

# Galois theory and the normal basis theorem

Arthur Ogus

December 3, 2010

Recall the following key result:

**Theorem 1 (Independence of characters)** *Let  $M$  be a monoid and let  $K$  be a field. Then the set of monoid homomorphisms from  $M$  to the multiplicative monoid of  $K$  is a linearly independent subset of the  $K$ -vector space  $K^M$ .*

*Proof:* It is enough to prove that if  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  is a sequence of distinct homomorphisms  $M \rightarrow K$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  is a sequence in  $K$  such that  $\sum c_i \chi_i = 0$ , then each  $c_i = 0$ . We do this by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ , we have  $c_1 \chi_1(1) = 0$ , so  $c_1 = 0$ . For the induction step, observe that for any  $g, h \in M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \chi_1(g) + \cdots + c_n \chi_n(g) &= 0 \\ c_1 \chi_1(gh) + \cdots + c_n \chi_n(gh) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Multiply the first equation by  $\chi_n(h)$  and subtract from the second equation to obtain

$$c_1 \chi_1(g)(\chi_1(h) - \chi_n(h)) + \cdots + c_{n-1} \chi_{n-1}(g)(\chi_{n-1}(h) - \chi_n(h)) = 0$$

Fixing  $h$  and letting  $g$  vary, we see that

$$c_1(\chi_1(h) - \chi_n(h))\chi_1 + \cdots + c_{n-1}(\chi_{n-1}(h) - \chi_n(h))\chi_{n-1} = 0.$$

By the induction assumption,  $c_i(\chi_i(h) - \chi_n(h)) = 0$  for all  $h$  and  $1 \leq i < n$ . Since  $\chi_i \neq \chi_n$  if  $i < n$ , this implies that  $c_i = 0$  if  $i < n$ . Then  $c_n \chi_n = 0$  and it follows also that  $c_n = 0$ .  $\square$

Now let  $k$  be a field and let  $\mathcal{A}_k$  denote the category of  $k$ -algebras.

**Corollary 2** *If  $k$  is a field, if  $A$  and  $K$  are objects of  $\mathcal{A}_k$  and  $K$  is a field, then the set*

$$\mathcal{X}_K(A) := \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}_k}(A, K)$$

*is a linearly independent subset of the  $K$ -vector space  $\text{Hom}_k(A, K)$ . In particular, if  $A$  is finite dimensional, then  $|\mathcal{X}_K(A)| \leq \dim_k(A)$ .*

*Proof:* The set of algebra homomorphisms  $\mathcal{X}_K(A)$  is contained in the set of monoid homomorphisms  $A \rightarrow K$ , and hence is a linearly independent subset of the  $K$ -vector space  $K^A$ . It is evidently contained in the set  $\text{Hom}_k(A, K)$  of  $k$ -linear vector space homomorphisms  $A \rightarrow K$ . But the  $K$ -dimension of this is equal to the  $k$ -dimension of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3** *Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra, let  $k^a$  (resp.  $k^s$ ) be an algebraic (resp. separable) closure of  $k$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

1.  $|\mathcal{X}_{k^a}(A)| = \dim_k(A)$ .
2.  $|\mathcal{X}_{k^s}(A)| = \dim_k(A)$ .
3.  $|\mathcal{X}_K(A)| = \dim_k(A)$  for some finite separable extension  $K$  of  $k$ .
4.  $|\mathcal{X}_K(A)| = \dim_k(A)$  for some finite Galois extension  $K$  of  $k$ .
5. The nilradical of  $A$  is zero and for each maximal ideal  $m$  of  $A$ ,  $A/m$  is a separable field extension of  $k$ .

$\square$

A finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra satisfying the above conditions is said to be *separable* over  $k$ . Our aim is to classify the category of all the finite separable  $k$ -algebras. For example, if  $k$  is algebraically or even just separably closed, the above theorem tells us that any such algebra is just a finite product of copies of  $k$ .

The result above shows that if  $A/k$  is finite and separable, there is a finite Galois extension  $K/k$  such that  $|\mathcal{X}_K(A)| = \dim_k(A)$ . We shall simplify our problem by fixing a finite Galois extension  $K/k$  and just studying those  $A$  for which this equality holds.

