4d/2d Correspondence with Eight and Four Supercharges

Peter Koroteev

University of Minnesota







with Bulycheva, Chen, Gorsky, Monin, Shifman, Yung, Vinci

HEP seminar McGill University June 19th 2012

How rich are N=2 gauge theories in 4d?

- Dynamics of low energy effective theories is quite well understood [Seiberg Witten ...]
- However dynamics of non-BPS sector seems to be complicated
- Still a full partition function of N=2 d=4 theory can be computed by localization [Nekrasov]
- Recently a solid connection to non-SUSY CFTs was outlined [Alday, Gaiotto, Tachikawa]
- and connection to relatively simple 2d sigma models
- [Dorey, Hollowod, Lee] [Shifman, Yung] [Gaiotto, Moore, Neitzke]...

This talk: last two points

Outline

- 4d/2d w/ 8 supercharges: what and why?
- ★ Vortices in field theory vs. type IIA string theory
- ★ (2,2) GLSM, NLSM
- **\star** The Dictionary of 4d/2d
- AGT duality vs 4d/2d correspondence
- ★ Omega Background
- ★ Liouville at large central charge
- \star 4d/2d duality in NS limit and duality
- Less Supersymmetry (4 supercharges)
- ★ Heterotic deformation and Large-N solution



4d / 2d duality

[Dorey Hollowood, Tong]

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(N)$ SQCD	(2,2) $U(1)$ GLSM e
$N_f = N + \tilde{N}$ fund hypers	N chiral + I \tilde{N} chiral - I
w/ masses	w/ twisted masses
m_1, \dots, m_N $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\tilde{N}}$ $\tau = \frac{4\pi i}{g^2} + \frac{\theta}{2\pi}$ on baryonic Higgs branch	m_1, \dots, m_N $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\tilde{N}}$ $\tau = ir + \frac{\theta}{2\pi}$ vortex moduli space
BPS dyons (Seiberg-Witten)	kinks interpolating between different vacua

BPS spectra (as functions of masses, Lambda) are the same

Goal: understand it from field theory constructions

$$U(N_{c}) \ \mathcal{N} = 2 \ d = 4 \ \text{SQCD w}/ N_{f} \text{ quarks}$$

$$\{Q_{\alpha}^{I}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}^{J}\} = 2\delta^{IJ}P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + 2\delta^{IJ}Z_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$$

$$\{Q_{\alpha}^{I}, Q_{\beta}^{J}\} = 2Z_{\alpha\beta}^{IJ} \qquad \text{strings}$$

$$(Q_{\alpha}^{I}, Q_{\beta}^{J}) = 2Z_{\alpha\beta}^{IJ} \qquad \text{strings}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{Im} \left[\tau \int d^{4}\theta \operatorname{Tr} \left(Q^{i\dagger}e^{V}Q_{i} + \tilde{Q}^{i\dagger}e^{V}\tilde{Q}_{i} + \Phi^{\dagger}e^{V}\Phi\right)\right]$$

$$+ \text{Im} \left[\tau \int d^{2}\theta \left(\operatorname{Tr}W^{\alpha 2} + m_{j}^{i}\tilde{Q}_{i}Q^{j} + Q_{i}\Phi\tilde{Q}^{i}\right)\right]$$

$$bosonic part$$

$$S = \int d^{4}x \operatorname{Tr} \left\{\frac{1}{2g^{2}}F_{\mu\nu}^{2} + \frac{1}{g^{2}}|D_{\mu}\Phi|^{2} + |\nabla_{\mu}Q|^{2} + \frac{g^{2}}{4}(Q\bar{Q} - \xi)^{2} + |\Phi Q + QM|^{2}\right\}$$

