

Math 250A, Fall 2004  
Homework Assignment #9  
Last assignment, due December 9, 2004

*Prove Corollary 1.4 on page 263.*

In the Galois correspondence between subgroups of  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$  and fields between  $k$  and  $K$ , let  $I$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$  that corresponds to  $F \cap F'$ . Then  $I$  contains both  $H$  and  $H'$  because  $F \cap F'$  is contained in both  $F$  and  $F'$ . In the other direction, suppose that  $J$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$  that contains both  $H$  and  $H'$ . Then the field corresponding to  $J$  is contained in both  $F$  and  $F'$  and is therefore in the intersection  $F \cap F'$ . Accordingly,  $J$  contains  $I$ . Therefore  $I$  is the smallest subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$  that contains  $H$  and  $H'$ : it's contained in any such subgroup. Moral: this problem really is "obvious" once you understand the correspondence between fields and groups.

Problems from Chapter VI: 1 (a–e), 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15.

1. Part (a): The polynomial  $x^3 - x - 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbf{Q}$  for various reasons. The simplest way to see that it's irreducible is to use the Integral Root Test on page 185 and to observe that  $\pm 1$  are not roots. The Galois group is then either  $\mathbf{A}_3$ , the cyclic group of order 3, or the symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_3$ . To decide between the two alternatives, we compute the discriminant of the polynomial. (All this is on page 270.) Here, the discriminant is  $-23$ , which is a non-square. Thus the Galois group is  $\mathbf{S}_3$ .

Part (b): By Eisenstein's criterion for the prime 2,  $x^3 - 10$  is irreducible over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The splitting field of the polynomial contains the field of cube roots of 1, which is the quadratic field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ . Thus the Galois group has order divisible by 2. Since we know (as in part a) that the Galois group is either  $\mathbf{A}_3$  or  $\mathbf{S}_3$ , we conclude that it must be  $\mathbf{S}_3$ , as in the previous part. Note, for the next part, that the splitting field of  $x^3 - 10$  has a *unique* quadratic subfield; this follows, via the Galois correspondence, from the fact that  $\mathbf{S}_3$  has a unique subgroup of index 3. The unique quadratic subfield of the splitting field is then the one that we know about, namely  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ .

Part (c): Let  $K_1$  be the splitting field of  $x^3 - 10$  and let  $K_2$  be the splitting field of  $x^2 - 2$ ; thus  $K_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . By the discussion at the end of the previous part, we know that  $K_1 \cap K_2 = \mathbf{Q}$ . In Theorem 1.14 on page 267, take  $k = \mathbf{Q}$ . The Galois group of  $K_1 K_2$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  is then seen to be  $\mathbf{S}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ , a group of order 12. The Galois group of  $K_1 K_2$  over  $K_2$ , which is what we want to calculate, is the same group as the Galois group of  $K_1$  over  $K_1 \cap K_2 = \mathbf{Q}$ , which was  $\mathbf{S}_3$ .

Part (d): In view of all of our discussion above, I hope that you will see that the answer here is  $\mathbf{A}_3$ .

Part (e): A blast from the past: we're back to part (a). The field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$  is the discriminant field: the splitting field of  $x^3 - x - 1$  contains  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$  because the discriminant of the polynomial is  $-23$ . Thus we are in the same situation as in part (d), which is to say that the Galois group is once again  $\mathbf{A}_3$ .

5. The first part is a fairly straightforward abstraction of what we saw already in exercises 1c and 1e. Namely, let  $K_1$  be the splitting field of  $f$  and let  $K_2$  be the splitting field of  $g$ .

Things are set up so that the  $K_i$  are Galois over  $k$  and so that the Galois groups of  $K_1/k$  and  $K_2/k$  are  $\mathbf{S}_3$  and  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ , respectively. Further, the assumption  $k(\sqrt{D}) \neq k(\sqrt{c})$  means that  $K_2$  is not contained in  $K_1$ . Accordingly,  $K_1 \cap K_2 = k$ . We know from Theorem 1.14 that the Galois group of  $K_1K_2$  over  $k$  is the product of the two groups  $\mathbf{S}_3$  and  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ ; this product has order 12.

