

Groups in the theory of compact complex manifolds

Thomas Scanlon

University of California, Berkeley

III Encuentro de la Teoría de Modelos
Villa de Leyva, Colombia
18 August 2005

Manifolds as structures

Definition

If M is a complex manifold, then a subset $X \subseteq M$ is **analytic** if for any point $x \in M$ there are an open neighborhood $x \in U \subseteq M$ and a holomorphic function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ for which $X \cap U = \{z \in U \mid f(z) = \mathbf{0}\}$.

Manifolds as structures

Definition

If M is a complex manifold, then a subset $X \subseteq M$ is **analytic** if for any point $x \in M$ there are an open neighborhood $x \in U \subseteq M$ and a holomorphic function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ for which $X \cap U = \{z \in U \mid f(z) = \mathbf{0}\}$.

Definition

The structure \mathcal{CCM} is the multisorted structure having a sort \underline{M} for each compact complex manifold M for which for each finite sequence of basic sorts, $\underline{M}_1, \dots, \underline{M}_n$, and analytic subset $X \subseteq M_1 \times \dots \times M_n$ there is a basic relation \underline{X} on the product of sorts $\underline{M}_1 \times \dots \times \underline{M}_n$ to be interpreted by X .

Basic model theory of \mathcal{CCM}

- \mathcal{CCM} eliminates quantifiers.

Basic model theory of \mathcal{CCM}

- \mathcal{CCM} eliminates quantifiers.
- Sort by sort, \mathcal{CCM} has finite Morley rank and for any analytic set X we have $\text{RM}(X) \leq U(X) \leq \dim(X)$ where \dim is the complex dimension.

Basic model theory of \mathcal{CCM}

- \mathcal{CCM} eliminates quantifiers.
- Sort by sort, \mathcal{CCM} has finite Morley rank and for any analytic set X we have $\text{RM}(X) \leq U(X) \leq \dim(X)$ where \dim is the complex dimension.
- \mathcal{CCM} is \aleph_1 -compact.

Basic model theory of \mathcal{CCM}

- Sort by sort, \mathcal{CCM} has finite Morley rank and for any analytic set X we have $\text{RM}(X) \leq U(X) \leq \dim(X)$ where \dim is the complex dimension.
- \mathcal{CCM} is \aleph_1 -compact.
- The language we have set for \mathcal{CCM} has cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} . For some compact complex manifolds M it is possible to find a countable reduct \mathcal{L} so that the \mathcal{L}_M -definable sets (ie with parameters from M) in all the Cartesian powers of M coincide with our original class of definable sets. In general, this is not possible.

Basic model theory of \mathcal{CCM}

- \mathcal{CCM} is \aleph_1 -compact.
- The language we have set for \mathcal{CCM} has cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} . For some compact complex manifolds M it is possible to find a countable reduct \mathcal{L} so that the \mathcal{L}_M -definable sets (ie with parameters from M) in all the Cartesian powers of M coincide with our original class of definable sets. In general, this is not possible.
- Given a strongly minimal set X in \mathcal{CCM} , possibly after removing finitely many points, X with the traces of analytic sets on its Cartesian powers as the closed sets is a **Zariski geometry**.

Basic model theory of \mathcal{CCM}

- Given a strongly minimal set X in \mathcal{CCM} , possibly after removing finitely many points, X with the traces of analytic sets on its Cartesian powers as the closed sets is a **Zariski geometry**.
- Complex algebraic geometry lives in \mathcal{CCM} in the sense that the complex projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is a compact complex manifold and $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{\infty\}$ is a definable set and the field operations are definable. Moreover, by Chow's Theorem the induced structure on \mathbb{C} is just that of its field structure with all the elements named.

Basic model theory of \mathcal{CCM}

- Complex algebraic geometry lives in \mathcal{CCM} in the sense that the complex projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is a compact complex manifold and $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{\infty\}$ is a definable set and the field operations are definable. Moreover, by Chow's Theorem the induced structure on \mathbb{C} is just that of its field structure with all the elements named.
- While \mathcal{CCM} does not eliminate imaginaries, the natural expansion to \mathcal{A} , whose sorts are the compact complex **analytic spaces**, does.

