the initial condition. By Theorem 3, $\alpha_0$ depends on the parameters $\rho$ and $\rho'$ via

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha^*_0 - \frac{2}{m} \left( N'(\nu' - \nu) \right) \rho', \quad \alpha^*_0 := \frac{N^2 \nu' - (N')^2 \nu}{m}. \quad (63)$$

If we hold $\alpha_0$ fixed, then $\rho$ and $\rho'$ must satisfy

$$2\rho + \frac{2N'(\nu' - \nu)}{m} \rho' = (\alpha^*_0 - \alpha_0). \quad (64)$$

This is a line in the $\rho$-$\rho'$-plane whose intersection with the first quadrant gives the set of legal parameters for a time-periodic solution to exist. We assume the mean is chosen so that this intersection is non-empty. If the $\rho$- or $\rho'$-intercept of this line is positive, the corresponding traveling wave bifurcation exists. There are three cases to consider.

- **Case 1: ($\nu < \nu'$)** Both intercepts will be positive as long as $\alpha_0 < \alpha^*_0$. Thus, a reconnection occurs regardless of which side of the path we start on.
- **Case 2: ($\nu = \nu'$)** The line (64) is vertical in this case, so $\rho = (\alpha^*_0 - \alpha_0)/2$ remains constant as we vary $\rho'$ from 0 to $\infty$. As $\rho' \to \infty$, we see from (62) that $T \to 0$, $A \to 1$, and $B$ and $C$ both approach $\sqrt{\rho/(N-N'+\rho)}$. In this limit, $N'$ of the roots $\beta_l$ lie on the unit circle at $t = 0$, indicating that the norm of the initial condition blows up as $\rho' \to \infty$.
- **Case 3: ($\nu > \nu'$)** The line (64) has positive slope in this case. If $\alpha_0 < \alpha^*_0$, a bifurcation from the $N'$-hump traveling wave exists. If $\alpha_0 > \alpha^*_0$, a bifurcation from the $N$-hump traveling wave exists. And if $\alpha_0 = \alpha^*_0$, a bifurcation directly from the constant solution $u = \alpha^*_0$ to a non-trivial time-periodic solution exists. In any of these cases, another traveling wave is not reached as we increase $\rho$ and $\rho'$ to $\infty$. Instead, $T \to 0$ and $A$, $B$ and $C$ all
approach 1. As a result, all the roots $\beta_l$ approach the unit circle, indicating that the norm of the initial condition blows up as $\rho, \rho' \to \infty$.

**Example 5** Consider the three-particle solutions on the path $e : (2, -1, 2, 3) \leftrightarrow (3, -3, 1, 3)$ in Figures 1 and 3. Since $-3 = \nu < \nu' = -1$, we do not need to vary the mean in order to reconnect with a traveling wave on the other side of the path. Suppose $\alpha_0 < \alpha_0^* = 1$ is held fixed. Then the parameters $\rho$ and $\rho'$ in Theorem 3 satisfy

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \alpha_0 - \frac{8}{3} \rho' \right), \quad 0 \leq \rho' \leq \frac{3(1 - \alpha_0)}{8}.
$$

The solutions $u(x, t)$ on this path are of the form (60) with particles $\beta_l(t)$ evolving as the roots of the polynomial

$$
P(z) = z^3 + A e^{i\omega t} z + B e^{2i\omega t} z^2 + C e^{3i\omega t},
$$

where

$$
A = \sqrt{\frac{(9 - 3\alpha_0 - 2\rho')(3 + \rho')(2 + \rho')(1 + \rho')}{(21 - 3\alpha_0 - 2\rho')(2 + \rho')(1 + \rho')}},
B = \sqrt{\frac{(3 - 3\alpha_0 - 8\rho')(3 + \rho')(2 + \rho')(1 + \rho')}{(9 - 3\alpha_0 - 8\rho')(2 + \rho')(1 + \rho')}},
C = \sqrt{\frac{(9 - 3\alpha_0 - 2\rho')(3 - 3\alpha_0 - 8\rho')(21 - 3\alpha_0 - 2\rho')(9 - 3\alpha_0 - 8\rho')}{(9 - 3\alpha_0 - 8\rho')(2 + \rho')(1 + \rho')}},
\omega = \frac{2\pi}{T} = \frac{2(3 + 2\rho')}{3}.
$$

The transition from the two- to three-hump traveling wave occurs as we decrease the bifurcation parameter $\rho'$ from $(1 - \alpha_0)/8$ to 0. This causes $C$ to increase from 0 to $\sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha_0}{7 - \alpha_0}}$ and $A$ to decrease from $\sqrt{\frac{3 - 3\alpha_0}{19 - 3\alpha_0}}$ to 0. $B$ is zero at both ends of the path.

