q-Opers as Geometrization of N=2 Theories

Peter Koroteev

2/9/2022

Talk at Aspen conference on Geometrization of $D \le 6$ Theories

Literature

[arXiv:2108.04184]

q-Opers, QQ-systems, and Bethe Ansatz II: Generalized Minors

P. Koroteev, A. M. Zeitlin

[arXiv:2105.00588]

3d Mirror Symmetry for Instanton Moduli Spaces

P. Koroteev, A. M. Zeitlin

[arXiv:2007.11786] J. Inst. Math. Jussieu

Toroidal q-Opers

P. Koroteev, A. M. Zeitlin

[arXiv:2002.07344] J. Europ. Math. Soc.

q-Opers, QQ-Systems, and Bethe Ansatz

E. Frenkel, P. Koroteev, D. S. Sage, A. M. Zeitlin

[arXiv:1811.09937] Commun.Math.Phys. 381 (2021) 641

(SL(N),q)-opers, the q-Langlands correspondence, and quantum/classical duality

P. Koroteev, D. S. Sage, A. M. Zeitlin

[arXiv:1705.10419] Selecta Math. **27** (2021) 87

Quantum K-theory of Quiver Varieties and Many-Body Systems

P. Koroteev, P. P. Pushkar, A. V. Smirnov, A. M. Zeitlin







Motivation

Quantum Geometry and Integrable Systems

[Okounkov et al]

[Pushkar, Zeitlin, Smirnov]

[PK, Pushkar, Smirnov, Zeitlin]

BPS/CFT Correspondence

[Nekrasov Shatashvili]

Geometric q-Langlands Correspondence


[Frenkel] [Aganagic, Frenkel, Okounkov]

ODE/IM Correspondence


[Bazhanov, Lukyanov, Zamolodchikov]

[Dorey, Tateo]


L Integrable Many-Body Systems



Calogero in 1971 introduced a new integrable system. Moser in 1975 proved its integrability using Lax pair



$$H_{CM} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + g^2 \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)^2}$$



The Calogero-Moser (CM) system has several generalizations

$$V(z) \simeq \frac{1}{z^2} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}(x_j - x_i)$$

rCM -> tCM -> eCM

$$V(z) \simeq \frac{1}{\sinh z^2}$$



Another relativistic generalization called Ruijsenaars-Schneider (RS) family

rRS -> tRS -> eRS

Geometrically described by Hamiltonian reduction of T*GL(n)


$$H_{CM} = \lim_{c \to \infty} H_{RS} - nmc^2$$

The ITEP Table

pq	rational	trigonometric	elliptic
r	rational CMS	trigonometric CMS _p	elliptic CMS quantum cohomology
t	$R \rightarrow 0$ rational RS (dual trig. CMS)	$\frac{p}{\text{trigonometric RS}}$	→ 0 elliptic RS — quantum K-theory
е	dual elliptic CMS	w o 0 p dual elliptic RS	$w \rightarrow 0$ DELL Elliptic Cohomology

Quantum XXZ Chain

QQ-Systems



SU(n) XXZ spin chain on n sites w/ anisotropies and twisted periodic boundary conditions

twist eigenvalues z_i


equivariant parameters (anisotropies) a_i

Bethe Ansatz Equations

$$\frac{\zeta_i}{\zeta_{i+1}} \prod_{\beta=1}^{\mathbf{v}_{i-1}} \frac{\sigma_{i,\alpha} - \hbar^{1/2} \sigma_{i-1,\beta}}{\sigma_{i-1,\beta} - \hbar^{1/2} \sigma_{i,\alpha}} \cdot \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha}^{\mathbf{v}_i} \frac{\hbar \sigma_{i,\alpha} - \sigma_{i,\beta}}{\hbar \sigma_{i,\beta} - \sigma_{i,\alpha}} \cdot \prod_{\beta=1}^{\mathbf{v}_{i+1}} \frac{\sigma_{i,\alpha} - \hbar^{1/2} \sigma_{i+1,\beta}}{\sigma_{i+1,\beta} - \hbar^{1/2} \sigma_{i,\alpha}} = (-1)^{\delta_i}$$

