### Homework 7 Answers Math 54 - Fall 2016

#### Section 4.7

**4.7.3** With  $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2\}, \mathcal{W} = \{w_1, w_2\}. P[x]_{\mathcal{W}} = [x]_{\mathcal{U}}.$ 

Think of if the action of P on the basis  $\mathcal{U}$ . P on  $[u_1]_{\mathcal{U}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$  will return the first column of P - which is  $[u_1]_{\mathcal{W}}$ . So (ii.) should be satisfied, but not (i.).

**4.7.7**  $P_B = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -3 \\ 5 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, P_C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, P_{C \leftarrow B} = P_C^{-1}P_B$  and  $P_{B \leftarrow C} = P_B^{-1}P_C$ . We

can either compute these by computing the inverses, and then multiplying or by row-reducing the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_C \mid P_B \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} I \mid P_{C \leftarrow B} \end{bmatrix}$$
  
and likewise for  $P_{B \leftarrow C}$ . In any case,  $P_C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/4 & -1/4 \\ -5/8 & -1/8 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $P_B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/8 & 3/8 \\ -5/8 & 7/8 \end{bmatrix}$  so  
 $P_{C \leftarrow B} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ -5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $P_{B \leftarrow C} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ -5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**4.7.8**  $P_B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 8 & -7 \end{bmatrix}, P_C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P_{C \leftarrow B} = P_C^{-1}P_B$  and  $P_{B \leftarrow C} = P_B^{-1}P_C$ . We can either compute these by computing the inverses, and then multiplying or by row-reducing the

can either compute these by computing the inverses, and then multiplying or by row-reducing the matrices

 $\begin{bmatrix} P_C \mid P_B \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} I \mid P_{C \leftarrow B} \end{bmatrix}$ and likewise for  $P_{B \leftarrow C}$ . In any case,  $P_C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $P_B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ 8 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  so  $P_{C \leftarrow B} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -8 \\ -10 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $P_{B \leftarrow C} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 8 \\ 10 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**4.7.13** The change of basis matrix from *B* to standard coordinates is the matrix with columns of *B* represented in standard coordinates. So  $P_{S \leftarrow B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ -2 & -5 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ . To find the *B*-coordinates

of -1 + 2t we are looking for the solution to  $Pv = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ 2\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Row reducing this linear system, we have  $v = \begin{bmatrix} 41\\ -14\\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**4.7.14** As in the previous problem, the change of basis matrix to standard coordinates has columns the vectors of *B* in standard coordinates. So  $P_{S \leftarrow B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -3 & -5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . To find *B*-coordinates of  $t^2$  we are looking for the *v* so that  $Pv = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Row-reducing, we get  $v = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**4.7.20.a**  $P_{D\leftarrow B} = P_{D\leftarrow C}P_{C\leftarrow B}$ . The matrix on the left takes in vectors in *B* coordinates, and outputs their *D* coordinates. The product on the right takes in vectors with *B* coordinates, converts them to *C* coordinates, then converts them to *D* coordinates. This is the same as just converting them to *D* coordinates right away. Alternatively,  $P_{D\leftarrow C}P_{C\leftarrow B} = P_D^{-1}P_CP_C^{-1}P_B = P_D^{-1}P_B = P_{D\leftarrow B}$ .

#### Section 4.5

**4.5.9** The subspace is the set of  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ x \end{bmatrix}$ . This is clearly spanned by  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . These are linearly independent, so the dimension is 2.

**4.5.11** This is just the dimension of column space of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This row-reduces to

[1	3	-2	5
0	1	-1	2
0	0	0	1

The number of pivot columns is 3 so the dimension is 3.

**4.5.25**  $B = \{1, 1 - t, 2 - 4t + t^2\}$ .  $p = 5 + 5t - 2t^2$ . To find the *B* coordinates, we solve the linear system  $a_1(1) + a_2(1 - t) + a_3(2 - 4t + t^2) = p$ . So we row-reduce

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & | & 5 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 & | & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
So the coordinates are 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

**4.5.26** Pick a basis for H, say B. B is a linearly independent set. Suppose v is in V, but not in H. Then  $B \cup \{v\}$  is linearly independent, since v is not in the span of B - H. But then we would have n + 1 linearly independent vectors in an n-dimensional vector space. This is silly. So H = V.

**4.5.27** The space of polynomials is infinite-dimensional space, because any basis would have to have  $1, x, x^2, x^3, ...$ , as linear combinations of its elements. As these elements are linearly inepenent, there have to be at least as many basis elements as these - so infinitely many.

**4.5.30** a.) No.  $\{1, 1\}$  is a linearly dependent set in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , but clearly this has dimension greater than 2. b.)Yes. If every set of p elements cannot span V, then any basis of V must have more than p elements. So dim V > p. c.) No. In  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $\{1, 1\}$  is linearly dependent.

**4.5.31x** Pick a basis for T(H), say  $w_1, ..., w_k$ . Then there exist  $v_1, ..., v_k$  in H so that  $T(v_i) = w_i$ . T maps linearly dependent sets to linearly dependent sets. So  $v_1, ..., v_k$  must be linearly independent, since  $w_i$  are. A basis is a maximally linearly independent set, so dim  $H \ge k = \dim T(H)$ .