The question

Question

Let $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$ be a model of the theory of \mathcal{A} . What groups are interpretable in \mathcal{A}' ?

An answer

Theorem (Pillay-Scanlon)

If G is a group interpretable in \mathcal{A} , then there are a compact complex Lie group T , a linear algebraic group L over \mathbb{C} , and a definable maps $\iota : L \rightarrow G$ and $\pi : G \rightarrow T$ so that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} T \longrightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

An answer

Theorem (Pillay-Scanlon)

If G is a group interpretable in \mathcal{A} , then there are a compact complex Lie group T , a linear algebraic group L over \mathbb{C} , and a definable maps $\iota : L \rightarrow G$ and $\pi : G \rightarrow T$ so that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} T \longrightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

Theorem (Aschenbrenner-Moosa-Scanlon; Scanlon)

If G is a group interpretable in \mathcal{A}' , then there are a **definably compact group** T , a linear algebraic group L over \mathbb{C}' , and definable maps $\iota : L \rightarrow G$ and $\pi : G \rightarrow T$ so that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} T \longrightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

There is only one field

- From the classification theorem for locally compact fields it follows that any field interpretable in \mathcal{CCM} is definably isomorphic to \mathbb{C} .

There is only one field

- From the classification theorem for locally compact fields it follows that any field interpretable in \mathcal{CM} is definably isomorphic to \mathbb{C} .
- MOOSA proved a nonstandard version of the Riemann Existence Theorem from which it follows that any field interpretable in $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$ is definably isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}' := (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\mathcal{A}'} \setminus \{\infty^{\mathcal{A}'}\}$.

Complex analysis in nonstandard manifolds

Let $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$ be an elementary extension of \mathcal{A} .

Complex analysis in nonstandard manifolds

Let $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$ be an elementary extension of \mathcal{A} .

Definition

Let $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$. If M is a compact complex manifold, then by an analytic subset of $M^{\mathcal{A}'}$ we mean a set of the form $(f^{\mathcal{A}'})^{-1}\{b\}$ where $f : M \rightarrow B$ is a holomorphic map between compact complex manifolds and $b \in B^{\mathcal{A}'}$.

Complex analysis in nonstandard manifolds

Let $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$ be an elementary extension of \mathcal{A} .

Definition

Let $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$. If M is a compact complex manifold, then by an analytic subset of $M^{\mathcal{A}'}$ we mean a set of the form $(f^{\mathcal{A}'})^{-1}\{b\}$ where $f : M \rightarrow B$ is a holomorphic map between compact complex manifolds and $b \in B^{\mathcal{A}'}$.

Definition

By a **meromorphic function** $f : M \rightarrow N$ between the irreducible analytic sets M and N we mean an irreducible analytic subset $\Gamma_f \subseteq M \times N$ for which there is a Zariski open and dense subset $U \subseteq M$ with $\Gamma_f \cap (U \times N)$ being the graph of a definable function.

Complex analysis in nonstandard manifolds

Let $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$ be an elementary extension of \mathcal{A} .

Definition

By a **meromorphic function** $f : M \rightarrow N$ between the irreducible analytic sets M and N we mean an irreducible analytic subset $\Gamma_f \subseteq M \times N$ for which there is a Zariski open and dense subset $U \subseteq M$ with $\Gamma_f \cap (U \times N)$ being the graph of a definable function.

Definition

By a **definable manifold** in \mathcal{A}' we mean a set M given together with a finite covering $M = \cup_{i=1}^n V_i$ and bijections $\psi_i : V_i \rightarrow U_i \subseteq X_i$ between each V_i and Zariski open subsets $U_i \subseteq X_i$ of analytic sets for which the induced transition maps are meromorphic.

Complex analysis in nonstandard manifolds

Let $\mathcal{A}' \succ \mathcal{A}$ be an elementary extension of \mathcal{A} .

Proposition

If G is any group interpretable in \mathcal{A}' , then G admits a unique (up to isomorphism) structure of a definable group manifold.

Interpretation in \mathbb{R}_{an}

Definition

\mathbb{R}_{an} is the real field $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, 0, 1, <)$ expanded by function symbols for the restriction to the unit n -cubes of real analytic functions.