Coulomb vs Higgs branches





Understanding 2d theory: 'ANO' String

$$\begin{split} U(N) & \text{gauge theory with fundamental matter} \quad q \to UqV \qquad U \in U(N)_G, \quad V \in SU(N)_F \\ S &= \int d^4x \; \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{2e^2} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{e^2} (\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \phi)^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} |\mathcal{D}_{\mu} q_i|^2 & \text{Vacuum} \\ & -\sum_{i=1}^{N_f} q_i^{\dagger} \phi^2 q_i - \frac{e^2}{4} \; \text{Tr} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_f} q_i q_i^{\dagger} - v^2 \, \mathbf{1}_N \right)^2 & \text{breaks symmetry} \\ & U(N)_G \times SU(N)_F \to SU(N)_{\text{diag}} \end{split}$$

Induces nontrivial topology $\Pi_1(U(N) \times SU(N)/SU(N)_{\text{diag}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ on moduli space

To find a string need winding at infinity $q_N \sim q e^{ik\theta}$ $A_{\theta} \sim \frac{k}{\rho}$ $2\pi k = \operatorname{Tr} \oint_{\mathbf{S}_{\infty}^1} i\partial_{\theta}q \ q^{-1} = \operatorname{Tr} \oint_{\mathbf{S}_{\infty}^1} A_{\theta} = \operatorname{Tr} \int dx^1 dx^2 \ B_3$

BPS equations for vortex

$$T_{\text{vortex}} = \int dx^{1} dx^{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2}} B_{3}^{2} + \frac{e^{2}}{4} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} q_{i} q_{i}^{\dagger} - v^{2} \mathbf{1}_{N})^{2} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\mathcal{D}_{1} q_{i}|^{2} + |\mathcal{D}_{2} q_{i}|^{2}$$
$$= \int dx^{1} dx^{2} \frac{1}{e^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(B_{3} \mp \frac{e^{2}}{2} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} q_{i} q_{i}^{\dagger} - v^{2} \mathbf{1}_{N}) \right)^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\mathcal{D}_{1} q_{i} \mp i \mathcal{D}_{2} q_{i}|^{2}$$
$$\mp v^{2} \int dx^{1} dx^{2} \operatorname{Tr} B_{3} \geq \mp v^{2} \int d^{2} x \operatorname{Tr} B_{3} = 2\pi v^{2} |k| \qquad ($$



Vortices

Simple vortex w/ N=1, k=1 (ANO) has two collective coordinates-translations in x,y directions

U(N) vortex has more moduli

$$A_{z} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{z}^{\star} & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad q = \begin{pmatrix} q^{\star} & & \\ & v & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & v \end{pmatrix}$$

Moduli space (k=1)

$$SU(N)_{\text{diag}}/S[U(N-1) \times U(1)] \cong \mathbb{CP}^{N-1}$$

 $\mathcal{V}_{1,N} \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{CP}^{N-1}$

For higher k Again: m > 0 244

$$\dim(\mathcal{V}_{k,N}) = 2kN$$

 $T \ge 2\pi v^2 |k|$ bound saturates for BPS states

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Non-Abelian String} \\ \textbf{P} &= U \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2(r) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \phi_2(r) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \phi_1(r) \end{pmatrix} U^{-1}, \\ \textbf{Take Abelian string solution} \\ \textbf{Make global rotation} \\ \textbf{Make global rotation} \\ \textbf{Matrix U parameterizes} \\ \textbf{A}_i^{U(1)} &= -\frac{1}{N} U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -(N-1) \end{pmatrix} U^{-1} (\partial_i \alpha) f_{NA}(r) \\ \textbf{Matrix U parameterizes} \\ \textbf{Orientational modes} \\ \textbf{Gauge group is broken to} \quad \mathbb{Z}_N \\ \textbf{All bulk degrees of freedom massive} \qquad M^2 \sim \xi \\ \textbf{Theory is fully Higgsed} \end{array}$$

Vortex moduli space



Duality between two strongly coupled theories



Monopoles in Higgs Phase [Shifman, Yung] [Tong]

Add masses. New vacuum $\phi = \operatorname{diag}(m_i)$, $q^a_{\ i} = v \delta^a_{\ i}$, $\tilde{q}^a_{\ i} = 0$

Pattern of symmetry breaking depends on the relationship between the differences of masses and FI parameter



