

# $p$ -Jets and Uniform Unramified Manin-Mumford

Thomas Scanlon

UC Berkeley

scanlon@math.berkeley.edu

19 July 2001

SMF-AMS joint meeting, Lyons

1

## The Uniform Unramified Manin-Mumford Theorem

**Theorem 1** *Let  $R$  be a complete, mixed characteristic, discrete valuation ring with an algebraically closed residue field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a family of abelian schemes over  $R$  and  $X \subseteq A$  a closed subscheme.*

*There is a natural number  $n$  and a sequence of families of subabelian schemes  $A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)} \subseteq A$  for which given any point  $b \in B(R)$  there are an index set  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  and points  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in A_b(R)$  such that*

$$X_b(R) \cap A_b(R)_{\text{tor}} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \zeta_i + A_b^{(i)}(R)_{\text{tor}}$$

2

## Modularity and Groups of Lang-type

**Definition:** Let  $G$  be a commutative algebraic group over some algebraically closed field  $K$ . We say that  $\Gamma \leq G(K)$  is of *Lang-type* if for any natural number  $n$  and subvariety  $X \subseteq G^n$  of  $G^n$  the set  $X(K) \cap \Gamma^n$  is a finite union of cosets of subgroups.

**Proposition 2 (Pillay)** *A group  $\Gamma$  is of Lang-type if and only if the structure  $\mathcal{K} = (K, +, \cdot, \Gamma, \{\underline{a}\}_{a \in K})$  is stable and in  $\mathcal{K}$  the set defined by  $\Gamma$  is one-based.*

## Finiteness and Uniformity

**Corollary 3** *If the subgroup  $\Gamma \leq G(K)$  of the algebraic group  $G$  is of Lang-type, then for any family  $\{X_b\}_{b \in B}$  of subvarieties of  $G$  there are a natural number  $n$  and algebraic subgroups  $G_1, \dots, G_n \leq G$  such that for any  $b \in B$  there are a set  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  and points  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$  such that*

$$X_b(K) \cap \Gamma = \bigcup_{i \in I} \gamma_i + (G_i(K) \cap \Gamma)$$

## Witt vectors

- $W$  is a functor from the category of characteristic  $p$  fields to complete discrete valuation rings with maximal ideal generated by  $p$ .
- As a set,  $W[k] = W_{p^\infty}[k]$  is  ${}^\omega k$ .
- The residue field  $W[k]/pW[k]$  is canonically isomorphic to  $k$ . More generally, the association  $k \Rightarrow W[k]/p^n W[k]$  defines a ring scheme with  $W[k]/p^n W[k]$  identified (as a set) with  ${}^n k$ .
- $W[\mathbb{F}_p] = \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $W[\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}] = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{unr}}$ , the maximal unramified extension (or the completion of the maximal unramified algebraic extension) of the  $p$ -adic integers.
- $\text{Aut}(k) = \text{Aut}_{\text{cont}}(W[k])$ . In particular, the Frobenius automorphism lifts to the relative Frobenius on the Witt vectors.

## $p$ -Derivations

**Definition:** A  $p$ -derivation on a unital commutative ring  $R$  is a function  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  satisfying

- $\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) + \Phi_p(x, y)$  where  $\Phi_p(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$  is the polynomial  $\frac{1}{p}((X + Y)^p - X^p - Y^p)$  and
- $\delta(x \cdot y) = x^p \delta(y) + y^p \delta(x) + p\delta(x)\delta(y)$ .

If  $\tau : W[k] \rightarrow W[k]$  is the relative Frobenius, then  $\delta_p : W[k] \rightarrow W[k]$  defined by  $\delta(x) = \frac{\tau(x) - x^p}{p}$  is a  $p$ -derivation.

## $p$ -Jets

**Definition:** An *analytic function*  $f : W[k]^n \rightarrow W[k]$  is a function of the form  $x \mapsto \sum a_\alpha x^\alpha$  where  $v(a_\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition:** A  *$p$ -jet function*  $g : W[k]^m \rightarrow W[k]$  is a function of the form  $x \mapsto f(x, \dots, \delta^\ell x)$  for some natural number  $\ell$  and analytic function  $f$  of  $m(\ell + 1)$  arguments.

7

## Buium-Manin homomorphisms

**Theorem 4 (Buium)** *Let  $A$  be an abelian scheme over  $W[k]$ , the Witt vectors of an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. There is a surjective  $p$ -jet group homomorphism  $\mu : A(W[k]) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a^g(pW[k])$ .*

- $A(W[k])_{\text{tor}} \leq A^\sharp(W[k])$ .
- $A^\sharp(W[k])/p^\infty A(W[k])$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module.
- The reduction map  $\pi : A(W[k]) \rightarrow \overline{A}(k)$  is surjective when restricted to  $A^\sharp$ .

