The Zariski topology, linear systems, and algebraic varieties

János Kollár, Max Lieblich, Martin Olsson, and Will Sawin

Contents

Chapte	er 1. Introduction	5
1.1.	From lines and planes to the Zariski topology of \mathbb{P}^n	5
1.2.	Algebraic varieties	9
1.3.	Main results	13
1.4.	Scheme-theoretic formulation	15
1.5.	Organization of the book	16
1.6.	Remarks on existing literature	18
1.7.	Remarks on Terminology and Notation	20
1.8.	Acknowledgments	23
Chapte	er 2. The fundamental theorem of projective geometry and variants	25
2.1.	The fundamental theorem of definable projective geometry	25
2.2.	A variant fundamental theorem	32
2.3.	The probabilistic fundamental theorem of projective geometry	36
Chapte	er 3. Divisorial structures and definable linear systems	49
3.1.	Divisorial structures	49
3.2.	Remarks on divisors	52
3.3.	Definable subspaces in linear systems	55
Chapte	er 4. Reconstruction from divisorial structures: infinite fields	61
4.1.	Reduction to the quasi-projective case	61
4.2.	The quasi-projective case	62
4.3.	Counterexamples in dimension 1	67
Chapte	er 5. Reconstruction from divisorial structures: finite fields	71
5.1.	The Bertini-Poonen theorem	71
5.2.	Preparatory lemmas	73
5.3.	Proof of (5.0.1)	76
Chapte	er 6. Topological geometry	83
6.1.	Pencils	84
6.2.	Fibers of finite morphisms	91
6.3.	Topological pencils	93
6.4.	Degree functions and algebraic pencils	95
6.5.	Degree functions and linear equivalence	98
Chapte	er 7. The set-theoretic complete intersection property (scip)	103
7.1.	Set-theoretic complete intersection property	104
7.2.	Mordell-Weil fields	108
7.3.	Reducible scip subsets	110

7.4. Projective spaces7.5. Appendix: Special Fields	$\begin{array}{c} 115\\118\end{array}$
 Chapter 8. Linkage 8.1. Linear similarity and linear equivalence 8.2. Basic notions 8.3. Preparations: Sections and their zero sets 8.4. Néron's theorem and consequences 8.5. Linear similarity 8.6. Bertini-Hilbert dimension 8.7. Linkage of divisors and residue fields 8.8. Minimally restrictive linking and transversality 8.9. Recovering linear equivalence 8.10. Appendix: Weakly Hilbertian fields 	$123 \\ 123 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 128 \\ 130 \\ 134 \\ 136 \\ 140 \\ 143 \\ 148 \\ 148 \\ 148 \\ 123 \\ 148 \\ 123 \\ 148 \\ 123 \\ 148 \\ 123 \\ 124 \\ 124 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 125 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 125 \\ 126 $
 Chapter 9. Complements, counterexamples, and conjectures 9.1. A topological Gabriel theorem 9.2. Examples over finite fields 9.3. Surfaces over locally finite fields 9.4. Real Zariski topology 9.5. Countable Noetherian topologies 9.6. Affine schemes in general 9.7. Conjectures 	155 155 157 158 159 160 162 16 1
 Chapter 10. Appendix 10.1. Bertini-type theorems 10.2. Complete intersections 10.3. Picard group, class group and Albanese variety 10.4. Commutative algebraic groups 	167 167 171 175 183
Index of Notation	187
Index of Terminology	189
Bibliography	191

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1. From lines and planes to the Zariski topology of \mathbb{P}^n

Geometry starts with the study of points and lines in the plane. The simplest objects are the points in the plane (as Euclid says, they have no parts) and lines are certain sets of points.

Descartes put coordinates on the plane, so now we usually think of the plane as a 2-dimensional vector space \mathbb{R}^2 and the lines as solution sets of linear equations. It is a quite nontrivial theorem that this coordinatization is unique, up to affine linear changes of the coordinates. To understand this statement, let us take a more general point of view.

Let K be any field. Choosing K^n as our set of points and solution sets of systems of linear equations as our preferred subsets, we get what is called *n*-dimensional *affine* geometry over K, though *n*-dimensional *linear geometry* over K might be a better name. It is frequently denoted by Aff_K^n . Following Kepler (1571–1630) and Desargues (1591–1661) we add points at infinity to get *n*-dimensional *projective geometry* over K; the general case appears in the works of von Staudt [vS57], Fano [Fan92] and Veblen [Veb06]. We denote it by $Proj_K^n$.

Thus the *n*-dimensional affine or projective geometries over a field K consist of

• point sets

Points $(Aff_K^n) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K^n\}, \text{ or }$ Points $(\operatorname{Proj}_K^n) = \{(x_0; \dots; x_n) \in (K^{n+1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})/K^{\times}\}, \text{ and }$

• the linear subspaces as distinguished subsets of the point set.

By definition, the algebra of the field K determines the affine and the projective geometries. The Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry—which should be called the Fundamental Theorem of *Linear* Geometry—says that, conversely, the geometry of Aff_K^n or of $Proj_K^n$ determines the algebra of the field K.

The key ideas go back to Menelaus of Alexandria (c. 70-140 AD) and Giovanni Ceva (1647–1734). The first complete proof is due to von Staudt [**vS57**] and general forms are given by Whitehead [**Whi06**] and Veblen and Young [**VY08**]. We state the 2 versions separately, although they are really the same.

Theorem 1.1.1 (Affine form). Let K, L be fields and $n, m \ge 2$. Let

 Φ : Points(Aff_Kⁿ) \leftrightarrow Points(Aff_L^m)

be a bijection that maps linear subspaces to linear subspaces. Then n = m and there is a unique field isomorphism $\varphi : K \cong L$, vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_m) \in L^m$ and matrix $M \in GL_m(L)$ such that

$$\Phi(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \left(\varphi(x_1) + c_1,\ldots,\varphi(x_n) + c_n\right) \cdot M.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Theorem 1.1.2 (Projective form). Let K, L be fields and $n, m \ge 2$. Let

 $\Phi: \operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Proj}_K^n) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Proj}_L^m)$

be a bijection that maps linear subspaces to linear subspaces. Then n = m, and there is a unique field isomorphism $\varphi : K \cong L$ and matrix $M \in PGL_{m+1}(L)$ such that

$$\Phi(x_0:\cdots:x_n) = (\varphi(x_0):\cdots:\varphi(x_n)) \cdot M.$$

Remark 1.1.3. The unique coordinatization in the real case now follows, since the identity is the only automorphism of \mathbb{R} . Note that, by contrast, the automorphism group of \mathbb{C} is huge, of cardinality $2^{|\mathbb{C}|}$.

Remark 1.1.4. With some care, (1.1.1) and (1.1.2) also apply to non-commutative fields, but from now on we consider only commutative fields.

The next natural geometry to consider is *circle geometry*, where we work with lines and circles in the plane. It was discovered by Hipparchus of Nicaea (c. 190-120 BC) that, using stereographic projection, it is better to view this as the geometry whose points are given by the sphere

$$\mathbb{S}^2 := \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1 \},\$$

and whose subsets are the circles contained in \mathbb{S}^2 . These are also the intersections of \mathbb{S}^2 with planes.

More generally, let K be any field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let Sph_K^n denote *spherical* geometry of dimension n over K. That is, its points are

$$\mathbb{S}_{K}^{n} := \{ (x_{0}:x_{1}:\cdots:x_{n+1}) \in \operatorname{Proj}_{K}^{n+1}: x_{1}^{2} + \cdots + x_{n+1}^{2} = x_{0}^{2} \}$$

and its distinguished subsets are the intersections of \mathbb{S}_K^n with linear subspaces. (These are spheres if K is a subfield of \mathbb{R} . However, if $K = \mathbb{C}$ then the intersection with $(x_3 = \cdots = x_{n-1} = x_n - x_0 = 0)$ is a pair of lines, so the name 'spherical' may be misleading.) The Fundamental Theorem of Spherical Geometry now says the following.

Theorem 1.1.5. Let K, L be fields and $n, m \ge 2$. Let

$$\Phi : \operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Sph}_{K}^{n}) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Sph}_{L}^{m})$$

be a bijection that maps linear intersections to linear intersections. Then n = m and there is a unique field isomorphism $\varphi : K \cong L$ and matrix $M \in PO_{n+1,1}(L)$ such that

$$\Phi(x_0:\cdots:x_{n+1}) = (\varphi(x_0):\cdots:\varphi(x_{n+1})) \cdot M.$$

Here $\text{PO}_{n+1,1}(L) \subset \text{PGL}_{n+2}(L)$ is the projective othogonal group, that is, the subgroup of those matrices that leave the quadric \mathbb{S}_K^n invariant.