 $ev \gg \Delta m \qquad \qquad \overleftarrow{}_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{mon}}} \\ U(N)_G \times SU(N)_F \xrightarrow{v} SU(N)_{\mathrm{diag}} \xrightarrow{m} U(1)_{\mathrm{diag}}^{N-1}$

 $ev \ll \Delta m$

 $U(N)_G \times SU(N)_F \xrightarrow{m} U(1)_G^N \times U(1)_F^{N-1} \xrightarrow{v} U(1)_{\text{diag}}^{N-1}$

Confined monopoles



BPS dyons in 4d N=2

$$Z = \sum_{a=1}^{N_c} \phi_a(j_a + \tau h_a) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} m_i s_i$$

Central charge

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} m_i (S_i + \tau h_i)$$

At baryonic root of Higgs branch

$$F(t,u) = \left(t - \prod_{i=1}^{N_c} (u - m_i)\right) \left(u - \Lambda^{N_c}\right)$$

SW curve degenerates has Nc branching pts

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} (m_i S_i + m_{Di} h_i)$$
 All quantum corrections in mD

Integrating from one branching point to another

$$m_{Dl} - m_{Dk} = \frac{1}{2\pi} N_c (e_l - e_k) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} m_i \log\left(\frac{e_l - m_i}{e_k - m_i}\right)$$

(2,2) 2d GLSM

Consider U(I) gauge theory

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vortex}} = \frac{1}{2g^2} \left(F_{01}^2 + |\partial\sigma|^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \left(|\mathcal{D}\psi_i|^2 + |\sigma - m_i|^2 |\psi_i|^2 \right) + \frac{g^2}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_c} |\psi_i|^2 - r \right)^2$$

Vacuum
$$i: \quad \sigma = m_i \quad , \quad |\psi_j|^2 = r \delta_{ij}$$

for vortex embedded into i's U(1) subgroup

 $\mathbf{Vacua} \exp \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}}{\partial \sigma} = 1$

[Witten]

FI term runs
$$r(\mu) = r_0 - \frac{N_c}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{M_{UV}}{\mu}\right) \longrightarrow \Lambda = \mu \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi r(\mu)}{N_c}\right)$$

Effective twisted superpotential

$$(\Sigma) = \frac{i}{2}\tau\Sigma - \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{i=1}^{N_c} (\Sigma - m_i) \log\left(\frac{2}{\mu}(\Sigma - m_i)\right)^{\mathbf{z}}$$

Central charge Z =

 \mathcal{W}

$$-i\sum_{i=1}^{N_c} (m_i S_i + m_{D\,i} T_i)$$

$$m_{Di} = -2i\mathcal{W}(e_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}N_c e_i + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{j=1}^{N_c}m_j \log\left(\frac{e_i - m_j}{\Lambda}\right)$$

Hanany-Tong model as U(I) GLSM

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^{4}\theta \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_{c}} \Phi_{i}^{\dagger} e^{\mathcal{V}} \Phi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{i}^{\dagger} e^{-\mathcal{V}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{i} - r\mathcal{V} + \frac{1}{2e^{2}} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma \right]$$

 $V = \theta^+ \bar{\theta}^+ (A_0 + A_3) + \theta^- \bar{\theta}^- (A_0 - A_3) - \theta^- \bar{\theta}^+ \sigma - \theta^- \bar{\theta}^+ \bar{\sigma} + \bar{\theta}^2 \theta \lambda + \theta^2 \bar{\theta} \bar{\lambda} + \bar{\theta} \theta \bar{\theta} \bar{\theta} D$

One loop twisted effective superpotential is exact in (2,2)

$$\widetilde{W}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\sqrt{2}\sigma + m_i) \left(\log \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma + m_i}{\Lambda} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{N}} (\sqrt{2}\sigma + \widetilde{m}_j) \left(\log \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma + \widetilde{m}_j}{\Lambda} - 1 \right).$$

gives vacua of the theory and its BPS spectrum !!