The trajectories $\beta_1(t), \beta_2(t)$ and $\beta_3(t)$ for $\alpha_0 = 0.544375$ and four choices of $\rho'$ are shown in Figure 9. For this value of the mean, $\rho'$ varies from 0.17086 to 0. Note that the bifurcation from the two-hump traveling wave causes a new particle to nucleate at the origin. As $\rho'$ decreases, the new particle’s trajectory grows in amplitude until it joins up with the orbits of the outer particles. There is a critical value of $\rho'$ at which the particles collide and the solution of the ODE (3) ceases to exist for all time; nevertheless, the representation of $u$ in terms of $P$ in (83) in Appendix B remains well-behaved and does satisfy (1) for all time. Thus, a change in topology of the orbits does not manifest itself as a singularity in the solution of the PDE. As $\rho'$ decreases further, the three orbits become nearly circular and eventually coalesce into a single circular orbit (with $\nu = -3$) at the three-hump traveling wave. The “braided” effect of the solution shown in Figure 3 is recognizable for $\rho' \leq 0.15$ or so for this value of the mean.
Figure 9: Trajectories $\beta_l(t)$ for four solutions on the path $(2, -1, 2, 3) \leftrightarrow (3, -3, 1, 3)$ with mean $\alpha_0 = 0.544375$. The markers give the position of the $\beta_l$ at $t = 0$. The value of $\rho'$ in (65) is, from left to right: 0.1707, 0.1642, 0.1634 and 0.1369. In Figure 3, $\rho' = 0.0862$.

5 Interior Bifurcations

We conclude this work by mentioning that our numerical method for following paths of non-trivial solutions from one traveling wave to another occasionally wanders off course, following an interior bifurcation rather than reaching the traveling wave on the other side of the original path. These interior bifurcations lead to new paths of non-trivial solutions that are more complicated than those on the original path. For example, on the path

$$ (1, 1, 1, 2) \longleftrightarrow (2, 0, 1, 2), $$

Theorem 3 tells us that the exact solution is a two-particle solution with elementary symmetric functions of the form

$$ \sigma_1(t) = -(Ae^{-i\omega t} + Be^{i\omega t}), \quad \sigma_2(t) = C. $$

We freeze $\alpha_0 < \alpha_0^* = 2$, set $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(2 - \alpha_0 - \rho')$, and determine that

$$ A = e^{-i(x_0 - \omega t_0)} \sqrt{\frac{(4 - \alpha_0 + \rho')(2 + \rho')\rho'}{(6 - \alpha_0 + \rho')(1 + \rho')^2}}, \quad B = e^{-i(x_0 + \omega t_0)} \sqrt{\frac{(2 - \alpha_0 - \rho')(2 + \rho')\rho'}{(4 - \alpha_0 - \rho')(1 + \rho')^2}}, $$

$$ C = e^{-i(2x_0)} \sqrt{\frac{(4 - \alpha_0 + \rho')(2 - \alpha_0 - \rho')}{(6 - \alpha_0 + \rho')(4 - \alpha_0 - \rho')}}, \quad \omega = \frac{2\pi}{T} = 1 + \rho'. $$

In Figure 10, we show the bifurcation diagram for the transition from the one-hump right-traveling wave (labeled P) to the two-hump stationary solution (labeled Q). This diagram was computed numerically before we had any idea that exact solutions for this problem
exist; therefore, we used the real part of the first Fourier mode at $t = 0$ for the bifurcation parameter rather than $\rho'$. We can obtain the same curves analytically as follows. The upper curve from P to Q (containing A1-A5) can be plotted parametrically by setting $x_0 = \pi/2$ and $t_0 = \pi/2\omega$ in (70), varying $\rho'$ from $2 - \alpha_0$ to 0, holding $\alpha_0 = 0.544375$ fixed, and plotting $-2(A + B)$ versus $T = \frac{2\pi}{1 + \rho'}$. The lower curve from P to Q is obtained in the same fashion if we instead set $x_0 = t_0 = 0$.

