

(a) "Show $|b| < a$
if and only if
 $-a < b < a$ "

This is an if and only if statement, so two directions.

(\Rightarrow): assume $|b| < a$. Flipping signs, $-a < |b|$.

Since $|b| \geq 0$, also $-|b| \leq |b|$. Putting this together:

$-a < -|b| \leq |b| < a$. Since $b = \pm |b|$ and we have shown both $-a < -|b| < a$ and $-a < |b| < a$, we're done.

(\Leftarrow). Assume $-a < b < a$. Flipping signs:

$a > -b > -a$. So both $-a < b < a$ and $-a < -b < a$.

So since $|b| = \pm b$, one of those statements implies $-a < |b| < a$, and we're done.

"Show $|a-b| < c$ if
and only if $b-c < a < b+c$ "

(b) By part (a), have $|a-b| < c \Leftrightarrow$ ~~$-c < a-b < c$~~

$$-c < a-b < c \xrightarrow{\text{add } b \text{ to both sides}} b-c < a < b+c.$$

"Show $|a-b| \leq c$
if and only if
 $b-c \leq a \leq b+c$ "

(c) I'm going to cheat and use 3.5(a):

the fact that $|b| \leq a \Leftrightarrow -a \leq b \leq a$.

This is proved exactly analogously to (a).

Now:

$$|a-b| \leq c \xrightarrow{3.5(a)} -c \leq a-b \leq c \xrightarrow{\text{add } b} b-c \leq a \leq b+c.$$

4.4
4.1 - 4.2 - ~~4.3~~ 4.3 - 5.3

(find inf, sup, lower bounds, upper bounds)

(a) $\inf = 0$, $\sup = 1$. Bounded. Three upper bounds: 2, π , 100; any three numbers ≥ 1 .
Three lower bounds: 0, -1, -2.

~~(b)~~
(c) $\inf = 2$ (1, 0 other l. bds) $\sup = 7$ (10, 20 other upper)
bounded

(e) $\sup = 1$ (2, 3 other upper)
 $\inf = 0$ (-1, -2 other lower)
bounded.

(g) $[0, 1] \cup [2, 3] = \{x \mid \text{either } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \text{ or } 2 \leq x \leq 3\}$.
 $\sup = 3$ (4, 5 other upper)
 $\inf = 1$ (0, -1 other lower)

(i) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [-1/n, 1+1/n] = \{x \mid -1/n \leq x \leq 1+1/n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
 $= \{x \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1\} = [0, 1]$.

So same as (a).

(k) $\{n + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{0, 2+1/2, 3-1/3, 4+1/4, \dots\}$

$\inf = 0$ (all others positive). Lower bds =

(three numbers ≤ 0)
unbounded above, as $n + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \geq n-1 \forall n$,
so $\sup = \infty$.

(m) $\{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r^2 < 4\} = (-2, 2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Since \mathbb{Q} is dense, $a > -2 \Rightarrow$ there is a rational number in $(-2, a)$ so a is not a lower bound.
On the other hand -2 is obviously a lower bound. So it is the greatest lower bound:
 $\inf = -2$. Also by a similar argument,
 $\sup = 2$. Bounded.

4. 1-4. 2-4. 3-4. 4-5. 3

(o) $\sup = 0$, $\inf = -\infty$ (unbounded below)

(p) $\inf = 0$, $\sup = 16$ (bounded)

(s) $\inf = 0$ (as there are infinitely many primes, this can get smaller than any $\epsilon > 0$: For a formal proof, just pick a prime $> 1/\epsilon$.)
 $\sup = 1/\text{smallest prime} = 1/2$,
bounded.

(u) $\{x^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{\text{all nonnegative numbers}\}$.

$\inf = 0$ $\sup = \infty$ (unbounded above)

(w) $\{\sin(\frac{n\pi}{3}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\}$

(remember: sets do not care about repetition!) So:

$\sup = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$, $\inf = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$.
Bounded.

