

# Math 202B Solutions

## Assignment 9

D. Sarason

32. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $X$ .

- (a) Prove that if  $\mu$  is a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then there is a finite measure on  $\mathcal{A}$  that is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ .

**Proof:** Since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite we can write  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  are disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $0 < \mu(E_n) < \infty$  for all  $n$ . For each  $n$  define the measure  $\mu_n$  by

$$\mu_n = \frac{2^{-n}}{\mu(E_n)} \mu_{E_n}.$$

Thus  $\|\mu_n\| = 2^{-n}$ , so  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\mu_n\| < \infty$ , implying that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n$  converges in the normed space  $M(\mathcal{A})$ . (It is easy to prove that the sequence of partial sums is Cauchy.) Letting  $\nu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n$ , we have  $\mu \ll \nu \ll \mu$  (since a set is  $\mu$ -null if and only if its intersection with each  $E_n$  is  $\mu$ -null).

- (b) Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  be positive  $\sigma$ -finite measures on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Prove that there is a finite measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu_n \ll \nu$  for all  $n$ .

**Proof:** By (a), we can assume without loss of generality that each  $\mu_n$  is a finite measure. Since  $\mu_n$  and  $c\mu_n$  are mutually absolutely continuous for any positive constant  $c$ , we can in addition assume without loss of generality that  $\|\mu_n\| < 2^{-n}$  for each  $n$ . Then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n$  converges in the norm of  $M(\mathcal{A})$ , say to  $\nu$ , and it is obvious that  $\mu_n \ll \nu$  for all  $n$ .

33. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $X$ . Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be positive measures in  $M(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\|\mu - \nu\| = \|\mu\| + \|\nu\|$ . Prove that  $\mu \perp \nu$ .

**Proof 1:** Let  $\xi = \mu + \nu$ . Since  $\mu \ll \xi$  and  $\nu \ll \xi$ , by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, we have  $\mu = f\xi$  and  $\nu = g\xi$ , where  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\xi$ -integrable functions, nonnegative since  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are positive measures. Thus,

$$\|\mu\| = \int f d\xi, \quad \|\nu\| = \int g d\xi, \quad \|\mu - \nu\| = \int |f - g| d\xi,$$

so

$$0 = \|\mu\| + \|\nu\| - \|\mu - \nu\| = \int (f + g - |f - g|) d\xi.$$

As the integrand on the last integral is nonnegative, we have

$$f + g - |f - g| = 0 \text{ } \xi\text{-a.e.},$$

which implies that  $fg = 0$  a.e. Hence, if  $A = \{f > 0\}$  and  $B = X \setminus A$ , then  $\mu = \mu_A$  and  $\nu = \nu_B$ , showing that  $\mu \perp \nu$ .

**Proof 2:** Let  $X = A \sqcup B$  be the Hahn decomposition of  $\mu - \nu$ . Then

$$\|\mu - \nu\| = (\mu - \nu)(A) - (\mu - \nu)(B) = \mu(A) + \nu(B) - \nu(A) - \mu(B),$$

while

$$\|\mu\| + \|\nu\| = \mu(A) + \nu(B) + \nu(A) + \mu(B).$$

Therefore,  $\nu(A) + \mu(B) = 0$ ; since  $\nu(A), \mu(B) \geq 0$ , this implies  $\nu(A) = \mu(B) = 0$ . Thus,  $\mu = \mu_A$  and  $\nu = \nu_B$ .

34. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be measures in  $M(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $\mu \ll \lambda_N$ . Prove that  $\mu * \nu \ll \lambda_N$ .

**Proof:** Let  $E$  be a Lebesgue-null Borel set. We have

$$(\mu * \nu)(E) = \int \left( \int \chi_E(x + y) d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y) = \int \mu(E - y) d\nu(y) = 0$$

since  $E - y$  is Lebesgue-null, hence  $\mu$ -null, for all  $y$ .

