

SOLUTIONS TO PRACTICE FINAL

1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be a complex number satisfying the equation $\alpha^3 - 3\alpha + 1 = 0$. Compute $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}, \alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]$.

By the rational root criterion, the only possible roots of $Q(X) := X^3 - 3X + 1$ in \mathbb{Q} are ± 1 which one checks are not actually roots. As Q is cubic, if it were reducible it would have a linear factor. As it has no roots, it is irreducible. Hence, $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$. The square root of negative five satisfies the polynomial $R(X) = X^2 + 5 \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)[X]$. Hence, $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \sqrt{-5}) : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] = 1$ or 2 . If this degree were one, then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ so that $3 = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) : \mathbb{Q}]$. But we know that $X^2 + 5$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} so that $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$, which does not divide 3. Hence, $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \sqrt{-5}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \sqrt{-5}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3 \times 2 = 6$.

2. Prove or disprove: If K is an extension field of \mathbb{Q} and $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$, then there is an irreducible polynomial $P(X) \in K[X]$.

Easy solution: I meant to include the condition that $\deg(P) > 1$. Clearly, $P(X) = X \in K[X]$ is irreducible. The rest of the solution deals with the intended question.

Proof: Let $p > [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ be any prime number greater than the degree of the field extension. Let $Q(X) := X^p - 2$. By the Eisenstein criterion, Q is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Let R be an irreducible factor of Q over K and set $L := K[X]/(R)$. Let $\alpha \in L$ be the image of X . As $Q(\alpha) = 0$, we have $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = p$. Thus, $[L : K]d = [L : K][K : \mathbb{Q}] = [L : \mathbb{Q}] = [L : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)][\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = [L : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)]p$. Hence, p divides $[L : K]$ which being no more than p as $\deg(R) \leq p$ must be equal to p . That is, Q is irreducible over K .

3. Let $g(X) = X^4 - X^2 + X + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_3[X]$. Write g as a product of irreducible polynomials.

Observe that $g(2) = 16 - 4 + 2 + 1 = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Hence, $x - 2 = x + 1$ divides g . Using long division, one computes $g(x) = (x + 1)(x^3 - x^2 + 1)$. Substituting 0, 1, and 2 for x in the cubic factor, we find that it has no roots in \mathbb{Z}_3 . As \mathbb{Z}_3 is a field, we know that a cubic polynomial with no roots is irreducible. Hence, we have expressed g as a product of irreducible polynomials.

4. Write $\frac{5 - \sqrt[3]{49}}{1 + \sqrt[3]{7}}$ in the form $a + b\sqrt[3]{7} + c\sqrt[3]{49}$ for rational numbers a, b , and c .

$$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt[3]{7} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt[3]{49}$$

5. Show that if $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism of commutative rings and $a \in S$ is any element, then there is a unique homomorphism $\tilde{\phi} : R[X] \rightarrow S$ for which $\tilde{\phi}(X) = a$ and $\tilde{\phi}(r) = \phi(r)$ for all $r \in R$.

We prove uniqueness first. The map $\tilde{\phi}$ is a homomorphism. Hence, if $f = \sum b_i X^i \in R[X]$, we must have $\tilde{\phi}(f) = \tilde{\phi}(\sum b_i X^i) \stackrel{\text{preservation of addition}}{=} \sum \tilde{\phi}(b_i X^i) \stackrel{\text{preservation of multiplication}}{=} \sum \tilde{\phi}(b_i) \tilde{\phi}(X)^i \stackrel{\text{hypotheses on } \tilde{\phi}}{=} \sum \phi(b_i) a^i$. Now, we check that this formula correctly defines a homomorphism. Let $f = \sum b_i X^i$ and $g = \sum c_j X^j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}(f+g) &= \tilde{\phi}\left(\sum (b_i + c_i)X^i\right) \\
&= \sum \phi(b_i + c_i)a^i \\
&= \sum (\phi(b_i) + \phi(c_i))a^i \\
&= \sum (\phi(b_i)a^i + \phi(c_i)a^i) \\
&= \sum \phi(b_i)a^i + \sum \phi(c_i)a^i \\
&= \tilde{\phi}(f) + \tilde{\phi}(g)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}(fg) &= \tilde{\phi}\left(\sum_k \left(\sum_{i+j=k} b_i c_j\right) X^k\right) \\
&= \sum_k \phi\left(\sum_{i+j=k} b_i c_j\right) a^k \\
&= \sum_k \left(\sum_{i+j=k} \phi(b_i c_j)\right) a^k \\
&= \sum_k \left(\sum_{i+j=k} \phi(b_i) \phi(c_j)\right) a^k \\
&= \sum_i \sum_k \phi(b_i) \phi(c_j) a^{i+j} \\
&= \left(\sum b_i a^i\right) \left(\sum c_j a^j\right) \\
&= \tilde{\phi}(f) \tilde{\phi}(g)
\end{aligned}$$