7.1 - 7.2

~~7.1~~ (a) $s_n = (1/4, 1/7, 1/10, 1/13, 1/16, \dots)$
 $\lim = 0$

(b) $b_n = (4/3, 7/7 = 1, 10/11, 13/15, 16/19, \dots)$

$\lim = 3/4$

(c) $c_n = (1/3, 2/9, 3/27 = 1/9, 4/81, 5/243, \dots)$
 $\lim = 0$

(d) $\sin(n\pi/4) = (0, \sqrt{2}/2, 1, \sqrt{2}/2, 0, \dots)$

no limit.

8.1

limit
proofs

(a) $\lim \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = 0$

proof. For $\epsilon > 0$, take $N = 1/\epsilon$.

Then $n > N \Rightarrow \left| \frac{(-1)^n}{n} - 0 \right| = \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{N} = \epsilon \quad \square$

(b) $\lim 1/n^{1/3} = 0$

proof. For $\epsilon > 0$, take $N = 1/\epsilon^3$.

Then $n > N \Rightarrow |1/n^{1/3} - 0| = 1/n^{1/3} < 1/N^{1/3} = \epsilon \quad \square$

(c) $\lim \frac{2n-1}{3n+2} = 2/3$

Proof

$2/3 =$

~~$\frac{2n+4/3}{3n+2}$~~

$\frac{2n+4/3}{3n+2}$

$$\left| \frac{2n-1}{3n+2} - \frac{2}{3} \right| = \left| \frac{2n-1 - (2n+4/3)}{3n+2} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{-7/3}{3n+2} \right| = \frac{7}{9n+6}$$

8.1 (c) (continued).

Now take $N = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 1$ (generous).

For $n > N$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{2n-1}{3n+2} - \frac{2}{3} \right| &= \frac{7}{9n+6} < \frac{7}{9N+6} = \frac{7}{9(\frac{1}{\epsilon}+1)+6} \\ &= \frac{7}{9(\frac{1}{\epsilon})+15} < \frac{7}{9(\frac{1}{\epsilon})+9} = \frac{7}{9} \epsilon < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

8.1 (d) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+6}{n^2-6} = 0$

⚠ warning: this function is not monotonic, so $n > N$ does not necessarily imply $\left| \frac{n+6}{n^2-6} \right| < \left| \frac{N+6}{N^2-6} \right|$. Need to be more careful.

For $\epsilon > 0$, ~~take~~ set $A = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$.

Set $N = A + 6$. Now for $n > N$ we compute

$$\frac{n+6}{n^2-6} = \frac{n+6}{(n+6)(n-6)+36}-6$$

$$= \frac{n+6}{(n+6)(n-6)+36} < \frac{n+6}{(n+6)(n-6)} < \frac{n+6}{n+6 \cdot (N-6)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{A} = \epsilon. \quad \square$$

t_n bounded
 $\lim s_n = 0$
 prove
 $\lim t_n s_n = 0$

8.4 Say M is an upper bound for $|t_n|$,
 so $|t_n| \leq M$. Can take $M > 0$
 (for example, set $M = \sup \{|t_n|/3 + 1\}$).

Know: For $\epsilon' > 0 \exists N_s(\epsilon')$ with the
~~that~~ property:
 $n > N_s(\epsilon') \Rightarrow |s_n| < \epsilon'$.

For $\epsilon > 0$, Take $N = N_s(\epsilon/M)$. Then $n > N \Rightarrow$
 $|s_n t_n| \leq |s_n| \cdot M < \epsilon/M \cdot M = \epsilon \quad \square$.

Prove:
 If $a_n \leq s_n \leq b_n$
 and $\lim a_n = \lim b_n = s$
 then s_n
 converges to
 s

8.5 (a) Know For $\epsilon > 0 \exists N_a, N_b$

such that $n > N_a \Rightarrow |a_n - s| < \epsilon$ and

$n > N_b \Rightarrow |b_n - s| < \epsilon$.

Take $N = \max(N_a, N_b)$.