As illustrated in Figure 10, solutions such as A1-A5 on the upper path have $\sigma_1(t)$ executing elliptical, clockwise orbits that start out circular at the one-hump traveling wave but become more eccentric and collapse to a point as we progress toward the two-hump stationary solution Q. Meanwhile, $\sigma_2(t)$ remains constant in time, nucleating from the origin at the one-hump traveling wave and terminating with $\sigma_2 \equiv -\sqrt{\frac{2 - \alpha_0}{6 - \alpha_0}}$ at the two-hump stationary solution. On the lower path, the major axis of the orbit of $\sigma_1$ is horizontal rather than vertical and $\sigma_2$ moves right rather than left as we move from P to Q.

When computing these paths from P to Q, we encountered two interior bifurcations. In the bifurcation labeled B6 in Figure 10, an additional elementary symmetric function nucleates at the origin and the trajectories of $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ become more complicated. Through data fitting, we find that

$$\sigma_1(t) = -(Ae^{-i\omega t} + Be^{i\omega t} + C_1e^{3i\omega t}),$$

(71)

$$\sigma_2(t) = C + C_2e^{2i\omega t} + C_3e^{4i\omega t},$$

(72)

$$\sigma_3(t) = -C_4e^{3i\omega t},$$

(73)

where the new coefficients $C_j$ are all real parameters. We have not attempted to derive algebraic relationships among these parameters to obtain exact solutions. These trajectories are shown in Figure 11 for the solutions labeled B1-B13 in the bifurcation diagram. The additional term in (71) causes the elliptical orbit of $\sigma_1(t)$ to deform by bulging out in the vertical and horizontal directions while pulling in along the diagonal directions (or vice versa, depending on which direction we follow the bifurcation). Meanwhile, $\sigma_2(t)$ ceases to be constant and $\sigma_3(t)$ ceases to be zero. To avoid clutter, we plotted the trajectories $\sigma_2(t)$ and $\sigma_3(t)$ for B1-B6 separately from B6-B13, illustrating the effect of following the bifurcation in one direction or the other. The additional terms in (71)–(73) cause the lattice pattern of non-zero entries $c_{kj} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T c_k(t)e^{ij\omega t} dt$ to become more complicated, where we recall that in this case,

$$c_k(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x, t)e^{-ikx} dx = 2 \text{tr} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \sigma_3(t) & -\sigma_2(t) & \sigma_1(t) \end{pmatrix}^k \right].$$
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Figure 10: Left: Bifurcation diagram showing several interior bifurcations on the path $(1,1,1,2) \rightarrow (2,0,1,2)$. Right: Trajectories of the elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_1(t)$, which have elliptical, clockwise orbits, and $\sigma_2(t)$, which remain stationary in time, for the solutions labeled A1-A5 in the bifurcation diagram.

Figure 11: Left: Trajectories of $\sigma_1(t)$ for solutions labeled B1-B13 in Figure 10. Center: Trajectories of $\sigma_2(t)$ and $\sigma_3(t)$. Since B6 is on the original path from P to Q, $\sigma_2(t)$ is constant and $\sigma_3(t) \equiv 0$ for this solution. Right: The interior bifurcation causes additional lattice coefficients $c_{kj}$ to become non-zero; grey circles represent the new terms.
The solid dots in Figure 11 represent the non-zero entries of solutions on the original path from P to Q while grey circles show the additional terms that are non-zero after the bifurcation at B6. Although this bifurcation causes some of the unoccupied lattice sites to be filled in, the new lattice pattern is rather similar to the original pattern and maintains its checkerboard structure. Also, this bifurcation leads to symmetric perturbations of the Fourier mode trajectories, and is also present (in a phase shifted form) along the lower path from P to Q.

