#### On T. Elgindi's paper,

Finite-Time Singularity Formation for  $C^{1,\alpha}$  Solutions to the

Incompressible Euler Equations on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ 

Sung-Jin Oh (UC Berkeley) Apr. 29th, 2020

#### Introduction

The subject of this paper is the incompressible Euler equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(1)

To eliminate the pressure, one introduces the *vorticity*  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$ , which obeys

Under reasonable assumptions on the decay of  ${\bf u}$  at infinity, we have  ${\bf u}=(-\Delta)^{-1}(
abla imes {m \omega}).$ 

It is known classically that the IVP for (1) is locally well-posed for  $\mathbf{u}(t=0) = \mathbf{u}_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}$  (equivalently,  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in C^{0,\alpha}$ ) for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$  (Lichnerowicz, Günther; recall Mohandas's talk).

The main result of this paper is the existence of a self-similar, finite time blow-up solution in a locally well-posed class:

Main Theorem $\omega_0 = \nabla \times u_0$ There exists  $0 < \alpha \ll 1$  and a divergence-free initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in C^{\emptyset,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $|u_0| \lesssim \frac{1}{1+|x|^{\alpha}}$  so that the vorticity  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  of the unique local solution **u** in  $C^{1,\infty}([0,1]_t \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  has the form  $\omega(t,x) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{1-t}}_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{x}{(1-t)^{\lambda}} \right) \qquad (1-t)^{\lambda}$ for some  $\lambda > 0$ . In particular,  $\lim_{t\to 1-}\int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t')\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}t'=+\infty.$ (3)

Note that (3) is the Beale-Kato-Majda blow-up criterion (cf. Ben's talk).

## Introduction

- The decay at infinity is necessarily slow. Otherwise, there are rigidity theorems for self-similar solutions to the Euler equationis.
- The solution will be axi-symmetric without swirl (details will come soon). By the known global regularity results (cf. Ben's talk),  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{3}$  is necessary.
- Existence of a compactly supported initial data that develop finite time singularity, locally modeled by the above behavior, will be covered in Georgios's talk.
- A similar theorem (in particular, very rough initial data) was proved for the axi-symmetric Euler without swirl away from the axis and the Boussinesq equation by J. Chen–T. Hou.



requires a boundary

#### Set-up

As alluded earlier, one restricts to the case of axi-symmetric, vanishing swirl flow. Introduce the cylindrical coordinates  $(r, \varphi, x_3)$  by

$$x_1 = r \cos \varphi, \quad x_2 = r \sin \varphi, x_3 = x_3.$$

Assume that  $(\mathbf{u}, p)$  is independent of  $\varphi$ . With respect to the orthonormal frame  $(\mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_{\varphi}, \mathbf{e}_3) = (\partial_r, r^{-1}\partial_{\varphi}, \partial_3),$  $\begin{cases} \partial_t (ru^{\varphi}) + (u^r \partial_r + u^3 \partial_r)(ru^{\varphi}) = 0, \\ \mathbf{e}_r (r^{-1}\partial_r (ru^r) + \partial_3 u^3 = 0, \\ \partial_t \omega^{\varphi} + (u^r \partial_r + u^3 \partial_r) \omega^{\varphi} = r^{-1} u^r \omega^{\varphi}, \quad \supset (u^r, \mathbf{e}_r^{-3}) \\ \mathbf{e}_r (\mathbf{e}_r^{-3}) \mathbf{e$ 

By the first equation, if  $u^{\varphi}$  (swirl) is zero initially, then it remains so (by uniqueness). We assume this condition for the remainder of the talk.

#### Set-up

Assume furthermore that  $\omega^{\varphi}$  is *odd* with respect to  $x_3$ . Then **u** must have a hyperbolic stagnation point at the origin  $(r, x_3) = (0, 0)$  (cf. Mitchell's talk, where a similar configuration arose).



Let us introduce the *spherical polar coordinates*  $(\rho, \varphi, \theta)$ :

$$r = \rho \cos \theta$$
,  $\varphi = \varphi$ ,  $x^3 = \rho \sin \theta$ .

We will work with profiles that are nice functions of  $\rho^{\alpha}$  for small  $\alpha$  (cf. Thibault's talk). To this end, also define  $R = \rho^{\alpha}$ .