For the second part, we view the degree  $[k(\gamma) : k]$  as the number of conjugates of  $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$  over  $k$ . The conjugates of  $\gamma$  are simply the images  $\sigma(\gamma)$  as  $\sigma$  runs over the Galois group of  $K_1K_2/k$ . The number  $\alpha$  has 3 conjugates, while the number  $\beta$  has two conjugates; thus,  $\gamma$  has at most 6 conjugates. The point, however, is that  $\text{Gal}(K_1K_2/k)$  is the product of  $\text{Gal}(K_1/k)$  and  $\text{Gal}(K_2/k)$ , by Theorem 1.14. This means, concretely: if you have a conjugate  $\sigma_1(\alpha)$  with  $\sigma_1 \in \text{Gal}(K_1/k)$  and a conjugate  $\sigma_2(\beta)$  with  $\sigma_2 \in \text{Gal}(K_2/k)$ , there is a  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_1K_2/k)$  that induces  $\sigma_1$  on  $K_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  on  $K_2$ . We then have  $\sigma(\gamma) = \sigma_1(\alpha) + \sigma_2(\beta)$ . There are 3 choices for the first term and 2 for the second; thus there are 6 choices for the sum.

**6.** In the first part,  $K/E$  is a quadratic extension, with  $\text{Gal}(K/E)$  being generated by  $\sigma^2$ . We have set things up in the usual way:  $K = E(\sqrt{\gamma})$  with  $\gamma \in E$ . Thus the non-trivial conjugation  $\sigma^2$  sends  $\sqrt{\gamma}$  to  $-\sqrt{\gamma}$ . We have given a name to a specific square root of  $\gamma$  in  $K$ : this is  $\alpha$ . Let  $z = \frac{\sigma\alpha}{\alpha}$ . Then certainly  $z^2 = \frac{\sigma(\alpha^2)}{\alpha^2} = \frac{\sigma\gamma}{\gamma}$ . Also  $z \cdot \sigma(z) = \frac{\sigma\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\sigma^2(\alpha)}{\sigma\alpha} = \frac{\sigma^2(\alpha)}{\alpha} = -1$  because  $\sigma^2$  takes  $\alpha = \sqrt{\gamma}$  to its negative. Since  $\sigma$  sends  $z$  to its negative reciprocal,  $\sigma^2$  sends  $z$  back to  $z$ . Thus  $z$  is fixed by  $\sigma^2$ , so it lies in  $E$ .

In the second part, we have only  $E/k$ , and there's a  $\tau$  playing the role of  $\sigma^2$ . The element  $z$  such that  $\tau : z \mapsto -1/z$  is given to us. Note that  $\tau$  sends  $z^2$  to  $1/z^2$ . We prove that  $z^2 \neq -1$ : if  $z^2 = -1$ , then  $z$  and  $\tau z$  are the two roots of  $X^2 + 1 = 0$ , so their product is 1, not  $-1$ . (Note that 1 and  $-1$  are distinct because the characteristic is not 2.) We take  $\gamma = \frac{1}{1+z^2}$ ; then  $\tau\gamma/\gamma = z^2$ , as required. We continue by letting  $\alpha$  be a square root of  $\gamma$ . As in the statement of the problem, put  $K = k(\alpha)$  and let  $\sigma$  be an extension of  $\tau$  to a map  $K \rightarrow \bar{K}$ . (Note that  $K$  contains  $E$  because  $E$  is generated over  $k$  by  $\alpha^2$ .) Observe that  $\sigma(\alpha^2) = \sigma(\gamma) = z^2\gamma = z^2\alpha^2$ . Thus  $\frac{\sigma\alpha}{z\alpha}$  has square 1, so that  $\sigma\alpha = \pm z\alpha$ . Prompted by the book, we change the sign of  $z$  if necessary to have  $\sigma\alpha = z\alpha$ . Since  $z$  is in  $E$ ,  $z$  is in  $K$ , so that  $\sigma$  maps  $\alpha$  back to  $K$ . Thus  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $K$ . Using the equation  $\sigma\alpha = z\alpha$ , we get  $\sigma^2(\alpha) = \sigma(z)\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha$ , and then  $\sigma^4(\alpha) = \alpha$ . Thus  $\sigma$  has order divisible by 4. Since  $K/k$  has degree 4,  $\sigma$  must be exactly of order 4, and  $K/k$  is seen now to be a cyclic extension of degree 4. I think that we've done the whole problem now.