Although this seems like a new result, it easily reduces to the linear geometry case as follows. Fix a point $p \in \mathbb{S}_K^n$. If K is a subfield of \mathbb{R} then stereographic projection shows that $\mathbb{S}_K^n \setminus \{p\}$ (with the spherical subsets containing p as our subsets) is isomorphic to Aff_K^n . For arbitrary K, we get the same conclusion for $\mathbb{S}_K^n \setminus \{all \text{ lines through } p \text{ in } \mathbb{S}_K^n \}$.

The next natural topic could be *conic geometry*. Here we start with sets of points $Points(Aff_K^2)$ or $Points(Proj_K^2)$, but we work with lines and conics as distinguished subsets.

However, nothing new happens, since we can tell which curves are conics and which are lines. Indeed, in conic geometry, C is a line if and only if $C \cap C'$ consists of

at most 2 points for every other curve C' (which is a conic or a line, not containing C). Thus we recover affine geometry.

What if we fix a degree d and consider *degree-d geometry* in the plane? It has the same point set as before, but we use all algebraic curves of degree $\leq d$ as distinguished subsets. That is, solution sets of the form

- $\{(x, y) : f(x, y) = 0\}$ where deg $f \le d$ (affine case), or
- $\{(x:y:z): F(x:y:z) = 0\}$ where deg $F \le d$ (projective case).

As before, it is not hard to show that if $|K| \ge d + 1$, then *C* is a line if and only if it has at least d+1 points and $C \cap C'$ consists of at most *d* points for every other curve *C'* (of degree $\le d$ that does not contain *C*). Thus we get the same fundamental theorems as in the linear case.

While restricting to small values of d may be natural, it is very unlikely that specific large values of d are of much interest. So we should instead let d become infinite and work with all algebraic plane curves. This is planar algebraic geometry. We focus now on the projective case, see (1.3.9) for some comments on the affine setting. As the natural continuation of (1.1.1)–(1.1.5), the next question to consider is the following.

Question 1.1.6. Let K, L be fields and

 $\Phi: \operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Proj}_K^2) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Proj}_L^2)$

a bijection that maps algebraic curves to algebraic curves. Is there a field isomorphism $\varphi: K \cong L$ and a matrix $M \in PGL_3(L)$ such that

$$\Phi(x_0:x_1:x_2) = (\varphi(x_0):\varphi(x_1):\varphi(x_2)) \cdot M?$$

In a surprising departure from the previous results, the answer is very fielddependent. For illustration, let us see what happens with finite fields, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} . For finite fields the answer is negative for trivial reasons (though of course the cardinality of $\operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Proj}_{K}^{2})$ determines K).

Proposition 1.1.7. Let K be a finite field. Then every subset of $\operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Proj}_{K}^{2})$ is an algebraic curve. Thus every bijection $\operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Proj}_{K}^{2}) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Proj}_{K}^{2})$ maps algebraic curves to algebraic curves.

In the real case the answer is again negative, but this is more unexpected. We use \mathbb{RP}^2 to denote the real projective plane with its Euclidean topology.

Theorem 1.1.8. [KM09] Every diffeomorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{RP}^2 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$ can be approximated by diffeomorphisms $\Phi : \mathbb{RP}^2 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$ that map algebraic curves to algebraic curves.

As an example, the simplest non-linear algebraic diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{RP}^2 are given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x & \mapsto & x \left((c^6 - 1) y^2 z^2 - c^2 (c^2 x^2 + c^4 y^2 + z^2)^2 \right), \\ y & \mapsto & y \left((c^6 - 1) z^2 x^2 - c^2 (c^2 y^2 + c^4 z^2 + x^2)^2 \right), \\ z & \mapsto & z \left((c^6 - 1) z^2 y^2 - c^2 (c^2 z^2 + c^4 x^2 + y^2)^2 \right), \end{array}$$

for any $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pm 1\}$.

For \mathbb{C} , and more generally for algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero, we have a positive answer; see (1.1.13) below for a more general statement.

Theorem 1.1.9. Let K, L be algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0, and

 $\Phi: \operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Proj}_K^2) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Points}(\operatorname{Proj}_L^2)$

a bijection that maps algebraic curves to algebraic curves. Then there is a unique field isomorphism $\varphi : K \cong L$ and matrix $M \in PGL_3(L)$ such that

$$\Phi(x_0:x_1:x_2) = (\varphi(x_0):\varphi(x_1):\varphi(x_2)) \cdot M.$$

It is not unexpected that there could be a difference between the real and complex cases, since we can get only limited information about a real polynomial if we ignore its complex roots. Thus we should not forget about the complex points when dealing with a projective space over \mathbb{R} .

Note that if *i* is a root of a real polynomial, then so is -i. In general, working with real polynomials only, we can detect conjugate pairs of complex numbers, but not individual complex numbers. In order to understand how this works for other fields, we need to think about what the basic objects of algebraic geometry are.

The reworking of the foundations of algebraic geometry, started in the 1930es by van der Waerden, Weil and Zariski, culminated in Grothendieck's theory of schemes around 1960. This turned 'algebraic geometry' into 'algebraic geometry.' In these treatments the primary object is not *n*-space \mathbb{C}^n , but the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Thus in contemporary algebraic geometry we think of \mathbb{C}^n as consisting of

- (geometry) the set of points \mathbb{C}^n together with distinguished subsets given by solution sets of systems of polynomial equations, and
- (algebra) the ring of all polynomial maps $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$.

We usually say 'closed sets' instead of 'distinguished subsets' and call the geometric object the *Zariski topological space* associated to \mathbb{C}^n .

By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, the points of \mathbb{C}^n correspond to maximal ideals of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Thus $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ uniquely determines the Zariski topological space. We can thus think of (1.1.6) as a converse to Hilbert's Nullstellensatz: Does the Zariski topology determine the ring of polynomial functions?

Rather sloppily, standard usage does not distinguish between \mathbb{C}^n as a point set, vector space, Zariski topological space, variety or scheme. There is even more room for confusion over fields that are not algebraically closed. For us these distinctions are crucial, so let us fix our notation.

Definition 1.1.10 (Affine *n*-space in algebraic geometry). Let *K* be a field and $\overline{K} \supset K$ an algebraic closure. We denote the underlying Zariski topological space of affine *n*-space by $|\mathbb{A}_{K}^{n}|$. It consists of the following.

- (1) A point set, which can be given in 2 equivalent ways.
 - (a) (Geometric form) Points in \overline{K}^n modulo conjugation. That is

$$|\mathbb{A}_K^n|^{\text{set}} := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \overline{K}^n\} / (x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim (\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_n)),$$

where $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ is any automorphism of \bar{K} that fixes K.

- (b) (Algebraic form) The set of maximal ideals of $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.
- (2) A topology whose closed sets are the solution sets of systems of equations

$$\{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in \bar{K}^n: f_1(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\cdots=f_r(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=0\},\$$

where $f_i \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ are polynomials.

Remark 1.1.11 (Non-closed points). The above is the traditional definition of \mathbb{A}_{K}^{n} , see [Sha74]. In the modern scheme-theoretic version, the points of \mathbb{A}_{K}^{n} correspond to all prime ideals of $K[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}]$. Thus our $|\mathbb{A}_{K}^{n}|$ is the set of closed points of the

scheme-theoretic \mathbb{A}_{K}^{n} ; see (1.4.1) for details. For our current purposes, the distinction is not important.

Definition 1.1.12 (Projective *n*-space in algebraic geometry). Let K be a field and $\overline{K} \supset K$ an algebraic closure. We denote the underlying Zariski topological space of projective *n*-space by $|\mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}|$. It consists of

(1) a point set

$$|\mathbb{P}_K^n|^{\text{set}} := \{ (x_0; \cdots; x_n) \in \overline{K}^{n+1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \} / (x_0; \cdots; x_n) \sim (c\sigma(x_0); \cdots; c\sigma(x_n)) \}$$

where $c \in \overline{K}^{\times}$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, and

(2) a topology, whose closed sets are

$$\{(x_0:\cdots:x_n)\in K^{n+1}: F_1(x_0:\cdots:x_n)=\cdots=F_r(x_0:\cdots:x_n)=0\}$$

where $F_i \in K[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ are homogeneous polynomials.