## Key ideas

- Look for a *well-designed* profile (at the level of  $\omega$ ) that is merely  $C^{0,\alpha}$  at the origin.
- Use  $\alpha$  as a smallness parameter to approximate the Euler equations by a simpler model (*fundamental model*). Smallness of  $\alpha$  will enter in two flavors:
  - To break the criticality and make the transport term  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega}$  weaker than the votex stretching term  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}$  (cf. Thibault's talk);
  - Approximate the non-local Biot−Savart law ω → u by a simpler operator (cf. Mitchell's talk).
- Identity a sufficiently rich class of self-similar solutions to the simpler model problem. Then come back to the Euler equations by a perturbation (i.e., implicit-function-theorem-like) argument.

### **Fundamental lemma**

#### **Fundamental lemma**

One has

$$u_r(x) = \frac{1}{2}rL_{12}(\omega) + O(\alpha), \quad u_3(x) = -x_3L_{12}(\omega) + O(\alpha),$$

where for  $\omega = \omega^{\varphi}(\rho, \theta)$  (spherical coordinates),

$$L_{12}(\omega)(x) = 3 \int_{|x|}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\omega(\rho', \theta') \sin \theta' \cos^2 \theta'}{\rho'} \,\mathrm{d}\rho' \mathrm{d}\theta'.$$

We'll follow Elgindi. See T. Tao's blog (Elgindi's approximation of the Biot–Savart law) for an alternative derivation.

#### **Fundamental lemma**

To derive Biot–Savart's law, one introduces the stream function  $\psi = \psi(r, x_3)$  solving,

$$\left(-\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{r}\partial_r - \partial_3^2 + r^{-2}
ight)\psi = \omega^{\varphi}.$$

with  $\psi(0, x_3) = 0$  and  $\psi(r, 0) = 0$  (oddness w.r.t.  $x^3$ ). Then

$$u_r = \partial_3 \psi, \quad u_3 = -\frac{1}{r}\psi - \partial_r \psi.$$

To make use of small  $\alpha$ , pass to the spherical coordinates  $(\rho, \varphi, \theta)$  and introduce the variable  $R = \rho^{\alpha}$ . We write  $\omega^{\varphi}(r, x_3) = \Omega(\rho, \theta)$  and  $\psi(r, x_3) = \rho^2 \Psi(\rho, \theta)$ . Then

$$-\alpha^{2}R^{2}\partial_{R}^{2}\Psi - \alpha(5+\alpha)R\partial_{R}\Psi - \partial_{\theta}^{2}\Psi + \partial_{\theta}(\tan\theta\Psi) - 6\Psi = \Omega,$$
  
$$u_{r} = \rho\left(2\sin\theta\Psi + \cos\theta\partial_{\theta}\Psi + \alpha\sin\theta R\partial_{R}\Psi\right),$$
  
$$u_{3} = \rho\left(-\frac{1}{\cos\theta}\Psi - 2\cos\theta\Psi + \sin\theta\partial_{\theta}\Psi - \alpha\cos\theta R\partial_{R}\Psi\right).$$

Recap:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}\Psi := -\alpha^{2}R^{2}\partial_{R}^{2}\Psi - \alpha(5+\alpha)R\partial_{R}\Psi - \partial_{\theta}^{2}\Psi + \partial_{\theta}(\tan\theta\Psi) - 6\Psi = \Omega.$$

The idea is to formally take  $\alpha = 0$ ; then only the angular part of  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$  remains:

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\Psi) = -\partial_{ heta}^2 \Psi + \partial_{ heta}(\tan \theta \Psi) - 6 \Psi,$$

for which  $\sin 2\theta$  is in the kernel, and  $\sin \theta \cos^2 \theta$  is in the kernel of the formal  $L^2_{\theta}$ -adjoint. Hence, we expect a uniform-in- $\alpha$  (angular derivative!) estimates for  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}\Psi = F$  if  $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} F(R,\theta) \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta = 0$  for every R. In general, one writes  $\hat{\Psi} = \Psi + G(\rho) \sin(2\theta)$  and observes that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}(\hat{\Psi}) = F + (\alpha^2 R^2 \partial_R^2 G + \alpha (5 + \alpha) R \partial_R G) \sin(2\theta).$$

Requiring the angular orthogonality condition for the RHS at every R leads to an ODE for G, which we can solve. Then we arrive at

$$G = -\frac{1}{4\alpha}L_{12}(F) + O(\mathbf{A}).$$

when  $F \in L^2_{\rho,\theta}$ 

# **Fundamental model**

Recap:

$$\partial_t \omega^{\varphi} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \omega^{\varphi} = r^{-1} u_r \omega^{\varphi}.$$

By the fundamental lemma,

$$r^{-1}u_r = \frac{1}{2}L_{12}(\omega) + O(\alpha)$$

Plugging this in, and ignoring the transport term (cf. Thibault's talk), we arrive at the *fundamental model*:

$$\partial_t f(\rho, \theta, t) = f(\rho, \theta, t) L_{12}(f)(\rho, t),$$

where  $f \approx \omega^{\varphi}$ .

