Hello and welcome to class!

Last time

We began discussing eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

This time

We'll see more examples, and study the question of when there is a basis of eigenvectors.

Review: Eigenvectors and eigenvalues

If $T: V \to V$ is a linear transformation — perhaps $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ and T is given by a matrix — and

$$T\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}$$

then **v** is said to be an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ .

The eigenvalues can be determined by solving the characteristic equation $det(T - \lambda I) = 0$.

The eigenvectors for a given eigenvalue λ can be determined by finding the kernel of $T - \lambda I$, by row reduction.

Review: diagonalization

If \mathcal{B} is a basis for V consisting of eigenvectors of $T: V \to V$, then the matrix $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is diagonal.

If $V = \mathbb{R}^n$, [T] is the matrix of T in the standard basis, and

$$B = [\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n]$$

is the matrix whose columns are the basis vectors then

$$[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = B^{-1}[T]B$$

Review: diagonalization

A square matrix M can be written as

$$M = X \cdot (\text{diagonal matrix}) \cdot X^{-1}$$

exactly when there's a basis of eigenvectors \mathcal{B} for M in which case we take

$$X = [\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n]$$

This is good for computing the powers of M:

$$M^n = X \cdot (\text{diagonal matrix})^n \cdot X^{-1}$$

Basis independence

Note that the notions of eigenvector and eigenvalue

 $T\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}$

depend only on the linear transformation T and not on the basis you write T in. Of course, the way that you write down the eigenvectors does depend on the basis.

The characteristic polynomial also does not depend on the basis. Indeed, changing basis always amounts to a transformation $M \mapsto B^{-1}MB$, and

$$\det(M - \lambda I) = \det(B^{-1}(M - \lambda I)B) = \det(B^{-1}MB - \lambda)$$

Consider the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Its characteristic equation is: $0 = \det \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 1$. So its eigenvalues are 1, -1. Eigenvectors of eigenvalue 1 form the kernel of $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. It's

spanned by (1,1).

Eigenvectors of eigenvalue -1 form the kernel of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. It's spanned by (1, -1). There is a basis of real eigenvectors.

Consider the matrix
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.
Its characteristic equation is: $0 = \det \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} = 1 - \lambda^3$
This has one real solution: $\lambda = 1$.
Eigenvectors of eigenvalue 1 form the kernel of $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$.
This is spanned by $(1, 1, 1)$.
There is no basis of real eigenvectors.

Consider the matrix
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Its characteristic equation is: $0 = \det \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 1 \\ -1 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^2 + 1$. This

has no real solutions, so there are no real eigenvalues or nonzero eigenvectors.

There is no basis of real eigenvectors.

Consider the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Characteristic equation: $0 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1$. So the eigenvalues are $\lambda = 1$ The eigenvectors of eigenvalue 1 are the kernel of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This is spanned by (1,0).

There is no basis of real eigenvectors.

Consider the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Characteristic equation: $0 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1$. So the eigenvalues are $\lambda = 1$

The eigenvectors of eigenvalue 1 are the kernel of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This is all vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 .

There is a basis of real eigenvectors.

Consider the linear transformation $\frac{d}{dx} : \mathbb{P}_n \to \mathbb{P}_n$.

Constant polynomials are eigenvectors of eigenvalue zero. There are no others: the derivative lowers the degree of a polynomial so any eigenvector must have eigenvalue zero hence be a constant.

Or, we pick a basis, say $1, x, x^2, ...$ and write the matrix of $\frac{d}{dx}$. I'll write the case \mathbb{P}_4 :

ΓΟ	1	0	0	0
0	0	2	0	0
0	0	0	3	0
0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0	0

The characteristic equation of this matrix is $-\lambda^5 = 0$. So 0 is the only eigenvalue.

The corresponding eigenspace is in this basis spanned by (1,0,0,0,0). These are the constant polynomials.

Theorem

Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation, and suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n$ are nonzero eigenvectors,

$$T\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$$

If the eigenvalues λ_i are distinct, then the eigenvectors \mathbf{v}_i are linearly independent.

Proof.

We check n = 2. Assume $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ are linearly dependent, i.e. $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 = 0$ where c_1, c_2 are constants not both zero. Applying T, we have $\lambda_1c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \lambda_2c_2\mathbf{v}_2 = 0$ as well.

If $c_1 = 0$, then we can conclude $\mathbf{v}_2 = 0$, which is a contradiction since we assumed the $\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0$. So $c_1 \neq 0$.

If $\lambda_2 = 0$, then $\lambda_1 c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 = 0$ but $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$. Then since $c_1 \neq 0$, we have $\mathbf{v}_1 = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Otherwise, subtract $\frac{1}{\lambda_2}$ times the second equation from the first. We find $c_1(1 - \lambda_1/\lambda_2)\mathbf{v}_1 = 0$. Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, this implies $\mathbf{v}_1 = 0$, which is a contradiction.

More generally, consider a minimal dependent subset of the \mathbf{v}_i . There must be at least two, since each \mathbf{v}_i was nonzero. Then $0 = \sum c_i \mathbf{v}_i$ where all the $c_i \neq 0$ (by minimality). For notational convenience assume \mathbf{v}_1 is among them.

Applying T, we also have $0 = \sum c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$. By minimality, none of the λ_i can be zero. But then we subtract λ_1 times the first equation from the second, getting

$$0 = \sum_{i>1} c_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_1) \mathbf{v}_i$$

This is a nontrivial — since $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_1$ — linear combination of fewer of the basis vectors. This contradicts minimality.

Theorem

Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation, and suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n$ are nonzero eigenvectors,

$$T\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$$

If the eigenvalues λ_i are distinct, then the eigenvectors \mathbf{v}_i are linearly independent.

Corollary

If the characteristic equation of an $n \times n$ matrix has n distinct real solutions, then there is a basis of real eigenvectors.

This is not a necessary condition: the identity matrix has characteristic equation $(1 - \lambda)^n$, which has only one real solution but any basis is a basis of eigenvectors for the identity matrix.

If $T: V \to V$ is a linear transformation and λ is an eigenvalue, we say that the kernel of $T - \lambda I$, i.e., the space spanned by the eigenvectors of eigenvalue λ , is the eigenspace of eigenvalue λ .

The matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues 1, 2.

The eigenspace of eigenvalue 1 is spanned by \mathbf{e}_1 .

The eigenspace of eigenvalue 2 is spanned by $\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3$.

One can show (although I won't here):

Theorem

Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation. The following are equivalent.

- There's a basis for V consisting of eigenvectors for T.
- The eigenspaces of T span V.
- The eigenspace of eigenvalue λ has dimension equal to the multiplicity of λ as a root of the characteristic polynomial.

This is harder to check than the condition that the characteristic equation has distinct solutions, since you have to actually determine the eigenspaces (or at least their dimensions).