

Odlyzko Bounds

Thomas Browning

October 2025

This is an exposition of Poitou's paper *Sur les petites discriminants*.

1 Minkowski Bounds

Let K be a number field with signature $r_1 + 2r_2 = n$. Minkowski's bound says that every ideal class contains an ideal of norm at most

$$\sqrt{|\Delta_K|} \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n}.$$

This quantity must be at least one, so solving for the root discriminant $|\Delta_K|^{1/n}$ gives the lower bound

$$|\Delta_K|^{1/n} \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \right)^{2r_2/n} \left(\frac{n^n}{n!} \right)^{2/n} \approx (e^2)^{r_1/n} \left(\frac{e^2 \pi}{4} \right)^{2r_2/n} = (7.3890 \dots)^{r_1/n} (5.8033 \dots)^{2r_2/n}.$$

This lower bound increases with n , but is asymptotically constant for large n , with the exact limiting constant depending on the signature of K .

Example 1. The Hilbert class field H_K has the same root discriminant as K . If the root discriminant of K is below the limiting constant, then this gives a bound on the degree of H_K , and hence a bound on the class number of K . For example, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ has root discriminant $5^{3/4} \approx 3.34$. If $n \geq 8$, then $|\Delta_K|^{1/n} \geq 3.54$. Thus, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ has class number 1. But if the root discriminant of K is above the limiting constant, then we cannot conclude anything about the class number of K . For example, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{11})$ has root discriminant $11^{9/10} \approx 8.65$.

The Odlyzko bounds are the improved asymptotic lower bounds

$$|\Delta_K|^{1/n} \gtrsim (4\pi e^{1+\gamma})^{r_1/n} (4\pi e^\gamma)^{2r_2/n} = (60.8395 \dots)^{r_1/n} (22.3816 \dots)^{2r_2/n}$$

and with GRH

$$|\Delta_K|^{1/n} \gtrsim (8\pi e^{\gamma+\pi/2})^{r_1/n} (8\pi e^\gamma)^{2r_2/n} = (215.3325 \dots)^{r_1/n} (44.7632 \dots)^{2r_2/n}.$$

Remark 2. The existence of infinite class field towers places a limit on how much these constants can be improved. For example, the class field tower of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{11} + \zeta_{11}^{-1}, \sqrt{-46})$ is infinite, so there are arbitrary large number fields with the same root discriminant ≈ 92.4 .

In this writeup, we will see how Odlyzko's constants arise and how the Odlyzko bounds can be made explicit for small n . One notable appearance of Poitou's explicit Odlyzko bounds is in the Buzzard-Taylor Lean proof of Fermat's Last Theorem where they are used to bound the fixed field of the kernel of a Galois representation with limited ramification.

2 Contour Integration

The Dedekind zeta function

$$\zeta_K(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{1}{1 - \|\mathfrak{p}\|^{-s}}$$

has completion

$$\Lambda_K(s) = |\Delta_K|^{s/2} (\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2))^{r_1} ((2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s))^{r_2} \zeta_K(s)$$

and functional equation $\Lambda_K(s) = \Lambda_K(1-s)$. Let R_T denote the boundary of the rectangle $[-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon] \times [-T, T]$. We will integrate the logarithmic derivative $\Lambda'_K(s)/\Lambda_K(s)$ against a holomorphic function $\Phi(s)$, giving

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{R_T} \frac{\Lambda'_K(s)}{\Lambda_K(s)} \Phi(s) ds = -\Phi(0) - \Phi(1) + \sum_{|\operatorname{Im} \rho| < T} \Phi(\rho)$$

where the sum runs over the nontrivial zeros ρ of $\zeta_K(s)$. We will set

$$\Phi(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) \cosh((s - \tfrac{1}{2})x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) \exp((s - \tfrac{1}{2})x) dx$$

where $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an even function satisfying $F(0) = 1$. Then $\Phi(s) = \Phi(1-s)$, so the integrals over the vertical segments of R_T will be the same. And the decay $\Phi(\sigma + it) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ will ensure that the integrals over the horizontal segments of R_T vanish as $T \rightarrow \infty$, resulting in the formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\epsilon-i\infty}^{1+\epsilon+i\infty} \frac{2\Lambda'_K(s)}{\Lambda_K(s)} \Phi(s) ds = -2\Phi(1) + \sum_{\rho} \Phi(\rho).$$