Interpretation in \mathbb{R}_{an}

Definition

\mathbb{R}_{an} is the real field $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, 0, 1, <)$ expanded by function symbols for the restriction to the unit n -cubes of real analytic functions.

- The theory of \mathbb{R}_{an} is o-minimal.

Interpretation in \mathbb{R}_{an}

Definition

\mathbb{R}_{an} is the real field $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, 0, 1, <)$ expanded by function symbols for the restriction to the unit n -cubes of real analytic functions.

- The theory of \mathbb{R}_{an} is o-minimal.
- Regarding \mathbb{C} as \mathbb{R}^2 via the identification $z \mapsto (\operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z))$, any complex analytic function may be seen as a pair of real analytic functions. Using compactness, we may interpret \mathcal{CCM} and \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{R}_{an} .

Interpretation in \mathbb{R}_{an}

- Regarding \mathbb{C} as \mathbb{R}^2 via the identification $z \mapsto (\operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z))$, any complex analytic function may be seen as a pair of real analytic functions. Using compactness, we may interpret \mathcal{CM} and \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{R}_{an} .
- If $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$ is an elementary extension of \mathcal{A} , then there is a further elementary extension $\mathcal{A}'' \succeq \mathcal{A}$ which is interpreted in a model of \mathbb{R}_{an} .

Interpretation in \mathbb{R}_{an}

- If $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$ is an elementary extension of \mathcal{A} , then there is a further elementary extension $\mathcal{A}'' \succeq \mathcal{A}$ which is interpreted in a model of \mathbb{R}_{an} .

Definition

A definable manifold M in an o-minimal expansion of an ordered field is **definably compact** if for any definable continuous curve $\gamma : [0, 1) \rightarrow M$ the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \gamma(x)$ exists in M .

Interpretation in \mathbb{R}_{an}

Definition

A definable manifold M in an o-minimal expansion of an ordered field is **definably compact** if for any definable continuous curve $\gamma : [0, 1) \rightarrow M$ the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \gamma(x)$ exists in M .

So, when we say that T is definably compact, we mean that with its unique group manifold structure it is definably compact when regarded as being defined in a model of \mathbb{R}_{an} .

Classification of strongly minimal groups

Theorem (Pillay-Scanlon;Aschenbrenner-Moosa-Scanlon)

Let G be a strongly minimal group interpretable in $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$. Then either G is definably compact or G is definably isomorphic to the additive or multiplicative group of \mathbb{C}' .

Classification of strongly minimal groups

Theorem (Pillay-Scanlon;Aschenbrenner-Moosa-Scanlon)

Let G be a strongly minimal group interpretable in $\mathcal{A}' \succeq \mathcal{A}$. Then either G is definably compact or G is definably isomorphic to the additive or multiplicative group of \mathbb{C}' .

Proof sketch:

Classification of strongly minimal groups

Proof sketch:

- By strong minimality, G may be expressed as $U \cup F$ where $U \subseteq X$ is a Zariski dense and open subset of the irreducible analytic set X and F is finite.

Classification of strongly minimal groups

- By strong minimality, G may be expressed as $U \cup F$ where $U \subseteq X$ is a Zariski dense and open subset of the irreducible analytic set X and F is finite.
- Using a fairly long though elementary argument in point-set topology, one shows that there is a smooth, definably compact definable manifold \overline{G} and an embedding $\iota : G \rightarrow \overline{G}$ which with respect to the group manifold structure on G expresses G as a Zariski open subset of \overline{G} .

Classification of strongly minimal groups

- Using a fairly long though elementary argument in point-set topology, one shows that there is a smooth, definably compact definable manifold \overline{G} and an embedding $\iota : G \rightarrow \overline{G}$ which with respect to the group manifold structure on G expresses G as a Zariski open subset of \overline{G} .
- If $\iota(G) = \overline{G}$, we are done. Otherwise, one expresses G as a linear algebraic group by considering its action on the infinitesimal neighborhood of a point on the boundary $\overline{G} \setminus \iota(G)$. \square

Composition series

Proposition

If G is a connected group of finitely Morley rank, then there is a composition series $\{1\} = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$ of normal definable subgroups for which each quotient G_{i+1}/G_i is contained in the definable closure of a strongly minimal set together with a finite set.

