## Math 115. Slides from the Lecture of November 5

This handout contains the slides from the lecture of November 5.

## Equivalent Forms have the Same Discriminant

**Theorem.** If f and g are equivalent forms, then they have the same discriminant.

*Proof.* Write  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ , and let  $d = b^2 - 4ac$ . Also let  $F = \begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{bmatrix}$ ; then

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} F \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ax + by/2 \\ bx/2 + cy \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= x(ax + by/2) + y(bx/2 + cy) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$$
$$= f(x, y) ,$$

and

$$\det F = ac - b^2/4 = -d/4$$

Also let  $g(x, y) = a'x^2 + b'xy + c'y^2$ , let  $d' = b'^2 - 4a'c'$ , and let  $G = \begin{bmatrix} a' & b'/2 \\ b'/2 & c' \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $M \in \Gamma$  be a matrix that takes f to g, so that  $g = f \circ T_M$ . Then

$$g(x,y) = f(T_M(x,y)) = \left(M \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right)^t F\left(M \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right)$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}^t M^t F M \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \left(M^t F M\right) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix},$$

Recalling that  $g(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} G \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ , we then have

$$G = M^T F M$$
.

However, this takes a proof. First, we note (from the identity  $(AB)^t = B^t A^t$ ) that

$$(M^t F M)^t = M^t F^t (M^t)^t = M^t F M ,$$

and therefore  $M^t F M$  is a symmetric matrix.

Write 
$$M^t F M = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta/2 \\ \beta/2 & \gamma \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then

$$a'x^{2} + b'xy + c'y^{2} = g(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta/2 \\ \beta/2 & \gamma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \alpha x^{2} + \beta xy + \gamma y^{2}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

This implies  $a' = \alpha$ ,  $b' = \beta$ , and  $c' = \gamma$ . Thus

$$M^t F M = G .$$

Taking determinants of both sides of  $M^t F M = G$  then gives

$$-\frac{d'}{4} = \det G = \det (M^t F M)$$
$$= (\det (M^t)) (\det F) (\det M)$$
$$= 1 \cdot \det F \cdot 1$$
$$= \det F = -\frac{d}{4},$$

and therefore d' = d.

## **Our Next Goal**

Our next goal will be to show that, for each integer d, there are only finitely many equivalence classes of forms of discriminant d.

**Definition.** Let  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  be a form whose discriminant is not a perfect square. Then f is **reduced** if:

$$-|a| < b \le |a| < |c|$$
, or  
 $0 \le b \le |a| = |c|$ .

**Theorem.** Let d be an integer, not a perfect square. Then every form of discriminant d is equivalent to a reduced form.

[Watch for: Where in the proof is the fact that d is not a perfect square used?]

*Proof.* Let  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  be a form of discriminant d (with d not a perfect square). We want to show that it's equivalent to a reduced form. Note that  $a \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$ . [Why?]

We carry out the following procedure:

**Step 1.** If |c| < |a|, then  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma$  takes f to  $f(y, -x) = cx^2 - bxy + ay^2$ . So, after doing this if necessary, we may assume that  $|a| \le |c|$ .

Step 2. Notice that, for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the matrix  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma$  takes f(x, y) to  $f(x + my, y) = ax^2 + (2am + b)xy + (am^2 + bm + c)y^2$ . Choose m such that  $-|a| < 2am + b \le |a|$ .

**Step 3.** If |c| < |a|, go back to Step 1 (otherwise continue).

Step 4.

- If |c| > |a|, congratulations! You have a reduced form.
- If |c| = |a| and  $b \ge 0$ , congratulations! You have a reduced form.
- If |c| = |a| and b < 0, then use  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  again. Your form is now reduced, because 0 < b < |a| = |c|.

Can this procedure go on forever?

Only if you do Step 1 infinitely many times.

However, Step 1 strictly decreases |a|, and all other steps leave |a| unchanged. So the procedure must eventually stop.

Where did we use the assumption that d is not a perfect square? In Step 2, we needed  $|a| \neq 0$ .

## An Example

Reduce

$$\begin{split} f(x,y) &= 17x^2 - 26xy + 10y^2 \ . \\ \mathbf{Step 1:} \ |c| < |a| \ , \text{so apply} \ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \ \text{to get} \ f \sim 10x^2 + 26xy + 17y^2 \ . \\ \mathbf{Step 2:} \ b > |a| \ , \text{so choose} \ m \ \text{such that} \ -|a| < 2am + b \le |a| \ ; \\ -10 < 20m + 26 \le 10 \ ; \ m = -1 \ . \\ \text{So apply} \ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \ \text{to get} \ f \sim 10x^2 + 6xy + y^2 \ . \\ \mathbf{Step 3:} \ |c| < |a| \ , \text{so go back to Step 1.} \\ \mathbf{Step 1:} \ |c| < |a| \ , \text{so go back to Step 1.} \\ \mathbf{Step 2:} \ b \le -|a| \ , \text{so apply} \ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \ \text{to get} \ f \sim x^2 - 6xy + 10y^2 \ . \\ \mathbf{Step 2:} \ b \le -|a| \ , \text{so choose} \ m \ \text{such that} \ -|a| < 2am + b \le |a| \ ; \ -1 < 2m - 6 \le 1 \ ; \\ m = 3 \ . \ \text{Apply} \ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \ \text{to get} \ f \sim x^2 + 0xy + y^2 \ . \\ \mathbf{Step 3:} \ |c| \ge |a| \ , \text{so don't go back.} \\ \mathbf{Step 4:} \ \text{Congratulations!} \ (|c| = |a| \ \text{and} \ b \ge 0 \ ). \ \text{This is reduced.} \\ \mathbf{Note:} \ x^2 + y^2 \ \text{has discriminant} \ -4 \ , \text{ and so does} \ f \ , \text{ because} \\ \ 26^2 - 4 \cdot 17 \cdot 10 = 676 - 680 = -4 \ . \end{split}$$