[PK Monin Vinci]

N=5 Nf=8



N=15 Nf=18



Nf=5 C μ phase





Subtlety #I

Brane construction is not sensitive to IR physics

Blind to deformations within the same universality class

Need to know explicit metric on the vacuum manifold in order to go beyond BPS sector

Let's see if GLSMs from brane picture are the same as sigma models which live on a vortex

$$From GLSM$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \left(\left(|X_1|^2 + |X_2|^2 \right) e^V - rV + \frac{1}{e^2} |\Sigma|^2 \right)$$

Take limit $e \to \infty$ solve for V

Kahler potential $K = r \log(1 + |X|^2)$ $X = X_2/X_1$ For HT model $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{HT}} = \int d^4 \theta \ (|\mathcal{N}_i|^2 \mathrm{e}^V + |\mathcal{Z}_j|^2 \mathrm{e}^{-V} - rV)$ $\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\tilde{N}}$ Limit $e \to \infty$ defines vacuum manifold $\bigcup \\ \mathbb{CP}^{N-1}$ Kahler potential $K_{\mathrm{HT}} = \sqrt{r^2 + 4r|\zeta|^2} - r \log\left(r + \sqrt{r^2 + 4r|\zeta|^2}\right) + r \log(1 + |\Phi_i|^2)$

$$|\zeta|^2 \equiv |\mathfrak{z}_j|^2 (1+|\Phi_i|^2) \quad \mathfrak{z}_j = r^{-1/2} \mathcal{N}_N \mathcal{Z}_j, \quad j=1,\ldots,\widetilde{N}$$

Let's see what is the metric on the vortex sigma model

ZN model vs HT model

$$K_{\rm HT} = \sqrt{r^2 + 4r|\zeta|^2} - r\log\left(r + \sqrt{r^2 + 4r|\zeta|^2}\right) + r\log(1 + |\Phi_i|^2)$$

$$\zeta \to 0$$

$$K_{zn} = r|\zeta|^2 + r\log(1 + |\Phi_i|^2)$$

 $K_{\rm HT} = K_{zn} + \mathcal{O}(|\zeta|^2)$

IR physics of ZN and HT models is the same BPS spectra are the same, but otherwise **different**

Subtlety #2: Perturbation theory

Gel-Mann-Low function

$$\beta_{i\bar{\jmath}} = a^{(1)} R^{(1)}_{i\bar{\jmath}} + \frac{1}{2r} a^{(2)} R^{(2)}_{i\bar{\jmath}} + \dots$$

Kaehler metric $g_{i\bar{\jmath}} = \partial_i \bar{\partial}_{\bar{\jmath}} K$

$$g_{i\bar{\jmath}} = \partial_i \bar{\partial}_{\bar{\jmath}} K(z_i, \bar{z}_i)$$

$$R_{i\overline{\jmath}}^{(1)} = R_{i\overline{\jmath}},$$
$$R_{i\overline{\jmath}}^{(2)} = R_{i\overline{k}l\overline{m}}R_{\overline{\jmath}}^{\overline{k}\ l\overline{m}}$$

Ricci tensor $R_{i\overline{j}} = -\partial_i \bar{\partial}_{\overline{j}} \log \det(g_{i\overline{j}})$

for Hanany-Tong model N=2, Nf=3

$$-\log \det(g_{i\bar{j}}^{(\text{HT})}) = \log(1 + |\Phi_i|^2) - \log\left(1 + \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + 4r|\zeta|^2}}\right)$$

Fl term renormalization (GLSM)

$$r_{\rm ren}(\mu) = r_0 - \frac{N - \tilde{N}}{2\pi} \log \frac{M}{\mu} \qquad r_{\rm ren} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad r_0 = \frac{N - \tilde{N}}{2\pi} \log \frac{M}{\Lambda}$$
$$c_1(M_{\rm HT})\Big|_{\mathbb{CP}^{N-1}} = (N - \tilde{N}) \left[\omega_{\mathbb{CP}^{N-1}}\right]$$

Kaehler class is renormalized only at one loop, hence the result above should be the full answer for the coupling renormalization

If so what does the extra term in the last formula on the previous slide mean?