Of course, $\tilde{\phi}(1) = 1$.

6. Express the quotient group $(\mathbb{Z}_{60} \times \mathbb{Z}_{24} \times \mathbb{Z}_{40}) / \langle (5, 16, 25) \rangle$ as a direct sum of cyclic groups.

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$$

[How did I find this? Write $\mathbb{Z}_{60} \times \mathbb{Z}_{24} \times \mathbb{Z}_{40}$ as $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ and the group by which we are factoring as $\langle (1, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0) \rangle$. One easily computes that the least common multiple of the orders of the components is twenty four. Hence, the factor group may be expressed as a product of abelian groups of order thirty-two, three, and twenty-five. One checks that the images of $(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ have orders eight and four, respectively, and are independent while the images of $(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ have order five and are independent.]

7. There is no question seven.

8. Compute $13^{5,389}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{305} .

As $305 = 5 \times 61$, we see that $\mathbb{Z}_{305}^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}_5^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_{61}^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_{60}$. Hence, $13^{60} = 1$. Computing the powers of 13, we find that $13^{12} = 1$. Dividing, we see that $5389 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. Hence, $13^{5,389} = 13$ in \mathbb{Z}_{305} .

9. Prove or disprove: If $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism of rings and $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq S$ is a prime ideal, then $\phi^{-1}\mathfrak{p} := \{x \in R : \phi(x) \in \mathfrak{p}\}$ is a prime ideal.

Proof: As \mathfrak{p} is prime, the factor ring S/\mathfrak{p} is an integral domain. Let $\pi : S \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{p}$ be the quotient map. Then the composite $\pi \circ \phi : R \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{p}$ is a homomorphism and $\phi^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = \ker(\pi \circ \phi)$ is the kernel of a homomorphism to an integral domain and therefore must be a prime ideal.

10. Find (with proof) all automorphisms of \mathbb{Z} .

There are two automorphisms of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$: The identity map and the map $x \mapsto -x$.

To prove this we note that if G is any group and α and β are two homomorphisms from \mathbb{Z} to G for which $\alpha(1) = \beta(1)$, then $\alpha = \beta$. We prove by induction on $n \geq 0$ that $\alpha(n) = \beta(n)$. The case of $n = 0$ is automatic as both map to the identity element of G . For $n + 1$ we have $\alpha(n + 1) = \alpha(n)\alpha(1) \stackrel{\text{by IH and the original hypothesis}}{=} \beta(n)\beta(1) = \beta(n + 1)$. Thus for $n \geq 0$ we have $\alpha(n) = \beta(n)$ but of course $\alpha(-n) = \alpha(n)^{-1} = \beta(n)^{-1} = \beta(-n)$.

Thus, an automorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is determined by $\alpha(1) =: N$. As $\alpha(n) = n\alpha(1) = nN$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we see that the image of α is contained in the ideal $N\mathbb{Z}$ which is all of \mathbb{Z} only in case N is a unit, 1 or -1 .