In the bifurcation labeled C0 in Figure 10, the fill-in pattern of the lattice representation is much more complicated, and in fact the checkerboard structure of the non-zero coefficients $c_{kj}$ is destroyed; see Figure 12. But actually, the elementary symmetric functions behave similarly to the previous case: By fitting our numerical data, we find that

\[
\sigma_1(t) = -(A e^{-i\omega t} + B e^{i\omega t} + C_1 e^{4i\omega t}), \quad (74)
\]

\[
\sigma_2(t) = C + C_2 e^{3i\omega t} + C_3 e^{5i\omega t}, \quad (75)
\]

\[
\sigma_3(t) = -C_4 e^{4i\omega t}, \quad (76)
\]

so each of the new terms executes one additional loop per cycle of the periodic solution in comparison to the corresponding term in (71)–(73). This extra loop causes a star-shaped perturbation of the $\sigma_1$ ellipse instead of the rectangular and diamond shaped perturbations seen previously in Figure 11. As a result, this bifurcation is not present on the upper path from P to Q because the symmetry of the perturbation does not respect the 90 degree rotation of the orbit $\sigma_1(t)$ associated with the $\pi/2$-spatial and $T_4$-temporal phase shifts that relate solutions on the upper and lower paths from P to Q. To follow the bifurcation at C0 in the other direction, we can use the same numerical values for $A$, $B$, $C$, $C_1$, $C_2$, $C_3$, $C_4$ in (74)–(76) after changing the signs of the latter four parameters. This causes the trajectories of $\sigma_1$ in Figure 12 to be rotated 180° with a corresponding $T_2$ phase-shift in time so that the initial position $\sigma_1(0)$ remains on the left side of the figure. Meanwhile, the trajectory of $\sigma_2(t)$ experiences a $T_2$ phase-shift in time with no change in the location of the orbit, and $\sigma_3(t)$ starts on the opposite side of its circular trajectory about the origin.

In Figure 13, we show the orbits of the 16th and 26th Fourier modes for the solution labeled C9 in the bifurcation diagram of Figure 10. As the index of the Fourier mode increases, these trajectories become increasingly complicated (involving more non-zero terms $c_{kj}$ in the lattice representation), but also decay exponentially so that the amplitude of the orbit is eventually smaller than can be resolved using floating point arithmetic. We emphasize that these trajectories were resolved to full machine precision by our general purpose numerical method for finding periodic solutions of non-linear PDE (without any knowledge of the solitonic structure of the solutions). Everything we learned about the form of the
Figure 12: *Left:* This interior bifurcation causes more lattice coefficients to become non-zero than the interior bifurcation of Figure 11. *Right:* Trajectories of $\sigma_1(t)$, $\sigma_2(t)$, and $\sigma_3(t)$ for the solutions labeled C0-C9 in Figure 10. The long axis of the ellipse C0 is horizontal because we start from the bottom branch connecting P to Q in Figure 10.

Figure 13: The trajectories of the Fourier modes become very complicated after the interior bifurcation occurs. Here we show the 16th and 26th Fourier modes $c_k(t) = a_k(t) + ib_k(t)$ over one period. It was clearly essential to use a high order (in fact spectrally accurate) numerical method to resolve these dynamics when computing time-periodic solutions.
exact solutions came about from studying these numerical solutions, which was possible only because our numerical results are correct to 10-15 digits of accuracy.

A Bifurcation formulas and rules

In this section we collect formulas relating the period, mean and decay parameter at a bifurcation. We also identify bifurcation rules governing the legal values of $\alpha_0$ for a given set of bifurcation indices.

In computing the nullspace $N' = \ker DF(U_0, T)$ in Section 2.3, we considered $N$, $\nu$, $\beta$, $T$ (and hence $\alpha_0$) to be given and searched for compatible indices $n$ and $m$. The decay parameter $|\beta|$, the mean $\alpha_0$, and the period $T$ cannot be specified independently; any two of them determines the third. We now derive formulas for the period and mean in terms of $(N, \nu, n, m)$ and $\beta$. To simplify the formulas, we work with $\alpha = (1 - 3|\beta|^2)/(1 - |\beta|^2)$ instead of $\beta$. Note that as we increase $|\beta|$ from 0 to 1, $\alpha$ decreases from 1 to $-\infty$.

For the period, we have

$$T = \frac{2\pi m}{N\omega_{N,n}} = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi m}{Nn(N-n)} & n < N, \\ \frac{2\pi m}{N(n+1-N)(n+1+N(1-\alpha))} & n \geq N, \end{cases}$$

so the period is independent of $\beta$ when $n < N$, and otherwise decreases to zero as $|\beta|$ varies from 0 to 1.

For the mean, $\alpha_0$, we note that $cT = \frac{2\pi\nu}{N}$, and hence $\alpha_0 = N\alpha + \frac{2\pi\nu}{NT}$.