One of our theorems is the following answer to the higher dimensional version of (1.1.6). We prove it in (7.0.4).

Theorem 1.1.13. Let K, L be fields. Assume that char L = 0 and fix $n, m \ge 2$. Let

 $\Phi: |\mathbb{P}^n_K| \leftrightarrow |\mathbb{P}^m_L|$

be a homemorphism (that is, a bijection that maps closed, algebraic subsets to closed, algebraic subsets). Then n = m and there is a unique field isomorphism $\varphi \colon K \cong L$, and a unique matrix $M \in PGL_{m+1}(L)$ such that

$$\Phi(x_0:\cdots:x_n) = (\varphi(x_0):\cdots:\varphi(x_n)) \cdot M.$$

Clarification 1.1.14. We need to explain what $\varphi(x_i)$ means if x_i is not in K. Fix algebraic closures $\overline{K} \supset K$ and $\overline{L} \supset L$. Then φ extends (very non-uniquely) to a field isomorphism $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{K} \cong \overline{L}$. However, if $(x_0: \cdots: x_n) \in |\mathbb{P}_K^n|$ then $(\overline{\varphi}(x_0): \cdots: \overline{\varphi}(x_n)) \in |\mathbb{P}_L^m|$ is independent of the choice of $\overline{\varphi}$ and of the particular representative of $(x_0: \cdots: x_n)$.

The book is devoted to extending this result from \mathbb{P}^n to other algebraic varieties. We discuss these in the next Section.

Another far reaching generalization of (1.1.13) is given in [**BT65**]; we discuss some relationship between the two in Section 9.2.

1.2. Algebraic varieties

In this section we recall the basic definitions and theorems, leading to the Main Question (1.2.7).

It is quite interesting that the classical algebraic geometry literature—roughly [**Sha74**] and before—never actually defines what an algebraic variety is. These definitions give a variety X as a subset of $|\mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}|$ with no additional structure given. Since the algebraic subvarieties of X were clearly understood, these definitions essentially identify a variety X with the underlying topological space |X|.

The morphisms between varieties are then defined by hand. Thus one gets the correct definition of the *category* of algebraic varieties, but not of the individual varieties.

For now this traditional definition is the most natural for us, though soon we switch to the scheme-theoretic version as in [Har77]; see 1.4.1 for further comments and notation.

We need to distinguish a variety X from its underlying set |X|, so we are extra careful at the beginning.

Definition 1.2.1 (Algebraic sets, point-set version). Fix a field K. Closed subsets of some $|\mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}|$ are the *projective algebraic sets*. Thus these are of the form

 $|X| = \{ (x_0: \dots : x_n) \in |\mathbb{P}_K^n| : F_1(x_0: \dots : x_n) = \dots = F_r(x_0: \dots : x_n) = 0 \},\$

where the F_i are homogeneous polynomials. We frequently write $|X| = (F_1 = \cdots = F_r = 0)$. The easiest to think of are *hypersurfaces*, these are given by 1 equation

$$|X_F| := \{ (x_0: \dots : x_n) \in |\mathbb{P}_K^n| : F(x_0: \dots : x_n) = 0 \}.$$

The proofs of our theorems admit only very minor simplifications for hypersurfaces, so nothing is lost if the reader focuses on them.

A projective algebraic set |X| is *irreducible* if it can not be written as a finite union of projective algebraic sets in a nontrivial way. (That is, if $|X| = \bigcup_{i \in I} |X_i|$ then $|X| = |X_i|$ for some $i \in I$.) For example, a hypersurface $|X_F|$ is irreducible iff F is a power of an irreducible polynomial.

A quasi-projective algebraic set is the difference of two projective algebraic sets $|X| = |Y| \setminus |Z|$. |X| is called *irreducible* if |Y| can be chosen irreducible.

Starting with $|\mathbb{A}_K^n|$ instead of $|\mathbb{P}_K^n|$, we get the notion of *affine algebraic sets*. It is quite useful to think of a projective algebraic set $|X| \subset |\mathbb{P}_K^n|$ as covered by the affine algebraic sets $|U_i| := |X| \setminus (x_i = 0)$.

Every quasi-projective algebraic set is a finite union of irreducible ones $|X| = \bigcup_i |X_i|$. Such irredundant decompositions are unique (up to ordering the $|X_i|$). These $|X_i|$ are the *irreducible components* of |X|. For hypersurfaces, the irreducible decomposition corresponds to writing $F = \prod G_i^{m_i}$ where the G_i are irreducible.

Definition 1.2.2 (Dimension). A point has dimension 0 and an irreducible algebraic set |X| has dimension d iff all closed, irreducible algebraic subsets $|Z| \subsetneq |X|$ have dimension < d. It is a non-obvious claim that $\dim |\mathbb{P}_K^n| = n$.

Definition 1.2.3 (Morphisms of algebraic sets, classical version). Fix a field K and let $|X| \subset |\mathbb{P}_K^n|$ be a quasi-projective algebraic set. A *morphism* of |X| to $|\mathbb{P}_K^m|$ is given as

$$\Phi: (x_0:\cdots:x_n) \mapsto (F_0(x_0:\cdots:x_n):\cdots:F_m(x_0:\cdots:x_n))$$

where

- (1) the F_i are homogeneous of the same degree (so $(x_0:\cdots:x_n) = (cx_0:\cdots:cx_n)$ have the same images), and
- (2) the F_i have no common zero on X (since $(0:\cdots:0)$ is not a point of $|\mathbb{P}_K^m|$).

If the image of Φ lands in a quasi-projective algebraic set $|Y| \subset |\mathbb{P}_K^m|$, then we say that $\Phi: |X| \to |Y|$ is a morphism.

Two quasi-projective algebraic sets $|X| \subset |\mathbb{P}_K^n|$ and $|Y| \subset |\mathbb{P}_K^m|$ are *isomorphic* if there are morphisms $\Phi : |X| \to |Y|$ and $\Psi : |Y| \to |X|$ such that $\Phi \circ \Psi$ and $\Psi \circ \Phi$ are both identities.

Definition 1.2.4 (Algebraic varieties and sets). Let |X| be a quasi-projective algebraic set over a field K. The set of all morphisms $|X| \to |\mathbb{A}_K^1|$ form a K-algebra, denoted by K[X] as in [**Sha74**]. These are the *regular functions* on X.

If $|Y| \subset |X|$ then restriction gives a *K*-algebra homomorphism $K[X] \to K[Y]$. Letting |Y| run through all open algebraic subsets of |X|, we get the *sheaf of regular* functions on |X|, usually denoted by \mathcal{O}_X .

From the modern point of view, a *quasi-projective algebraic set* over K should be a pair $X = (|X|, \mathfrak{G}_X)$, where |X| is a quasi-projective algebraic set as in (1.2.1) and \mathfrak{G}_X its sheaf of regular functions.

 $X = (|X|, \mathfrak{G}_X)$ is called a *variety over* K or a K-variety if |X| is irreducible. The field is frequently omitted if it is clear from the context. Current algebraic geometry considers the pair $X = (|X|, \mathfrak{G}_X)$ as the basic object.

Warning 1.2.5. All books we know follow this definition of a variety, but people frequently use 'variety' to refer to a possibly reducible algebraic set, especially if all irreducible components have the same dimension.

Remark 1.2.6 (The role of the field K). One needs to start with a field K in order to define $|\mathbb{A}_{K}^{n}|$, $|\mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}|$ and their closed algebraic subsets. If $\sigma : K \to L$ is a field isomorphism, then

$$(x_0:\cdots:x_n)\mapsto (\sigma(x_0):\cdots:\sigma(x_n))$$

gives a homeomorphism $|\mathbb{P}_K^n| \sim |\mathbb{P}_L^n|$. On the level of regular functions this is an even more trivial operation: every K-algebra K[U] becomes an L-algebra. This suggests that for us it would be more natural to view each K[X] simply as ring. (This is essentially what scheme theory does.) Thus to any quasi-projective algebraic set X over K we get a quasi-projective algebraic set X^{σ} over L with the 'same' underlying topological space and the 'same' ring of regular functions. To be very concrete, if

$$X = (F_1 = \dots = F_r = 0) \quad \text{where} \quad F_i = \sum_{m_0,\dots,m_n} a_{m_0,\dots,m_n}^{(i)} x_0^{m_0} \dots x_n^{m_n}, \text{ then}$$
$$X^{\sigma} = (F_1^{\sigma} = \dots = F_r^{\sigma} = 0) \quad \text{where} \quad F_i^{\sigma} = \sum_{m_0,\dots,m_n} \sigma(a_{m_0,\dots,m_n}^{(i)}) x_0^{m_0} \dots x_n^{m_n}.$$

So while ideally we should try to ignore the field as much as possible, one cannot talk about projectivity without having a field in mind.

