

• \mathbb{Z} is a PID :

$I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ an ideal is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$. All subgroups of cyclic groups are cyclic $\Rightarrow I = (a)$.

• $K[x]$ is a PID: Same as the proof of

If $I = (0)$ we are done. If not, choose $f \in I$ of smallest degree. Then $I = (f)$.

Indeed, if $g \in I$, then by division algorithm, $g = fq + r$. If $\deg r < \deg f$ then $r = g - fq \in I$, contradicting minimality.

Thus $r = 0$.

Warning $(\bar{2}x^4 + \bar{1})(\bar{2}x^4 + \bar{1}) = \bar{4}x^8 + \bar{4}x^4 + \bar{1}$
 $= \bar{1}$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})[x]$.

It's crucial that we are working in $K[x]$ with K a field.

• $(\mathbb{Z}[x, y])$ not a PID. *consider (x, y) .*

Defn Let R be a ring. A non-zero element $x \in R$ is called irreducible if it's not a unit and if $x = ab$ is ANY factorization, then a or b is a unit.

(Basically this means x is not "factorable")

Ex - \mathbb{Z} , $(\mathbb{Z}[x])$, $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $K[x]$

Defn An integral domain R is called a unique factorization domain if every non-zero element that is not a unit can be written as a product of finitely many irreducible elements and this is unique i.e. if $a_1 \dots a_k = b_1 \dots b_m$ are two such products then $k = m$ and, after reordering, a_i is a unit times b_i .

\uparrow
 (UFD)

Ex. \mathbb{Z} is a UFD [This is unique prime factorization]
But we will give another proof of this

- $K[x]$ is a UFD
- $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a UFD (Hard: Follows from R UFD $\Rightarrow R[x]$ UFD)
- $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ is not a UFD because it's not a domain.
But anyway notice $3 = 3 \cdot 3$
- $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a domain but not a UFD:
(Hwk) $6 = 2 \cdot 3$ and $6 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$. One needs to check $2, 3, 1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$ are irreducible and don't differ by a unit.

Thus PID is a UFD

Lemma Let R be a PID and $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$ an infinite chain of ideals. Then the chain is "stationary" i.e. $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ s.t.

$$I_n = I_m \quad \forall m \geq n.$$

PF Let $I = \bigcup_i I_i$ be the union of all the ideals.

Note that this is an ideal. Indeed $0 \in I$ and if $x, y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I_k$ and $y \in I_l$. Wlog assume $l \geq k$, then by $I_k \subseteq I_l \Rightarrow x, y \in I_l \Rightarrow x + y \in I_l \subseteq I$. Thus I is closed under $+$. Similarly $\forall r \in R, r x \in I_k \subseteq I \Rightarrow I$ is an ideal. Since R is a PID, $I = (b)$. But $b \in I_n$ for some $n \Rightarrow I = (b) \subseteq I_n \subseteq I \Rightarrow I = I_n \Rightarrow I_n = I_m \forall m > n$ \square

We will now partially prove the theorem i.e. we will show every non unit / non-zero $x \in R$, a PID, can be factored into irreducible elements:

PF (sketch) If x is irreducible we are done. If not $x = x_1 y_1$ with x_1, y_1 not units. Then $(x) \subsetneq (x_1)$. If x_1 is not irreducible we write $x_1 = x_2 y_2$ and get $(x_1) \subsetneq (x_2)$. If x_2 is not irreducible we keep going and obtain $(x_1) \subsetneq (x_2) \subsetneq \dots$. Since R is a PID this stops. Thus eventually we obtain an irreducible factor of x ! So write $x = p_1 y$ with p_1 irreducible and now apply the same argument to y . Eventually we obtain $x = p_1 \dots p_n$ with p_i irreducible (If not $(x) \subsetneq (p_2 \dots) \subsetneq (p_3 \dots) \subsetneq (p_4 \dots)$ would be an infinite chain) \square