Classical tRS Model

q-Opers



n-particle trigonometric Ruijsenaars-Schneider model

$$\Omega = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \wedge \frac{1}{z_{i}}$$

$$[T_{i}, T_{j}] = 0$$

 $T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\hbar z_i - z_j}{z_i - z_j} p_i$

coordinates


energy (eigenvalues of Hamiltonians) $e_i(a_i)$


Energy level equations


$$T_i(\mathbf{z},\hbar) = e_i(\mathbf{a}), \qquad i = 1,\ldots,n$$

Quiver Varieties from Branes


Quiver Variety from Hanany-Witten







Physically: 3d N=4 quiver gauge theory




moment map

$$\mu: T^*R \longrightarrow \text{Lie}(G)^*$$
 $L(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mu^{-1}(0)$

$$L(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mu^{-1}(0)$$

$$Y = L(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) /\!\!/_{\theta} G = L(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})_{ss} / G$$



automorphism group $\prod GL(W_i) \times \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}^{\times}$

Classical K-theory of X is formed by tensorial polynomials of tautological bundles and their duals

The equivariant K-theory of X is a module over the ring of equivariant constants

$$R = K_{\mathsf{T}}(\cdot) = \mathbb{Z}[a_1^{\pm}, \cdots, a_n^{\pm 1}, \hbar^{\pm 1}]$$

K-theory classes

Relations


$$\tau(V) = V^{\otimes 2} - \Lambda^3 V^*$$


$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (s_i - a_j) = 0, \quad i = 1 \cdots k$$

$$\tau(s_1, \dots, s_k) = (s_1 + \dots + s_k)^2 - \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \le k} s_{i_1}^{-1} s_{i_2}^{-1} s_{i_3}^{-1}$$

Quantum K-theory

Quantum equivariant K-theory of Nakajima quiver varieties





$$A \circledast B = A \otimes B + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} A \circledast_d B z^d$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{(\tau)}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{d}} \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}^{\boldsymbol{d}} \otimes \tau|_{p_1}, \operatorname{QM}_{\operatorname{nonsing} p_2}^{\boldsymbol{d}}) \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{d}} \in K_{\mathsf{T} \times \mathbb{C}_q^{\times}}(X)_{loc}[[\boldsymbol{z}]]$$

Saddle point limit yields Bethe equations for XXZ

$$\hbar^{\frac{\Delta_i}{2}} \frac{\zeta_i}{\zeta_{i+1}} \frac{Q_{i-1}^{(1)} Q_i^{(-2)} Q_{i+1}^{(1)}}{Q_{i-1}^{(-1)} Q_i^{(2)} Q_{i+1}^{(-1)}} = -1$$


$$Q_i(u) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\mathbf{v}_i} (u - \sigma_{i,\alpha})$$

$$Q_i(u) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\mathbf{v}_i} (u - \sigma_{i,\alpha}) \qquad \qquad \Lambda_i(z) = \prod_{b=1}^{\mathbf{w}_i} (z - a_{i,b})$$

Can be written as QQ-system

$$\xi_i Q_i^+(\hbar z) Q_i^-(z) - \xi_{i+1} Q_i^+(z) Q_i^-(\hbar z) = \Lambda_i(z) Q_{i-1}^+(\hbar z) Q_{i+1}^+(z)$$


Quantum/Classical Duality from Branes [PK Gaiotto]



Quiver representation data ← Linking Numbers


$$r_i^! = \#D3(R) - \#D3(L) + \#D5(L)$$

 $r_i = \#D3(L) - \#D3(R) + \#NS5(R)$




3d N=2* quiver theory ← 4d N=2* theory on interval

Quantum K-theory of X ← Calogero-Moser Space



$$QK_T(X) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}(\{\xi_i\}, \{a_i\}, \hbar)(\{p_i\})}{(\det(u - T(\{p_i\}, \{a_i\}, \hbar)) - f(u, \{\xi_i\}, \hbar))} \stackrel{\text{Consider the following K}}{\underset{\text{The QQ-system graphs}}{\text{Consider the problewing K}}} (3.25) \stackrel{\text{Consider the problewing K}}{\underset{\text{The QQ-system graphs}}{\text{Consider the problewing K}}} (3.25)$$