Now we can formulate the central problem of our work.

Main Question 1.2.7. Let K, L be fields and X_K, Y_L quasi-projective algebraic sets over K (resp. L). Let $\Phi : |X_K| \to |Y_L|$ be a homeomorphism.

Is Φ the composite of a field isomorphism $\varphi: K \xrightarrow{\sim} L$ and an algebraic isomorphism of *L*-varieties $X_L^{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_L$?

It turns out that in this generality the answer is negative. We start by listing the various reasons why we need restrictions on the fields and on the algebraic sets.

Negative examples

Example 1.2.8 (Dimension 0). An irreducible 0-dimensional *K*-variety consists of a single point. Thus its topology carries no information about the field *K*.

Example 1.2.9 (Dimension 1). The closed algebraic subsets of a 1-dimensional K-variety C are exactly the finite subsets. Thus the only topological information is the cardinality of |C|. It is easy to see that this cardinality is |K| if K is infinite and ω_0 if K is finite.

Example 1.2.10 (Normalization). Let us start with an example. The morphism $t \mapsto (t^2, t^3)$ gives a homeomorphism between the varieties \mathbb{A}^1_k and $(x^3 - y^2 = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^2_k$. It is however not an isomorphism since its inverse is $(x, y) \mapsto y/x$, but y/x is not a polynomial.

The notion of *normalization* was invented to eliminate such examples. It is probably best to think of normal varieties as those where Riemann's extension theorem applies. Thus a \mathbb{C} -variety X is normal iff the following holds.

• Let $U \subset X$ an open, algebraic subset and $g: U \to \mathbb{C}$ a regular function. Then g extends to a regular function defined at $x \in X \setminus U$ iff g is bounded in an open neighborhood (in the Euclidean topology) of x.

To be precise, the most relevant algebraic geometry notion here is not normalization, but *seminormalization*; see [Kol96, Sec.I.7.2] for its definition. We comment more about it in (1.3.4).

Example 1.2.11 (Choosing the wrong field). Let X be the \mathbb{R} -variety $(y_{n+1}^2 + 1 = 0) \subset |\mathbb{A}^{n+1}_{\mathbb{R}}|$. Despite appearances, the map $\Phi : |\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{C}}| \to |X|$ given by

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\mapsto(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\sqrt{-1})$$

is a homeomorphism. The explanation becomes clearer algebraically:

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \cong \mathbb{R}[y_1,\ldots,y_{n+1}]/(y_{n+1}^2+1).$$

Thus they have the same ideals, no matter which field we work over.

The solution is that we should always choose the largest possible field. We discuss this in (1.2.14).

Example 1.2.12 (Purely inseparable morphisms). Assume that $\operatorname{char} K = p$. Then the Frobenius endomorphism

$$(x_0:\cdots:x_n)\mapsto (x_0^p:\cdots:x_n^p)$$

is a homeomorphism of $|\mathbb{P}_K^n|$ to iteslf, but it is not an isomorphism since $\sqrt[p]{x}$ is not a polynomial. In a similar way, if L/K is a purely inseparable field extension then the identity map gives a homeomorphism $|\mathbb{P}_K^n| \sim |\mathbb{P}_L^m|$.

Thus in positive characteristic the best we can hope for is to get a positive answer up to purely inseparable morphisms and field extensions.

Example 1.2.13 (Surfaces over finite fields). These unexpected examples are from [WK81]. Let p, q be prime numbers and $K \supset \mathbb{F}_p, L \supset \mathbb{F}_q$ (possibly infinite) algebraic extensions. Then $|\mathbb{P}_K^2|$ and $|\mathbb{P}_L^2|$ are homeomorphic.

More generally, many (though not all) algebraic surfaces over such fields are homeomorphic to projective planes (see (9.3.1)).

Definition 1.2.14 (Geometric irreducibility). Let K be a field and $X = (F_1 = \cdots = F_r = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^n_K$ a projective, algebraic set. If L/K is a field extension then the same equations define a projective, algebraic set

$$X_L = (F_1 = \dots = F_r = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}_L^n$$

We say that a property of X holds *geometrically* if the property holds for X_L for every algebraic extension L/K. In most cases it is enough to check what happens when $L = \overline{K}$, an algebraic closure of K.

Consider, for example, a hypersurface X = (F = 0). Then X is irreducible iff F is a power of an irreducible polynomial; we may as well assume that F is irreducible.

Then X is geometrically irreducible if X_L is irreducible for every algebraic extension L/K. (The old literature, for example [Wei62], uses absolutely irreducible.) For example, $X = (x^2 + y^2 = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ is irreducible but

$$X_{\mathbb{C}} = (x + \sqrt{-1}y = 0) \cup (x - \sqrt{-1}y = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is reducible.

If char K = 0 and F is irreducible over K, then F either stays irreducible over L or it decomposes as the product of distinct factors. So X is geometrically irreducible iff F is irreducible over \overline{K} .

However, if char K = p > 0, then it can happen that we get factors with multiplicity p. For example, start with $K = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$ and the irreducible polynomial $F = x^p + ty^p$. Set $L = \mathbb{F}_p(s)$ where $s^p = t$. Over L we get that $x^p + ty^p = x^p + s^py^p = (x + sy)^p$.

We say that X is geometrically integral iff F is irreducible over \overline{K} . (This is a rare example where the algebraic terminology diverges from the geometric one.) For non-hypersurfaces, see [Har77, II.Exrc.3.15].

1.3. Main results

Our ultimate aim is to show that (1.2.8)–(1.2.13) are exceptional instances, and in other cases the topological space |X| determines X. The strongest result we have is the following, which may be viewed as a direct extension of (1.1.13) to algebraic varieties.

Theorem 1.3.1. Let K, L be fields of characteristic 0 and X_K, Y_L normal, projective, geometrically irreducible varieties over K (resp. L). Let $\Phi : |X_K| \to |Y_L|$ be a homeomorphism. Assume that

(1) $\dim X \ge 4$, or

(2) dim $X \ge 3$ and K, L are finitely generated field extensions of \mathbb{Q} , or

(3) dim $X \ge 2$ and K, L are uncountable.

Then Φ is the composite of a field isomorphism $\varphi : K \xrightarrow{\sim} L$ and an algebraic isomorphism of *L*-varieties $X_L^{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_L$.

What more can be true?

It is quite likely that (1.3.1) is only the first positive result. Below we list natural variants and generalizations. In all cases we try to state the strongest version that is consistent with the known examples. We list them in what we expect to be an increasing order of difficulty.

Speculation 1.3.2 (Dimension 2). Let K, L be fields of characteristic 0 and X_K, Y_L normal, proper, geometrically integral varieties of dimension ≥ 2 over K (resp. L). Let $\Phi : |X_K| \to |Y_L|$ be a homeomorphism.

Then Φ is the composite of a field isomorphism $\varphi: K \xrightarrow{\sim} L$ and an algebraic isomorphism of *L*-varieties $X_L^{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_L$.

Remark 1.3.3. In [KLOS20] (a preliminary version of this work which was mostly, but not entirely, superseded by it), we used methods similar to (but simpler than)

those we use here to prove the above statement when K and L are uncountable algebraically closed fields. The results we describe here use projectivity, but it seems more likely than not that they can be extended to the proper case.

Speculation 1.3.4 (Reducible varieties). Let K, L be fields of characteristic 0 and X_K, Y_L projective varieties over K (resp. L), all of whose irreducible components have dimension ≥ 2 . Let $\Phi : |X_K| \to |Y_L|$ be a homeomorphism.

Then Φ lifts uniquely to a homeomorphism of the normalizations $\overline{\Phi} : |\overline{X}_K| \to |\overline{Y}_L|$.

Remark 1.3.5. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the union of the 2-planes $X_1 := (x_1 = x_2 = 0)$ and $X_2 := (x_3 = x_4 = 0)$. They meet at the point (1:0:0:0:0). Choose $\Phi : |X| \to |X|$ to be the identity on X_1 and complex conjugation on X_2 . Then Φ is not the composite of a field isomorphism and an algebraic isomorphism. The 2 irreducible components dictate different field isomorphisms. This is the reason of the formulation of (1.3.4). It is, however, possible that such 0-dimensional intersections provide the only counter examples for seminormal schemes.

