## Homework Assignment #1:

## Due February 1

- 1. Let k be a field. For every k-algebra A, let F(A) denote the set of pairs  $(a_1, a_2) \in A \times A$  such that either  $a_1$  or  $a_2$  is a unit. Show that F is a subfunctor of  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$  but that it is not a Zariski sheaf.
- 2. Let R be a ring and let E and E' be R-modules. A homomorphism  $\theta: E' \to E$  induces a morphism of schemes  $\mathbf{V}E \to \mathbf{V}E'$ . This morphism is a closed immersion if  $E' \to E$  is surjective. Let k be a field, let R be the polynomial ring k[x, y], so that the functor  $h^R$  on the category of k-algebras can be identified with  $\mathbf{A}^2$ . Let E be the maximal ideal (x, y), viewed as an R-module. Let E' be the free R-module on two generators  $e_1, e_2$ , and let  $E' \to E$  be the map sending  $e_1$  to x and  $e_2$  to y. Find equations for  $\mathbf{V}E$  inside  $\mathbf{V}E' = \mathbf{A}^2 \times \mathbf{A}^2$ .
- 3. Try your hand at Hartshorne's problems 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 (chapter II). : **Hint** for problem 7.3. A map  $f: X \to \mathbf{P}E$  to projective space is given by an an invertible quotient  $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes E \to \mathcal{L}$ , and if Y is a subcheme of X, the restiction of f to Y is given by the restriction of this invertible quotient to Y. If this restriction is constant, then the restriction of  $\mathcal{L}$ to Y is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ . Show that if  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  is very ample on Y and Y is projective over a field k, then Y is finite.
- 4. Think about, and if you like come to office hours to discuss, the following elaboration of problem 2. Begin by thinking about the case in which R is an algebraically closed field.

Let R be a ring and E an R-module, and recall the functors VE and PE. We think of PE as the set of lines (through the origin) in VE. Find a good way to say that an element v of VE(B) belongs to an element  $\ell$  of PE(B), and show that that functor InE which takes B to the set of pairs  $(v, \ell)$  with  $v \in \ell$  is representable by a closed subscheme of  $\mathbf{V}E \times \mathbf{P}E$ . Next, view  $\mathbf{In}E$  as a scheme over  $\mathbf{P}E$  via the obvious map. Show that its corresponding functor is naturally isomorphic to the functor  $\mathbf{V}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}E(1)}$ . Next view  $\mathbf{In}E$  as a scheme over  $\mathbf{V}E$  via the obvious map. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the sheaf of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{V}E}$  definining the zero section. Show that its corresponding functor is represented by  $Proj\mathcal{G}$ , where  $\mathcal{G} := \bigoplus_n \mathcal{I}^n$  (a sheaf of graded  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{V}E}$  modules.)

**Hints:** The functor can be defined as the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (v, H) such that H is a hyperplane in  $E \otimes B$  and  $v: E \to B$ annihilates H, or as the set of isomorphism classes of pairs of maps  $\ell : E \otimes B \to L$  and  $L \to B$ , with  $\ell$  an invertible quotient. A pair  $(v, \ell) \in \mathbf{V}E \times \mathbf{P}E$  defines an ideal I of B, namely  $I := v(Ker(\ell))$ ; this ideal defines the subfunctor  $\mathbf{In}E$ .