

The Matrix of a Linear Transformation

Qiaochu Yuan

Last updated October 21, 2015

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ be a basis of V . For a vector $v \in V$, Lay (4.4) introduces the notation

$$[v]_B = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1)$$

for the coordinate vector of v in the basis B ; it describes the unique way to represent v as a linear combination

$$v = x_1 b_1 + \cdots + x_n b_n \quad (2)$$

of the elements of the basis B .

Now suppose W is another finite-dimensional vector space and $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$ is a basis of W . For a linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$, there is a unique $m \times n$ matrix M such that

$$[T(v)]_C = M[v]_B. \quad (3)$$

This matrix M can be described as the matrix whose columns are the C -coordinate vectors $[T(b_1)]_C, [T(b_2)]_C$, etc.

However, Lay does not introduce any notation to describe this matrix M , *as a function of T, B, C* , except in the special case that $W = V, C = B$. In this note I suggest that we should use the notation ${}_C[T]_B$, so that the defining property now reads

$$[T(v)]_C = {}_C[T]_B[v]_B. \quad (4)$$

This notation has many pleasant properties, which we describe below.

Matrix multiplication and composition. With T, V, W, B, C as above, suppose in addition that $S : U \rightarrow V$ is another linear transformation and $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ is a basis of U , then we can write down the composite linear transformation

$$T \circ S : U \ni u \mapsto T(S(u)) \in W \quad (5)$$

and we can also ask for the relationship between the three matrices ${}_C[T]_{B,B}$, $[S]_{A,C}$, $[T \circ S]_A$. The relationship is that

$${}_C[T \circ S]_A = {}_C[T]_{B,B}[S]_A. \quad (6)$$

Note how the two appearances of B match up, and how the left and right of both sides of the equation start with C and end with A ; this allows us to do “type-checking” to make sure that expressions we write using this notation are meaningful.

To see that the above is true, write

$${}_C[T \circ S]_A[u]_A = [T(S(u))]_C \quad (7)$$

$$= {}_C[T]_B[S(u)]_B \quad (8)$$

$$= {}_C[T]_{B,B}[S]_A[u]_A. \quad (9)$$

Change of basis. With T, V, B, C as above, suppose now that we want to switch from using B, C to using new bases $B' = \{b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_n\}$ of V and $C' = \{c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_m\}$ of W respectively. We get a new matrix ${}_{C'}[T]_{B'}$. What is its relationship to our old matrix ${}_C[T]_B$? It turns out that they can be related by two change-of-coordinates matrices (Lay, 4.7), as follows. Recall that the change-of-coordinates matrix ${}_{B' \leftarrow B} P$, for two bases B, B' of the same vector space, is the unique matrix satisfying

$$[v]_{B'} = {}_{B' \leftarrow B} P [v]_B. \quad (10)$$

Then the relationship between our new matrix and our old matrix is

$${}_{C'}[T]_{B'} = {}_{C' \leftarrow C} P {}_C[T]_B {}_{B \leftarrow B'} P. \quad (11)$$

Note again how the appearances of B and C match up, and how the left and right of both sides of the equation start and end with C' and B' .

To see that the above is true, write

$$[T(v)]_{C'} = {}_{C'}[T]_{B'}[v]_{B'} \quad (12)$$

$$= {}_{C'}[T]_B[v]_B \quad (13)$$

$$= {}_{C'}[T]_B {}_{B \leftarrow B'} P [v]_{B'} \quad (14)$$

$$= {}_{C' \leftarrow C} P {}_{C'}[T]_B {}_{B \leftarrow B'} P [v]_{B'}. \quad (15)$$

In words, the effect of changing the basis B to a new basis B' is to multiply the matrix ${}_C[T]_B$ by an invertible matrix on the right, and the effect of changing the basis C to a new basis C' is to multiply the matrix ${}_C[T]_B$ by an invertible matrix on the left. This allows us to give a conceptual interpretation of row and column operations: since these are given by multiplying by elementary matrices on the left and right, respectively, it follows that they

can be interpreted as changing the bases C and B , respectively, in such a way that the matrix ${}_C[T]_B$ simplifies.