**Definition 4** *Let  $K/k$  be a field extension. Then a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is  $K$ -split if  $|\mathcal{X}_K(A)| = \dim_k(A)$ .*

In particular  $K$  itself is  $K$ -split iff  $G(K/k) := \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}_k}(K, K)$  has cardinality equal to the degree of  $K$  over  $k$ . Note that this set is a group under composition, and we denote it by  $G(K/k)$ . Thus a finite field extension  $K/k$  is Galois iff it is  $K$ -split. If  $K/k$  is Galois, Grothendieck's version of Galois theory establishes an anti-equivalence between the category  $\mathcal{A}_{K/k}$  of  $K$ -split  $k$ -algebras and the category  $\Sigma_G$  of finite  $G$ -sets.

If  $A$  is an object of  $\mathcal{A}_k$ , let  $\mathcal{X}_K(A) := \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}_k}(A, K)$ . Note that if  $s: A \rightarrow K$  and  $g \in G(K/k)$ , then  $g \circ s \in \mathcal{X}_K(A)$ . Thus  $G(K/k)$  operates naturally on the left on  $\mathcal{X}_K(A)$ . Furthermore, if  $\theta: A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism in  $\mathcal{A}_{K/k}$ , then it induces a morphism

$$\mathcal{X}_K(\theta): \mathcal{X}_K(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_K(A)$$

is compatible with the  $G$ -actions. Thus we can (and shall) regard  $\mathcal{X}_K$  as a contravariant functor from the category  $\mathcal{A}_k$  to the category  $\Sigma_G$  of finite  $G$ -sets.

On the other hand, if  $S$  is a finite  $G$ -set, let

$$\mathcal{A}(S) := \text{Mor}_G(S, K) \subseteq K^S$$

that is, the set of morphisms of  $G$ -sets  $S \rightarrow K$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}(S)$  is naturally a  $k$ -subalgebra of the  $k$ -algebra  $K^S$ , and that a morphism of  $G$ -sets  $S \rightarrow T$  induces a homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras:  $\mathcal{A}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S)$ . Thus we can (and shall) regard  $\mathcal{A}$  as a contravariant functor from the category  $\Sigma_G$  to the category  $\mathcal{A}_k$ .

There are natural transformations:

1. For each  $S \in \Sigma_G$ , a morphism of  $G$ -sets:

$$\epsilon_S: S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A}(S)) : \epsilon_S(s)(a) := a(s)$$

2. For each  $A \in \mathcal{A}_k$ , a homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras:

$$\alpha_A: A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}(A)) : \alpha_A(a)(x) := x(a)$$

**Theorem 5** *Let the notations be as above.*

1. *If  $S$  is any finite  $G$ -set,  $\mathcal{A}(S)$  is a  $K$ -split  $k$ -algebra of dimension  $|S|$ , and the map  $\epsilon_S$  is an isomorphism.*
2. *If  $A$  is an object of  $\mathcal{A}_{K/k}$ , then  $\mathcal{X}(A)$  has cardinality  $\dim_k A$ , and  $\alpha_A$  is an isomorphism.*

Thus the contravariant functors

$$\mathcal{A}: \Sigma_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{K/k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}: \mathcal{A}_{K/k} \rightarrow \Sigma_G$$

are mutually inverse equivalences of categories.

For example, if  $K = k$ , the theorem asserts that the category of  $k$ -split algebras is antiequivalent to the category of finite sets. Let us check this as a warmup.

**Lemma 6** *If  $S$  is finite set, the map  $\epsilon_S: \rightarrow \text{Mor}(k^S, k)$  is bijective and  $k^S$  has dimension  $|S|$ .*

*Proof:* For each  $s \in S$ , we have an element  $a_s \in \mathcal{A}(S)$ , defined by

$$a_s(s') := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s' = s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,  $\{a_s : s \in S\}$  forms a  $k$ -basis of  $k^S$ , and  $\epsilon_S(s)(a_s) \neq \epsilon_S(s')(a_s)$  if  $s \neq s'$ . This shows that  $\epsilon$  is injective. On the other hand, the dimension of  $\text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{A}(S), k)$  is the dimension of  $\mathcal{A}(S)$ , which is the cardinality of  $S$ , and by Corollary 2, the dimension of  $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A}(S))$  is at most the dimension of  $\mathcal{A}(S)$ , *i.e.*, the cardinality of  $S$ . So  $\epsilon$  is bijective.  $\square$

**Lemma 7** *If  $A$  is a  $K$ -split  $k$ -algebra, the map  $\alpha_A: A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_K(A))$  is injective.*

