Math 141 Homework 4

October 6, 2019

1. (a) From Exercise 9 in Section 2, we recall

$$d(f \times g)_{(x,y)} = df_x \times dg_y.$$

Therefore if $d(f \times g)_{(x,y)}(a,b) = 0$, then

$$df_x(a) = 0, \quad dg_y(b) = 0.$$

As both f and g are immersions, this implies that a = 0 and b = 0. In other words, $d(f \times g)_{(x,y)}$ is injective. Hence $f \times g$ is an immersion.

- (b) Suppose $d(g \circ f)_x(a) = dg_{f(x)} \circ df_x(a) = 0$. If $a \neq 0$, then $df_x(a) \neq 0$ as f is an immersion. However, by the same reasoning, this implies $dg_{f(x)} \circ df_x(a) \neq 0$. Hence $d(g \circ f)_x(a) = 0$ only if a = 0, implying that $d(g \circ f)_x$ is injective. Because our choice of x was arbitrary, we conclude that $g \circ f$ is an immersion.
- (c) Suppose $f: X \to Y$ and Z is a submanifold of X. Denote $\iota: Z \to X$ as the inclusion map $z \mapsto z$, and let $g: Z \to Y$ be the restriction of f to Z. By the chain rule, we note

$$dg_z = df_z \circ d\iota_z = df_z(I) = df_z$$

for all $z \in Z$. Hence dg_z is injective, and g is a immersion.

- (d) Recall $T_x(X)$ and $T_f(x)(Y)$ have the same dimensions as X and Y. Thus df_x is an injective mapping between vector spaces of equal dimension, i.e. df_x is a isomorphism. From the inverse function theorem, we conclude that f is a local diffeomorphism for all $x \in X$.
- 2. Denote the map as $f = (f_1, f_2)$. To show f is injective, we note $f_1(t) + f_2(t) = e^t$. As e^t is different for different t, this implies $(f_1(t), f_2(t))$ is different for different t.

Now note

$$df_t = \left(\frac{e^t - e^{-t}}{2}, \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2}\right) = (f_2, f_1).$$

From the previous reasoning, we conclude that df_t is injective, hence f is an immersion.

It remains to show that f is proper. By Heine-Borel, compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^n are precisely those that are closed and bounded. As f is continuous, thus the preimage of closed sets are closed. Hence it suffices to show that the preimages of bounded sets are bounded.

Suppose

 $f_1(t) < a.$

Note this implies

$$e^t < 2a, \quad e^{-t} < 2a$$

i.e. $|t| < \ln(2a)$, which is a bounded set. As any bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^2 is contained in set $(-\infty, a) \times \mathbb{R}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, thus the preimage via f of any bounded set is bounded.

Having shown that f is an embedding, we observe that

$$f_1(t)^2 - f_2(t)^2 = 1$$

and thus the image of f is contained in the hyperbola $x^2 - y^2 = 1$. Furthermore, by the AM-GM inequality, we conclude

$$f_1(t) \ge 1$$
, with equality when $e^t = e^{-t}$.

Also, $f_1(t)$ can clearly can arbitrarily large. As $f_1(t)$ is continuous, this implies that $f_1(t)$ can achieve (and only achieve) any value ≥ 1 . Finally, we note

$$f(-t) = (f_1(-t), f_2(-t)) = (f_1(t), -f_2(t))$$

so for each $x = f_1(t)$, there are two possible y values (if $y \neq 0$) in the image. From these facts, we conclude that the image of f are precisely the points on the hyperbola $x^2 - y^2 = 1$ with $x \ge 1$, which is one nappe.

3. GP4: 1,2.

1 Suppose X is a k-dimensional manifold, and Y is an l-dimensional manifold. Let $x \in U$ be arbitrarily picked. It suffices to show that some open neighborhood (contained in U) of x in X maps to an open set in Y.

