## Math 250A, Fall 2001 Homework Assignment #7 Problems due October 26, 2004

Part I: Dedekind Rings.

As we have discussed on the comments page, most authors define a Dedekind ring (or Dedekind domain) to be an integral domain that is Noetherian, of dimension 1 and integrally closed in its fraction field. Being of dimension 1 means that the domain is not a field and that non-zero prime ideals are maximal ideals. In homework #6, you showed that a Dedekind ring à la Lang is Noetherian in Exercise 13 and showed that a Dedekind ring is of dimension 1 in Exercise 18 (at the latest). It is understood in Exercises 13–19 that the ring  $\mathfrak{o}$  is not a field; also, Lang has a tendency (or "convention," as he refers to it) to omit mentioning that ideals are non-zero. In the following additional problems, you will show that a Dedekind ring à la Lang is integrally closed in its fraction field.

- **1.** Let  $\mathfrak{o}$  be a Dedekind ring, and let K be the fraction field of  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a fractional ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Show that the set  $\{x \in K \mid x\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}\}$  coincides with the fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$ .
- 2. Suppose now that  $\mathfrak{O}$  (upper-case " $\mathfrak{o}$ ") is a subring of K that contains  $\mathfrak{o}$  and is *finite* over  $\mathfrak{o}$  in the sense that  $\mathfrak{O}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathfrak{o}$ -module. (The action of  $\mathfrak{o}$  on  $\mathfrak{O}$  is by multiplication inside  $\mathfrak{O}$ .) Show that  $\mathfrak{O}$  is a fractional ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{f}$  be the fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{O}^{-1}$ , so that

$$\mathfrak{f} = \{ x \in K \, | \, x\mathfrak{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{o} \}.$$

Show that  $\mathfrak{f}$  is an integral ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}$  and in fact that  $\mathfrak{f}$  is even an integral ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}$ .

- **3.** Using the inclusion  $\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{f}$ , show that  $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{o}$  and then that  $\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{O}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{o}$  has the maximality property that it is equal to  $\mathfrak{O}$  whenever  $\mathfrak{O}$  contains  $\mathfrak{o}$  and is finite over  $\mathfrak{o}$ .
- 4. Suppose that  $a \in K$  is integral over  $\mathfrak{o}$  in the sense that a satisfies an equation

$$a^n + c_{n-1}a^{n-1} + \dots + c_0 = 0$$

with  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{o}$ . Show that  $a \in \mathfrak{o}$ . (Let  $\mathfrak{O}$  be the smallest subring of K that contains  $\mathfrak{o}$  and a.)

Part II: Fractional ideals in Dedekind rings are projective.

In the following problems, we let  $\mathfrak{o}$  be a Dedekind ring.

- 5. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are integral ideals that are relatively prime. Show that the natural map  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{o}$ ,  $(x + y) \mapsto x + y$  is surjective and that its kernel is  $\mathfrak{ab}$ . Prove that the  $\mathfrak{o}$ -modules  $\mathfrak{o} \oplus \mathfrak{ab}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$  are isomorphic.
- 6. Suppose now that  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are fractional ideals of  $\mathfrak{o}$ . (We do not assume that they are integral and we do not assume that they are relatively prime.) Prove that the two  $\mathfrak{o}$ -modules  $\mathfrak{o} \oplus \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$  are isomorphic.
- 7. If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a fractional ideal, show that there is an  $\mathfrak{o}$ -module M so that  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus M$  is a free  $\mathfrak{o}$ -module. Conclude that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a projective  $\mathfrak{o}$ -module.

Part III: one additional problem.

Do problem 7 on page 353, thus showing that rings that satisfy the standard Dedekind properties have the defining property à la Lang.