The identity map is clearly an automorphism. The map $x \mapsto -x$ is its own compositional inverse and it satisfies $-(x + y) = -x + -y$.

[The question as stated is ambiguous, if we asked about ring automorphisms, then only the identity map would be an automorphism, as any ring automorphism would also be a group automorphism and the map $x \mapsto -x$ is not a ring automorphism since $-1 \neq (-1)(-1)$.]

11. Prove or disprove: every group of order twelve has a subgroup of order six.

Disproof: The group A_4 has order twelve but no subgroup of order six. Let $G < A_4$ be a purported subgroup of order six. Considering cycles, we see that the elements of S_4 can have order 1, 2, 3 and 4. Hence, $G \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_6$. Thus, $G \cong S_3$ and has two elements of order three and three elements of order two. At the cost of permuting the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we may assume that G contains the cycle $(1, 2, 3)$, and thus also $(1, 3, 2)$. The elements of A_4 of order two have the form $(a, b)(c, d)$ where (a, b) and (c, d) are *disjoint* transpositions and $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. G must contain some element of order two. Hence, there is an element of the form $(a, 4)(c, d)$ with $\{a, c, d\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. But then $(1, 2, 3)(a, 4)(c, d)$ is a three-cycle with 4 in its orbit. This product belongs to G but is not $(1, 2, 3)$ or $(1, 3, 2)$ contrary to the above considerations. Hence, G does not exist.

12. Prove or disprove: If G is a nonempty set with a binary operations $*$ which satisfies left and right cancelation for all a and b in G there is some $x \in G$ with $a * x = b$ and some y with $y * a = b$, then $(G, *)$ is a group.

Disproof: Consider the following multiplication table.

$*$	a	b	c
a	a	b	c
b	c	a	b
c	b	c	a

In each row and in each column each element appears exactly once so that cancelation holds, but $(b * c) * a = b * a = c \neq a = b * b = b * (c * a)$ so that associativity fails meaning that $(G, *)$ is not a group.

13. How many elements of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times \mathbb{Z}_{16} \times S_5$ have order four?

792 [We compute the number of elements of order dividing four and then subtract the number of elements of order dividing two. There are four elements of \mathbb{Z}_{12} of order dividing four: 0, 3, 6, 9; four in \mathbb{Z}_{16} : 0, 4, 8, 12; and seventy-six in S_5 : count the four cycles (30), transpositions (10), products of two disjoint transpositions (15), and the identity (1). Hence, in the product group there are $4 \times 4 \times 56 = 896$ elements of order dividing 4. Similarly, there are $2 \times 2 \times 26 = 104$ elements of order dividing 2. Thus, there are $896 - 104 = 792$ elements of order exactly four.]

In 14. Prove or disprove: If $R = \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ is the ring of continuous real-valued functions on the closed interval $[0, 1] := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$, then $I := \{f \in R : f(\frac{1}{2}) = 0\}$ is a maximal ideal.

Proof: The map $R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f \mapsto f(\frac{1}{2})$ is a homomorphism onto a field. Hence, its kernel, I , is a maximal ideal.

15. How many subgroups of S_5 have exactly three elements?

Ten.

16. Let G be the set of functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} of the form $x \mapsto ax + b$ for some real numbers a and b with $a \neq 0$. Prove or disprove: G is a group under the binary operation of composition.

Proof: Let $(ax + b) \circ (cx + d) = acx + (ad + b)$ and if a and c are nonzero, then so is ac . Thus, G is closed under the operation of composition. The identity function is $(1)x + 0$ and $(ax + b)^{-1} = (1/a)x + (-b/a)$ while composition of functions is always commutative.

17. Write the following permutation as a product of disjoint cycles.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 5 & 8 & 2 & 6 & 9 & 7 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1, 5, 9, 4, 6, 7)(2, 8, 3)$$

18. Prove or disprove: If G is a group and $H < G$ is a subgroup with $\#(G/H) = 3$, then $H \triangleleft G$.

Disproof: Consider $G = S_3$ and $H = \{\iota, (1, 2)\}$. Then $\#(G/H) = 3$ by $H \not\triangleleft G$ as $(1, 2, 3)H = \{(1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 2)\} \neq \{(1, 2, 3), (2, 3)\} = H(1, 2, 3)$.