*Proof:* It suffices to prove that the map  $A \rightarrow K^{\mathcal{X}(A)}$  is injective. Let  $I$  be the kernel. Then every  $x \in \mathcal{X}(A)$  factors through  $A/I$ , and hence  $\mathcal{X}(A) = \mathcal{X}(A/I)$ . But  $\dim A = |X| = |\mathcal{X}(A/I)| \leq \dim(A/I)$  so  $I = 0$ .  $\square$

We can now easily prove Theorem 5 when  $K = k$ . Statement (1) follows from Lemma 6. On the other hand, if  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{k/k}$ , Lemma 7 implies that  $\alpha_A: A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}(A))$  is injective. But  $\mathcal{X}(A)$  has cardinality  $\dim(A)$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}(A))$  has the same dimension, so  $\alpha_A$  is also surjective.

Now let us look at the category of  $G$ -sets. If  $S$  is a  $G$ -set, we denote by  $\Gamma_G(S)$  the set of fixed points of  $S$ . Observe next that the category of  $G$ -sets has products: the product of two sets  $X$  and  $Y$  is the usual set theoretic product with the action  $g(x, y) := (gx, gy)$ . It also has an ‘‘internal Hom’’ construction: If  $S$  and  $T$  are  $G$ -sets, we have a natural action of  $G$  on the set

$$H(S, T) := T^S$$

of functions  $T \rightarrow S$ , by letting

$$(g\phi)(s) := g(\phi(g^{-1}s)).$$

With this definition, the usual isomorphism

$$T^{X \times Y} \cong (T^Y)^X$$

is compatible with the  $G$ -actions. Furthermore,

$$\Gamma_G(T^S) = \text{Mor}_G(S, T),$$

and hence

$$\text{Mor}_G(S \times X, T) \cong \text{Mor}_G(S, T^X).$$

Finally, observe that if  $S$  and  $T$  are  $G$ -sets and  $\phi \in \text{Mor}_G(S \times G, T)$ , then

$$\phi(s, g) = g\phi(g^{-1}s, e).$$

and if  $\psi$  is any function  $S \rightarrow T$ , then

$$\tilde{\psi}(s, g) := g\psi(g^{-1}s)$$

defines a morphism of  $G$ -sets  $S \times G \rightarrow T$ . This gives a bijection

$$\beta: \text{Mor}_G(S \times G, T) \cong T^S$$

For example, if  $S$  is a singleton set, evaluation at the identity of  $G$  defines a bijection:

$$\beta: \Gamma_G(T^G) \cong \text{Mor}_G(G, T) \cong T.$$

The inverse of  $\beta$  takes an element  $t$  of  $T$  to the function  $g \mapsto gt$ .

The key to our proof is the so-called ‘‘Normal basis theorem.’’ It gives an explicit description of  $K$  viewed as a left  $G$ -set. Endow  $k^G$  with its standard action as a left  $G$ -set. This has a basis  $\{e_h : h \in G\}$ , where  $e_h(g) = \delta_{g,h}$ . Observe that  $ge_h = e_{gh}$ , since  $ge_h(h') = e_h(g^{-1}h') = \delta_{h',gh}$ . Thus we can also view  $k^G$  as the group algebra  $k[G]$  with its standard left action of  $G$ . The left  $G$  action of  $G$  on  $K$ , together with its  $k$ -vector space structure, make  $K$  a left  $k[G]$ -module.

**Theorem 8** *Let  $K/k$  be a finite Galois extension. Then  $K$ , viewed as a left  $k[G]$ -module, is free of rank one. Explicitly, there exists an element  $w$  of  $K$  such that the map*

$$F_w: G \rightarrow K : g \mapsto g(w)$$

is a  $k$ -basis of  $K$ . In particular, the corresponding linear map induces an isomorphism of  $k[G]$ -modules

$$\tilde{F}_w : k^G \rightarrow K.$$

We defer the proof, proceeding instead to a proof of the main theorem.

**Lemma 9** *main.1* If  $S$  is a finite  $G$ -set, the map the dimension of  $\mathcal{A}(S)$  is  $|S|$ , and the map  $\epsilon_S : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A}(S))$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof:* We use the normal basis theorem to find an isomorphism of  $k$ -vector spaces

$$\mathcal{A}(S) = \text{Mor}_G(S, K) = \text{Mor}_G(S, k^G)$$

But as we have seen,

$$\text{Mor}_G(S, k^G) = \text{Mor}_G(S \times G, k) = k^S.$$

Thus the dimension of  $\mathcal{A}(S)$  is indeed  $|S|$ . To prove that  $\epsilon_S$  is injective, it suffices to show that the map

$$\epsilon : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A}(S)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{A}(S), K)$$

is injective. Now if we use the isomorphism provided by the normal basis theorem to replace  $K$  by  $k^G$ , the map above becomes identified with the evaluation map

$$\tilde{\epsilon} : S \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\text{Mor}_G(S, k^G), k^G).$$