Hence, using $\frac{2\pi}{NT} = \frac{\omega_{N,n}}{m}$, we obtain

$$\alpha_0 = \begin{cases} N + \frac{n(N-n)}{m}\nu - (1-\alpha)N, & n < N, \\ N + \frac{(n+1-N)(n+1)}{m}\nu - \left(1 - \frac{n+1-N}{m}\nu\right)N(1-\alpha), & n \geq N. \end{cases}$$

Thus, as $|\beta|$ varies from 0 to 1, the mean $\alpha_0$ decreases to $-\infty$ if $n < N$, and otherwise either decreases to $-\infty$, increases to $+\infty$, or is independent of $\beta$, depending on the sign of $[m - (n+1-N)\nu]$.

In practice, we often wish to start with $N$, $\nu$, $n$, $m$ and $\alpha_0$ and determine $T$ and $|\beta|$ from these. However, not all values of $\alpha_0$ are compatible with a given set of indices. The bifurcation rules are summarized in Figure 14. Solving (79) for $\alpha$ yields

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{(N-\alpha_0)m + n(N-n)\nu}{Nm}, & n < N, \\ 1 - \frac{(N-\alpha_0)m + (n+1-N)(n+1)\nu}{[m - (n+1-N)\nu]N}, & n \geq N. \end{cases}$$
1. \( N \geq 1, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 1, m \geq 1 \)

2. if \( n < N \) then
   - \( m \in n\nu + NZ \)
   - \( \alpha_0 \leq N + \frac{n(N - n)}{m}\nu \)

3. if \( n \geq N \) then
   - \( m \in (n + 1)\nu + NZ \)
   - if \( m > (n + 1 - N)\nu \) then \( \alpha_0 \leq N + \frac{(n + 1 - N)(n + 1)}{m}\nu \)
   - if \( m < (n + 1 - N)\nu \) then \( \alpha_0 \geq N + \frac{(n + 1 - N)(n + 1)}{m}\nu \)
   - if \( m = (n + 1 - N)\nu \) then \( \alpha_0 = n + 1 + N \)

Figure 14: Bifurcation rules governing which values of \( \alpha_0 \) are compatible with the bifurcation indices \((N, \nu, n, m)\).

The corresponding period is given by

\[
T = \begin{cases} 
\frac{2\pi m}{Nn(N - n)}, & n < N, \\
2\pi \left( \frac{m}{n + 1 + N - \alpha_0} \right), & n \geq N. 
\end{cases} \tag{81}
\]

In the indeterminate cases \( \{n \geq N, m = (n + 1 - N)\nu, \alpha_0 = n + 1 + N\} \), any \( \alpha \leq 1 \) is allowed and formula (77) should be used to determine \( T \).

If we express \( n, n', m \) and \( m' \) in terms of \( N, \nu, N', \nu' \), then (77) and (79) give

\[
T = \frac{2\pi(N\nu' - N'\nu)}{N'(N - N')N}, \quad T' = \frac{2\pi(N\nu' - N'\nu)}{N'(N - N')[N + (1 - \alpha')N']}, \quad \alpha_0 = \alpha_0^* - (1 - \alpha)N, \quad \alpha_0^* := \frac{N^2\nu' - (N')^2\nu}{N\nu' - N'\nu} \\
\alpha_0' = \alpha_0^* - \frac{N^2 - \nu(1 - \alpha')N'(1 - \alpha')}{} \tag{82}
\]

where \( \alpha = \frac{1-3|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2} \) and \( \alpha' = \frac{1-3|\beta'|^2}{1-|\beta'|^2} \). We note that the two traveling waves reduce to the same constant function when \( \beta \to 0 \) and \( \beta' \to 0 \), which is further evidence that a single sheet of non-trivial solutions connects these two families of traveling waves.
B Proof of Theorem 3

As explained in Remark 4, \( x_0 \) and \( t_0 \) are spatial and temporal phase shifts, so we may set them to zero without loss of generality. We can express the solution directly in terms of the elementary symmetric functions via

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} 4 \text{Re} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_l(t)^k e^{ikx} \right\} \\
= \frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} 4 \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{z}{z - \beta_l(t)} - 1 \right\} = \frac{\alpha_0}{2} + 4 \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \partial_z P(z)}{P(z)} - N \right\}, \quad (z = e^{-ix}).
\end{align*}
\]

