

Ascoli - Arzela & Stone - Weierstrass

• Ascoli - Arzela

Recall that a subset $K \subset X$ of a metric sp is compact if and only if: for any seqⁿ $\{x_n\}$ in K there exist a sub-sequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ & $p \in K$ s.t

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = p.$$

Ques: Given a seq $\{f_n\}$ of cont. real valued function on $E \subset X$, when is there a sub-sequence that converges uniformly? What about pointwise?

Example let $f_n(x) = x^n$ on $[0, 1]$.

Claim. No subsequence f_{n_k} converges uniformly on $[0, 1]$.

Pf: If there is such a sub-seq. f_{n_k} , then

$$f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{u.c.} f = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

But f_{n_k} is cont. for each k , while f is not contradicting the theorem on uniform conv. & cont.

We need to introduce 2 new concepts. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$.

Defⁿ A family of functions \mathcal{F} defined on a subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called uniformly bounded if $\exists M > 0$ s.t

$$|f(x)| \leq M \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

It is said to be pointwise bounded if for each $x \in E$, $\exists M(x)$ s.t

$$|f(x)| < M(x) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Example 1) Consider $f_n(x) = x^n$ on $[0, 1]$. Then

$$|f_n(x)| \leq 1 \quad \forall n, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1].$$

So $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, 1]$.

2) If $f_n(x) = nx$ on $[0, 1]$. Then for each n , $f_n(x)$ is bounded on $[0, 1]$ but is NOT pointwise bounded if $x \neq 0$. since for any $x \neq 0$, $f_n(x) \rightarrow +\infty$.

3) Let $f_n(x) = n^2 x^{n+1}(1-x)$ on $[0, 1]$. Clearly for each $x \in [0, 1]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0$. So $\{f_n\}$ is pointwise

bounded, but

$$f_n\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) = n^2 \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{n} = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1}$$

$$\approx n/e \rightarrow +\infty.$$

So there is no uniform bound that will work for all x .

Th^m: Let $f_n: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t each f_n is bounded. That is, $\forall n, \exists M_n$ s.t

$$|f_n(x)| < M_n \text{ on } E$$

If $f_n \xrightarrow{u.c} f$ on E , then $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly bounded.

Pf: $\exists N$ s.t $\forall n > N, \forall x \in E$

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < 1 \quad (f_n \xrightarrow{u.c} f) \quad (*)$$

In particular

$$f_N(x) - 1 < f(x) < f_N(x) + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow -M_N - 1 < f(x) < M_N + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x)| < M_N + 1 \quad \forall x \in E$$

So f is bounded.

Again by (*), $\forall n \geq N, \forall x \in E$

$$|f_n(x)| < 1 + |f(x)|$$

$$< M_N + 2$$

Let $M = \max(M_1, \dots, M_N, M_N + 2)$

If $n < N$, then $|f_n(x)| < M_n \leq M \quad \forall x \in E$

$n \geq N$, then $|f_n(x)| < M_N + 2 \leq M \quad \forall x \in E$

$\Rightarrow |f_n(x)| \leq M \quad \forall n, \forall x \in E$

Defⁿ: A family of functions \mathcal{F} on $E \subset X$ is called equicontinuous if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$d(x, y) < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon.$$

$\forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \forall x \in E.$

Rk: In particular each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is uniformly cont.

Th^m: If X is compact, and $f_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous. s.t. $f_n \xrightarrow{u.c.} f$ on X . Then $\{f_n\}$ is equicontinuous on X .

Pf: Given $\epsilon > 0, \exists N$ s.t. $\forall x \in X$.

$$|f_n(x) - f_N(x)| < \epsilon/3.$$

For each $i=1, \dots, N$, $\exists \delta_i$ s.t.

$$d(x, y) < \delta \implies |f_i(x) - f_i(y)| < \epsilon/3$$

This is because X compact & so $\{f_n\}$ are uniformly cont. for each n .

let $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N)$. Sp $|x - y| < \delta$.