To understand why we need to compare renormalization schemes used in both calculations



Integrating out V

- $-\log \det(g_{i\bar{j}}) = (N \tilde{N})\log(1 + |\Phi_i|^2) (N 1)|\zeta|^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\zeta|^4).$
- Dimensional regularization (GLSM perturbation theory) mixes up UV and IR divergencies. Need to single out the UV piece out, IR contribution is not seen in the GLSM limit

AGT in NS limit



We will be interested in Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit

$$\Omega^m = (-i\epsilon x^2, i\epsilon x^1, 0, 0) \qquad \qquad \epsilon_2 \to 0$$



$$\Delta_1 = \alpha_0(Q - \alpha_0), \quad \Delta_2 = \mu_0(Q - \mu_0), \quad \Delta_3 = \mu_1(Q - \mu_1), \quad \Delta_4 = \alpha_1(Q - \alpha_1)$$

 $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2}Q + \widetilde{\mu}_0, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2}Q + a, \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}Q + \widetilde{\mu}_1$

Conformal block matches with instanton partition function $\mathcal{Z}_{inst}(a, \mu_0, \widetilde{\mu}_0, \mu_1, \widetilde{\mu}_1) = (1 - q)^{2\mu_0(Q - \mu_1)} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_0 \ \alpha \ \alpha_1}^{\ \mu_0 \ \mu_1}(q)$

 $b = \epsilon_1 = 1/\epsilon_2$ In NS limit $b \to \infty$

But the proof already exists! [Mironov, Morozov]

at large c conformal block becomes a hypergeometric function

$$B_{\Delta;\Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_3\Delta_4}(x) \stackrel{c \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} {}_2F_1\left(\Delta + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2, \Delta + \Delta_3 - \Delta_4; 2\Delta; x\right) =$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 + k)(\Delta + \Delta_3 - \Delta_4 + k)}{2\Delta + k}$$

Only chiral Nekrasov functions contribute

$$(Y, Y') = ([1^n], \emptyset) \text{ or } (\emptyset, [1^n])$$

One can identify each term of the expansion in the instanton number with the Taylor series in x for 2FI Similar to Fateev-Litvinov

conformal blocks

[Zamolodchikov]

Both proofs are rather formal and deal with each term in the series. Need more physical understanding...

Roadmap to proof





XXX vs Gaudin

[Nekrasov Shatashvili]

Effective twisted superpotential

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{W}_{\text{eff}}^{2d}(\lambda) &= \epsilon \sum_{a=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(\frac{\lambda_a - M_i}{\epsilon}\right) - \epsilon \sum_{a=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(\frac{\lambda_a - \widetilde{M}_i}{\epsilon}\right) \\ &+ \epsilon \sum_{a,b=1}^{K} f\left(\frac{\lambda_a - \lambda_b - \epsilon}{\epsilon}\right) + 2\pi i \hat{\tau} \sum_{a=1}^{K} \lambda_a \,, \end{split}$$

Ground state equations Heisenberg SL(2) magnet twisted and anisotropic

Large anisotropy limit *rational* Gaudin model

Bethe equations obtained by diagonalizing (4 sites)

$$S(u) = \sum_{a=1}^{4} \frac{\mathcal{H}_a}{u - z_a} + \sum_{a=1}^{4} \frac{\Delta(\nu_a)}{(u - z_a)^2}$$

$$\prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_i - \nu_a + \frac{\epsilon}{2}S_a}{\lambda_i - \nu_a - \frac{\epsilon}{2}S_a} = q \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^{K} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j - \epsilon}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + \epsilon}$$

$$\lambda_i \mapsto x\lambda_i, \quad \nu_a \mapsto x\nu_a, \quad \hat{\tau} \mapsto \frac{\hat{\tau}}{x}$$
$$\frac{\log q}{\epsilon} - \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{S_a}{\lambda_i - \nu_a} = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^K \frac{2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}$$

