

Associated Primes

February 25, 2016

Definition 1 Let R be a ring and let E be an R -module.

1. The annihilator of an element x of E is the set $\text{Ann}(x)$ of all $a \in R$ such that $ax = 0$. It is an ideal of R , the kernel of the map $R \rightarrow E$ sending 1 to x .
2. The annihilator of E is the set of all a in R such that $ax = 0$ for all x in E . It is also an ideal of R , and is the intersection of the ideals $\text{Ann}(x) : x \in E$.
3. The support of E is the set $\text{Supp}(E)$ of all prime ideals P such that the localization E_P of E at P is not zero.
4. A prime ideal P is associated to E if there is some element x of E such that $\text{Ann}(x) = P$. The set of such prime ideals is denoted by $\text{Ass}(E)$. Thus a prime ideal P belongs to E if and only if there is an injection $A/P \rightarrow E$.

Proposition 2 If E is an R -module and P is a prime ideal of R such that $P \in \text{Supp}(E)$, then P contains $\text{Ann}(E)$. The converse holds if E is finitely generated.

Proof: If there is some $a \in \text{Ann}(E) \setminus P$, then $ax = 0$ for every $x \in E$, hence x maps to zero in the localization E_P , and hence $E_P = 0$. For the converse, suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n is a finite set of generators for E and that P is a prime ideal containing $\text{Ann}(E)$. Since E is generated by x_1, \dots, x_n , $\text{Ann}(E)$ is the intersection of the ideals $\text{Ann}(x_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We claim that P contains $\text{Ann}(x_i)$ for some i . Otherwise there is some $a_i \in \text{Ann}(x_i) \setminus P$ for every i , and $a := \prod_i a_i \in \text{Ann}(E) \setminus P$, a contradiction. But if P contains $\text{Ann}(x_i)$, $ax_i \neq 0$ for every $a \in R \setminus P$, and hence the image of x_i in E_P is not zero. Thus $P \in \text{Supp}(E)$. \square

To see that the hypothesis of finite generation is not superfluous in the above proposition, consider the following example. Take $R = \mathbf{Z}$ and $E = \bigoplus_p \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. Then $\text{Ann}(E)$ is the zero ideal, but the localization of E by the zero ideal is $E \otimes \mathbf{Q} = 0$.

Proposition 3 *Let R be a commutative ring and E an R -module. Suppose that the localization E_P of E at P is zero for every maximal ideal P of R . Then $E = 0$.*

Proof: Let x be an element of E . For each P , let $\lambda_P: E \rightarrow E_P$ be the localization homomorphism. Then $\lambda_P(x) = 0$. This means that there is some $s_P \in R \setminus P$ such that $s_P x = 0$. Let I be the ideal of R generated by the set of all these elements s_P . For every maximal ideal P of R , the ideal I contains $s_P \notin P$, and hence $I \not\subseteq P$. Since I is not contained in any maximal ideal of R , I is not a proper ideal, hence $1 \in I$. This implies that there exists a finite sequence s_{P_1}, \dots, s_{P_m} and elements a_1, \dots, a_m such that $1 = a_1 s_{P_1} + \dots + a_m s_{P_m}$. Then $x = a_1 s_{P_1} x + \dots + a_m s_{P_m} x = 0$. \square

Proposition 3 implies that the support of E is empty if and only if $E = 0$.

Corollary 4 *Let E be an R -module and a an element of R . Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *The localization E_a of E at a vanishes.*
2. *For every $x \in E$, there exists an n such that $a^n x = 0$. (Then a is said to be locally nilpotent on E .)*
3. *The element a belongs to every P in the support of E .*