Now the logarithmic derivative $\Lambda'_K(s)/\Lambda_K(s)$ decomposes as a sum

$$\frac{\Lambda'_K(s)}{\Lambda_K(s)} = \frac{1}{2} \log|\Delta_K| + \frac{r_1}{2} (\psi(s/2) - \log \pi) + r_2 (\psi(s) - \log 2\pi) - \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{\|\mathfrak{p}\|^{ms}}$$

where $\psi(s) = \Gamma'(s)/\Gamma(s)$ is the digamma function. Multiplying through by 2 and rearranging gives

$$\frac{2\Lambda'_K(s)}{\Lambda_K(s)} = \log|\Delta_K| + r_1 (\psi(s/2) - \psi(s) + \log 2) + n (\psi(s) - \log 2\pi) - 2 \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{\|\mathfrak{p}\|^{ms}}.$$

The following table lists the contribution of each summand in terms of $F(x)$ and $f(x) = F(x) \cosh(x/2)$.

Summand of $\frac{2\Lambda'_K(s)}{\Lambda_K(s)}$	Contribution in terms of $F(x)$	Contribution in terms of $f(x)$
$\log \Delta_K $	$\log \Delta_K $	$\log \Delta_K $
$\psi(s/2) - \psi(s) + \log 2$	$-\frac{\pi}{2} + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2 \cosh(x/2)} dx$	$-1 + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - f(x)}{2 \cosh^2(x/2)} dx$
$\psi(s) - \log 2\pi$	$-(\gamma + \log 8\pi) + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2 \sinh(x/2)} dx$	$-(\gamma + \log 4\pi) + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - f(x)}{\sinh(x)} dx$
$2 \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \ \mathfrak{p}\ }{\ \mathfrak{p}\ ^{ms}}$	$2 \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \ \mathfrak{p}\ }{\ \mathfrak{p}\ ^{m/2}} F(m \log \ \mathfrak{p}\)$	$4 \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \ \mathfrak{p}\ }{1 + \ \mathfrak{p}\ ^m} f(m \log \ \mathfrak{p}\)$

Finally, we must turn our attention to the sum

$$\sum_{\rho} \Phi(\rho) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\rho} \Phi(\rho) = \sum_{\rho} \operatorname{Re} \Phi(\rho).$$

We can express $\operatorname{Re} \Phi(\sigma + it)$ as

$$\operatorname{Re} \Phi(\sigma + it) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) \cosh((\sigma - \tfrac{1}{2})x) \cos(tx) dx.$$

On the lines $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, nonnegativity of the Fourier transform of $f(x)$ would give

$$\operatorname{Re} \Phi(\sigma + it) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \cos(tx) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \exp(itx) dx \geq 0.$$

Since $\Phi(\sigma + it) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, the maximum principle for harmonic functions would force $\operatorname{Re} \Phi(\sigma + it) \geq 0$ on the strip $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$. Whereas nonnegativity of the Fourier transform of $F(x)$ would immediately give

$$\operatorname{Re} \Phi(\tfrac{1}{2} + it) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) \cos(tx) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) \exp(itx) dx \geq 0.$$

Putting everything together, if $f(x)$ has nonnegative Fourier transform, then we have the lower bound

$$(1) \quad \log|\Delta_K| \geq n(\gamma + \log 4\pi) + r_1 - r_1 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - f(x)}{2 \cosh^2(x/2)} dx - n \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - f(x)}{\sinh(x)} dx \\ + 4 \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{1 + \|\mathfrak{p}\|^m} f(m \log \|\mathfrak{p}\|) - 4 \int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx.$$

And with GRH, if $F(x)$ has nonnegative Fourier transform, then we have the lower bound

$$(2) \quad \log|\Delta_K| \geq n(\gamma + \log 8\pi) + r_1 \frac{\pi}{2} - r_1 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2 \cosh(x/2)} dx - n \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2 \sinh(x/2)} dx \\ + 2 \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{\|\mathfrak{p}\|^{m/2}} F(m \log \|\mathfrak{p}\|) - 4 \int_0^{\infty} F(x) \cosh(x/2) dx.$$

Note that Odlyzko's constants are already present in these lower bounds.

