# The tangent approximation 

## March 10

Let $f$ be a function of $f$. Suppose that we can calculate $f(a)$ and $f^{\prime}(a)$. Then the tangent line to the graph of $f$ at $a$ is the line passing through the point ( $a, f(a)$ ) whose slope is $f^{\prime}(a)$. It is given by the formula

$$
\ell_{a}(x)=f^{\prime}(a)(x-a)+f(a) .
$$

It is a theorem that this is the line which best approximates $f$ near $a$. Although we won't try to say exactly what this means, we will explain how well it does approximate $f$. The key point is that, for $x$ near $a$, the difference between $f(x)$ and $\ell_{a}(x)$ is small even compared to $|x-a|$.

As a simple example, consider the function $f(x)=x^{2}$. Then $f^{\prime}(a)=2 a$ and $\ell_{a}(x)=2 a(x-a)+a^{2}=2 a x-a^{2}$. The point is, if we already know $a^{2}$, this is easier to compute than $x^{2}$ and is supposed to be near to $x^{2}$ if $x$ is near $a$. How near? We can compute the difference:

$$
\mid f(x)-\ell_{a}\left(x \left|=\left|x^{2}-2 a x+a^{2}\right|=|x-a|^{2}\right.\right.
$$

Note that if $|x-a|<1$, this is small even compared to $|x-a|$.
Here is a precise statement.
Theorem: Suppose that $f^{\prime}(a)$ exists. Then for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\left|f(x)-\ell_{a}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon|x-a|
$$

whenever $|x-a|<\delta$.
For example, if $\epsilon$ is chosen to be .01 , the error caused by using $\ell_{a}(x)$ in place of $f(x)$, will be at most $1 \%$ of the the difference between $x$ and $a$.

Proof: From the definition of derivative:

$$
f^{\prime}(a):=\lim _{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a}=\lim _{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a} .
$$

By the definition of a limit, we can find $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a}-f^{\prime}(a)\right|<\epsilon
$$

whenever $0<|x-a|<\delta$. Now multiply both sides by the positive number $|x-a|$ to see that

$$
\left|f(x)-f(a)-f^{\prime}(a)(x-a)\right|<\epsilon|x-a|
$$

whenever $0<|x-a|<\delta$. Note that both sides vanish when $x=a$, so if we replace the "less than" sign by a "less than or equal" sign, the statements remains true for all $x$ with $|x-a|<\delta$. Now if we substitute in the definition of $\ell_{a}(x)$, we see that

$$
\left|f(x)-\ell_{a}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon|x-a|
$$

whenever $|x-a|<\delta$. This proves the theorem.
Let's work out an example. Let $f(x)=\sqrt{x}$, for $x>0$. Then if $a>0$, $f^{\prime}(a)=1 / 2 a^{-1 / 2}$, so $\ell_{a}(x)=(x-a) / 2 \sqrt{a}+\sqrt{a}$. Let's see if, given $\epsilon$ we can find $\delta$ that works in the above argument. In the calculations below, we shall frequently use our old friend: $A^{2}-B^{2}=(A+B)(A-B)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x)-\ell_{a}(x)\right| & =\left|\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{a}-\frac{(x-a)}{2 \sqrt{a}}\right| \\
& =\left|(\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{a})\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{a}}{2 \sqrt{a}}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{x-a}{\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{a}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{x}}{2 \sqrt{a}}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{(\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{a})^{2} 2 \sqrt{a}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

This is still pretty messy. We don't have to be very clever to get something useful and simple however. Since $\sqrt{x}$ is positive, if we omit it from the denominator we will get something bigger. So we conclude:

$$
\left|f(x)-\ell_{a}(x)\right| \leq\left|\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2(\sqrt{a})^{3}}\right|
$$

In our example, $a=25$, and so $\sqrt{a}=5$ and $\sqrt{a}^{3}=125$, so we get

$$
\left|f(x)-\ell_{25}(x)\right| \leq\left|\frac{(x-25)^{2}}{250}\right|=|x-25|\left|\frac{x-25}{250}\right|
$$

Conclusion: if we take $\delta:=250 \epsilon$, then if $|x-25|<\delta$,

$$
\left|f(x)-\ell_{a}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon|x-a| .
$$

Thus this $\epsilon$ is a bound for the relative error

$$
\rho:=\frac{\left|f(x)-\ell_{a}(x)\right|}{|x-a|}
$$

(which makes sense only if $x \neq a$ ).
Let's look at some values. The estimates we just did predict that the relative error $\rho$ is bounded by $.004|x-25|$. Since it is impossible to actually write down $f(x)$ exactly, I have written $\tilde{f}(x)$ to indicate the approximation given by my calculator.

| $x$ | $x-a$ | $\ell_{a}(x)$ | $\tilde{f}(x)$ | $\rho$ | $.004\|x-a\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 0 | 5 | 5 |  |  |
| 26 | 1 | 5.1 | 5.099019513592784 | $0.980486407216 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $4 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 24 | -1 | 4.9 | 4.898979485566356 | $01.020514433644 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $4 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 25.1 | .1 | 5.01 | 5.009990019950139 | $0.9980049861 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $4 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 24.9 | -.1 | 4.99 | 4.9899899799498590 | $1.0020050141 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $4 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 25.001 | .001 | 5.0001 | 5.00009999900002 | $0.99998 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $4 \mathrm{E}-6$ |

I should mention another set of conventions that you will find in our book and often other places as well. To introduce it, we first write let $h:=x-a$. Then our expression becomes

$$
f(a+h) \sim f^{\prime}(a) h+f(a)
$$

or better:

$$
f(a+h)-f(a) \sim f^{\prime}(a) h
$$

This is useful because $h$ is small, and the expression displays clearly how our approximation depends on this small number $h$.

We could also write $\Delta x$ in place of $h$. Note that $a$ could be anything, and could even be regarded as a "variable." In fact to emphasize this, people tend
to write $x$ in place of $a$. Then our goal is to approximate $f(x+\Delta x)-f(x)$. Here is the standard definition, using the language of "differentials," in which we now write $d x$ in place of $\Delta x$.

Definition: Suppose $f$ is differentiable. For any $x$ in the domain of $f$ and any real number $d x$,

$$
d y:=f^{\prime}(x) d x \text { and } \Delta y:=f(x+d x)-f(x)
$$

Our theorem then says that $d y$ is very near to $\Delta y$ if $d x$ is small. In fact, the difference between $d y$ and $\Delta y$ is small even compared to $d x$.