Choose a chart $\phi: V \to X$ that parameterizes an open neighborhood of x, and a chart $\psi: W \to Y$ that parameterizes an open neighborhood of f(x). By the Local Submersion Theorem, we can assume without the loss of generality that $\phi(0) = x$, $\psi(0) = f(x)$. and $\psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi$ is the canonical submersion π .

Furthermore, we can assume (without loss of generality) that V is a basis element of the topology of \mathbb{R}^k and $f(V) \subset U$ (both can be done by shrinking V). Then $\pi(V)$ is a basis element of the topology of \mathbb{R}^l . As ψ is a diffeomorphism, we conclude that

$$\psi \circ \pi(V)$$

is open in Y, i.e.

 $f(\phi(V))$

is open in Y, which is what we set out to prove.

- 2 (a) From the previous problem, we note f(X) is open in Y. However, we recall the that continuous images of compact spaces are compact, hence f(X) is compact. As compactness is independent of ambient space, this implies f(X) is compact for $\mathbb{R}^n \supset Y$, i.e. f(X) is closed in \mathbb{R}^n . Hence f(X) is also closed in Y. As f(X) is both open and closed, and Y is connected, this implies that f(X) = Y or $f(X) = \emptyset$, the latter of which is impossible.
 - (b) As Euclidean spaces are connected, we conclude that any submersion of a compact manifold into an Euclidean space is surjective. However, this implies that the continuous image of a compact manifold is an Euclidean space, which is a contradiction as Euclidean spaces are not compact.

4. GP4: 3, 5.

3 Note $f: t \mapsto (t, t^2, t^3)$ and

$$df_t = (1, 2t, 3t^2)$$

are both injective. Note as f is continuous, it takes the preimages of closed sets to closed sets. Furthermore, suppose some set $S \subset f(\mathbb{R})$ is bounded. Then there exists a < b such that

$$S \subset X = ((a, b) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \cap f(\mathbb{R}).$$

Note $f^{-1}(X) = (a, b)$, which is bounded. Thus the preimages of bounded sets are bounded, and we conclude (by Heine-Borel) that f is proper. Hence f is an embedding.

Define $g: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $h: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$g(a, b, c) = a^2 - b, \quad g(a, b, c) = ab - c.$$

Clearly $f(\mathbb{R}) = g^{-1}(0) \cap h^{-1}(0)$. To show that f and g are independent, we note

$$dg_{(a,b,c)} = (2a, -1, 0), \quad dh_{(a,b,c)} = (b, a, -1).$$

A comparison of the third coordinate tells us that one will never be a multiple of the other, so g and h are independent on all of \mathbb{R}^3 .

5 We observe

$$df_{(x,y,z)} = (2x, 2y, -2z)$$

which is surjective unless x = y = z = 0. Thus every value of \mathbb{R} is a critical value except 0.

Suppose a and b are of the same sign, i.e. a/b > 0. Consider the diffeomorphic smooth map $g : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$g(x, y, z) = (xn, yn, zn), \quad n = \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}$$

Note $g(f^{-1}(b)) \subset f^{-1}(a)$ and $g^{-1}(f^{-1}(a)) \subset f^{-1}(b)$, hence $g(f^{-1}(a)) = f^{-1}(b)$. As diffeomorphisms restricted to smaller domains are still diffeomorphisms, we conclude that $f^{-1}(a)$ is diffeomorphic to $f^{-1}(b)$.

Pictorical Description. For positive a, the manifold $f^{-1}(a)$ is shaped like an hourglass centered at the origin. As a approaches 0, the neck of the hourglass gets thinner until the set $f^{-1}(0)$ is just two oppositive facing cones whose tips touch at the origin. When a becomes negative, $f^{-1}(a)$ becomes a disconnected manifold (the two cones separate from each other and the tips smooth out).

- 5. GP4: 12, 13.
 - 12 Note the determinant function can be rewritten as a mapping between manifolds $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(a, b, c, d) = ac - bd.$$

Note

$$df_{(a,b,c,d)} = (c, -d, a, -b)$$

is always surjective (as not all a, b, c, d = 0), implying that f is a submersion. In particular, this implies that any value is a regular value. Applying the preimage theorem, we conclude that $f^{-1}(0)$ is a submanifold. However, this is precisely the nonzero noninvertible matrices, i.e. the matrices of rank 1. Therefore, the matrices of rank 1 form a submanifold.