3 Optimization

If $f(x)$ is nonnegative with nonnegative Fourier transform, then (1) gives the lower bound

$$\frac{1}{n} \log|\Delta_K| \geq \gamma + \log 4\pi + \frac{r_1}{n} - \int_0^{\infty} (1 - f(xy)) h(x) dx - \frac{4}{n} \int_0^{\infty} f(xy) dx,$$

where

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\sinh(x)} + \frac{r_1}{n} \frac{1}{2 \cosh^2(x/2)}.$$

We will set

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{3}{x^3} (\sin x - x \cos x) \right)^2.$$

This function arises as the square of the Fourier transform of the function

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x^2 & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We should choose y to minimize the sum

$$\int_0^\infty (1 - f(xy)) h(x) dx + \frac{4}{n} \int_0^\infty f(xy) dx = \frac{1}{y} \left(\frac{12\pi}{5n} + \int_0^\infty (1 - f(x)) h(x/y) dx \right).$$

If K is totally imaginary, then the following table lists the optimal y and the corresponding lower bound.

n	y	$ \Delta_K ^{1/n}$
2	3.94	1.72
4	2.16	3.25
6	1.59	4.55
8	1.31	5.65
10	1.14	6.60
12	1.02	7.41
14	0.93	8.12
16	0.87	8.74
18	0.82	9.30
20	0.78	9.80

Example 3. Continuing from Example 1, we can now conclude that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{11})$ has class number 1.

4 Appendix: Derivations

We now derive the formulas in the table at the bottom of page 2. We will need the integral representation

$$\psi(s) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^{-x}}{x} - \frac{e^{-sx}}{1 - e^{-x}} \right) dx.$$

Let

$$\varphi(t) = \Phi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(x) \cos(tx) dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(x) \exp(itx) dx.$$

The Fourier inversion formula gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \varphi(t) \exp(-ity) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(x) \exp(it(x - y)) dx dt = F(y).$$

Then shifting the contour gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\epsilon-i\infty}^{1+\epsilon+i\infty} \Phi(s) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \Phi(s) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \varphi(t) dt = F(0) = 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\epsilon-i\infty}^{1+\epsilon+i\infty} \Phi(s) \psi(s) ds &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \varphi(t) \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) dt \\ &= \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \varphi(t) [\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)] dt \\ &= \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \varphi(t) \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - e^{-itx})e^{-x/2}}{1 - e^{-x}} dx dt \\ &= \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - F(x))e^{-x/2}}{1 - e^{-x}} dx \\ &= \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - F(x)}{2 \sinh(x/2)} dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\epsilon-i\infty}^{1+\epsilon+i\infty} \Phi(s) \psi(s/2) ds &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) \psi\left(\frac{1}{4} + i\frac{t}{2}\right) dt \\
&= \psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) \left[\psi\left(\frac{1}{4} + i\frac{t}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right] dt \\
&= \psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(1 - e^{-itx/2})e^{-x/4}}{1 - e^{-x}} dx dt \\
&= \psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(1 - F(x/2))e^{-x/4}}{1 - e^{-x}} dx \\
&= \psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(1 - F(x))e^{-x/2}}{1 - e^{-2x}} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\epsilon-i\infty}^{1+\epsilon+i\infty} \Phi(t) [\psi(s/2) - \psi(s) + \log 2] dt \\
&= \psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \log 2 + \int_0^{\infty} (1 - F(x))e^{-x/2} \left(\frac{2}{1 - e^{-2x}} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-x}}\right) dx \\
&= \psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \log 2 + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(1 - F(x))e^{-x/2}}{1 + e^{-x}} dx \\
&= \psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \log 2 + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2 \cosh(x/2)} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the first three rows of the table follow from the special values $\psi(\frac{1}{2}) = -(\gamma + \log 4)$ and $\psi(\frac{1}{4}) = -(\frac{\pi}{2} + \gamma + \log 8)$. For the final row of the table, we cannot shift the contour, but we can directly compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\epsilon-i\infty}^{1+\epsilon+i\infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{\|\mathfrak{p}\|^{ms}} \Phi(s) ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{\|\mathfrak{p}\|^{m(1+\epsilon+it)}} \Phi(1 + \epsilon + it) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{\|\mathfrak{p}\|^{m(1+\epsilon+it)}} F(x) \exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it\right)x\right) dx dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{\|\mathfrak{p}\|^{m/2}} F(x) \exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it\right)(x - m \log \|\mathfrak{p}\|)\right) dx dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{\|\mathfrak{p}\|^{m/2}} F(x + m \log \|\mathfrak{p}\|) \exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it\right)x\right) dx dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{\|\mathfrak{p}\|^{m/2}} F(x + m \log \|\mathfrak{p}\|) \exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon\right)x\right) \exp(itx) dx dt \\
&= \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \|\mathfrak{p}\|}{\|\mathfrak{p}\|^{m/2}} F(m \log \|\mathfrak{p}\|)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step uses the Fourier inversion theorem.