19. Prove or disprove: If K is a field and f and g are polynomials over K and $K[X]/(f) \cong K[X]/(g)$, then $(f) = (g)$.

Disproof: Consider $K = \mathbb{R}$ and $f(X) = X^2 + 1$ and $g(X) = X^2 + 2$. Each of the fields $K[X]/(f)$ and $K[X]/(g)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} but $X^2 + 1$ is not a multiple of $X^2 + 2$ for if it were, $i\sqrt{2}$ would be a zero of $X^2 + 1$.

20. Prove or disprove: There is a nontrivial homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow S_3$

Proof: Recall that for any group G and element $g \in G$, the function $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ defined by $n \mapsto g^n$ is a homomorphism. Consider the case of $G = S_3$ and $a = (1, 2)$. Then the kernel of α is $2\mathbb{Z} \supseteq 4\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, there is a homomorphism $\bar{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow S_3$ given by $n \mapsto (1, 2)^n$. As $\bar{\alpha}(1) = (1, 2) \neq \iota$, $\bar{\alpha}$ is nontrivial.

21. Let p be a prime number. Suppose that $G := \mathbb{Z}_p$ acts on the set X . Let $Y := \{x \in X : (\forall g \in \mathbb{Z}_p)g \cdot x = x\}$. Show that $\#Y \equiv \#X \pmod{p}$.

We may express X as a disjoint union of orbits. Each orbit has the form Gx for some $x \in X$ and as such $Gx \cong G/G_x$ as a G -set. As $\#G = p$ is prime, if x is not

fixed by G , then Gx has p elements. Hence, X may be expressed as a disjoint union of Y and a disjoint union of sets each of size p . Therefore, the number of elements of X is the same as that of Y modulo p .

22. Let K be a field and $g(x) \in K[x] \setminus K$ a nonconstant polynomial over K of degree d . Prove that there are at most d elements a of K satisfying $g(a) = 0$.

Proof: By induction on d . If $d = 1$, then $g(x) = cx + d$ for some $c \in K^\times$ and $d \in K$. If $g(a) = 0$, then $ca + d = 0$ so that $a = -\frac{d}{c}$. Thus, there is only one zero. More generally, if a is a zero of g , then let q and r be polynomials with $g(x) = q(x)(x - a) + r$ and $\deg(r) < 1$. As $0 = g(a) = q(a)(a - a) + r = r$, we must have $g = q(x)(x - a)$. As $\deg(q) < \deg(g)$, by induction q has at most $\deg(g) - 1$ zeros. As K is an integral domain, if $g(b) = 0$, then either $q(b) = 0$ or $b = a$. Thus, there are no more than $\deg(g)$ zeros to g .

23. Let $g(x) := x^3 + x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $K := \mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(g)$. Prove that K is a field. Let $\alpha \in K$ be a solution to $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$. Write the polynomial $x^3 + \alpha + 1$ as a product of irreducible polynomials over K .

$$(x + \alpha)(x^2 + \alpha x + \alpha^2)$$

24. Prove or disprove: If $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism of rings, $a \in R$ and $\phi(a) \in S^\times$, then $a \in R^\times$.

Disproof: Consider $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $S = \mathbb{Q}$, ϕ the natural inclusion and $a = 2$.

25. How many elements of the factor group \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} have order *dividing* 5,239,290?

5,239,290 [Why? $q + \mathbb{Z}$ has order dividing 5,239,290 if and only if 5,239,290 q is an integer if and only if $q = a/5,239,290$ for some integer a . Every element of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} may be expressed uniquely in the form $q + \mathbb{Z}$ for some rational number q with $0 \leq q < 1$. Thus, we may take for a any integer with $0 \leq a < 5,239,290$ and there are 5,239,290 such.]