Next we derive algebraic expressions relating \( A, B, C, \alpha_0, \omega, N, N', \nu \) and \( \nu' \) by substituting (83) into the Benjamin-Ono equation (1). To this end, we include the time dependence of \( P \) in the notation and write (83) in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\alpha_0}{2} + 2 \left( i \partial_x g \frac{g}{g} - N \right) + 2 \left( -i \partial_x h \frac{h}{h} - N \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
g(x, t) &= P(e^{-ix}, e^{-i\omega t}), \quad h(x, t) = \overline{g(x, t)}, \\
P(z, \lambda) &= z^N + A\lambda^{\nu'} z^{-N'} + B\lambda^{-\nu'} z^{N'} + C\lambda^{\nu}.\end{align*}
\]

Note that \( P \) is a polynomial in \( z \) and a Laurent polynomial in \( \lambda \) (as \( \nu \) and \( \nu' \) may be negative). We may assume \( \omega > 0 \); if not, we can change the sign of \( \omega \) without changing the solution by replacing \((A, B, \nu, \nu', N, N')\) by \((B, A, -\nu, \nu' - \nu, N - N')\). Assuming the roots \( \beta_l(t) \) of \( z \mapsto P(z, e^{-i\omega t}) \) remain inside the unit disk \( \Delta \) for all \( t \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{i \partial_x g}{g} - N = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_l(t)^k e^{ikx} \Rightarrow H u = 2 \left( \frac{\partial_x g}{g} + Ni \right) + 2 \left( \frac{\partial_x h}{h} - Ni \right). \tag{87}
\end{align*}
\]

Using (84) and \( \partial_t \left( \frac{\partial g}{g} \right) = \partial_x \left( \frac{\partial g}{g} \right) \), (a technique we learned by studying the bilinear formalism approach of [32, 26]), the equation \( \frac{1}{2} (u_t - Hu_{xx} + uu_x) = 0 \) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_x \left[ i \left( \frac{\partial_t g}{g} - \frac{\partial_t h}{h} \right) - \partial_x \left( \frac{\partial_x g}{g} + \frac{\partial_x h}{h} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left( (\alpha_0 - 4N) + 2i \left( \frac{\partial_x g}{g} - \frac{\partial_x h}{h} \right) \right)^2 \right] = 0. \tag{88}
\end{align*}
\]

The expression in brackets must be a constant, which we denote by \( \gamma \). We now write

\[
P_{jk} = (z \partial_z)^j (\lambda \partial_{\lambda})^k P(z, \lambda) \bigg|_{z = e^{-ix}, \lambda = e^{-i\omega t}} \tag{89}
\]
so that e.g. \( \partial_t g = -i\omega P_{01} \) and \( \partial_x h = i\bar{P}_{10} \). Equation (88) then becomes
\[
\gamma P_{00} \bar{P}_{00} + \bar{P}_{00}[P_{20} + \omega P_{01} + (\alpha_0 - 4N)P_{10}] \\
+ P_{00}[\bar{P}_{20} + \omega \bar{P}_{01} + (\alpha_0 - 4N)\bar{P}_{10}] + 2P_{10}\bar{P}_{10} = 0,
\]
where we have absorbed \( \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_0 - 4N)^2 \) into \( \gamma \). This equation may be written
\[
e_1 \left[ z^N e^{-\nu} \right] + e_2 \left[ z^{N-2N'} e^{2\nu'-\nu} \right] + e_3 \left[ z^{N-N'} e^{\nu'} \right] + e_4 \left[ z^{N'} e^{-\nu'} \right] + e_5 = 0,
\]
where \([a] = a + \bar{a} = 2\text{Re}\{a\} \),
\[
e_1 = \left[ \gamma + \nu \omega + N^2 + (\alpha_0 - 4N)N \right] C, \quad e_2 = \left[ \gamma + \nu \omega + N^2 + (\alpha_0 - 4N)N \right] AB,
\]
and, after setting \( \gamma = (3N - \alpha_0)N - \nu \omega \) to achieve \( e_1 = e_2 = 0 \),
\[
e_3 = [(N')^2 - 2NN' + \nu' \omega] B + [(N')^2 + 2NN' - N' \alpha_0 + \nu' \omega] AC = 0, \tag{91}
e_4 = [3N^2 - 4NN' + (N')^2 - (N - N')\alpha_0 + (\nu - \nu')\omega] BC \\
- [N^2 - (N')^2 - (N - N')\alpha_0 + (\nu - \nu')\omega] A = 0, \tag{92}
e_5 = (N\alpha_0 - \nu \omega - N^2) + [(2N' - N)\alpha_0 + (\nu - 2\nu')\omega + 3N^2 - 8NN' + 4(N')^2] B^2 \\
+ [(N - 2N')\alpha_0 + 4(N')^2 - N^2 + (2\nu' - \nu)\omega] A^2 + [(3N - \alpha_0)N + \nu \omega] C^2 = 0. \tag{93}
\]
Using a computer algebra system, it is easy to check that (91)–(93) hold when \( A, B, C, \alpha_0 \) and \( \omega \) are defined as in (62). When \( \rho' = 0 \), we have \( A = B = 0 \) and \( C = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{N + \rho}} \) so that
\[
\beta_l(t) = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{-C\lambda'}} = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{-C} e^{-\text{ict}}}, \quad c = \frac{\omega \nu}{N} = \frac{N'(N - N')\nu}{m} = \alpha_0 - N'\frac{1 - 3C^2}{1 - C^2},
\]
where each \( \beta_l \) is assigned a distinct \( N \)th root of \( -C \). By (5), this is an \( N \)-hump traveling wave with speed index \( \nu \) and period \( T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \). Similarly, when \( \rho = 0 \), we have \( B = C = 0 \) and \( A = \sqrt{\frac{\rho'}{N' + \rho'}} \) so that
\[
\beta_l(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{-A} e^{-\text{ict}}}, & l \leq N' \\ 0, & l > N' \end{cases}, \quad c = \frac{\omega \nu'}{N'} = \frac{(N - N')(N + 2\rho')\nu'}{m} = \alpha_0 - N'\frac{1 - 3A^2}{1 - A^2},
\]
which is an \( N' \)-hump traveling wave with speed index \( \nu' \) and period \( T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \).