T - tRS Lax Matrix



[PK Zeitlin]

3.4. Lev2Rank Example 1 Consider self-n 1 or 1 ver $X_{1,2}$ Ter $X_{1,2}$ Ter $X_{1,2}$ Ter $X_{1,2}$ Term $X_{1,2}$ Quiver variable $\xi_1Q_1^+(qz)Q_1^-(z) - \xi_2Q_1^+(z)Q_1^-(qz) = (z-a_3)Q_2^+$

 $(3.23) 3.4. \quad \text{Low } \mathbf{Rank}(\mathbf{Fx}\mathbf{anple}.\mathbf{Consider}) = \mathbf{Consider} \mathbf{Con$


Consider the following K-theory classes for An Expenses (3.20 tangent building to complete the factor of the dual variety for the QQ-system of the dual variety for the factor of the dual variety for the constant of the

and its mirror $X_{1,2}^{!}$ Consider the following K-theory class state $X_{1,2}^{!}$ Using the article $X_{1,2}^{!}$ $X_{1,2}^{!}$

Using the above mest we team, it write these feveral points of motion. The tRS equations in the electric frame transfer to the sequence of th

[PK Gaiotto] [PK Zeitlin]

Quantum/Classical Duality



tRS momenta

$$p_i^{\xi} = \exp \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \xi_i}, \qquad p_i^a = \exp \frac{\partial Y}{\partial a_i}$$

Symplectic form

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{dp_i^{\xi}}{p_i^{\xi}} \wedge \frac{d\xi_i}{\xi_i} - \frac{dp_i^a}{p_i^a} \wedge \frac{da_i}{a_i}$$

tRS energy relations

$$\mathcal{M} imes \mathcal{M}^!$$
 \mathcal{L}_{μ}
 $\mathcal{W} = \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$
 $Y = Y^!$
3d mirror symmetry

$$\det(u - T) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (u - a_i), \qquad \det(u - M) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (u - \xi_i)$$


$$\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{I}\subset\{1,\ldots,L\}\\|\mathfrak{I}|=k}}\prod_{\substack{i\in\mathfrak{I}\\j\notin\mathfrak{I}}}\frac{a_i-\hbar\,a_j}{a_i-a_j}\prod_{m\in\mathfrak{I}}p_m=\ell_k(\xi_i)$$

 \mathcal{L}_{μ} Eigenvalues of M and Slodowy form on T


 $\mathcal{L}_{ au}$ Eigenvalues of T and Slodowy form on M

space of vacua — intersection points

XXZ/tRS duality! Can we generalize it?



[Dimofte Gaiotto van der Veen]



II. q-Opers — SL(2) Example

Consider vector bundle E over \mathbb{P}^1

$$M_q: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \qquad q \quad \bigcirc$$

$$z \mapsto qz \qquad \qquad \bigcirc$$

Map of vector bundles $A:E\longrightarrow E^q$

Upon trivialization $A(z) \in \mathfrak{gl}(N,\mathbb{C}(z))$

q-gauge transformation $A(z)\mapsto g(qz)A(z)g^{-1}(z)$

Difference equation $D_q(s) = As$


Definition: A meromorphic (GL(N), q)-connection over \mathbb{P}^1 is a pair (E, A), where E is a (trivializable) vector bundle of rank N over \mathbb{P}^1 and A is a meromorphic section of the sheaf $Hom_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}(E, E^q)$ for which A(z) is invertible, i.e. lies in $GL(N, \mathbb{C}(z))$. The pair (E, A) is called an (SL(N), q)-connection if there exists a trivialization for which A(z) has determinant 1.

q-Opers

Definition: A (GL(2), q)-oper on \mathbb{P}^1 is a triple (E, A, \mathcal{L}) , where (E, A) is a (GL(2), q)-connection and \mathcal{L} is a line subbundle such that the induced map $\overline{A} : \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow (E/\mathcal{L})^q$ is an isomorphism. The triple is called an (SL(2), q)-oper if (E, A) is an (SL(2), q)-connection.

in a trivialization
$$s(qz) \wedge A(z)s(z) \neq 0$$

Definition: A (SL(2), q)-oper with regular singularities at the points $z_1, \ldots, z_L \neq 0, \infty$ with weights $k_1, \ldots k_L$ is a meromorphic (SL(2), q)-oper (E, A, \mathcal{L}) for which \bar{A} is an isomorphism everywhere on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ except at the points $z_m, q^{-1}z_m, q^{-2}z_m, \ldots, q^{-k_m+1}z_m$ for $m \in \{1, \ldots, L\}$, where it has simple zeros.