Speculation 1.3.6 (Unequal characteristics). Let K be a field of characteristic 0, L a field of characteristic > 0, and X_K, Y_L normal, projective, geometrically integral varieties of dimension ≥ 2 over K (resp. L). Then $|X_K|$ and $|Y_L|$ are not homeomorphic.

Remark 1.3.7. We prove in (8.7.13) that this holds if dim $X \ge 4$.

Speculation 1.3.8 (Quasi-projective varieties). Let K, L be fields of characteristic 0 and X_K, Y_L normal, geometrically integral varieties of dimension ≥ 2 over K (resp. L). Let $\Phi : |X_K| \to |Y_L|$ be a homeomorphism.

Then Φ is the composite of a field isomorphism $\varphi: K \xrightarrow{\sim} L$ and an algebraic isomorphism of *L*-varieties $X_L^{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_L$.

Remark 1.3.9. Already the affine algebraic geometry version of Theorem 1.1.13 seems much harder, even for n = 2.

The first thing we notice is that the 'natural' automorphism group is now infinite dimensional. For any polynomial $g(x) \in K[x]$,

$$(x,y) \mapsto (x,y+g(x))$$

is an automorphism of \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} that clearly maps algebraic curves to algebraic curves. The study of such groups of automorphisms is a fascinating subject, with many open problems, see [**Kra96**].

Our methods give some information about homeomorphisms of $|\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}|$. For example, homeomorphisms of $|\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}|$ map smooth rational curves to smooth rational curves.

A similar statement about $|\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}|$ is very strong, since in \mathbb{P}^2 the only smooth rational curves are lines and conics. However, $|\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}}|$ has many smooth rational curves, for example (y = f(x)) for any polynomial f(x), so we are still far from getting the desired result.

The situation is quite different for general affine schemes, see (9.6.1).

Speculation 1.3.10 (Positive characteristic). Let K, L be fields of characteristic > 0and X_K, Y_L normal, projective, geometrically integral varieties of dimension ≥ 2 over K (resp. L). Let $\Phi : |X_K| \to |Y_L|$ be a homeomorphism.

Assume that K, L are not algebraic over their prime field.

Then Φ is the composite of a field isomorphism $\varphi : K^{\text{ins}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^{\text{ins}}$ of their purely inseparable closures, and a purely inseparable algebraic morphism of L^{ins} -varieties $X_{L^{\text{ins}}}^{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_{L^{\text{ins}}}$.

Remark 1.3.11. As we noted in (1.2.13), there are many 2-dimensional counter examples if K, L are algebraic over their prime field. We did not succeed in making 3-dimensional counter examples, and we are not sure what to expect.

1.4. Scheme-theoretic formulation

Much of modern algebraic geometry is written using the language of *schemes*; a standard introduction is [Har77]. For the questions we are considering, the differences between the classical and the scheme theoretic do not matter, but it useful to know how to switch between the 2 versions.

Definition 1.4.1 (Varieties as schemes). Let $X = (|X|, \mathfrak{G}_X)$ be a quasi-projective algebraic set with its sheaf of regular functions. The scheme $X^{\text{sch}} = (|X^{\text{sch}}|, \mathfrak{G}_{X^{\text{sch}}})$ associated to it is obtained as follows.

- (1) The points of $|X^{\text{sch}}|$ are the closed, irreducible subsets $|Z| \subset |X|$. Let us denote the point corresponding to Z by η_Z . It is customary to identify a point $p \in |X|$ with η_p , and view |X| as a subset of $|X^{\text{sch}}|$. We refer to η_Z as the generic point of |Z|.
- (2) The closure of η_Z is $\bar{\eta}_Z := \{\eta_W : |W| \subset |Z|\}$. This defines a topology on the points of $|X^{\text{sch}}|$. We denote this topological space by $|X^{\text{sch}}|$. The subspace topology on |X| agrees with the previous topology |X|, thus |X| and $|X^{\text{sch}}|$ uniquely determine each other.
- (3) If $|U^{\text{sch}}| \subset |X^{\text{sch}}|$ is an open set then $|U| := |U^{\text{sch}}| \cap |X| \subset |X|$ is open and we set $\mathfrak{O}_{X^{\text{sch}}}(U) = \mathfrak{O}_X(U)$. Again, the sheaves $\mathfrak{O}_{X^{\text{sch}}}$ and \mathfrak{O}_X uniquely determine each other.

Scheme theory also studies much more general objects. The classical quasi-projective sets over k correspond to reduced schemes that are quasi-projective over k.

Convention 1.4.2. Since (X, \mathfrak{G}_X) and $(X^{\text{sch}}, \mathfrak{G}_{X^{\text{sch}}})$ determine each other, they are routinely identified in the algebraic geometry literature, and one simply writes X to denote a scheme.

We follow this practice and use X to denote a scheme. Thus it is a pair $X = (|X|, \mathfrak{O}_X)$ where |X| is the underlying Zariski topological space and \mathfrak{O}_X its sheaf of rings.

The scheme theoretic version of (1.3.1) is the following. Its advantage is that the fields do not play a role in its formulation, and so 'geometric irreducibility' is replaced by the simpler 'irreducibility' assumption.

Theorem 1.4.3. Let X and Y be normal, projective, irreducible schemes over fields of characteristic 0. Let $\Phi : |X| \xrightarrow{\sim} |Y|$ be a homeomorphism. Assume that

- (1) dim $X \ge 4$, or
- (2) dim $X \ge 3$ and the fields are finitely generated field extensions of \mathbb{Q} , or
- (3) dim $X \ge 2$ and the fields are uncountable.

Then Φ extends to an isomorphism $\Phi^{\operatorname{sch}} : X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ of schemes.

1.5. Organization of the book

(1.3.1) is the culmination of several reconstruction results proved in [**KLOS20**, **Kol20**]. Here in the introduction we highlight a few main results. The reader wanting a more complete description of our results and outline of the text is advised to read this introduction along with the individual chapter introductions.

Our approach in this book naturally breaks into two parts:

- Reconstruction of X from |X| together with the additional information of the linear equivalence relation on divisors.
- Reconstruction of linear equivalence from |X|.

We briefly describe each of these two parts.

Reconstruction of X from |X| and its divisorial structure

Recall that a (Weil) divisor on a variety is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of irreducible closed subsets of codimension 1. Since "irreducible closed subset of codimension 1" is a purely topological notion (the codimension 1 irreducible closed subsets being the maximal proper ones), the group of Weil divisors on X is determined by |X|. However, the linear equivalence relation on the group of divisors depends, a priori, on more than just |X|.

The *divisorial structure* of X is the topological space |X| together with the linear equivalence relation \sim on the group of Weil divisors of X. Our main reconstruction result for varieties together with the divisorial structure is as follows.

Theorem 1.5.1. Let K, L be fields and let X_K, Y_L be normal, proper, geometrically integral varieties over K (resp. L). Let $\Phi : |X_K| \to |Y_L|$ be a homeomorphism such that for D_1, D_2 effective divisors on X, $\Phi(D_1) \sim \Phi(D_2)$ if and only if $D_1 \sim D_2$. Assume that

- (1) either K is infinite and $\dim X \ge 2$,
- (2) or K is a finite field of cardinality > 2 and dim $X \ge 3$,
- (3) or $K \cong \mathbb{F}_2$, dim $X \ge 3$, and X is Cohen-Macaulay.

Then Φ is the composite of a field isomorphism $\varphi : K \to L$ and an algebraic isomorphism of *L*-varieties $X_L^{\varphi} \to Y_L$.

Reconstruction of divisorial structure from |X|

Quite surprisingly, over fields of characteristic 0 one can often recover the linear equivalence relation on divisors from the topological space |X|. Our main result in this regard is the following, which is a slightly simplified version of (8.9.13) below.

Theorem 1.5.2. Let k be a field of characteristic 0 and X/k a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible k-variety. Assume that

(1) dim $X \ge 4$, or

- (2) dim $X \ge 3$ and k is a finitely generated field extension of \mathbb{Q} , or
- (3) dim $X \ge 2$ and k is uncountable.

Then |X| determines linear equivalence of divisors.

