Quantization commutes with Reduction AGAIN

\* Recent proof (U. Dan Pomerleans) of a long-standing conjecture implicit in the early calculations of GW theory

gauged Quantum cohomology = QH\* of symplicity reduction

\* True for X compact monstone, at anticanonical reduction if G acts freely; multiplicative correction for fimite stabilizers

- \* Adduka competion for non-monotom case or reduction. SPretly solid conjectures w/ stratigy of proof 7
- \* Proof follows outlin Floen argument [IAS, 2016, -] With recent additions & checks. Converges with methods of Varolgunes.
- \* "Twistie sectr" construction: TAFT origin, math model: Freed - Hopkins - - construction of Yerlinde TAFT and GLSM generalization ( -, Woodward)
- \* Planned as a key application of program fr gauging 2D TAFTS by compact groups

\* Conseguences for categorical result

\* 4th theorem in [A, R] = O series.

Quantum mechanics - 1 dun QFT (B) B-model result. Gevillemin & Steinberg (based on Mempre, Kempf-New-Messelink) (X, w) compact symplectic (f, 7) preguantien line bundle Choose Kähler polonieur G (cpt connected) acts (=) Hamiltomanne) 2 uanhizahim reduction (X/1G, L/16) (Clossical)  $= \mu^{-}(0)/G$ H°(X; L) fra Marsden-Weinstinpositive ex Polanization < quartitatin Mayer reduction  $H^{\circ}(x; L) \longrightarrow H^{\circ}(X/G; L//G)$ (guartum)  $H^{\circ}(x; L)$  natural! Theorem (G-S) The natural map is an isomorphism. Proof (not the original)  $H * (\pi, C)^{C}$  and  $H^{*}(\pi, C) = Union of Unstable Morse share for <math>1/2^{C}$   $US = Unstable locues = Union of Unstable Morse share for <math>1/2^{C}$ Lemma  $H_s^*(x; s)^G = 0$  for any unstall shatum because then's a 1-parameter subgroup killing it. \* This shows how the result can fail w/o positivity (eg H's (x; E)<sup>c</sup> may be ≠0 for other E) \* I den Hill Carey over to 2D symplectic case.

Quantum Mechanics - 1 dim @FT (A) A-model result: Kirwan suijectivity Replan "holomorphile" with "homotopic" Coherent cohomology -> rational cohomology  $(X, \omega) \xrightarrow{Clansical reduction} (X/G; \omega)$   $2uant: \xrightarrow{Quantization} H^{*}(X; \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{Quantization} H^{*}(X; \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{H^{*}(X; \mathcal{R})} H^{*}(X; \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{H^{*}(X; \mathcal{R})} H^{*}(X; \mathcal{R})$ Theorem ("Kirwan sujectivity") The natural map is Onto, and is the top part of a filtration of H& [X;Q] whose Gr Comprises the H<sup>\*</sup><sub>S;G</sub> (X;Q). Reflective Pause Why the difference in statements? B-model: Concerns Linear representations of compact groups A-model: Concerns topological representations. (B) is conholled by a resid character throng (and is monover semi-simple) (A) is entirely derived. So it is completely reasonable that cleve constructions lead to projections onto the classed spart in (B) but only to filtrations in (A).



Theorem (Pomerleano; -) X Compact, monoton, L = K', G actim free on  $\mu^{-}(o)$ :  $GH^*(X/G) \cong GH^{A}_{LC}(X)$ . as algebras.  $Amplification: QH^{*}(X/G) = QH^{*}_{G}(X) \otimes H^{*}(BG) + H^{G}_{*}(SG) \otimes H^{*}_{*}(BG)$ and the tenson product is shirt (no Torso). Addenda (1) If Gacto Locally forely (Orbifold case) the additive isomorphism holds but we expect a definition of the multiplication. (2) Away from the monotom reduction, there is "trapped cohomology" in X: the map " e " is sujection. (3) Even more so when X is not monotone. Remark We have a pretty good oden about I and can prove if for S! General case & trapped cohomology: anabyous to  $H_{S}^{*}(x; \mathcal{E})^{G}$ Shift operators replace the Hilbert-Mumford 1- parameter subgroups.

LG guivariant QH\* Morally,  $QH^{*}(x) = H^{*}(LX)$  (Morse theory) If G acts in X, LG acts in LX  $\Rightarrow$  should have  $QH_{LC}^{*}(x)$ Method: Monodromy representation -For  $g \in G$ ,  $\longrightarrow H \subset F^*(X;g)$ · (duind) local system on G · multiplication · G-equivariant fr conjugatin Prop (General nonsense) Fact: Otics (x): Hx(G; HF) (1) The monodromy representation gives on RG actin on HCF\*(x).
(2) The monodromy -11 - ... RG xG actin on CFC(x). 

(5) There is even a circle action for the Unbased statement. (SC)/AdG = GLC/G circle action This defines the meaning of the ingreducents.

Prost of the Theorem Ideal proof: have a principal LG fibration  $LG \subset X \longrightarrow X//G.$ Obviously false, but tome in Floer theory! The 1µ12 Hamiltonian Define the Floer complex by the Hamiltonian 2K/n12, K-200 Short stay In the monstore case, all orbits emmally Continue into a neighborhood N of ur 10). Syneglech't normel fan Hun. That is a principal T\*G- bundle over X/16. An every estimate shows that the pontion of the Floer complex in N has a decreasing filtration with components related by g powers Whom  $Gr is \equiv H^*(N/G', SH^*(T^*G))$ Ly  $H_*(LG)$ . Continuation to N follows by an easy argument in the monston can: the monton inder of orbits = (Flow degree) - 2. achm can be estimated to  $\ge K \mu^2$ . But actim is essentially =0 in fixed chyrus => /m1 ->0.

Longer stry The port of the Floer complex inorder N, as K -> 20, is always additionly quisomorphic to Floer complex of the base X/16 (orbifold)

This is because the complex can be fibered over G, and its turns are now open geodesics in G.

That space is G×9; G-equivariance tills G leaving a contractible factor.

This construction is also strictly compatible with the Lagrangian comspondence ut(0) c X × X/G showing that the isomorphism is include by I.

This argument does not use monotonicity (but closs not recognize product structures).

Away from monoto ricety

The monotone inder argument fails, and we must examine the Continuation map.

Possible snags: costical points of In12-Leading order calculation: Cohomology gets trapped where ver the weight of the Hilbert - Mumpre sugroups on K of a fixed point set is Negatore. => Equivariant cohomology is trapped them.

(Onjectural description in general (non-monotone) The ideal Joc QHE(x) spanned by Floer orbits
 Whose action → 20 as K→20 is tragged, and  $\begin{array}{c} addition \\ \Theta H^{*}(X/G) &= \Theta H^{*}(X)/J \\ multipliesin \\ different \\ different \\ different \\ \end{array}$ [Very high degree of confidence] (2) For G = S' when we have no zero-weight on K over any fixed point: QH<sup>\*</sup><sub>s</sub>, (x) is free over the shift operatur, with rank  $\sum w(F) \cdot rank H^{*}(F)$  F; w(F) > 0Ffixed pt set, w(F) Weight On K The shift operators extract cohomology at 20(F) >0 and push it into the bulk at W(F) <0 Monotone: 2 220 7 all cohomology "panes through" 10) × × × > ? ? some cohomology misses ~ (0) monstom shifted [High degree of confidence]

(3) [Speculative]

The trapped cohomology in QHG (x) is the image of the Symplectic cohomologies u/ support (Vanolgunes) af the wrong-weight fixed pt-sets.

Remark No easy (semi) classical description ?