Finally, (SL(2),q)-oper is **Z-twisted** in A(z) is gauge equivalent to a diagonal matrix Z

Miura q-Opers

Miura (SL(2),q)-oper is a quadruple $(E,A,\mathcal{L},\hat{\mathcal{L}})$ where (E,A,\mathcal{L}) is an (SL(2),q)-oper and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ is preserved by the q-connection A

Chose trivialization of \mathcal{L}

$$s(z) = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{+}(z) \\ Q_{-}(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

Twist element $Z = \operatorname{diag}(\zeta, \zeta^{-1})$

q-Oper condition — SL(2) QQ-system

$$\zeta Q_{-}(z)Q_{+}(zq) - \zeta^{-1}Q_{-}(zq)Q_{+}(z) = \Lambda(z)$$

singularities

One of the polynomials can be made monic

$$Q_{+}(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} (z - w_{k})$$

$$\Lambda(z) = \prod_{p=1}^{L} \prod_{j_p=0}^{r_p-1} (z - q^{-j_p} z_p)$$

From QQ-system to Bethe equations

$$\frac{\Lambda(w_k)}{\Lambda(q^{-1}w_k)} = -\zeta^2 \frac{Q_+(qw_k)}{Q_+(q^{-1}w_k)}, \qquad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

$$q^r \prod_{p=1}^{L} \frac{w_k - q^{1-r_p} z_p}{w_k - q z_p} = -\zeta^2 q^m \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{q w_k - w_j}{w_k - q w_j}, \qquad k = 1, \dots, m$$

q-Miura Transformation

$$A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} g(z) & \Lambda(z) \\ 0 & g(z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Z-twisted q-oper condition

$$A(z) = v(zq)Zv(z)^{-1}, \qquad Z = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge transformation reads

$$v(z) = \begin{pmatrix} y(z) & 0 \\ 0 & y(z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{Q_{-}(z)}{Q_{+}(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y(z) & -y(z)\frac{Q_{-}(z)}{Q_{+}(z)} \\ 0 & y(z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

We find

$$g(z) = \zeta_i y(zq) y(z)^{-1}$$

$$\Lambda(z) = y(z)y(zq) \left(\zeta \frac{Q_{-}(z)}{Q_{+}(z)} - \zeta^{-1} \frac{Q_{-}(zq)}{Q_{+}(zq)} \right)$$

The q-oper condition becomes the SL(2) QQ-system

$$\zeta Q_{-}(z)Q_{+}(zq) - \zeta^{-1}Q_{-}(zq)Q_{+}(z) = \Lambda(z)$$

Difference Equation

$$D_q(s) = As$$

$$D_q(s_1) = \Lambda(z)s_2$$

after elimination

$$\left(D_q^2 - T(qz)D_q - \frac{\Lambda(qz)}{\Lambda(z)}\right)s_1 = 0$$

tRS Hamiltonians

Recover 2-body tRS Hamiltonian from a q-Oper

Let
$$Q_{-} = z - p_{-}$$
 and $Q_{+} = c(z - p_{+})$

$$z^{2} - \frac{z}{q} \left[\frac{\zeta - q\zeta^{-1}}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} p_{+} + \frac{q\zeta - \zeta^{-1}}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} p_{-} \right] + \frac{p_{+}p_{-}}{q} = (z - z_{+})(z - z_{-})$$

qOper condition yields tRS Hamiltonians!

$$\det(z - L_{tRS}) = (z - z_{+})(z - z_{-})$$

II. (G,q)-Connection

G-simple simply-connected complex Lie group

Principal G-bundle
$$\mathcal{F}_G$$
 over \mathbb{P}^1

$$M_q: \mathbb{P}^1 o \mathbb{P}^1$$
 $z \mapsto qz$

A meromorphic (G,q)-connection on \mathcal{F}_G is a section A of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{F}_G,\mathcal{F}_G^q)$ Choose U so that the restriction $\mathcal{F}_G|_U$ of \mathcal{F}_G to U is isomorphic to a trivial G-bundle