#### Section 3.1

3.1.4  

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{vmatrix} - 3 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} + 5 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 1(2-4) - 3(4-3) + 5(8-3) = 20$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = -3 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} + 1 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} - 4 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = -3(4-3) + 1(2-15) - 4(1-10) = -3 - 13 + 36 = 20$$
3.1.22  

$$\begin{vmatrix} a + kc & b + kd \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad + kcd - bc - kdc = ad - bc = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix}.$$

Adding a multiple of a row to another row does not change the determinant.

3.1.25

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ k & 1 \end{vmatrix} - 0 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ k & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 0 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 1(1 - 0k) = 1.$$

**3.1.38** 
$$\begin{vmatrix} ka & kb \\ kc & kd \end{vmatrix} = k^2 a d - k^2 a b = k^2 \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix}$$

**1.43x** No this is not true. Note that 
$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0 + 0 \neq 1 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Section 3.2

3.

**3.2.6**  $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & -3 \\ 3 & -3 & 3 \\ 2 & 13 & -7 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & -3 \\ 0 & -18 & 12 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = 6 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 6(3) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -6(3) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = -18$ 

 $\begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$ .

**3.2.7**  $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 \\ -2 & -5 & 7 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 7 & 8 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & -5 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 7 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 30 & 27 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$ 

since the determinant of an upper triangular matrix is the product of the diagonal elements.

3.2.16  $\begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ 3d & 3e & 3f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} = 3 \begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} = 3 * 7 = 21.$ 3.2.22  $\begin{vmatrix} 5 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -3 & -2 \\ 0 & 5 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 5 \begin{vmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ 5 & 3 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = 5(-9+10) - (5-0) = 0.$  So the matrix is not invertible.

**3.2.27** a.) Row replacement may affect a determinant. Scaling the row scales the determinant. b.) This is true. Only scaling and swapping affect the determinant. Swapping is taken care of by the -1 product, and the scaling is just the product of the pivots. c.) If the columns of A are linearly dependent, the matrix is not invertible, so the determinant is 0. d.) This is false. The example in 3.1.43x is a counterexample.

3.2.29

1	0	1		1	0	1		1	0	0	
1	1	2	=	0	1	0	-2*	0	1	0	= -2.
1	2	1		2	0	=		0	0	1	

The determinant of a product is the product of the determinants, so det  $B^5 = -32$ .

**3.2.32** det $(rA) = r^n \det A$ . Thm 3 on all n rows.

**3.2.33x** det(AB) = det A det B = det B det A = det(BA). Thm 6

**3.2.34**  $\det(PAP^{-1}) = \det(PA) \det P^{-1} = \det P^{-1} \det(PA) = \det(P^{-1}P) \det A = 1 \det A$ . Thm 6

Section 5.1

**5.1.2** 
$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 \\ 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$
. -3 not because  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 6 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$  is rank 2, so is invertible.

5.1.7

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 \\ -3 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

so  $\begin{bmatrix} -1\\ -1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 4.

5.1.16

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 4 & -2 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

0 0

so the eigenvectors for  $\lambda = 4$  are

Taking a = 1, we get a basis for this eigenspace.

**5.1.20** 0 is an eigenvalue with eigenvectors  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ . This matrix has rank 1 so the eigenspace for 0 has dimension 2. Clearly these vectors are linearly independent.

**5.1.21** a.) True, this is the definition of an eigenvalue. b.) True. The 0 eigenspace is just the null space. If it is not-trivial, then it is not invertible. c.)True. If (A - cI)v = 0, for some non-zero v, then Av = cv, so c is an eigenvalue. d.) True. If v is an eigenvector,  $Av = \lambda v$ , so we can check for linear dependence quickly. e.) False. To find eigenvalues, check when  $A - \lambda I$  is not-invertible with the determinant. Row reduction does not help us here.

**5.1.24**  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  has only the eigenvalue 1, as Av = v for all v. So also every vector is an eigenvector for this value.

**5.1.25** If  $Ax = \lambda x$ , then  $x = A^{-1}(\lambda x) = \lambda A^{-1}x$ , so  $x/\lambda = A^{-1}x$ , and  $1/\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^{-1}$ .

**5.1.29** Let v be the column vector with 1 in each entry. Then Av is the column vector consisting of row sums of A, but then this is sv, since each row sum is s. Thus Av = sv.

**5.1.32** a.)  $x_{k+1} = c_1 \lambda^{k+1} u + c_2 \mu^{k+1} v$ . b.)  $Ax_k = c_1 \lambda^k A u + c_2 \mu^k A v = c_1 \lambda^{k+1} u + c_2 \mu^{k+1} v = x_{k+1}$ .

## Section 5.2

5.2.4

$$\begin{vmatrix} 8-\lambda & 2\\ 3 & 3-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (8-\lambda)(3-\lambda) - 6 = \lambda^2 - 11\lambda + 18 = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 9),$$

so the eigenvalues are 2 and 9.

# 5.2.10 $\begin{vmatrix} 3-\lambda & 1 & 1\\ 0 & 5-\lambda & 0\\ -2 & 0 & 7-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (3-\lambda)(5-\lambda)(7-\lambda)+1(0)(-2)+1(0)(0)-(-2)(5-\lambda)(1)-0(0)(3-\lambda)-(7-\lambda)(0)(1) = 0 \end{vmatrix}$

5.2.19

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda)$$

so

$$\det(A - 0I) = \det A = (\lambda_1 - 0) \cdots (\lambda_n - 0) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n.$$