The map  $k^S \rightarrow \text{Mor}_G(S, k^G)$  defines a map

$$\text{Hom}_k(\text{Mor}_G(S, k^G), k^G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(k^S, k^G).$$

We find a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\epsilon}} & \text{Hom}_k(\text{Mor}_G(S, k^G), k^G) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ S & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_k(k^S, k^G) & \xrightarrow{\delta_e} & \text{Hom}_k(k^S, k) \end{array}$$

where  $\delta_e$  is the map induced from the map  $k^G \rightarrow k$  “evaluation at  $e$ .” Thus the composed horizontal map along the bottom is the usual evaluation map appearing in Lemma 6 and in particular is injective. It follows that  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  is also injective, as claimed.  $\square$

Lemma ?? proves statement (1) of the theorem. Statement (2) follows immediately. Indeed, if  $A$  is  $K$ -split, let  $X := \mathcal{X}(A)$ . Then by the lemma  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  has dimension equal to the cardinality of  $X$ , which is the dimension of  $A$ . But the map  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)$  is injective, hence bijective, and we are done.

**Corollary 10** *Let  $K/k$  be a finite Galois extension and let  $A$  be a finite  $K$ -split  $k$ -algebra. Then the natural map*

$$K \otimes A \rightarrow K^{\mathcal{X}(A)}$$

*is an isomorphism of  $K$ -algebras and is compatible with the  $G$ -actions, where  $G$  acts trivially on  $A$ .*

*Proof:* Then  $K \otimes A$  is a  $K$ -algebra, and  $\dim_K(K \otimes A) = \dim_k(A)$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{X}(K \otimes A) = \mathcal{X}(A)$ . It follows that  $K \otimes A$  is  $K$ -split. Furthermore the map of  $K$ -split  $K$ -algebras because it induces an isomorphism after applying  $\mathcal{X}$ . □

*Proof of the Normal basis theorem:* It is clear that the map  $F_w$  is  $k$ -linear. To check that it is compatible with the  $G$ -actions, it is enough to check on the generators. Then

$$F_w(ge_h) = F_w(e_{gh}) = gh(w) = gF_w(e_h),$$

as required.

We prove the existence of  $w$  under the assumption that  $k$  is infinite. The map  $w \rightarrow F_w$  is a  $k$ -linear map

$$F: K \rightarrow \text{Hom}(k^G, K)$$

We claim that for some  $w \in K$ ,  $F(w)$  is an isomorphism. Let  $n$  be the cardinality of  $G$ , and choose an indexing  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  of  $G$  and a  $k$ -basis  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  of  $K$ . Using the index of  $G$ , we identify  $k^G$  with  $k^n$ . Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Hom}_k(k^n, K) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K^n \\ \tilde{\beta} \uparrow & & \uparrow \tilde{\gamma} & \nearrow \tilde{F} & \\ k^n & \xrightarrow{i} & K^n & & \end{array}$$

Here the map  $\tilde{\beta}$  is induced by the basis  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  of  $K$  and  $i$  is the inclusion. Let us compute  $F \circ \tilde{\beta}$ . If  $e_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  standard basis vector for  $k^n$ ,  $F(\tilde{\beta}(e_i)) = F(b_i)$ , which is the map taking  $g_j$  to  $g_j(b_i)$ . Thus the clockwise map from  $k^n$  to  $K^n$  sends  $e_i$  to  $(g_1(b_i), \dots, g_n(b_i))$ . We can use the same formula to define  $\tilde{F}$  to get the commutative diagram.

Now we claim that  $\tilde{F}$  is an isomorphism. It suffices to check that the sequence of vectors  $\tilde{F}(e_1), \dots, \tilde{F}(e_n)$  is linearly independent over  $K$ , *i.e.*, that the columns of the matrix  $A_{ij} := g_j(b_i)$  are linearly independent. Equivalently, we can check that the rows are linearly independent. Suppose we are given a sequence  $(c_1, \dots, c_n)$  in  $K$  such that  $\sum_j c_j g_j(b_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ . Then  $\sum_j c_j g_j = 0$  in  $\text{End}(K)$ , and by the linear independence of the characters, all  $c_j = 0$ , as required.