U-Zariski open dense set

$$A(z)\in G(\mathbb{C}(z))$$
 on $U\cap M_a^{-1}(U)$

Change of trivialization
$$A(z)\mapsto g(qz)A(z)g(z)^{-1}$$

(G,q)-Opers

A meromorphic (G,q)-oper on \mathbb{P}^1 is a triple $(\mathfrak{F}_G,A,\mathfrak{F}_{B_-})$

A is a meromorphic (G,q)-connection

 \mathfrak{F}_{B-} is a reduction of \mathfrak{F}_{G} to B_{-}

Oper condition: Restriction of the connection on some Zariski open dense set U

$$A: \mathcal{F}_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_G^q \text{ to } U \cap M_q^{-1}(U)$$

takes values in the double Bruhat cell

$$B_{-}(\mathbb{C}[U\cap M_{q}^{-1}(U)])cB_{-}(\mathbb{C}[U\cap M_{q}^{-1}(U)])$$

Coxeter element: $c = \prod_i s_i$

Locally

$$A(z) = n'(z) \prod_{i} (\phi_i(z)^{\check{\alpha}_i} s_i) n(z)$$

$$\phi_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$$
 and $n(z), n'(z) \in N_-(z)$

Miura (G,q)-Opers

Definition: A Miura(G,q)-oper on \mathbb{P}^1 is a quadruple $(\mathcal{F}_G, A, \mathcal{F}_{B_-}, \mathcal{F}_{B_+})$, where $(\mathcal{F}_G, A, \mathcal{F}_{B_-})$ is a meromorphic (G,q)-oper on \mathbb{P}^1 and \mathcal{F}_{B_+} is a reduction of the G-bundle \mathcal{F}_G to B_+ that is preserved by the q-connection A.

It can be shown that the two flags \mathcal{F}_{B-} and \mathcal{F}_{B+} are in generic relative position for some dense set V

The fiber $\mathcal{F}_{G,x}$ of \mathcal{F}_G at x is a G-torsor with reductions $\mathcal{F}_{B_-,x}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{B_+,x}$ to B_- and B_+ , respectively. Choose any trivialization of $\mathcal{F}_{G,x}$, i.e. an isomorphism of G-torsors $\mathcal{F}_{G,x} \simeq G$. Under this isomorphism, $\mathcal{F}_{B_-,x}$ gets identified with $aB_- \subset G$ and $\mathcal{F}_{B_+,x}$ with bB_+ .

Then $a^{-1}b$ is a well-defined element of the double quotient $B_- \setminus G/B_+$, which is in bijection with W_G .

We will say that $\mathcal{F}_{B_{-}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{B_{+}}$ have a generic relative position at $x \in X$ if the element of W_{G} assigned to them at x is equal to 1 (this means that the corresponding element $a^{-1}b$ belongs to the open dense Bruhat cell $B_{-} \cdot B_{+} \subset G$).

Structure Theorems

Theorem 1: For any Miura (G,q)-oper on \mathbb{P}^1 , there exists a trivialization of the underlying G-bundle \mathfrak{F}_G on an open dense subset of \mathbb{P}^1 for which the oper q-connection has the form

$$A(z) \in N_{-}(z) \prod_{i} ((\phi_{i}(z)^{\check{\alpha}_{i}} s_{i}) N_{-}(z) \cap B_{+}(z).$$

Theorem 2: Let F be any field, and fix $\lambda_i \in F^{\times}$, i = 1, ..., r. Then every element of the set $N_- \prod_i \lambda_i^{\check{\alpha}_i} s_i N_- \cap B_+$ can be written in the form

$$\prod_{i} g_i^{\check{\alpha}_i} e^{\frac{\lambda_i t_i}{g_i} e_i}, \qquad g_i \in F^{\times},$$

where each $t_i \in F^{\times}$ is determined by the lifting s_i .