Remark 1.5.3. Here is a very rough idea why small or very large fields help us. Assume that f is a rational function on a variety X, and we know its zero set $Z_0 := (f = 0)$ and its polar set $Z_{\infty} := (f = \infty)$. Note that if $g^n = c \cdot f^m$ for some $c \in k^{\times}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then g and f has the same zero and polar sets. If Z_0 and Z_{∞} are irreducible, then the converse also holds. Thus we are in a better situation if there are many rational functions with irreducible zero and polar sets.

If dim $X \ge 2$ then Bertini's theorem guarantees that almost every rational function is such. If dim X = 1 and k is algebraically closed, there may not be any such functions. However, if k is a finitely generated field extension of \mathbb{Q} , then Hilbert's irreducibility theorem guarantees that there are many such functions.

We need to apply such considerations not to the original variety X, but in the following setting:

• $C \subset X$ is a curve, $Y \subset X$ is an irreducible subvariety to which the above considerations apply, and $C \cap Y$ is a single point.

Except in rare instances, for instance when $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, this can be arranged only if $\dim X > 1 + \dim Y$.

Such considerations lead to the notion of *Bertini-Hilbert dimension* of a field (which is either 1 or 2; see (8.6.5)). Then the assumptions (1.3.1(1)) and (1.3.1(2)) can be weakened to requiring that the dimension of X be greater than 1 + BH(k).

Finally, our real problem is when the zero and polar sets have 'unexpected' irreducible components. In algebraic geometry it is usually easy to show that 'unexpected' things can happen in only countably many ways. So over uncountable fields, most functions do not behave in 'unexpected' ways.

In combination with (1.5.1), this yields (1.3.1).

Correspondingly, the book is broadly organized into two parts. In the first part, consisting of Chapters 2 through 5, we prove (1.5.1) by observing that the divisorial structure lets us define linear systems of effective divisors, reconstructing the projective structure on linear systems using variants of the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry, and then reconstructing rings of functions using these linear systems. In Chapters 7 and 8, we deduce (1.3.1) from Theorem 1.5.1 by first reconstructing a weaker equivalence relation for divisors purely from the topology, then using that to reconstruct various types of geometric data, and finally reconstructing the usual linear equivalence relation for divisors. Beforehand, in Chapter 6, we give a simpler argument following a similar strategy for varieties over an uncountable algebraically closed field and also collect various results about pencils that are used in that chapter and subsequent ones.

Chapter 9 includes complements, counterexamples, and conjectures: a topological Gabriel theorem, various types of schemes for which results of the type we describe here fail, and several questions and conjectures about extensions of our results to larger classes of schemes and positive characteristic.

Ancillary results are collected in appendices. These are mostly known but are included as we found it hard to find references for the precise statements that we need. The reader may wish to consult the appendices only as needed while reading the main parts of the book.

The first appendix recalls the definitions and basic properties of locally finite, Mordell-Weil, anti-Mordell-Weil and Hilbertian fields. This appendix is included at the end of Chapter 7, where these notions are first used. The second appendix, which appears at the end of Chapter 8, we introduce the notion of weakly Hilbertian fields, (8.10.1). This notion is new and may be of independent interest. Chapter 10 contains various background material which is used in the book, but follows more standard algebraic geometry terminology. In Sections 10.1 and 10.2 we summarize properties of complete intersections and various Bertini-type theorems. The theory of the Picard group, Picard variety, and Albanese variety is recalled in Section 10.3. The literature is much less complete about the class group and its scheme version, which does not even seem to have a name. Basic results on commutative algebraic groups and the multiplicative group of Artin algebras are studied in Section 10.4.

1.6. Remarks on existing literature

This work has its origins in trying to understand the derived category of coherent sheaves on an algebraic variety and to what extent it determines the variety [LO21]. While this project took quite a different direction, and the work in this book is not directly related to derived categories of coherent sheaves, this idea of categorical invariants determining a variety nonetheless provided significant inspiration. The most classical example of such categorical reconstruction results is the following theorem [Gab62, Ros98].

Theorem 1.6.1 (Gabriel-Rosenberg). A quasi-separated scheme is determined by its associated abelian category QCoh(X) of quasi-coherent sheaves.

Note that in the case when X is a finite type scheme over a field k the category of quasi-coherent sheaves captures the information of the topological space |X|. Indeed, the subcategory of coherent sheaves $\operatorname{Coh}(X)$ can be identified with the finitely presented objects of $\operatorname{QCoh}(X)$, and the skyscraper sheaves of points can be identified in $\operatorname{Coh}(X)$ as the objects $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$ having the property that any non-zero epimorphism $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ is an isomorphism. Thus from $\operatorname{QCoh}(X)$ we can recover the set of closed points of X. Furtermore, for an object $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$ we can characterize its support among the closed points as those points for which the associated skyscaper sheaf admits an epimorphism from \mathcal{F} . Using this we can therefore recover the Zariski topology on the closed points, and therefore also the entire Zariski topological space |X|. The results of this book imply that in many instances there is no loss of information in passing from $\operatorname{QCoh}(X)$ to |X|.

Another variant direction one can consider is the problem of reconstructing the function field, or equivalently the birational equivalence class, of a variety from other data. This is a very natural problem to consider in the context of derived categories of coherent sheaves (see for example [LO21, §4]. In particular, we mention the program of Bogomolov and Tschinkel [BT11, BT13, BT12, BT09, BRT19]. While this work is in the context of Grothendieck's anabelian geometry, and the work here has a somewhat different emphasis, the ideas presented here are very much extensions of those of the Bogomolov-Tschinkel program. The core idea in this work is to notice that if K is the function field of a variety over a field k then K^*/k^* has the structure of a projective space (infinite dimensional) and a group, and this structure contains a significant amount of information about K. In particular, the Bogomolov-Tschinkel program relates this structure to Galois theory. The referenced articles contain many results in this direction. Here we just mention one of them to give the flavor:

Theorem 1.6.2 (Theorem 1 of [**BT11**]). Let K be a function field of transcendence degree at least 2 over the algebraic closure k of a finite field, and let ℓ be a prime invertible in k. Let \mathcal{G}_K be the pro- ℓ -completion of the absolute Galois group of K, and let \mathcal{G}_K^c be the quotient of \mathcal{G}_K by the second step of the descending central series, so we have an extension

$$1 \to [\mathfrak{G}_K, \mathfrak{G}_K]/[\mathfrak{G}_K, [\mathfrak{G}_K, \mathfrak{G}_K]] \to \mathfrak{G}_K^c \to \mathfrak{G}_K^a \to 1,$$

where \mathfrak{S}_{K}^{a} is the abelianization of \mathfrak{S}_{K} . Then \mathfrak{S}_{K}^{c} , as a pro- ℓ -group, determines the pair (K, k).

Remark 1.6.3. Note that the group \mathscr{G}_{K}^{a} is closely related to K^{*} via Kummer theory. In fact, in loc. cit. the formulation is in terms of \mathscr{G}_{K}^{a} and certain subgroups, which can be recovered from \mathscr{G}_{K}^{c} .

The consideration of K^*/k^* as above also naturally leads to studying reconstruction of functions fields from Milnor K-theory. This has been done by Bogomolov and Tschinkel [**BT09**] as well as Cadoret and Pirutka [**CP18**]. A fundamental result in this direction is the following:

Theorem 1.6.4 (Theorem 4 of [**BT09**]). Let K be a function field of transcendence degree ≥ 2 over an algebraically closed field k. Then (K, k) is determined by the first and second Milnor K-groups of K.

More refined results, including results over non-closed fields are obtained in [**CP18**]. Instead of Milnor K-theory one might also naturally consider Galois cohomology. This direction was pursued by Topaz in [**Top17**, **Top16**]

All of the results mentioned, as well as the work in this book, are focused on dimensions ≥ 2 . In [**BKT10**] Bogomolov, Korotiaev, and Tschinkel formulated a conjecture for curves over an algebraically closed field. Namely, if *C* is a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field *k* then one can consider the data $(J(k), P(k), i : C(k) \hookrightarrow P(k))$, consisting of:

- (i) The k-points J(k) of the Jacobian of C an abelian group.
- (ii) The set P(k) of isomorphism classes of degree 1 line bundles on C a set with a simply transitive action of J(k).
- (iii) The subset $i : C(k) \hookrightarrow P(k)$ given by sending a point to the class of its associated line bundle.