Composition series

Proposition

If G is a connected group of finitely Morley rank, then there is a composition series $\{1\} = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$ of normal definable subgroups for which each quotient G_{i+1}/G_i is contained in the definable closure of a strongly minimal set together with a finite set.

Proof: Work by induction on $U(G)$.

Composition series

Proof: Work by induction on $U(G)$.
Let $X \subseteq G$ be strongly minimal.

Composition series

Proof: Work by induction on $U(G)$.

Let $X \subseteq G$ be strongly minimal. Possibly removing finitely many points, X is indecomposable.

Composition series

Proof: Work by induction on $U(G)$.

Let $X \subseteq G$ be strongly minimal. Possibly removing finitely many points, X is indecomposable. Translating, we may assume that X contains the origin.

Composition series

Proof: Work by induction on $U(G)$.

Let $X \subseteq G$ be strongly minimal. Possibly removing finitely many points, X is indecomposable. Translating, we may assume that X contains the origin.

By Zilber's Indecomposability theorem, N , the group generated by the conjugates of X is normal, definable, connected and generated in finitely many steps from finitely many of the conjugates, X^{g_1}, \dots, X^{g_m} .

Composition series

Let $X \subseteq G$ be strongly minimal. Possibly removing finitely many points, X is indecomposable. Translating, we may assume that X contains the origin.

By Zilber's Indecomposability theorem, N , the group generated by the conjugates of X is normal, definable, connected and generated in finitely many steps from finitely many of the conjugates, X^{g_1}, \dots, X^{g_m} .

Hence, N is contained in $\text{dcl}(X, g_1, \dots, g_n, A)$ where A is a finite set over which X is defined.

Composition series

By Zilber's Indecomposability theorem, N , the group generated by the conjugates of X is normal, definable, connected and generated in finitely many steps from finitely many of the conjugates, X^{g_1}, \dots, X^{g_m} .

Hence, N is contained in $\text{dcl}(X, g_1, \dots, g_n, A)$ where A is a finite set over which X is defined.

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N =: \overline{G}$ be the quotient map.

Composition series

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N =: \overline{G}$ be the quotient map.

By induction, there is a good composition series

$$\{1\} = \overline{G}_0 \triangleleft \overline{G}_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \overline{G}_n = \overline{G}.$$

Composition series

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N =: \overline{G}$ be the quotient map.

By induction, there is a good composition series

$\{1\} = \overline{G}_0 \triangleleft \overline{G}_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \overline{G}_n = \overline{G}$. Let $G_0 := \{1\}$ and $G_{i+1} := \pi^{-1}\overline{G}_i$
for $i \geq 0$. □

What is to be done?

- To prove the main theorem, it suffices to consider connected groups G interpretable in \mathcal{A}' .
- We are given a composition series $\{1\} = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$ from the previous proposition.
- We need to show that we may choose the composition series so that for some N each of the quotients G_{i+1}/G_i is linear algebraic for $i < N$ and G_{i+1}/G_i is definably compact for $i \geq N$.
- We then argue that indeed G_N is linear algebraic and G/G_N is definably compact.

What is to be done?

- To prove the main theorem, it suffices to consider connected groups G interpretable in \mathcal{A}' .
- We are given a composition series $\{1\} = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$ from the previous proposition.
- We need to show that we may choose the composition series so that for some N each of the quotients G_{i+1}/G_i is linear algebraic for $i < N$ and G_{i+1}/G_i is definably compact for $i \geq N$.
- We then argue that indeed G_N is linear algebraic and G/G_N is definably compact.

What is to be done?

- To prove the main theorem, it suffices to consider connected groups G interpretable in \mathcal{A}' .
- We are given a composition series $\{1\} = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$ from the previous proposition.
- We need to show that we may choose the composition series so that for some N each of the quotients G_{i+1}/G_i is linear algebraic for $i < N$ and G_{i+1}/G_i is definably compact for $i \geq N$.
- We then argue that indeed G_N is linear algebraic and G/G_N is definably compact.

What is to be done?

- To prove the main theorem, it suffices to consider connected groups G interpretable in \mathcal{A}' .
- We are given a composition series $\{1\} = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$ from the previous proposition.
- We need to show that we may choose the composition series so that for some N each of the quotients G_{i+1}/G_i is linear algebraic for $i < N$ and G_{i+1}/G_i is definably compact for $i \geq N$.
- We then argue that indeed G_N is linear algebraic and G/G_N is definably compact.