**7.** For part a, assume that we have  $K \hookrightarrow L$ , where  $L/\mathbf{Q}$  is cyclic of degree  $2n$ , with  $n$  even. Without loss of generality, we can and will suppose that  $L$  is a subfield of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $\tau$  be the restriction to  $L$  of the complex conjugation map  $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be a generator of  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q})$ . We must have  $\tau = \sigma^n$  because  $\sigma^n$  is the unique element of  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q})$  of order 2. Note now that the restrictions to  $K$  of both  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are of order 2:  $\tau$  gives a non-trivial automorphism of  $K$  because  $\sqrt{a}$  is imaginary, while  $\sigma$  gives a non-trivial automorphism of  $K$  because the fixed field of  $\sigma$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ . If  $\alpha = \sqrt{a}$ , then  $\sigma(\alpha) = \tau(\alpha) = -\alpha$ .

On the other hand, the formula  $\tau = \sigma^n$  shows that  $\tau\alpha = (-1)^n\alpha$ . Since  $n$  is even, we have a contradiction.

For part b, we can use the analysis of problem 6. Start with  $E = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ , and let  $\tau$  be the non-trivial conjugation of  $E$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $z = 2 - \sqrt{5}$ . Then  $z\tau z = (2 - \sqrt{5})(2 + \sqrt{5}) = 4 - 5 = -1$ . If  $\gamma = 15 + 6\sqrt{5}$ , then  $\frac{\tau\gamma}{\gamma} = z^2$  (I hope). If  $\alpha$  is a square root of  $\gamma$ , then  $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  is cyclic of degree 4 over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Note that  $\gamma$  satisfies  $t^2 - 30t + (225 - 180) = 0$  or  $t^2 - 30t + 45 = 0$  and  $\alpha$  satisfies  $x^4 - 30x^2 + 45 = 0$ , which is the polynomial we want, except for a sign. It looks like I should have taken  $\gamma = -15 + 6\sqrt{5}$ ; make the appropriate changes. . . .

Part c, now. This is similar; start with  $z = \sqrt{2} - 1$  in  $E = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ .

**9.** For each  $i$ , there is an isomorphism  $k(\theta) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(\theta_i)$  that we know about: it takes a polynomial  $g(\theta)$  in  $\theta$  with coefficients in  $k$  to the number  $k(\theta_i)$ . By hypothesis, if  $i = 2$  we get an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $K = k(\theta)$ . This automorphism is non-trivial since it does not fix  $\theta$ . Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_k K$  that is generated by  $\sigma$ , and let  $E$  be the fixed field of  $G$ . We have  $k \subseteq E \subseteq K$ . By Artin's theorem,  $K/E$  is a Galois extension with group  $G$ . Since  $G$  is non-trivial,  $E$  is smaller than  $K$ . By the tower law (since  $[K : k]$  is prime), we have  $E = k$ . Thus  $K/k$  is Galois. Its Galois group is cyclic: it's the cyclic group generated by  $\sigma$ .

**11.** Our situation is that  $k$  is a subfield of  $\mathbf{R}$  and that we are looking at roots of  $f$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . We let  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  be the complex conjugation map on  $\mathbf{C}$ . We suppose that there is a root  $\alpha$  such that  $\bar{\alpha} \neq \alpha$  and such that  $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = 1$ . Note that  $\bar{\alpha}$  is again a root of  $f$  because  $k$  is in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f$  such that  $1/\alpha$  is also a root of  $f$ . All roots of  $f$  are of the form  $\sigma\alpha$ , where  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of the splitting field of  $f$  over  $k$ . We have  $f(1/\sigma(\alpha)) = \sigma(f(1/\alpha)) = \sigma(0) = 0$ , so that  $1/\sigma(\alpha)$  is a root of  $f$ . Thus the reciprocal of each root of  $f$  is again a root of  $f$ . It is easy now to see that  $f$  has even degree. Indeed, the map  $\alpha \mapsto 1/\alpha$  is an involution on the set of roots of  $f$ . This involution has no fixed points—the fixed points would be  $\pm 1$ , numbers that are not roots of  $f$  because  $f$  is irreducible with a non-real root.

**15.** Let  $H$  for us be  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  and let  $N$  be the group that Lang calls  $H$ : the group of all  $g \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$  such that  $gF = F$ . Note that  $gHg^{-1} = H$  if and only if  $H$  and  $gHg^{-1}$  have the same fixed field. The fixed field of  $H$  is  $F$  while the fixed field of  $gHg^{-1}$  is  $gF$ , as we saw in class last week. Hence  $g$  belongs to  $N$  if and only if  $gHg^{-1} = H$ , i.e., if and only if  $g$  normalizes  $H$ .