Then $n > N \Rightarrow$ both $|a_n - s| < \epsilon$ and $|b_n - s| < \epsilon$,
 so $s - \epsilon < a_n \leq b_n < s + \epsilon$ (see 3.7b).

So $s - \epsilon < a_n \leq s_n \leq b_n < s + \epsilon \Rightarrow$

$|s_n - s| < \epsilon \quad \square$

(b)

$|s_n| \leq t_n$
 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$
 and $\lim t_n = 0$. Prove
 $\lim s_n = 0$

$|s_n| \leq t_n \Leftrightarrow -t_n \leq s_n \leq t_n$.

Since t_n converges to 0, $-t_n$ also
 converges to 0 ($\forall \epsilon > 0$ can take the same N),
 and we use (a) ~~to see~~ (with $a_n = -t_n$,
 $b_n = t_n$) to see s_n converges to 0.

prove:

$$\lim s_n = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim |s_n| = 0$$

8.6 (a) It is enough to observe

$$|s_n - 0| = ||s_n| - 0|. \quad \text{So the limit statement}$$

$$\begin{cases} |s_n - 0| < \varepsilon & \forall n > N \\ ||s_n| - 0| < \varepsilon & \forall n > N \end{cases} \text{ is equivalent to } \quad \square$$

see that $(-1)^n$ has no limit while $|(-1)^n|$ has limit 1.

(b) As we have seen in class (also in the book),

$(-1)^n$ does not have a limit. (Indeed, even for $\varepsilon = 1$ there is no way to make the limit statement true for any potential limit value s .)

However, $|(-1)^n| = 1$ and the sequence $s_n = 1$ has limit 1.

8.9 (a)

$s_n \geq a$ for all but finitely many n then $\lim s_n \geq a$

Let $E = \{n \mid s_n < a\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be the set of "exceptional" indices, those finitely many n with s_n not $\geq a$. Then there is some value M greater than every index in E (if there are no indices in E , just take $M=1$).

For $n > M$, then, $s_n \geq a$. Also, $\forall \varepsilon, \exists N$ such that $n \geq N$ implies $|s_n - s| < \varepsilon$ (for s the limit value).

Rewrite: $-\varepsilon < s_n - s < \varepsilon$. Now for $n \geq \max(M, N)$ (a non-empty set of indices), $a - s \leq s_n - s < \varepsilon$. ~~Therefore,~~ so: $a < \varepsilon + s \Rightarrow s > a - \varepsilon$. This is true for any $\varepsilon > 0$, so $s \geq a$. \square

if $s_n \leq b$
for all
but finitely
many n , then
 $\lim s_n \leq b$

(b) Set $t_n = -s_n$, $a = -b$. Then part
(a) implies $\lim t_n \geq a$, so
 $\lim s_n = \lim -t_n = -\lim t_n \leq -a = b$ \square .

(c) All but finitely many s_n satisfy
both $s_n \geq a$ and $s_n \leq b$. So by (a),
 $\lim s_n \geq a$ and by (b) $\lim s_n \leq b$, so
 $\lim s_n \in [a, b]$. \square

11.1 (a) $a_n = (1, 5, 1, 5, 1, 5, 1, 5, \dots)$
(b) $a_{2n} = (a_2, a_4, a_6, \dots) = (5, 5, 5, \dots)$
selection $f: \mathbb{Q}(n) = 2n$

11.2 (i) $a_n = (-1)^n$:

- monotone subsequence: $a_{2n} = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$: $1 \geq 1 \geq 1 \dots$
- subseq limits: $1, -1$
- $\limsup = 1$ $\liminf = -1$.
- Does not converge, or diverge to $\pm\infty$. Bounded.