#### **Fundamental model**

Now let us focus on the model

$$\partial_t f(\rho, \theta, t) = f(\rho, \theta, t) L_{12}(f)(\rho, t),$$

where

$$L_{12}(f) = \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\sin \theta' \cos^2 \theta' f(\rho', \theta', t)}{\rho'} d\theta' d\rho'.$$

Amusingly, this equation is *explicitly solvable*! Change  $(\rho, \theta) \rightarrow (\rho'', \theta'')$ , mutiply by  $\frac{\sin \theta'' \cos^2 \theta''}{\rho''}$  and integrate on  $(\rho'', \theta'') \in [\rho, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi]$ . Then  $\partial_t L_{12} f(\rho, t) = \frac{1}{2} L_{12} f(\rho, t)^2$ ,

which is explicitly solvable. Moreover,

$$f(\rho, \theta, t) = f_0(\rho, \theta, \lambda) \exp\left(\int_0^t L_{12}f(\rho', \theta, t) d\rho'\right)$$
$$f(\rho, \theta, t) = \frac{f_0}{(1 - \frac{1}{2}tL_{12}f_0)^2}.$$

SO

#### **Fundamental model**

Recap:

$$\partial_t f(\rho, \theta, t) = f(\rho, \theta, t) L_{12}(f)(\rho, t),$$
  
 $L_{12}(f) = \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\sin \theta' \cos^2 \theta' f(\rho', \theta', t)}{\rho'} d\theta' d\rho',$ 

For  $f(\rho, \theta, 0) = f_0(\rho, \theta)$ ,

$$f(\rho, \theta, t) = \frac{f_0}{(1 - \frac{1}{2}tL_{12}f_0)^2}.$$

From this explicit formula, we see that the fundamental model admits the following family of self-similar solutions ( $\Gamma$  is s.t.  $c_{\Gamma} \neq 0$ ):

$$f(\rho, \theta, t) = 2 \frac{\Gamma(\theta)}{c_{\Gamma}} \frac{1}{1-t} F_{*,rad} \left(\frac{\rho^{\alpha}}{1-t}\right), \quad F_{*,rad}(z) = \frac{z}{(1+z)^2},$$
$$c_{\Gamma} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta \Gamma(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\theta.$$

## Set-up of the perturbative scheme, naive formulation

Now the aim is to view the Euler equations in self-similar coordinates as a perturbation of the fundamental model.

Recap:  $\omega(r, x_3) = \Omega(R, \theta)$ ,  $\psi(r, x_3) = \rho^2 \Psi(R, \theta)$ . The vorticity tranport equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Omega + (-3\Psi - \alpha R \partial_R \Psi) \partial_\theta \Omega + (\partial_\theta \Psi - \tan \theta \Psi) \alpha R \partial_R \Omega \\ &= \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \left( 2\sin \theta \Psi + \cos \theta \partial_\theta \partial_\theta \Psi + \alpha \sin \theta R \partial_R \Psi \right) \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Recall:

$$\Psi(R,\Theta) = -\frac{1}{4\alpha} \sin 2\theta L_{12}(F) + O(\alpha).$$

Thus,

$$\partial_t \Omega - \frac{3}{2\alpha} \sin(2\theta) L_{12}(\Omega) \partial_\theta \Omega + L_{12}(\Omega) (\cos(2\theta) - \sin^2 \theta) R \partial_R \Omega$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\alpha} L_{12}(\Omega) \Omega + \cdots$ 

# Set-up of the perturbative scheme, naive formulation

Now introduce the ansatz

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{1-t} F\left(\frac{R}{1-t}, \theta\right) \Rightarrow \Psi = \frac{1}{1-t} \Phi\left(\frac{R}{1-t}, \theta\right).$$

and introduce the self-similar variable  $z = \frac{R}{1-t}$ . Then

$$F + z\partial_z F - \frac{1}{\alpha}L_{12}(F)F - \frac{3}{2\alpha}\sin(2\theta)L_{12}(F)\partial_\theta F + (\cos(2\theta) - \sin^2\theta)L_{12}(F)z\partial_z F$$
$$= \mathcal{N}.$$

# Set-up of the perturbative scheme, naive formulation

Introduce the ansatz

$$F = F_* + g, \quad F_* = 2\alpha \frac{\Gamma(\theta)}{c_{\Gamma}} \frac{z}{(1+z)^2}.$$