The conjecture is that the data $(J(k), P(k), i : C(k) \hookrightarrow P(k))$ determine (C, k). Using deep results in model theory, Zilber addressed this conjecture in [Zil14]. Our own lack of expertise in model theory has rendered us unable to understand the proof. It would be very interesting to understand the situation for curves solely using algebraic geometry.

Remark 1.6.5. As we explain in (4.3.2), the assumption that k is algebraically closed is necessary. This is model-theoretically reasonable (in the sense that the model theory of algebraically closed fields is far more tractable than that of other fields), but we believe that an algebro-geometric proof of the curve case could also illuminate what is truly required of the base field for this to be true.

Related to this is also the work of Borel and Tits [**BT73**] showing that in certain cases homomorphisms between the k-points of algebraic groups are induced by homomorphisms of Lie groups (group schemes).

1. INTRODUCTION

Another direction that has been fruitfully studied concerns reconstruction results for the étale topos. In particular, we note the work of Voevodsky, including the following.

Theorem 1.6.6 (Corollary 3.1 of [**Voe90**]). Let K be a field finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} and let X and Y be normal finite type K-schemes. If there exists an equivalence $X_{\text{\acute{e}t}} \simeq Y_{\text{\acute{e}t}}$ of étale topoi over $(\operatorname{Spec}(K))_{\text{\acute{e}t}}$ then X and Y are isomorphic (as K-schemes).

In fact, Voevodsky proves stronger results concerning morphisms of schemes, and not just isomorphisms, and also results in low dimensions; for example, in dimension 0 (giving back the Ikeda–Iwasawa–Neukirch–Pop–Uchida theorems [**Pop94**]) and dimension 1.

Related to Voevodsky's work on the étale topos is the work of Barwick, Glasman, and Haine on exodromy [**BGH18**], which yields a reconstruction of the étale topos from a category consisting of points together with étale specializations. This work builds on work of Lurie [**Lur17**, Appendix A].

1.7. Remarks on Terminology and Notation

At the end of the text we have included indices of terminology and notation. We highlight here a few items of particular importance in the text.

Varieties and schemes

As mentioned in Section 1.4, in the writing of this book a choice had to be made in the basic language of algebraic geometry. Since we are primarily interested in quasiprojective varieties over a field, we have chosen to mostly use the classical terminology of varieties. Of course, the reader who wishes can make the translation to the language of schemes. Specifically, we make the convention (which follows other standard treatments such as [**Sta15**, Tag 020D]) that for a field K a variety over K, sometimes called a K-variety, is an integral scheme X over K such that the structure morphism $f : X \to \text{Spec}(K)$ is separated and of finite type. Occasionally, we will need to work with possibly non-reduced or reducible schemes, such as when considering zero-loci of hyperplane sections of a projective variety, in which case we use the scheme-theoretic language.

The language of varieties, while perhaps making the material accessible to a wider audience, has a drawback when considering morphisms. A morphism $f: X \to Y$ of K-varieties X and Y is a morphism of schemes over K. We will often have occasion to consider morphisms between varieties defined over different fields. If X is a variety over a field K and Y is a variety over a field L and $\varphi: L \to K$ is an isomorphism of fields, then a φ -linear morphism of varieties $\alpha: X \to Y$ is a morphism of schemes fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} X \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y \\ \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Spec}(K) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{Spec}(L) \end{array}$$

Equivalently, if $Y^{\varphi} := Y \times_{\text{Spec}(L)} \text{Spec}(K)$ then α is a morphism of K-varieties $X \to Y^{\varphi}$.

In a few places we will also need to consider morphisms $f : X \to Y$ between the underlying schemes, in which case we say that f is a morphism of schemes. That is, if

X and *Y* are *K*-varieties then a morphism of varieties $X \to Y$ is a *K*-linear morphism of schemes whereas a morphism of schemes $X \to Y$ is a morphism of schemes, without reference to the ground field.

Projective spaces

Let k be a field and V a finite dimensional k-vector space.

Following the convention established by Grothendieck, in algebraic geometry the projective space associated to V—denoted by $\mathbb{P}(V)$ —is a k-variety whose k-points correspond to 1-dimensional **quotients** of V. It has a Zariski topology and a sheaf of functions. We write simply \mathbb{P}_k^n to denote n-dimensional projective space as a variety over k.

In classical projective geometry, the projective k-space associated to V is usually the set of 1-dimensional subspaces of V, together with its linear subspaces. We use P(V) to denote the set of 1-dimensional **subspaces** of V, together with its set of lines. We call it *discrete projective space* over k if we aim to emphasize the distinction. As sets, $\mathbb{P}(V^{\vee})(k)$ and P(V) are naturally in bijection.

It is unfortunate that the natural interpretations of projective space, as classifying either quotients or subspaces, differ depending on the point of view. In algebraic geometry the perspective of quotients is more natural, whereas in classical projective geometry or other parts of geometry subspaces are preferable. We opt for the above conventions, which reflects the natural perspective in different contexts, rather than choosing a particular option which would make certain sections of the book notationally difficult.

At first sight, going from $\mathbb{P}(V^{\vee})$ to $\mathbf{P}(V)$ loses a lot of information. The structure sheaf is gone and we keep only the lines from the large collection of subvarieties. Nonetheless, (1.1.13) says that we can recover $\mathbb{P}(V^{\vee})$ from $\mathbf{P}(V)$.

Linear systems

The literature is very inconsistent about linear systems, both conceptually and notationally. Some of the differences are quite important for us, so we set down our conventions.

Let X be a normal, geometrically integral variety over a field k, and let \mathcal{L} be a rank 1, reflexive sheaf on X. Assume that X is proper, or at least $H^0(X, \mathbb{O}_X) = k$.

1.7.1 (Complete linear systems). The *complete linear system* associated to \mathscr{L} is usually denoted by $|\mathscr{L}|$. However, in the literature this notation is used for 3—closely related but different—objects. We distinguish between them as follows.

- (i) $|\mathcal{L}|^{\text{var}}$ is the *k*-variety $\mathbb{P}_k(H^0(X, \mathcal{L})^{\vee})$.
- (ii) $|\mathscr{L}|$ is the set of k-points of $|\mathscr{L}|^{\text{var}}$, viewed as a discrete projective k-space in the sense of classical projective geometry. That is, $|\mathscr{L}|$ is a set together with the additional data of the set of lines.
- (iii) $|\mathcal{L}|^{\text{set}}$ is the set of *k*-points of $|\mathcal{L}|^{\text{var}}$, with no additional structure.

We can also define $|\mathcal{L}|^{\text{set}}$ as

- (iii') nonzero sections of $\mathcal L$ modulo k^{\times} -scalars, or
- (iii'') the set of effective Weil divisors D on X such that $\mathscr{L} \cong \mathfrak{G}_X(D)$.

Note that (iii") is sometimes given as the definition, but in the literature this almost always means (ii). That is, the discrete projective k-space structure is tacitly understood.

There is usually very little danger of confusion if one considers (i) and (ii) the 'same' and authors usually switch between them without mention. We will follow this practice and use $|\mathcal{L}|$ to denote either of these versions, unless the distinction is important.

In many cases the context dictates which variant one means. For example, when one says that the linear system defines a rational map $X \dashrightarrow |\mathscr{L}|^{\vee}$, then $|\mathscr{L}|^{\vee}$ must denote the dual of $|\mathscr{L}|^{\text{var}}$, as a *k*-variety.

However, the main theme of our treatment is that if we are given the set $|\mathscr{L}|^{\text{set}}$ for every \mathscr{L} , then we can recover their projective *k*-space structures. So using a different notation for the underlying set is very important for us.

If D is a Weil divisor, it is standard to write |D| for $|\mathfrak{G}_X(D)|$; we also use $|D|^{\text{set}}$ for $|\mathfrak{G}_X(D)|^{\text{set}}$. Unfortunately, the notation |D| is also used for incomplete linear systems; we discuss this issue next.

1.7.2 (Linear systems). Incomplete linear systems are linear subspaces of complete linear systems. Again the variants (1.7.1 (i)–(iii)) are used usually interchangeably.

Here the classical notation is usually |D|, the reader is expected to figure out whether this means the complete linear system or not. The book [Har77, Sec.II.7] uses ϑ to denote not necessarily complete linear systems, but this is not in widespread use, and not easily adaptable for linear systems like |A| or |B|.

We believe that the following conventions are used in most of the literature.