13 We first concern ourselves with the union of manifolds.

Lemma 1. The union of manifolds of the same dimension

$$X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$$

is a manifold if for every $x \in X$, there exists an X_i such that a neighborhood N of x in X is a neighborhood of x in X_i .

Proof. Choose a parameterization about x in X_i such that the parameterization maps into N. This chart is also a chart for X. As we can do this for every $x \in X$, thus X is a manifold.

Lemma 2. Every $m \times n$ matrix with rank r has a $r \times r$ nonsingular minor.

Proof. Suppose our matrix is (a_{ij}) where $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n$. Choose linearly independent rows i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r and linearly independent columns j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r . Then the minor (a_{ij}) where $i \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ and $j \in \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ is invertible.

Back to Problem. However, such a minor can be found anywhere in a $m \times n$ matrix of rank r. If $I \subset [1, m]$ and $J \subset [1, n]$ are both sets of r integers, we denote M_{IJ} as all the $m \times n$ matrices such that

$$(a_{ij}), i \in I, j \in J$$

is an invertible minor. Then the union

$$\bigcup_{I,J} M_{I,J}$$

consists of all matrices that have some $r \times r$ nonsingular minor.

Lemma 3. Each M_{IJ} is an open set.

Proof. Define f to be the map that computes the determinant of the minor

$$(a_{ij}), i \in I, j \in J.$$

Then $M_{IJ} = f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. As f is a polynomial function of the entries of an $m \times n$ matrix, it is continuous and thus takes the preimages of open sets to open sets.

Back to Problem. Now we take into account the book's hint. Consider the matrices whose upper right $r \times r$ minor is nonsingular, i.e. $M_{[1,r][1,r]}$. We use the book's notation and represent these matrices as

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ D & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then if we post mulitply by a nonsingular matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & -B^{-1}C \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

we get the product

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ D & E - DB^{-1}C. \end{pmatrix}$$

If our original matrix has rank r, then so does our final matrix, and $E - DB^{-1}C = 0$. Conversely, suppose $E - DB^{-1}C = 0$. Then our product matrix has rank r, and hence so does the original matrix. Therefore, the matrices in $M_{[1,r][1,r]}$ with rank r are precisely those where $E - DB^{-1}C = 0$. We can rewrite

$$E - DB^{-1}C = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \dots & g_{1(n-r)} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & \dots & g_{2(n-r)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ g_{(m-r)1} & \dots & \dots & g_{(m-r)(n-r)} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

where each g_{ij} is some polynomial function on the entries of matrices in $M_{[1,r][1,r]}$. Note each g_{ij} is independent from the others because each g_{ij} uniquely takes the ij-th element in E to 1 and every other entry in E to zero. Hence the set of matrices of rank r in $M_{[1,r][1,r]}$ (which we denote as $S_{[1,r][1,r]}$) can be cut out by (m-r)(n-r) independent functions. Thus $S_{[1,r][1,r]}$ is a smooth manifold of codimension (m-r)(n-r).

Loop. By repeating the logic above for each set M_{IJ} in turn (with computational differences depending on where the minor is located), we note that each $S_{IJ} \subset M_{IJ}$ is a manifold of codimension (m-r)(n-r).

Finale. We claim

$$S = \bigcup_{I,J} S_{IJ}$$

is a manifold. For any $x \in S_{IJ}$, we note $S \cap M_{IJ}$ is a open neighborhood of x in S (Lemma 3). However,

$$S \cap M_{IJ} = S_{IJ}.$$

Hence by Lemma 1, we conclude S is a manifold. By Lemma 2 and the definition of S_{IJ} , we deduce that S is the set of all matrices of rank r. As it is the finite union of submanifolds with codimension (m - r)(n - r), it itself has codimension (m - r)(n - r).

6.