Finally, we show that the roots of \( P(\cdot, \lambda) \) are inside the unit disk for any \( \lambda \) on the unit circle, \( S^1 \). We will use Rouche’s theorem [1]. Let
\[
f_1(z) = z^N + A\lambda^{\nu'} z^{N-N'} + B\lambda^{\nu'-\nu} z^{N'} + C\lambda^\nu, \\
f_2(z) = z^N + A\lambda^{\nu'} z^{N-N'}, \\
f_3(z) = z^N + B\lambda^{\nu'-\nu} z^{N'}.
\]
From (62), we see that \( \{ A, B, C \} \subseteq [0, 1), \ A \geq BC, \ B \geq CA \) and \( C \geq AB \). Thus,

\[
d_2(z) := |f_2(z)|^2 - |f_1(z) - f_2(z)|^2 = |\lambda^{-\nu} z^N + A|^2 - |B\lambda^{-\nu} z^N + C|^2
\]

\[
= 1 + A^2 - B^2 - C^2 + 2(A - BC) \cos \theta \geq (1 - A)^2 - (B - C)^2,
\]

where \( \lambda^{-\nu} z^N = e^{i\theta} \). Similarly,

\[
d_3 := |f_3(z)|^2 - |f_1(z) - f_3(z)|^2 \geq (1 - B)^2 - (A - C)^2.
\]

Note that

\[
\begin{align*}
B \leq A, & \quad C \leq B & \Rightarrow & \quad B - C \leq B - AB < 1 - A & \Rightarrow & \quad d_2(z) > 0 \text{ for } z \in S^1, \\
B \leq A, & \quad C > B & \Rightarrow & \quad |C - A| < 1 - B & \Rightarrow & \quad d_3(z) > 0 \text{ for } z \in S^1, \\
A \leq B, & \quad C \leq A & \Rightarrow & \quad A - C \leq A - AB < 1 - B & \Rightarrow & \quad d_3(z) > 0 \text{ for } z \in S^1, \\
A \leq B, & \quad C > A & \Rightarrow & \quad |C - B| < 1 - A & \Rightarrow & \quad d_2(z) > 0 \text{ for } z \in S^1.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, in all cases, \( f_1(z) = P(z, \lambda) \) has the same number of zeros inside \( S^1 \) as \( f_2(z) \) or \( f_3(z) \), which each have \( N \) roots inside \( S^1 \). Since \( f_1(z) \) is a polynomial of degree \( N \), all the roots are inside \( S^1 \).
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