## Math 254A. Hensel's Lemma

**Proposition.** Let  $K = (K, |\cdot|)$  be a complete non-archimedean valued field, let A be its valuation ring  $\{a \in K \mid |a| \leq 1\}$ , and let  $f(x) \in A[x]$ . Assume that  $\alpha_0 \in A$ satisfies

$$|f(\alpha_0)| < |f'(\alpha_0)|^2 \tag{1}$$

(where f' is the derivative taken formally). Then the sequence defined by

$$\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i - \frac{f(\alpha_i)}{f'(\alpha_i)}, \qquad i \in \mathbb{N}$$

converges to a root  $\alpha$  of f satisfying

$$|\alpha - \alpha_0| \le \frac{|f(\alpha_0)|}{|f'(\alpha_0)|} < 1.$$

$$\tag{2}$$

This root is the only root of f satisfying (2); more generally it is the only root of f satisfying

$$|\alpha - \alpha_0| < |f'(\alpha_0)|. \tag{3}$$

*Proof.* First we claim that if  $|\alpha - \alpha_0| < |f'(\alpha_0)|$  then  $|f'(\alpha)| = |f'(\alpha_0)|$ . To see this, we first note that since  $\alpha_0 \in A$  and  $f'(x) \in A[x], f'(\alpha_0) \in A$  and therefore also  $\alpha \in A$ . By Taylor's formula (for polynomials) there exists  $\beta \in A$  such that

$$f'(\alpha) = f'(\alpha_0) + \beta(\alpha - \alpha_0) .$$

Thus

$$|f'(\alpha) - f'(\alpha_0)| \le |\alpha - \alpha_0| < |f'(\alpha_0)|$$

and therefore  $|f'(\alpha)| = |f'(\alpha_0)|$  by the non-archimedean property of the valuation. In particular, by (1), this holds for all  $\alpha$  satisfying (2).

Now let  $c = |f(\alpha_0)|/|f'(\alpha_0)|^2 < 1$ . By induction we will show that, for all  $i \ge 0$ ,

- (i).  $|\alpha_i \alpha_0| \le |f(\alpha_0)|/|f'(\alpha_0)| < 1$ , (ii).  $|f'(\alpha_i)| = |f'(\alpha_0)|$ , and
- (iii).  $|f(\alpha_i)| \le c^{2^i} |f'(\alpha_0)|^2$ .

The base case i = 0 holds trivially.

For the inductive step, assume that (i)–(iii) hold for some value of i. First, by (ii) and (iii) for i, we have

$$|\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i| = \frac{|f(\alpha_i)|}{|f'(\alpha_i)|} \le \frac{c^{2^i} |f'(\alpha_0)|^2}{|f'(\alpha_0)|} = c^{2^i} |f'(\alpha_0)| .$$
(4)

Now we show (i) for i + 1. By (4), the inequality c < 1, and the definition of c,

$$|\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i| \le c^{2^i} |f'(\alpha_0)| \le c |f'(\alpha_0)| = \frac{|f(\alpha_0)|}{|f'(\alpha_0)|} .$$

Combining this with (i) for i then gives (i) for i + 1.

To show (ii), we have

$$|\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_0| \le \frac{|f(\alpha_0)|}{|f'(\alpha_0)|} < |f'(\alpha_0)|.$$

by (i) and (1). Therefore the claim applies, which gives (ii) for i + 1.

Finally, we show (iii). By Taylor's formula, there exists  $\beta \in A$  such that

$$f(\alpha_{i+1}) = f(\alpha_i) + f'(\alpha_i)(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i) + \beta(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)^2$$
$$= f(\alpha_i) + f'(\alpha_i)\left(-\frac{f(\alpha_i)}{f'(\alpha_i)}\right) + \beta(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)^2$$
$$= \beta(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)^2.$$

Taking absolute values and applying (4) gives

$$|f(\alpha_{i+1})| \le |\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i|^2 \le (c^{2^i} |f'(\alpha_0)|)^2 = c^{2^{i+1}} |f'(\alpha_0)|^2.$$

This proves (iii) for i + 1.

The sequence  $(\alpha_i)$  therefore is a Cauchy sequence by (4). By continuity and (iii), its limit  $\alpha$  is a root of f.

Finally, we prove the uniqueness statement. Suppose  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are distinct roots of f satisfying (3). We then have  $|\alpha - \alpha'| < |f'(\alpha_0)|$ . But by Taylor's formula,

$$f(\alpha') = f(\alpha) + f'(\alpha)(\alpha' - \alpha) + \beta(\alpha' - \alpha)^2$$

for some  $\beta \in A$ . Since  $f(\alpha) = f(\alpha') = 0$  and  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ , this gives

$$f'(\alpha) = -\beta(\alpha' - \alpha);$$
  
$$|f'(\alpha)| \le |\alpha' - \alpha| < |f'(\alpha_0)|.$$

This is a contradiction since the claim at the beginning of the proof implies that  $|f'(\alpha)| = |f'(\alpha_0)|$ .