(ii) $b_n = \frac{1}{n}$

- monotone: b_n itself (selection: $\mathbb{Q}(n) = n$).
 $1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{3} \dots$
- subseq limits: only 0, since ~~limit exists~~ ^{converges to 0}.
- $\liminf = \limsup = 0$ since converges to 0.
- this converges and is bounded.
(any convergent sequence is bounded)

(iii) $c_n = n^2$. • monotone: c_n itself ($1 \leq 4 \leq 9 \dots$)
• subseq limits: no finite limits, $+\infty$ only limit.
• $\liminf = \limsup = \infty$
• diverges to ∞ . Bounded below, not above.

$$11.1 \quad (iv) \quad a_n = \frac{6n+4}{7n-3} = \frac{6 + \frac{4}{n}}{7 - \frac{3}{n}}$$

check: $\cdot 7 - \frac{3}{n}$ never 0 ($\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$)
 $\cdot \lim 7 - \frac{3}{n} = 7 \neq 0$. So

$$\lim \frac{1}{7 - \frac{3}{n}} = \frac{1}{7} \text{ is valid.}$$

Product rule for limits:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim \frac{6 + \frac{4}{n}}{7 - \frac{3}{n}} &= \left(\lim 6 + \frac{4}{n} \right) \left(\lim \frac{1}{7 - \frac{3}{n}} \right) \\ &= 6 \cdot \frac{1}{7} = \frac{6}{7} \end{aligned}$$

So: bounded, $\liminf = \limsup =$
 unique subsequential limit = $\frac{6}{7}$.

\cdot Monotone subseq:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{write } \frac{6n+4}{7n-3} &= \frac{6}{7} + \frac{42n+28 - (42n-18)}{7(7n-3)} \\ &= \frac{6}{7} + \frac{46}{49n-21} \end{aligned}$$

which is itself monotone decreasing. \square

10.10

(a) $s_2 = \frac{1}{3}(1+1) = \frac{2}{3}$.

$s_1 = 1$

$s_{n+1} = \frac{s_n + 1}{3}$

(recursive sequence)

(a): write

s_1, \dots, s_4

$s_3 = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{2}{3} + 1\right) = \frac{5}{9}$

$s_4 = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{5}{9} + 1\right) = \frac{14}{27}$

so: $s_n = \left(1, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{14}{27}, \dots\right)$

$\approx (1, .6666, .5555, .5185, \dots)$

(b) use induction to show $s_n > \frac{1}{2} \forall n$

(b) base: $s_1 > \frac{1}{2}$

induction step: assume $s_n > \frac{1}{2}$. Then

~~s_{n+1}~~ $s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1) > \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} + 1\right) = \frac{1}{2}$.

(c) show decreasing

(c) $s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1)$

$= \frac{1}{3}\left(s_n + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 2 \cdot s_n)$

$= \frac{1}{3}(3s_n) = s_n$, so

$s_{n+1} < s_n \quad \forall n \Rightarrow$ decreasing.

(d) decreasing and bounded below (by $\frac{1}{2}$)

\Rightarrow limit exists.

now $\lim (s_n) = \lim (s_{n+1})$

$= \lim \left(\frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1)\right) = \frac{1}{3}(\lim(s_n) + 1)$

and so $\frac{2}{3} \lim s_n = \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\lim s_n = \frac{1}{2}}}$.

10.12 (a) $|t_{n+1}| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right) \cdot |t_n| < t_n.$

on the other hand $t_1 > 0$, t_n is a positive multiple of t_{n-1} , so each t_n is positive. Thus
 $t_n = |t_n| \geq 0$ and so

$t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > \dots > 0$ is a decreasing sequence, bounded below \Rightarrow convergent.

(c) $t_1 = 1 = \frac{1+1}{2 \cdot 1}$ ✓ base case

Assume $t_n = \frac{n+1}{2n}$

$$t_{n+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right) t_n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right) \frac{n+1}{2n}$$

$$= \left(\frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{(n+1)^2}\right) \frac{n+1}{2n} = \frac{n(n+2)}{(n+1)^2} \left(\frac{n+1}{2n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{n+2}{2(n+1)^2 / (n+1)} = \frac{n+2}{2(n+1)}$$

✓ induction hypothesis

(d) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n} = \frac{1}{2}.$