Gaudin Hamiltonians

$$\mathcal{H}_{a} = \sum_{b \neq a} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{\dim(\mathfrak{g})} \frac{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha}^{(b)} \mathfrak{J}^{\alpha(b)}}{z_{a} - z_{b}}$$



De Liouville à Gaudin

Gaudin Hamiltonian in KZ equation

$$b^2 \frac{d\Psi(z_i)}{dz_i} = \mathcal{H}_{Gaud} \Psi(z_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, L$$

Dual WZNW model

$$b^2 = -(k+2)^{-1}$$
 [Teschner]

[Babujian

Flume]

NS limit - critical level $k \rightarrow -2$

rescale conf dims

$$\delta_i = -\frac{\Delta_i}{b^2}$$

$$\delta_1 = -\left(\frac{\widetilde{\mu}_0}{b} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mu}_0}{b} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
$$\delta_2 = -\left(\frac{\mu_0}{b} - 1\right) \frac{\mu_0}{b},$$
$$\delta_3 = -\left(\frac{\mu_1}{b} - 1\right) \frac{\mu_1}{b},$$
$$\delta_4 = -\left(\frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1}{b} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1}{b} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

take home message: CB in Liouville - wave function in Gaudin

The Duality



AGT in NS limit

Liouville conformal block at $b \to \infty$	$U(2), N_f = 4$ SQCD instanton
on S^2 with four punctures	partition function in the NS limit
Rational Gaudin model from KZ	SL(2) spin chain from the ground state
equation on conformal blocks	equation for the 2d GLSM dual to 4d theory
Puncture's positions z_2/z_1	Instanton number q
\mathfrak{sl}_2 spin at $z = q$	U(1) condition
Conformal dimensions of chiral operators	Quadratic $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ Casimir eigenvalues on
at points $z = 1, z = q$	spin 0, $\frac{1}{2}\hat{n}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{n}_2$ representations
at points $z = \infty, z = 0$	spin 0, $\frac{1}{2}\hat{n}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\hat{n}_2 - \frac{1}{2}$, representations
Gaudin Hilbert space sectors with	Higgs branch lattice $\{n_a\}$
different number κ_a of Bethe roots	

Quiver Generalizations



Vortices in Omega background [PK Gorsky Chen] in progress

SUSY transform pure SYM $\delta\Lambda^{I}_{\alpha} = \zeta^{I}_{\beta}((\sigma^{mn})^{\beta}_{\alpha}F_{mn} + i[\phi,\bar{\phi}]\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} + \nabla_{m}(\bar{\Omega}^{m}\phi - \Omega^{m}\bar{\phi})\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}) + \bar{\zeta}^{I}_{\dot{\beta}}(\sigma^{m})^{\dot{\beta}}_{\alpha}(\nabla_{m}\phi - F_{mn}\Omega^{n})$

String central charge $\zeta_3 = \frac{1}{2}\partial_m \left((\phi^a \bar{\Omega}^m - \bar{\phi}^a \Omega^m) B_3^a \right) \sigma^3_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \delta^{IJ} = \frac{i}{2} B_3^a \partial_{\varphi} (\phi^a \bar{\epsilon} - \bar{\phi}^a \epsilon) \sigma^3_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \delta^{IJ}$ **current**

yields for a string of tension ~ epsilon

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} |B_z^a + \phi \tau^a \bar{\phi} - i \nabla_m (\Omega^m \bar{\phi}^a - \bar{\Omega}^m \phi^a)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{D}_1 \phi^a + i \mathcal{D}_2 \phi^a - (\Omega_2 - i \Omega_1) B_z^a|^2 + \partial_m (B_z^a (\Omega^m \bar{\phi}^a - \bar{\Omega}^m \phi^a)) \ge \partial_m (B_z^a (\Omega^m \bar{\phi}^a - \bar{\Omega}^m \phi^a)).$$