Then for $n \leq N$, by our choice of δ .

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \epsilon/3 < \epsilon.$$

If $n > N$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| &< |f_n(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(y)| \\ &\quad + |f_N(y) - f_n(y)| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Th^m (Ascoli - Arzela). Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact and $\{f_n\}$ is a seqⁿ of point wise bounded and equicont. functions on K . Then.

1) $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly bounded.

2) \exists sub-seqⁿ $\{f_{n_k}\}$ which converge uniformly.

• Compactness in Function Spaces. $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact

let

$$C^0(K) = \{f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ cont.}\}$$

$$d(f, g) = \sup_{\vec{x} \in K} |f(\vec{x}) - g(\vec{x})|, \quad f, g \in C^0(K).$$

FACT: ($n=1$, this is a homework problem) $(C^0(K), d)$

forms a complete metric space. $f_n \xrightarrow{d} f \Leftrightarrow$

$f_n \xrightarrow{u.c.} f$ on K .

Th^m (Ascoli - Arzela ver-2). A subset $F \subset C^0(K)$ is compact \iff F is closed, bounded & equicont.

Pf: \Leftarrow . Sps $\{f_n\}$ any seq in F . $\{f_n\}$ bounded, equicont. $\xrightarrow{A-A}$ \exists sub-seq f_{n_k} s.t. $\{f_{n_k}\}$ conv. uniformly to f on K . $\Rightarrow f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{d} f$.

F closed $\Rightarrow f \in F$. So every infinite seq in F has a l.p in $F \Rightarrow F$ is compact.

$\Rightarrow F$ compact $\Rightarrow F$ is closed & bounded.

Sps F is not equicont. Then $\exists \epsilon$, and seq f_n in F & x_n, y_n in K s.t.

$$|x_n - y_n| < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \text{but} \quad |f_n(x_n) - f_n(y_n)| > \epsilon.$$

But F comp. \Rightarrow a sub-seq (which we assume is f_n itself) conv. uniformly to f

$$\exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N, \forall x \in K$$

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)| < \epsilon/3$$

Also f cont., since f_n s are cont. & $f_n \xrightarrow{u.c} f$.

K compact $\Rightarrow f$ uniformly cont. So $\exists \delta$

$$\text{s.t. } |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon/3.$$

Choose $n > N$, $n > 1/\delta$. Then $|x_n - y_n| < 1/n < \delta$.

So

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x_n) - f_n(y_n)| &\leq |f_n(x_n) - f_1(x_n)| + |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \\ &\quad + |f(y_n) - f_n(y_n)| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction. So \mathcal{F} is also equicont.

Proof of Ascoli-Arzelà

Lemma. Let E be countable. If $\{f_n\}$ is a pointwise bounded seqⁿ of functions, then there is sub-seq $\{f_{n_k}\}$ s.t. $\{f_{n_k}(x)\}$ converges (pointwise) for all $x \in E$.

Pf: Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be points in E . Since $\{f_n(x_1)\}$ is bounded $\implies \exists$ sub-seq $\{f_{1,k}\}$ s.t.

$f_{1,k}(x_1)$ converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

REASON: Any bounded seqⁿ $\{f_n\}$ is contained in a compact interval.

Now, consider $\{f_{1,k}(x_2)\}$. This is again bounded.

So there exists sub-seq $\{f_{2,k}\}$ s.t. $\{f_{2,k}(x_2)\}$

converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

More generally, construct sequence S_1, S_2, \dots

$$S_1: f_{1,1}, f_{1,2}, f_{1,3}, f_{1,4}, \dots$$

$$S_2: f_{2,1}, f_{2,2}, f_{2,3}, \dots$$

$$S_3: f_{3,1}, f_{3,2}, f_{3,3}, \dots$$

s.t

1) S_n is a sub-seq of S_{n-1} . S_1 sub-seq of $\{f_n\}$.