35. The Fourier transform of a function  $f$  in complex  $L^1(\lambda)$  is the function  $\hat{f}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\hat{f}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-itx} dx.$$

(a) Prove that if  $f$  is in  $L^1(\lambda)$  then  $\hat{f}$  is continuous.

**Proof:** Since  $|f(x)e^{-itx}| \leq |f(x)|$ , which is integrable, and  $f(x)e^{-itx} \rightarrow f(x)e^{-it_0x}$  pointwise as  $t \rightarrow t_0$ , the dominated convergence theorem gives the desired result.

(b) For  $f$  in  $L^1(\lambda)$  and  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $T_y f$  be the  $y$ -translate of  $f$ :  $(T_y f)(x) = f(x - y)$ . Find the relation between  $\hat{f}$  and  $(T_y f)^\wedge$ .

**Solution:** We have

$$(T_y f)^\wedge(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x - y) e^{-itx} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-it(x+y)} dx = e^{-ity} \hat{f}(t).$$

(c) Prove that if  $f$  is in  $L^1(\lambda)$  then  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(t) = 0$ . (Riemann-Lebesgue lemma)

**Proof:** By (b),  $(T_{\pi/t} f)^\wedge(t) = e^{-i\pi} \hat{f}(t) = -\hat{f}(t)$ . Hence

$$\hat{f}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(f - T_{\pi/t} f)^\wedge(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x) - T_{\pi/t} f(x)) e^{-itx} dx.$$

It follows that  $|\hat{f}(t)| \leq \|f - T_{\pi/t} f\|_1$ , and the preceding norm tends to 0 as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$  by the continuity of translation in  $L^1(\lambda)$ .

(d) Prove that if  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $L^1(\lambda)$  then  $(f * g)^\wedge = \hat{f} \hat{g}$ .

**Proof:** We use Fubini's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)^\wedge(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f * g)(x) e^{-itx} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) g(x - y) e^{-itx} dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) g(x - y) e^{-itx} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-ity} \hat{g}(t) dx \text{ (by (b))} \\ &= \hat{f}(t) \hat{g}(t). \end{aligned}$$

The use of Fubini's theorem is justified because, as shown when convolution was defined, the function  $(x, y) \mapsto f(y)g(x - y)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is integrable with respect to  $\lambda_2$ .

(e) Prove that if  $f$  is in  $L^1(\lambda) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f'$  is in  $L^1(\lambda)$ , then  $(f')^\wedge(t) = it\hat{f}(t)$ .

**Proof 1:** Let  $\psi = \frac{1}{2}\chi_{(-1,1)}$ ,  $\psi_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\psi(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ . By a result from lecture (theorem 5.1),  $\psi_\epsilon * f' \rightarrow f'$  in  $L^1$ -norm as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . It follows that  $(\psi_\epsilon * f')^\wedge \rightarrow (f')^\wedge$  pointwise. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_\epsilon * f')(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_\epsilon(y) f'(x - y) dy = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} f'(x - y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (f(x + \epsilon) - f(x - \epsilon)) = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (T_{-\epsilon} f(x) - T_\epsilon f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By (b),  $(\psi_\epsilon * f')^\wedge(t) = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (e^{i\epsilon t} - e^{-i\epsilon t}) \hat{f}(t) \rightarrow it\hat{f}(t)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and the desired equality follows.

**Proof 2:** Since  $f'$  is continuous and in  $L^1(\lambda)$ ,  $f(x) = f(0) + \int_{[0,x]} f' d\lambda$  for  $x > 0$ ; therefore,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = f(0) + \int_{[0,\infty)} f' d\lambda$  exists. Similarly,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = f(0) - \int_{(-\infty,0]} f' d\lambda$  exists. However, since  $f \in L^1(\lambda)$ , both these limits must be equal to 0.

Therefore, integration by parts gives

$$(f')^\wedge(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x) e^{-itx} dx = f(x) e^{-itx} \Big|_{x \rightarrow -\infty}^{x \rightarrow \infty} + it \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-itx} dx = it\hat{f}(t).$$