Adding Singularities and Twists

Consider family of polynomials

$$\{\Lambda_i(z)\}_{i=1,\ldots,r}$$

(G,q)-oper with regular singularities can be written as

$$A(z) = n'(z) \prod_{i} (\Lambda_i(z)^{\check{\alpha}_i} s_i) n(z), \qquad n(z), n'(z) \in N_{-}(z)$$

Using structure theorem every Miura (G,q)-oper with singularities reads

$$A(z) = \prod_{i} g_i(z)^{\check{\alpha}_i} e^{\frac{\Lambda_i(z)}{g_i(z)}e_i}, \qquad g_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)^{\times}$$

(G,q)-oper is Z-twisted if it is equivalent to a constant element of G $Z\in H\subset H(z)$

 $Z\in H\subset H(z)$ Z is regular semisimple. There are W_G Miura (G,q)-opers for each (G,q)-opers

$$A(z) = g(qz)Zg(z)^{-1}$$

Z-twisted Miura (G,q)-oper if gauge transform is from Borel

$$A(z) = v(qz)Zv(z)^{-1}, v(z) \in B_{+}(z)$$

Plucker Relations

 V_i^+ irrep of G with highest weight $\,\omega_i\,$ Line $\,L_i\subset V_i\,$ stable under $\,B_+$

Plucker relations: for two integral dominant weights $L_{\lambda+\mu}\subset V_{\lambda+\mu}$ is the image of $L_\lambda\otimes L_\mu\subset V_\lambda\otimes V_\mu$ under canonical projection $V_\lambda\otimes V_\mu\longrightarrow V_{\lambda+\mu}$

Conversely, for a collection of lines $L_{\lambda} \subset V_{\lambda}$ satisfying Plucker relations $\exists B \subset G$ such that L_{λ} is stabilized by B for all λ . A choice of B is equivalent to a choice of B_+ -torsor in G

Let ν_{ω_i} be a generator of the line $L_i\subset V_i$. This is a vector of weight ω_i wrt $H\subset B_+$

The subspace of V_i of weight ω_i-lpha_i is one-dimensional and spanned by $f_i\cdot
u_{\omega_i}$

Thus the 2d subspace spanned by $\{
u_{\omega_i}, f_i \cdot
u_{\omega_i}\}$ is a B_+ -invariant subspace of V_i

Miura-Plucker (G,q)-Opers

let $(\mathfrak{F}_G, A, \mathfrak{F}_{B_-}, \mathfrak{F}_{B_+})$ be a Miura (G, q)-oper with regular singularities $\{\Lambda_i(z)\}_{i=1,...,r}$

Associated vector bundle
$$\ \mathcal{V}_i=\mathcal{F}_{B_+}\underset{B_+}{ imes}V_i=\mathcal{F}_{G}\underset{G}{ imes}V_i$$
 contains rank-two subbundle $\ \mathcal{W}_i=\mathcal{F}_{B_+}\underset{B_+}{ imes}W_i$

associated to $W_i \subset V_i$, and W_i in turn contains a line subbundle $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{F}_{B_+} \times L_i$

Using structure theorems we obtain r Miura (GL(2),q)-opers

$$A_{i}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{i}(z) & \Lambda_{i}(z) \prod_{j>i} g_{j}(z)^{-a_{ji}} \\ 0 & g_{i}^{-1}(z) \prod_{j\neq i} g_{j}(z)^{-a_{ji}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Z-twisted Miura-Plucker (G,q)-oper is meromorphic Miura (G,q)-oper on P1 such that for each Miura (GL(2),q)-oper

$$A_i(z) = v(zq)Zv(z)^{-1}|_{W_i} = v_i(zq)Z_iv_i(z)^{-1}$$

where
$$v_i(z) = v(z)|_{W_i}$$
 and $Z_i = Z|_{W_i}$

QQ-System


Theorem: There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of nondegenerate Z-twisted Miura-Plücker (G,q)-opers and the set of nondegenerate polynomial solutions of the QQ-system

$$\widetilde{\xi}_{i}Q_{-}^{i}(z)Q_{+}^{i}(qz) - \xi_{i}Q_{-}^{i}(qz)Q_{+}^{i}(z) = \Lambda_{i}(z) \prod_{j>i} \left[Q_{+}^{j}(qz) \right]^{-a_{ji}} \prod_{j< i} \left[Q_{+}^{j}(z) \right]^{-a_{ji}}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, r,$$

$$\widetilde{\xi}_i = \zeta_i \prod_{j>i} \zeta_j^{a_{ji}}, \qquad \xi_i = \zeta_i^{-1} \prod_{j< i} \zeta_j^{-a_{ji}}$$