2) $\{f_{n,k}(x_n)\}$ converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

3) functions appear in same order in S_n as they appear in $\{f_n\}$

Now, consider the "diagonal"

$$S: f_{1,1}, f_{2,2}, f_{3,3}, \dots, f_{n,n}, \dots$$

Claim: $\{f_{n,n}(x_m)\}$ converges $\forall m$.

Pf: Given x_m , note $\{f_{n,n}(x_m)\}_{n \geq m}$ is a sub-seq of S_m at x_m : $f_{m,k}(x_m)$ which converges

$\Rightarrow \{f_{n,n}(x_m)\}$ converges.

Proof of Ascoli-Arzelà:

(a) $\{f_n\}$ equicont. So $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall n$,

$$|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < 1.$$

Consider covering $K \in \bigcup_{p \in K} B_\delta(p)$.

K compact $\Rightarrow \exists p_1, \dots, p_k$ s.t. $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_\delta(p_i)$.

i.e. any $x \in K$, $\exists p_k$ s.t. $|x - p_k| < \delta$.

$\{f_n\}$ pointwise bounded $\Rightarrow \forall p_k \exists M_k$ s.t.
 $|f_n(p_k)| < M_k \forall n$.

Let $M = \max(M_1, \dots, M_k) + 1$.

Claim: $|f_n(x)| < M \forall x \in K, \forall n$.

Pf: $x \in K \Rightarrow \exists p_k$ s.t. $|x - p_k| < \delta$.

$$\Rightarrow |f_n(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f_n(p_k)| + |f_n(p_k)|$$

$$< 1 + M_k < M$$

So $\{f_n\}$ uniformly bounded.

(b) let $E \subset K$ be a countable dense subset.

One can take E to be the set of points with rational coordinates.

Lemma $\Rightarrow \exists$ subseq f_{n_j} s.t. $f_{n_j}^{(x)}$ conv. $\forall x \in E$.

let $g_j = f_{n_j}$, $E = \{p_1, \dots\}$.

Claim: g_j conv. uniformly on K .

Pf: Given ϵ , pick δ s.t. $\forall n$.

$$|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |g_j(x) - g_j(y)| < \epsilon/3 \quad (*) \text{ (equi cont.)}$$

Since $E \cap K$ is dense $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{\delta}(p_i)$.

K comp. $\Rightarrow \exists p_1, \dots, p_m$ s.t.

$$K \subset B_{\delta}(p_1) \cup \dots \cup B_{\delta}(p_m)$$

$g_j(p_k)$ conv. for $k=1, \dots, m$. So $\exists N$ s.t. $\forall i, j \geq N$

$$|g_j(p_k) - g_i(p_k)| < \epsilon/3 \quad \forall k=1, \dots, m$$

(Cauchy criteria for pointwise conv.)

If $x \in K$, $\exists k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ s.t. $|x - p_k| < \delta$.

$$(*) \Rightarrow |g_j(x) - g_j(p_k)| < \epsilon/3 \quad \forall j$$

If $i, j > N$ then

$$|g_j(x) - g_i(x)| < |g_j(x) - g_j(p_k)| + |g_j(p_k) - g_i(p_k)| + |g_i(p_k) - g_i(x)|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$$

Since N independent of x , $\{g_j\}$ is

uniformly Cauchy $\Rightarrow \{g_t\}$ conv. uniformly.

• Stone - Weierstrass

Th^m: If f is a cont. function on $[a, b]$, \exists seqⁿ of polynomials P_n s.t. $P_n \xrightarrow{u.c.} f$ on $[a, b]$.

Pf: Step 1: One can assume $[a, b] = [0, 1]$ by translation and scaling. We may also assume $f(0) = f(1) = 0$. If, not, then

$$g(x) = f(x) - f(0) - x[f(1) - f(0)], \quad 0 \leq x < 1.$$

satisfies $g(0) = g(1) = 0$. Note $g(x) - f(x)$ is a polynomial.

If $P_n \xrightarrow{u.c.} g$, then $Q_n = P_n - f(x) + g(x)$ is a seqⁿ of polynomials s.t. $Q_n \xrightarrow{u.c.} f$.