Symmetry breaking pattern

$$SU(2)_c \times SU(2)_R \times SU(2)_R \to U(1)_c \times SU(2)_{R+R}$$

Searching for the field theoretical explanation of the new duality

Less SUSY: Heterotic deformation

In 4d introduce masses $\int d^2 \theta \, \mu^2 (\Phi^a)^2$

breaks $\mathcal{N}=2$ to $\mathcal{N}=1$

obtain heterotic sigma model

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \left(\Phi_i^{\dagger} e^V \Phi^i - rV - \mathcal{B}V \right)$$

[Gorsky Shifman Yung] [Distler Kachru] [Edalati Tong][Shifman Yung]

On the flux tube $(2,2) \mapsto (0,2)$

Note: Cannot be (1,1) since then it's automatically (2,2)

B-right handed superfield

can be treated as model w/ field dependent FI term $K = (r + \mathcal{B}) \log(1 + |\phi^i|^2)$

(0,2) Theory

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{deformation of HT}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \Phi_i^{\dagger} e^V \Phi_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_c - N_f} \tilde{\Phi}_i^{\dagger} e^{-V} \tilde{\Phi}_i - (r + \mathcal{B})V + \frac{1}{2e^2} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi^{i} = n^{i} + \bar{\theta}\xi^{i} + \theta\bar{\xi}^{i} + \bar{\theta}\theta F^{i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N_{c}$$
$$\widetilde{\Phi}^{j} = \rho^{j} + \bar{\theta}\eta^{j} + \theta\bar{\eta}^{j} + \bar{\theta}\theta\tilde{F}^{j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \tilde{N}$$

$$\Sigma = \sigma + i\theta^+ \bar{\lambda}_+ - i\bar{\theta}^- \lambda_- + \theta^+ \bar{\theta}^- (D - iF_{01})$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \omega(\bar{\theta}\zeta_R + \bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})$$

deformation adds

$$\mathcal{L}^{het} = \mathcal{L} + \bar{\zeta}_R \partial_L \zeta_R - |\omega|^2 |\sigma|^2 - [i\omega\lambda_L \zeta_R + \text{H.c.}]$$

Not enough SUSY non-pert. corrections out of control Have to dwell on large-N approach

Large-N solution of (0,2)

$$V_{1-loop} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(-\left(D + |\sigma - m_i|^2\right) \log \frac{|\sigma - m_i|^2 + D}{\Lambda^2} + |\sigma - m_i|^2 \log \frac{|\sigma - m_i|^2}{\Lambda^2} \right)$$
$$- \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}-1} \left(-\left(D - |\sigma - \mu_j|^2\right) \log \frac{|\sigma - \mu_j|^2 - D}{\Lambda^2} - |\sigma - \mu_j|^2 \log \frac{|\sigma - \mu_j|^2}{\Lambda^2} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{N - \tilde{N}}{4\pi} D.$$
$$V_{eff} = V_{1-loop} + \left(|\sigma - m_0|^2 + D\right) |n_0|^2 + \left(|\sigma - \mu_0|^2 - D\right) |\rho_0|^2 + \frac{uN}{4\pi} |\sigma|^2$$

for zero masses



Symmetric masses

$$m_k = m e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{N}}, \quad k = 0, \dots, N - 1,$$

$$\mu_l = \mu e^{2\pi i \frac{l}{\tilde{N}}}, \quad l = 0, \dots, \tilde{N} - 1.$$

Vacuum equations

$$\left(\left| \sigma - m_0 \right|^2 + D \right) n_0 = 0, \quad \left(\left| \sigma - \mu_0 \right|^2 - D \right) \rho_0 = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \log \frac{\left| \sigma - m_i \right|^2 + D}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}-1} \log \frac{\left| \sigma - \mu_j \right|^2 - D}{\Lambda^2} = |n_0|^2 - |\rho_0|^2,$$

$$N_{-1} = N_{-1} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\sigma - m_i) \log \frac{|\sigma - m_i|^2 + D}{|\sigma - m_i|^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (\sigma - \mu_j) \log \frac{|\sigma - \mu_j|^2 - D}{|\sigma - \mu_j|^2} = (\sigma - m_0) |n_0|^2 + (\sigma - \mu_0) |\rho_0|^2 + \frac{uN}{4\pi} \sigma.$$