Proof uses
$$v(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} y_i(z)^{\check{\alpha}_i} \prod_{i=1}^{r} e^{-\frac{Q_-^i(z)}{Q_+^i(z)} e_i} \dots, \qquad g_i(z) = \zeta_i \frac{Q_+^i(qz)}{Q_+^i(z)} e_i$$

XXZ Bethe Ansatz Equations for G



Space of nondegenerate solutions of QQ-system for G



Nondegenerate **Z-twisted Miura-Plucker** (G,q)-opers with regular singularities

Space of nondegenerate solutions of XXZ for G

?

Nondegenerate **Z-twisted Miura** (G,q)-opers with regular singularities

Quantum Backlund Transformation

Theorem: Consider the following q-gauge transformation

$$A \mapsto A^{(i)} = e^{\mu_i(qz)f_i} A(z) e^{-\mu_i(z)f_i}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_i(z) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq i} \left[Q^j_+(z) \right]^{-a_{ji}}}{Q^i_+(z)Q^i_-(z)}$$

changes the set of Q-functions

$$Q^j_+(z) \mapsto Q^j_+(z), \qquad j \neq i,$$

 $Q^i_+(z) \mapsto Q^i_-(z), \qquad Z \mapsto s_i(Z)$

$$\{\widetilde{Q}_{+}^{j}\}_{j=1,...,r} = \{Q_{+}^{1},...,Q_{+}^{i-1},Q_{+}^{i},Q_{-}^{i},Q_{+}^{i+1}...,Q_{+}^{r}\}_{i=1,...,r}$$

$$\{\widetilde{z}_{j}\}_{j=1,...,r} = \{z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i}^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^{r}z_{j}^{-a_{ji}},...,z_{r}\}$$

Now the strategy is to successively apply Backlund transformations according to the reduced decomposition of the element of the Weyl group

Consider longest element
$$w_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$$

Theorem: Every Z-twisted Miura-Plucker (G,q)-oper is Z-twisted Miura (G,q)-oper

The proof based on properties of double Bruhat cells addresses existence of the diagonalizing element v(z) (to be constructed later)

(SL(N),q)-Opers

The QQ-system

$$\xi_i \phi_i(z) - \xi_{i+1} \phi_i(qz) = \rho_i(z)$$

$$\phi_i(z) = \frac{Q_i^-(z)}{Q_i^+(z)},$$

$$\phi_i(z) = \frac{Q_i^-(z)}{Q_i^+(z)}, \qquad \rho_i(z) = \Lambda_i(z) \frac{Q_{i-1}^+(qz)Q_{i+1}^+(z)}{Q_i^+(z)Q_i^+(qz)}$$

q-Oper condition

$$v(qz)^{-1}A(z) = Zv(z)^{-1}$$

Diagonalizing element

$$v(z)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{Q_1^+(z)} & \frac{Q_1^-(z)}{Q_2^+(z)} & \frac{Q_{12}^-(z)}{Q_3^+(z)} & \dots & \frac{Q_{1,\dots,r-1}^-(z)}{Q_r^+(z)} & Q_{1,\dots,r}^-(z) \\ 0 & \frac{Q_1^+(z)}{Q_2^+(z)} & \frac{Q_2^-(z)}{Q_3^+(z)} & \dots & \frac{Q_{2,\dots,r-1}^-(z)}{Q_r^+(z)} & Q_{2,\dots,r}^-(z) \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{Q_2^+(z)}{Q_3^+(z)} & \dots & \frac{Q_{3,\dots,r-1}^-(z)}{Q_r^+(z)} & Q_{3,\dots,r}^-(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \frac{Q_{r-1}^+(z)}{Q_r^+(z)} & Q_r^-(z) \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & Q_r^+(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

Polynomials $Q_{i,...,j}^{-}(z)$

form extended QQ-system