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Let $B \in M_{n q}$ and let $A \in M_{p m}$ be matrices. Note that $q$ is the number of columns of $B$ and is also the length of the rows of $B$, and that $p$ is the number of rows of $A$ and is also the length of the columns of $A$.

Definition 1 If $B \in M_{n q}$ and $A \in M_{p m}$, the matrix product $B A$ is defined if $q=p$. In this case it is the element of $M_{n m}$ whose $i j t h$ entry is given by

$$
(B A)_{i j}=A_{i 1} B_{1 j}+A_{i 2} B_{2 j}+\cdots+A_{i p} B_{p j} .
$$

Thus the matrix product is an operation:

$$
M_{n p} \times M_{p m} \rightarrow M_{n m}
$$

## Formulas:

1. If $m=1$, multiplication by $B$ is a map $\mathbf{R}^{p}=M_{p 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}=M_{n 1}$. It sends a column vector $X=\left(\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ \cdots \\ x_{p}\end{array}\right)$ to

$$
B X=x_{1} C_{1}(B)+x_{2} C_{2}(B)+\cdots x_{p} C_{p}(B),
$$

where $C_{j}(B)$ is the $j$ th column of $B$.
2. If $m=1, B e_{j}=C_{j}(B)$, where $e_{j}$ is the $j$ th element of the standard frame for $\mathbf{R}^{p}$.

Theorem 2 Let $T: \mathbf{R}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be any function. Then the following are equivalent:

1. There exists an $n \times p$ matrix $B$ such that $T=T_{B}$, i.e., such that $T(X)=T_{B}(X)$ for all $X \in \mathbf{R}^{p}$.
2. $T$ satisfies the principle(s) of superposition:
(a) $T\left(X+X^{\prime}\right)=T(X)+T\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ for all $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{p}$, and
(b) $T(c X)=c T(X)$ for all $X \in \mathbf{R}^{p}$ and $c \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof: The proof that (1) implies (2) was given earlier and is in the book; it is a consequence of the properties of matrix multiplication. Let us prove that (2) implies (1). First note that if (2) is true and if $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ are vectors and $c_{1}, \ldots c_{r}$ are numbers, then $T\left(c_{1} X_{1}+\cdots+c_{r} X_{r}\right)=c_{1} T\left(X_{1}\right)+\cdots+c_{r} T\left(x_{r}\right)$. Now if $T$ satisfies (2), we let $B$ the $n \times p$ matrix whose $j$ th column is the vector $T\left(e_{j}\right)$, for $j=1, \ldots p$. We must prove that $T(X)=T_{B}(X)$ for all $X \in \mathbf{R}^{p}$. But if $X \in \mathbf{R}^{p}, X=x_{1} e_{1}+\cdots x_{p} e_{p}$, where the $x_{i}$ 's are the coordinates of $X$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(X) & =T\left(x_{1} e_{1}+\cdots x_{p} e_{p}\right) \\
& =x_{1} T\left(e_{1}\right)+\cdots x_{p} T\left(e_{p}\right) \\
& =x_{1} C_{1}(B)+\cdots x_{p} C_{p}(B) \\
& =B X \\
& =T_{B}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3 A linear transformation is uniquely determined by its effect on the standard frame. More precisely, if $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are linear transformations from $\mathbf{R}^{p}$ to $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and if $T\left(e_{j}\right)=T^{\prime}\left(e_{j}\right)$ for all $j$, then $T(X)=T^{\prime}(X)$ for all $X$.

Corollary 4 The composite of two linear transformations is linear.

Proof: Suppose $S$ and $T$ are linear and composible. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S \circ T\left(X+X^{\prime}\right) & =S\left(T\left(X+X^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =S\left(T(X)+T\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =S(T(X))+S\left(T\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =S \circ T(X)+S \circ T\left(X^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the compatibility with scalar multiplication is similar.
Theorem 5 If $B \in M_{n p}$ and $A \in M_{p m}$, then the composite $T_{B} \circ T_{A}$ is $T_{B A}$.
Proof: We know that $T_{B} \circ T_{A}$ and $T_{B A}$ are linear. To prove that they are equal it suffices to check that they have the same effect on each $e_{j}$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{B} \circ T_{A}\left(e_{j}\right) & =T_{B}\left(T_{A}\left(e_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =T_{B}\left(C_{j}(A)\right) \\
& =B C_{j}(A) \\
& =C_{j}(B A) \\
& =T_{B A}\left(e_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 6 Matrix multiplication is associative. That is if $C, B$ and $A$ are matrices with the correct dimensions, then $(C B) A=C(B A)$.

Theorem 7 If $A$ and $B$ are $n \times n$ matrices such that $B A=I_{n}$ (the identity matrix), then $B$ and $A$ are invertible, and $B=A^{-1}$.

Proof: Suppose that $B A=I_{n}$. Let us prove that the rank of $A$ is $n$. To do this it suffices to check that $T_{A}$ is injective. But $T_{B} \circ T_{A}=T_{B A}=T_{I_{n}}$ is the identity transformation. Thus if $T_{A}(X)=T_{A}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, then $X=T_{B}\left(T_{A}(X)\right)=$ $T_{B}\left(T_{A}\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right)$, so $X=X^{\prime}$, i.e., $T_{A}$ is injective, $A$ has rank $n$, and hence $A$ is invertible. Let $A^{-1}$ be its inverse. We have $I_{n}=B A$, so

$$
A^{-1}=I_{n} A^{-1}=(B A) A^{-1}=B\left(A A^{-1}\right)=B I_{n}=B
$$