We will prove the uniform unramified Manin-Mumford theorem by analyzing the following extension as a model-theoretic cover:

$$0 \longrightarrow A_0^\sharp \longrightarrow A^\sharp \longrightarrow \overline{A} \longrightarrow 0$$

8

## Witt Vectors as Analytic Difference Rings

We consider  $W[k]$  (for  $k$  an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ ) in a language having

- an  $n$ -ary function symbol  $f$  for each analytic function of  $n$ -variables  $f : W[k]^n \rightarrow W[k]$ ,
- a binary function symbol  $\mathcal{Q}$  interpreted as  $\mathcal{Q}(x, y) = \frac{x}{y}$  if  $vx \geq vy \neq \infty$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(x, y) = 0$  otherwise,
- a unary function symbol  $\sigma$  interpreted as the relative Frobenius,
- a unary function symbol  $\mathfrak{a}_n$  (one for each positive integer  $n$ ) taking values in the imaginary sort  $W[k]/p^n W[k]$  whose interpretation is defined by the relation  $x(1 + p^n W[k]) = p^{vx} \mathfrak{a}_n(x)$ , and
- unary predicates  $D_n$  interpreted as  $D_n(x) \Leftrightarrow n|vx$ .

## Basic Theorems about the Witt Vectors as Analytic Difference Rings

**Theorem 5** •  $W[k]$  eliminates quantifiers as an analytic difference ring.

- If  $k \subseteq k'$  is an extension of algebraically closed fields of characteristic  $p$ , then  $W[k] \preceq W[k']$  as analytic difference rings.
- If  $R \succeq W[k]$  is a saturated elementary extension and  $\bar{\rho} : R/pR \rightarrow R/pR$  is an automorphism, then  $\bar{\rho}$  lifts to an automorphism  $\rho : R \rightarrow R$ .

## Orthogonality in Analytic Difference Fields

**Definition:** We say that the definable sets  $X$  and  $Y$  are *orthogonal*,  $X \perp Y$ , if every definable subset of  $X \times Y$  is a finite Boolean combination of sets of the form  $A \times B$  where  $A \subseteq X$  and  $B \subseteq Y$  are definable sets.

In  $W[k]$  considered as an analytic difference ring, the residue field and value group are orthogonal.

## $p$ -Jet Version of Uniform Unramified Manin-Mumford

**Theorem 6** *Let  $R \succeq W[k]$  be an elementary extension of the Witt vectors of an algebraically closed field  $k$  of positive characteristic considered as an analytic difference ring. If  $A$  is an abelian scheme over  $R$  and  $X \subseteq A$  is a closed subscheme, then  $X(R) \cap A^\sharp(R)$  is a finite union of sets of the form  $Y(R) + B(R)$  where  $Y \subseteq A_0^\sharp$  is a definable subset of  $A_0^\sharp$  and  $B \leq A^\sharp$  is a definable subgroup of  $A^\sharp$ .*

Theorem 1 follows via a (non-trivial) compactness argument.

## Definable Sets in Buium-Manin Kernels

**Proposition 7** *Let  $k \models \text{ACF}_p$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$  and let  $R \succeq W[k]$  be an elementary extension of the analytic difference ring  $W[k]$ . If  $A$  is an abelian scheme over  $R$  and  $X \subseteq A^\sharp(R)$  is a definable set, then  $X$  is a finite Boolean combination of sets of the form  $Y(R) + \pi \upharpoonright_B^{-1} Z(k)$  where  $Y \subseteq A_0^\sharp$  is a definable subset of the kernel of reduction,  $B \leq A^\sharp$  is a definable subgroup, and  $Z \subseteq \overline{A}$  is a subvariety of the special fibre.*

## Key Ingredients of the Proof

- Reduce to the case that  $R = W[\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}]$ .
- Mimic the proof of the socle theorem using the proalgebraic structure of  $A^\sharp(W[k])$  to replace arguments that should only work in the finite Morley rank context. [This gives Proposition 7.]
- In passing from Proposition 7 to Theorem 6, one reduces to the case that any set of the form  $\pi \upharpoonright_B^{-1} Z(k)$  is actually the intersection of a subscheme of  $A$  with a subgroup  $B$  of  $A^\sharp$  which maps finite-to-one to  $\overline{A}$ . In the case that  $k = \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ ,  $B(R)$  is torsion so that by Raynaud's Theorem, the set under consideration is a finite union of cosets of groups.

## Open Questions

- Does the uniformity theorem hold for the full torsion group over  $\mathbb{C}$ ?
- What is the possible structure on  $A_0^\sharp$ ?
- Can the appeal to Raynaud's Theorem be replaced with a Zariski geometry argument?