Solution of (2,2) model

Phase transitions -- artifact of large-N

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\left|\sigma - m_{0}\right|^{2} + D\right) n_{0} &= 0, \quad \left(\left|\sigma - \mu_{0}\right|^{2} - D\right) \rho_{0} = 0 \\ \textbf{Higgs in n (Hn)} \\ \rho_{0} &= 0 \quad D = -\left|\sigma - m\right|^{2} \end{aligned} \qquad r = \begin{cases} \frac{N - \tilde{N}}{2\pi} \log \frac{m}{\Lambda}, & \mu < m \\ \frac{N}{2\pi} \log \frac{m}{\Lambda} - \frac{\tilde{N}}{2\pi} \log \frac{\mu}{\Lambda}, & \mu > m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Higgs in rho} (\textbf{H}\rho) \\ n_0 = 0 \quad D = |\sigma - \mu|^2 \end{array} \qquad r = \begin{cases} \frac{N - \tilde{N}}{2\pi} \log \frac{\mu}{\Lambda}, & \mu > m \\ \frac{N}{2\pi} \log \frac{m}{\Lambda} - \frac{\tilde{N}}{2\pi} \log \frac{\mu}{\Lambda}, & \mu < m \end{cases}$$

Coulomb (C) $n_0 = \rho_0 = 0$

renormalized FI term vanishes in C phase in (2,2) from exact superpotential

$$\frac{\prod_{i} (\sigma - m_{i})}{\prod_{i} (\sigma - \mu_{j})} = \Lambda^{N - \tilde{N}} \qquad \sigma = 0 \qquad \text{is one of the solutions...}$$





Spectrum

[Bolokhov Shifman Yung] [PK Monin Vinci]



Photon becomes massless in Cs phase!! Confinement!

Note that Lambda vacua disappear at large deformations Need to sit in zero-vacua

e.g. in Cm phase $m_{\gamma} = \sqrt{6} \Lambda \left(\frac{\Lambda}{m}\right)^{1/\alpha} \left(\left(\frac{m}{\Lambda}\right)^{2/\alpha} - \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right)^2 e^{u/\alpha}\right) e^{-\frac{u}{2\alpha}}$

Massless goldstino in fermionic sector

NSVZ in (0,2) sigma model

 \mathbb{P}^N sigma models exhibit instanton solutions

Let us now remove half of the fermions

An instanton has four bosonic zero modes but only two fermionic ones $A_{\text{inst}} = \frac{y}{z - z_0}, \quad A_{\text{inst}}^{\dagger} = \frac{\bar{y}(1 + 4i\theta^{\dagger}\beta^{\dagger})}{\bar{z}_{\text{ch}} - \bar{z}_0 - 4i\theta^{\dagger}\alpha}$

[Cui Shifman]

What does it

mean for 4d/2d?

One loop corrections in the instanton background do not cancel completely

$$d\mu = \left(\frac{M^2}{g^2}\right)^{n_b} \left(\frac{g^2}{M}\right)^{n_f} (M)^{-1} e^{-\frac{4\pi}{g^2}} d\log(y) d\log(\bar{y}) dz_0 d\bar{z}_0 d\alpha d\beta^{\dagger}$$

One loop modification

Exact beta function

$$\beta(g^2) = -\frac{g^4}{2\pi} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{g^2}{4\pi}}$$

Conclusions and open questions

- Study of SQCD BPS (and beyond) spectrum can effectively be done using 2d NLSM (and GLSM)
- 4d/2d duality helps to understand AGT in NS limit by reducing it to bispectral duality
- Relationship w/ another 4d/2d duality [Vafa et al]
- Generalize to other AGT pairs
- Holography for Non-Abelian vortices
- A lot is unknown about (0,2) theory... how far can we push 4d/2d?