Then, since  $F_* + z\partial_z F_* - \frac{1}{\alpha}L_{12}(F_*)F_* = 0$ ,

$$g + z\partial_{z}g - 2\frac{g}{1+z} - \frac{2z\Gamma(\theta)}{c_{\Gamma}(1+z)^{2}}L_{12}(g) - \frac{3}{2\alpha}\sin(2\theta)L_{12}(F_{*})\partial_{\theta}g$$
$$= \frac{3}{2}\sin(2\theta)L_{12}(F_{*})\partial_{\theta}F_{*} - (\cos(2\theta) - \sin^{2}\theta)L_{12}(F_{*})z\partial_{z}F_{*} + \mathcal{N}'.$$
  
Now choosing 
$$\Gamma(\theta) = \sin^{\alpha}\theta\cos^{2\alpha}\theta$$

makes the transport terms (first two terms on the RHS) small.

## **Coercivity of the linearized operator & elliptic estimates**

We wish to study the linear operator  

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}^{T}g = g + z\partial_{z}g - 2\frac{g}{1+z} - \frac{2z\Gamma(\theta)}{c_{\Gamma}(1+z)^{2}}L_{12}(g) - \frac{3}{2\alpha}\mathbb{P}(\sin(2\theta)L_{12}(F_{*})\partial_{\theta}g) = F.$$
where  $\mathbb{P}$  is a suitable projection (to be detailed later).  
• Ignoring the second two terms, looking at  
 $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma} := 1 + z\partial_{z} - \frac{2}{1+z},$   
we are motivated to remove  $\frac{2}{1+z}$  by conjugating with the weight  
 $w = \frac{(1+z)^{2}}{z^{2}}$ . Thus, we need  $g(0) = g'(0) = 0$  and correspondingly  $-\frac{1}{z}\int_{q}^{q}g^{2}$   
 $F(0) = F'(0) = 0.$   
• Taking the second to last term into account, we also need to impose  
 $(L_{12}g)(0) = 0(L_{12}F)(0) = 0.$ 

• Finally, the last term comes with  $\mathbb{P}$  to ensure the preceding vanishing conditions (i.e.,  $L_{12}g(0) = 0 \Rightarrow L_{12}(\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}^{T}g)(0) = 0$ ).

## **Coercivity of the linearized operator & elliptic estimates**

• One commutes with  $D_R = R\partial_R$  and  $D_\theta = \sin(2\theta)\partial_\theta$  and proves an estimate with respect to the norm:

$$|f||_{\mathcal{H}^{2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \left| D_{R}^{k} f \frac{w}{\sqrt{\sin^{1-}(2\theta)}} \right| \overset{2}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\atopk=0}{\underset{k=0$$

- We need the singular weights so that H<sup>2</sup> → L<sup>∞</sup>, which in turn allows us to prove algebra estimates for treating nonlinear terms. Note that Γ(θ) = sin<sup>α</sup> θ cos<sup>2α</sup> θ. Small α is used for commuting with D<sub>θ</sub> = sin(2θ)∂<sub>θ</sub>.
- Need to also upgrade the fundamental lemma (i.e., approximation of Biot–Savart) so that one has good elliptic bounds in H<sup>2</sup> for the remainder.

Now we come back to the equation for g; note that we need to ensure the vanishing conditions.

Introduce modulation parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$ :

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{1 - (1 + \mu)t} F\left(\frac{R}{(1 - (1 + \mu)t)^{1 + \lambda}}, \theta\right).$$

Correspondingly, the self-similar variable takes the form

$$z=\frac{R}{(1-(1+\mu)t)^{1+\lambda}},$$

and  $\Phi(z,\theta) = (1 - (1 + \mu)t)\Psi(z,\theta).$ 

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}^{T}g = -\mu F_{*} - (\mu + \lambda + \mu \lambda) z \partial_{z} F_{*} + \mathcal{N}^{\prime\prime}$$

# Set-up of the perturbative scheme, modulation

Recap:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}^{T}g = -\mu F_{*} - (\mu + \lambda + \mu \lambda) z \partial_{z} F_{*} + \mathcal{N}^{\prime\prime}$$

The set-up gives  $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}^{T}g(0) = 0$  for free; one sets  $\lambda, \mu$  so that  $(\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}^{T}g)'(0) = L_{12}(\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}^{T}g)(0) = 0.$ 

Setting  $\Gamma(\theta) = \sin^{\alpha} \theta \cos^{2\alpha} \theta$  kills the main transport terms (cf. Thibault's talk).

The rest are easy to handle. As a result, one obtains an a-priori bound of the form

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \lesssim \underline{\alpha^2}. \qquad \lambda_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x})$$

The a-priori bound can be upgraded to existence via soft argument.