We can also express change-of-coordinates matrices using the notation ${}_C[T]_B$, as follows. Let's use I to denote the identity transformation $I : V \rightarrow V$, which is given by $I(v) = v$, and let B, C both be bases of V . Then it's not hard to see that

$${}_{C \leftarrow B} P = {}_C[I]_B. \quad (16)$$

In words, the change-of-coordinates matrix ${}_{C \leftarrow B} P$ is the matrix representation of the identity transformation, but with respect to two different bases of V . Note that if $C = B$, then ${}_B[I]_B$ is always the identity matrix.

Square matrices, similarity and diagonalization. Suppose that $T : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear transformation from V to itself and that $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ is a basis of V (so $W = V, C = B$). Then we can consider the square matrix ${}_B[T]_B$, where we use the same basis for both the inputs and the outputs. One reason to do this is that it relates taking powers of T , the linear transformation, to taking powers of square matrices: for every positive integer $k \geq 1$ we have

$${}_B[T^k]_B = ({}_B[T]_B)^k. \quad (17)$$

Another reason is that it relates eigenvectors of linear transformations to eigenvectors of square matrices: if v is an eigenvector of T , so that $T(v) = \lambda v$, then

$${}_B[T]_B[v]_B = [T(v)]_B = [\lambda v]_B = \lambda[v]_B \quad (18)$$

so $[v]_B$ is an eigenvector of ${}_B[T]_B$. In order to maintain this relationship between linear transformations $T : V \rightarrow V$ and square matrices it's essential that we use the same basis for both inputs and outputs. Note that Lay (5.4) does introduce notation for this special case; he calls this square matrix $[T]_B$.

What if we wanted to use a different basis B' , again for both the inputs and the outputs? Specializing our general formula from earlier, we get

$${}_{B'}[T]_{B'} = {}_{B' \leftarrow B} P {}_B[T]_B P_{B \leftarrow B'}. \quad (19)$$

Alternatively, writing $M = {}_B[T]_B$ for our old matrix, $M' = {}_{B'}[T]_{B'}$ for our new matrix, and $P = {}_{B' \leftarrow B} P$ for the first change of coordinates matrix, we get

$$M' = PMP^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

So M' and M are **similar**. In general, similar square matrices can be thought of as representing the same linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$ in different bases. The significance of the fact that we're multiplying first by P and then by P^{-1} is that we're changing coordinates back and forth.

Now for simplicity suppose that $V = \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ be the standard basis. $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ has some matrix $A = {}_E[T]_E$ with respect to the standard basis. Suppose that \mathbb{R}^n has a basis of eigenvectors $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ of T (equivalently, of A), with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$. By definition, this means that

$$T(v_i) = Av_i = \lambda_i v_i \quad (21)$$

for all i , which in turn means that the matrix representing T in the eigenvector basis B is diagonal: that is,

$${}_B[T]_B = D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

This lets us represent our old matrix A in terms of a diagonal matrix D as follows:

$$A = {}_E[T]_E \quad (23)$$

$$= {}_{E \leftarrow B} P {}_B[T]_B P_{B \leftarrow E} \quad (24)$$

$$= P D P^{-1} \quad (25)$$

where $P = {}_{E \leftarrow B} P$ is the change-of-coordinates matrix from the eigenvector basis B to the standard basis and $P^{-1} = {}_{B \leftarrow E} P$ is the change-of-coordinates matrix in the other direction. P is none other than the matrix $[v_1 \ v_2 \ \dots \ v_n]$ whose columns are the entries of the eigenvectors v_i , or equivalently the coordinate vectors $[b_i]_E$ of the eigenvectors v_i in the standard basis.

As in Lay, a square matrix A that can be written this way is **diagonalizable**.

Exercise 0.1. Recall that \mathbb{P}_n denotes the vector space of polynomials of degree at most n . Let $T : \mathbb{P}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_3$ be the linear transformation

$$T(p(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} p(t). \quad (26)$$

Let $B = \{1, t, t^2, t^3\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{P}_3 . Find ${}_B[T]_B$. Using this matrix, what can you say about the eigenvalues of T ? Is T diagonalizable?