From now, assume $f(0) = f(1) = 0$. Extend f to \mathbb{R} by letting $f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in (-\infty, 0] \cup [1, \infty)$.

Step 2: Consider $Q_n(x) = C_n(1-x^2)^n$

where C_n is chosen so that

$$\int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t) dt = 1.$$

Claim: $C_n < \sqrt{n}$.

Pf: First note Bernoulli's inequality

$$(1-x^2)^n \geq 1-nx^2 \quad \forall x \in (0,1)$$

To prove this, let $h(x) = (1-x^2)^n - 1 + nx^2$.

$$h(0) = 0 \quad h' = n(1-x^2)^{n-1} \cdot (-2x) + 2nx$$

$$= 2nx [1 - (1-x^2)^{n-1}] \geq 0 \quad \text{if } x \in (0,1)$$

So h increases in $(0,1) \Rightarrow h(x) \geq 0$ in $(0,1)$.

Now,
$$\int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^n dx = 2 \int_0^1 (1-x^2)^n dx \geq 2 \int_0^{1/\sqrt{n}} (1-x^2)^n dx$$

$$\geq 2 \int_0^{1/\sqrt{n}} (1-nx^2) dx = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{n}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

But $C_n \int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^n dx = 1 \Rightarrow C_n < \sqrt{n}$.

Step 3: For any $1 > \delta > 0$, $x \in [\delta, 1]$

$$Q_n(x) < \sqrt{n} (1-x^2)^n < \sqrt{n} (1-\delta^2)^n$$

\Rightarrow On $[\delta, 1]$, $Q_n(x) \xrightarrow{u.c.} 0$ since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} (1-\delta^2)^n = 0 \quad \text{if } 1 > \delta > 0$$

Step 4: Set

$$P_n(x) = \int_0^1 f(t) Q_n(x-t) dt.$$

Since Q_n is a polynomial, and we integrate out $f(t) \Rightarrow P_n(x)$ is a poly.

Claim: $P_n \xrightarrow{u.c.} f$ on $[0, 1]$.

Pf: Change variables. $s = x - t$.

$$P_n(x) = - \int_x^{x-1} f(x-s) Q_n(s) ds.$$

$$= \int_{x-1}^x f(x-s) Q_n(s) ds, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

For any x , s varies from $x-1$ to x , then $x-s$ varies from 1 to 0. Since $f \equiv 0$ outside $[0, 1]$, if we ~~let~~ let s vary from $[-1, 1]$, then integral remains unchanged.

$$\text{So } P_n(x) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x-s) Q_n(s) ds.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. $\exists \delta$ s.t.

$$|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon/2.$$

Can do since f is uniformly cont on $[0, 1]$.

Let $M = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(t)|$. Since $Q_n(s) \geq 0$.

$$|P_n(x) - f(x)| = \left| \int_{-1}^1 f(x-s) Q_n(s) ds - \int_{-1}^1 f(x) Q_n(s) ds \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{-1}^1 |f(x-s) - f(x)| Q_n(s) ds$$

$$= \left(\int_{-1}^{-\delta} + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} + \int_{\delta}^1 \right) |f(x-s) - f(x)| Q_n(s) ds$$

$$\leq 2M \int_{-1}^{-\delta} Q_n(s) ds + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |f(x-s) - f(x)| Q_n(s) ds$$

$$+ 2M \int_{\delta}^1 Q_n(s) ds$$

Note $Q_n(s) \leq \sqrt{n}(1-s^2)^n$ on $[-1, -\delta] \cup [\delta, 1]$.

$$\Rightarrow |P_n(x) - f(x)| \leq 4M \sqrt{n}(1-\delta^2)^n + \underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} Q_n(s) ds}_{\leq 1}$$

$$\leq 4M \sqrt{n}(1-\delta^2)^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Choose N s.t. $\forall n > N$, $\sqrt{n}(1-\delta^2)^n \leq \varepsilon/8M$.

$$\Rightarrow \forall n \geq N, |P_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in [0,1]$$