Rearranging the sequence

Lemma

If $1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 1$ is an exact sequence of definable groups in \mathcal{A}' where K is definably compact and A is a one-dimensional linear algebraic group, then H is definably isomorphic to $H \times A$.

Rearranging the sequence

Lemma

If $1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 1$ is an exact sequence of definable groups in \mathcal{A}' where K is definably compact and A is a one-dimensional linear algebraic group, then H is definably isomorphic to $H \times A$.

The key to the proof of this lemma is a compactification theorem similar to the strongly minimal case. A subgroup of H isomorphic to A via the projection is then realized via the action of H on the boundary.

Rearranging the sequence

Lemma

If $1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 1$ is an exact sequence of definable groups in \mathcal{A}' where K is definably compact and A is a one-dimensional linear algebraic group, then H is definably isomorphic to $H \times A$.

The key to the proof of this lemma is a compactification theorem similar to the strongly minimal case. A subgroup of H isomorphic to A via the projection is then realized via the action of H on the boundary.

From this lemma, one can drop the hypothesis that $\dim(A) = 1$. Using this observation repeatedly, we find the desired rearranged composition series.

Definable compactness of G/G_N

On general grounds, in any o-minimal structure an extension of a definably compact group by a definably compact group is definably compact. Hence, G/G_N is definably compact.

Linearity of G_N

Lemma

If $1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 1$ is an exact sequence of definable groups in \mathcal{A}' where both N and K are linear algebraic, then H is linear algebraic.

- Pillay's generalized socle theorem: If H is a group definable in \mathcal{A}' , $X \subseteq H$ is an irreducible subvariety and $S \leq H$ is the stabilizer of X , then the quotient X/S is bimeromorphic with an algebraic variety and
- another compactification argument.

Linearity of G_N

Lemma

If $1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 1$ is an exact sequence of definable groups in \mathcal{A}' where both N and K are linear algebraic, then H is linear algebraic.

The proof of this lemma uses two ingredients:

- Pillay's generalized socle theorem: If H is a group definable in \mathcal{A}' , $X \subseteq H$ is an irreducible subvariety and $S \leq H$ is the stabilizer of X , then the quotient X/S is bimeromorphic with an algebraic variety and
- another compactification argument.

Linearity of G_N

Lemma

If $1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 1$ is an exact sequence of definable groups in \mathcal{A}' where both N and K are linear algebraic, then H is linear algebraic.

The proof of this lemma uses two ingredients:

- Pillay's generalized socle theorem: If H is a group definable in \mathcal{A}' , $X \subseteq H$ is an irreducible subvariety and $S \leq H$ is the stabilizer of X , then the quotient X/S is bimeromorphic with an algebraic variety and
- another compactification argument.

Linearity of G_N

Lemma

If $1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 1$ is an exact sequence of definable groups in \mathcal{A}' where both N and K are linear algebraic, then H is linear algebraic.

The proof of this lemma uses two ingredients:

- Pillay's generalized socle theorem: If H is a group definable in \mathcal{A}' , $X \subseteq H$ is an irreducible subvariety and $S \leq H$ is the stabilizer of X , then the quotient X/S is bimeromorphic with an algebraic variety and
- another compactification argument.

Linearity of G_N

Lemma

If $1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 1$ is an exact sequence of definable groups in \mathcal{A}' where both N and K are linear algebraic, then H is linear algebraic.

The proof of this lemma uses two ingredients:

- Pillay's generalized socle theorem: If H is a group definable in \mathcal{A}' , $X \subseteq H$ is an irreducible subvariety and $S \leq H$ is the stabilizer of X , then the quotient X/S is bimeromorphic with an algebraic variety and
- another compactification argument.

From this, it follows that G_N is linear, and, hence, the main theorem.

Questions

Questions

Question

Is every definably compact group in \mathcal{A}' a nonstandard complex torus?

Questions

Question

Is every definably compact group in \mathcal{A}' a nonstandard complex torus?

Question

Are there other theories of groups of finite Morley rank for which our compactification arguments make sense?