It follows that the map  $\tilde{\gamma}: K^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(k^n, K)$  is an isomorphism, and in particular is surjective. But then there is some element  $\tilde{w} \in K^n$  such that  $\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{w})$  is an isomorphism  $k^n \rightarrow K$ . Now if we identify  $K$  with  $k^n$  again we can view  $\tilde{\gamma}$  as a linear map from  $K^n$  to the set of  $n \times n$  matrices with coefficients in  $k$ . Then  $\det \circ \tilde{\gamma}$  is a polynomial function of the coordinates in  $K^n$ , and we have shown that for some  $\tilde{w} \in K^n$ ,  $\det(\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{w})) \neq 0$ . This means that the polynomial  $\det \circ \tilde{\gamma}$  is not zero. Since  $k^n$  is infinite, there is a point  $x$  in  $k^n$  at which it does not vanish. Then  $F\tilde{\beta}(x)$  is an isomorphism also, and  $w := \beta(x)$  is the desired element of  $K$ .

Here is a proof when  $k$  and  $K$  are finite. In this case we know that  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$  is cyclic, generated by the Frobenius automorphism  $\phi$  and has order  $n$ , where  $n$  is the dimension of  $K$  over  $k$ . View Thus the group algebra  $k[G]$  is just  $k[t]/(t^n - 1)$ , which we view as a quotient of  $k[t]$ . Then  $K$  becomes a  $k[t]$ -module. Since  $k[t]$  is a PID,  $K$  is a direct sum of cyclic modules of the form  $k[t]/(g_i)$ , where  $g_1 | g_2 | g_3 \dots$ . Since the minimal polynomial of  $\phi$  is  $t^n - 1$ ,  $g_1 = (t^n - 1)$ . But then  $k[t]/(g_1)$  has dimension  $n$ , and there can be no other factors.  $\square$

**Remark 1** It is easily seen that, under the equivalence of categories provided by Theorem 5, a  $G$ -set  $S$  corresponds to a field if and only if the action of  $G$  on  $S$  is transitive. More generally, if  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{K/k}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{X}(A)$ ,  $\text{Ker}(s)$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ , so there is a natural map  $\mathcal{X}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ . Furthermore, if  $g \in G$ ,  $\text{Ker}(gs) = \text{Ker}(s)$ , and it is easy to check that the induced map from the orbit space  $\mathcal{X}(A)/G$  to  $\text{Spec } A$  is a bijection.

We can easily deduce a strong form of Hilbert's theorem 90 from the above approach. It is most standard to state this in terms of tensor products.

**Theorem 11** *Let  $K/k$  be a finite Galois extension with group  $G$  and let  $V$  be a  $K$ -vector space equipped with a semi-linear left action of  $G$ . (This means a  $G$ -action such that  $g(av) = g(a)g(v)$  for  $a \in K$  and  $v \in V$ ). Then  $\Gamma_G(V)$  is a  $k$ -vector subspace of  $V$ , and the natural map*

$$K \otimes_k \Gamma_G(V) \rightarrow V$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof:* Observe that as a consequence of Corollary ??, we get:

**Corollary 12** *The natural map*

$$K \otimes_k K \rightarrow K^G$$

*is an isomorphism of  $K$ -algebras, and is compatible with the  $G$ -actions, where  $G$  acts trivially on one of the two factors of  $K \otimes_k K$ .*

Now it is clear that the natural map

$$K_{triv} \otimes_k \Gamma_G(V) \rightarrow \Gamma_G(K_{triv} \otimes_k V)$$

is an isomorphism. On the other hand, multiplication defines an isomorphism of  $K$ -vector spaces  $K_{triv} \otimes_K V \rightarrow K_{triv} \otimes_k V$ , compatible with the  $G$ -actions. Now using the corollary, we get

$$K_{triv} \otimes_k V \cong K^G \otimes_K V \cong V^G.$$

Assembling these we end up with an isomorphism

$$K_{triv} \otimes_k \Gamma_G(V) \cong \Gamma_G(V^G)$$

sending  $a \otimes v$  to the function  $g \mapsto g(a)v$ . As we saw above, evaluation at the identity element of  $v$  defines an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_G(V^G) \rightarrow V.$$

Thus we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{triv} \otimes_k \Gamma_G(V) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Gamma_G(V^G) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \cong \\ & & V \end{array}$$

in which the slanted arrow is multiplication. The diagram proves that it is an isomorphism.  $\square$