Proof: The equivalence of (1) and (2) is clear. To prove that (2) implies (3), suppose P is a maximal ideal in the support of E . Then $E_P \neq 0$, so for some $x \in E$, $\lambda_P(x) \neq 0$, and hence for every $s \notin P$, $sx \neq 0$. Since $a^n x = 0$ for some n , $a^n \in P$, hence $a \in P$. To prove that (3) implies (1), suppose that $E_a \neq 0$. We can view E_a as a module over the ring R_a obtained by localizing the ring R by a . Then by Proposition 3, applied to the R_a -module E_a , there exists a prime ideal in the support of E_a . This prime ideal is the localization P_a of some prime ideal P in R not containing a . Since $(E_a)_{P_a} = E_P$, we see that $E_P \neq 0$; *i.e.*, P belongs to the support of E . By hypothesis, $a \in P$, a contradiction. \square

Note that $Ass(E) \subseteq Supp(E)$, because if $A/P \rightarrow E$ is injective, the localized map $(A/P)_P \rightarrow E_P$ is injective, and $(A/P)_P \neq 0$. (In fact $(A/P)_P$ is a field).

Proposition 5 *If R is noetherian and $E \neq 0$, then $Ass(E) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, a prime ideal P belongs to the support of E if and only if P contains a prime associated to E . In particular, every minimal element of $Supp(E)$ belongs to $Ass(E)$.*

Proof: For the first part, see Lang. Suppose $Q \in Ass(E)$ and $Q \subseteq P$. Then $T := R \setminus Q$ contains $S := R \setminus P$, so that E_Q is a localization of E_P . Since $E_Q \neq 0$, it follows that $E_P \neq 0$. Conversely, suppose that $E_P \neq 0$. Then E_P has an associated prime Q . Thus there is some element y of E_P such that $Ann(y) = Q$. Evidently $Q \subseteq P$; otherwise there is some $q \in Q$ which acts as an isomorphism on E_P and kills y , contradicting the fact that $y \neq 0$. Say $y = \lambda_P(x)/s$ with $s \in S := R \setminus P$. Since s acts as an isomorphism on E_P , $Ann(sy) = Ann(y)$, so without loss of generality $y = \lambda(x)$. Let q_1, \dots, q_m be a finite set of generators for Q . Then $\lambda(q_i x) = 0$, hence there is some $s_i \in S$ such that $s_i q_i x = 0$. Let s be the product of all these s_i . Then $q_i s x = 0$ for all i , and hence $q s x = 0$ for all q , so $Q \subseteq Ann(sx)$. On the other hand, if $ax = 0$, then $a\lambda(sx) = 0$, hence $sa\lambda(x) = 0$ and hence $a\lambda(x) = 0$, so $a \in Q$. Thus $Ann(sx) = Q$ and $Q \in Ass(E)$. \square

Proposition 6 *Let E be a module over a noetherian ring R and let a be an element of R*

1. *Multiplication by a on E is locally nilpotent iff a belongs to every associated prime of E .*
2. *Multiplication by a on E is injective iff a belongs to no associated prime of E .*

Proof: It follows from Proposition 5 that a belongs to every associated prime of E iff it belongs to every prime in the support of E . By Corollary 4, this is true iff multiplication by a on E is locally nilpotent. This proves (1).

For (2), suppose first that $a \in P \in Ass(E)$. Then there is a nonzero x in E such that $Ann(x) = P$. Thus $ax = 0$ and $x \neq 0$, so multiplication by a is not injective. Suppose for the converse that $ax = 0$ for some $x \neq 0$. Let E' be the set of multiples of x . Then $Ass(E') \neq \emptyset$, so there is some multiple of x , say bx , such that $Ann(bx)$ is a prime ideal P . Then $P \in Ass(E)$ and $abx = 0$, so $a \in P$. \square

Proposition 7 *Suppose that R is noetherian and M is a noetherian R -module. Then M admits a filtration $0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \cdots M_n = M$ such that $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong A/P_i$ for some prime ideal P_i . Moreover, $\text{Ass}(M) \subseteq \{P_1, \cdots, P_n\}$. In particular, $\text{Ass}(M)$ is finite.*

We omit the proof.

A nonzero module E is said to be *coprimary* if for every $a \in A$, multiplication by a is either locally nilpotent or injective. If R is noetherian, then it follows from Proposition 6 that E is coprimary iff it has a unique associated prime.