- (i) $|\mathcal{L}|$ denotes the complete linear system for a rank 1, reflexive sheaf \mathcal{L} .
- (ii) *Pencils*, that is, linear systems of dimension 1, are not assumed complete.
- (iii) If one gives first a divisor D, then after that |D| is supposed to be complete. This applies especially to statements like "Let D be a ... divisor, then |mD| is ... for $m \gg 1$."
- (iv) If one starts with a linear system |D| (where D was not previously named), it is allowed to be incomplete.

In questionable cases we will try to clarify whether we use complete or not necessarily complete linear systems, but decades of bad habits are hard to break.

Warning 1.7.3. Let X be a proper, normal, irreducible variety over a field k such that $K = H^0(X, \mathbb{O}_X) \neq k$. In this case the sheaf-theoretic and the divisor-theoretic definitions of linear systems are in serious conflict.

For a line bundle \mathcal{L} , the natural definition is

$$|\mathscr{L}| := \left(H^0(X, \mathscr{L}) \setminus \{0\} \right) / k^{\times}$$

However, two sections determine the same divisor D if and only if they differ by multiplication by K^{\times} . So the natural thing is to set

$$|D| := \left(H^0(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(D)) \setminus \{0\} \right) / K^{\times}.$$

Thus $|D| \neq |\mathfrak{G}_X(D)|$. They are over different fields and in fact

$$\dim |\mathfrak{G}_X(D)| = \deg(K/k) \cdot \dim |D|$$

Our main results are about geometrically integral varieties, so we do not need to worry about this discrepancy. However, we do restrict divisors to subvarieties that need not be geometrically integral. Then we naturally end up in |D| not in $|\mathbb{O}_X(D)|$, and care must be taken in regards to this distinction.

1.8. Acknowledgments

During the work on this book, Kollár was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1901855; Lieblich was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1600813 and DMS-1901933 and a Simons Foundation Fellowship; Olsson was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1601940 and DMS-1902251; Sawin served as a Clay Research Fellow and was supported by NSF grant DMS-2101491. Part of this work was done while JK, ML, and MO visited the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, whose support is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Jarod Alper, Giulia Battiston, Daniel Bragg, Charles Godfrey, Johan de Jong, Kristin DeVleming, and Joe Waldron for helpful conversations, Hans Kloss and Stanisław Kolicki for early inspiration, and Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel for enlightening discussions about earlier versions of this paper. Thanks to Noga Alon for pointing out the connection with linearity testing in (2.3.2).

We received help from J.-L. Colliot-Thèléne and M. Larsen with algebraic tori, B. Poonen with Bertini and Noether-Lefschetz theorems, J. Silverman with Néron's theorem, K. Smith with discrete valuation rings and C. Voisin with Noether-Lefschetz theorems; we are grateful to all of them. In preparing his Bourbaki Seminar talk $[\check{C}21]$ on some of this material, Kestutis Česnavičius gave us many comments, corrections and questions. These directly led to numerous clarifications and improvements to the manuscript.

CHAPTER 2

The fundamental theorem of projective geometry and variants

In this section, we prove two strengthenings of the classical Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry, which states that linearity of a map of projective spaces can be detected simply by the preservation of lines. Our strengthenings have to do with assuming only that general lines (either a Zariski open set – over infinite base fields – or a suitably high fraction of lines – over finite base fields) are known to be mapped to lines. This arises in the following way in Chapter 3. If X is a projective variety over a field k and \mathscr{L} is an ample invertible sheaf then we can characterize certain lines in $|\mathscr{L}|$ purely from the topological space |X|. Namely, viewing $|\mathscr{L}|^{\text{set}}$ as the set of effective divisors D in X whose associated line bundle is isomorphic to \mathscr{L} we define for a closed subset $Z \subset |X|$ the set $V(Z) \subset |\mathscr{L}|^{\text{set}}$ to be the effective divisors D which contain Z. This is a linear subspace, and Bertini's theorems imply that this construction defines many lines, but also naturally leads us to consider situations where the dimension of V(Z) is larger than expected.

The main results of this chapter are (2.1.5) (and its variant (2.2.1)) over infinite fields, and (2.3.1) over finite fields.

2.1. The fundamental theorem of definable projective geometry

Here we discuss a variant of the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry in which one only knows distinguished subsets of "definable" lines in the projective structures and one still wishes to produce a semilinear isomorphism between the underlying vector spaces that induces the isomorphism on a dense open subset. In Section 3.3 and Chapter 4 we explain how to use this theory to reconstruct varieties.

For P(V) the abstract projective space arising from a vector space V as in !!, we denote the set of lines in P(V) by Gr(1, P(V)). Both P(V) and Gr(1, P(V)) may be endowed with Zariski topologies, in the classical sense of the Zariski topology on the k-points of a variety.

Definition 2.1.1. A *definable projective space* is a triple (k, V, U) consisting of an infinite field k, a k-vector space V, and a subset $U \subset Gr(1, \mathbf{P}(V))$ which contains a dense Zariski-open subset of the space $Gr(1, \mathbf{P}(V))$ of lines in the projective space $\mathbf{P}(V)$. The *dimension* of (k, V, U) is defined to be

$$\dim(k, V, U) := \dim_k V - 1.$$

In other words, a definable projective space is a projective space together with a collection of lines that are declared "definable" subject to some conditions.

Definition 2.1.2. Let k be a field and V a k-vector space. The *sweep* of a subset $U \subset \operatorname{Gr}(1, \mathbf{P}(V))(k)$, denoted $S_U(\mathbf{P}(V))$ is the set of $p \in \mathbf{P}(V)$ that lie on some line parametrized by U.

2.1.3. Let (k, V, U) be a definable projective space. Then there exists a maximal subset $U^{\circ} \subset U$ which is a Zariski open subset of $\operatorname{Gr}(1, \mathbf{P}(V))$. Furthermore, (k, V, U°) is again a definable projective space. This is immediate from the definition.

Example 2.1.4. Fix a projective k-variety $(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(1))$ of dimension d at least 2. Given a closed subset $Z \subset X$, we can associate the subspace $V(Z) \subset |\mathfrak{G}(1)|$ of divisors that contain Z. The lines of the form V(Z) give a subset of $Gr(1, |\mathfrak{G}(1)|)$ (see Section 3.3). These are the definable lines we will consider.

The main goal of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1.5. Suppose (k_1, V_1, U_1) and (k_2, V_2, U_2) are finite-dimensional definable projective spaces of dimension at least 2. Given an injection $\varphi : \mathbf{P}(V_1) \to \mathbf{P}(V_2)$ that induces an inclusion $\lambda : U_1 \to U_2$, there is an isomorphism $\sigma : k_1 \to k_2$ and a σ -linear injective map of vector spaces $\psi : V_1 \to V_2$ such that $\mathbf{P}(\psi)$ agrees with φ on a Zariskidense open subset of $\mathbf{P}(V_1)$ containing the sweep of (k_1, V_1, U_1°) .

Remark 2.1.6. In (2.1.5) we can without loss of generality assume that

$$U_2 = \operatorname{Gr}(1, \mathbf{P}(V_2))(k).$$

However, we prefer to formulate it as above to make it a statement about definable projective spaces.

Remark 2.1.7. If either the dimensions of V_1 and V_2 are equal or we assume that $\lambda(U_1^\circ) \subset \operatorname{Gr}(1, \mathbf{P}(V_2))$ is dense, then the map ψ is an isomorphism. In the case when the dimensions are equal this is immediate, and in the second case observe that if $V_1 \otimes_{k_1,\sigma} k_2 \subsetneq V_2$ is a proper subspace then there exists a dense open subset $W \subset \operatorname{Gr}(1, \mathbf{P}(V_2))$ of lines which are not in the image of $\mathbf{P}(\psi)$, contradicting our assumption that $\mathbf{P}(\psi)(U_1^\circ) = \lambda(U_1^\circ)$ is dense.

Remark 2.1.8. Observe that two lines in a projective space are coplanar if and only if they intersect in a unique point. This enables us to describe the map $P(\psi)$ as follows. Let $U' \subset U_1$ be any dense Zariski open subset of $Gr(1, P(V_1))$, and let $P \in P(V_1)$ be a point. Choose any line $\ell \subset P(V_1)$ corresponding to a point of U' and not containing P (this is possible since U' is an open subset of $Gr(1, P(V_1))$), and let $Q, R \in \ell$ be two distinct points. Let $L_{P,Q}$ (resp. $L_{P,R}$) be the line through P and Q (resp. P and R), and choose points $S \in L_{P,Q} - \{P,Q\}$ and $T \in L_{P,R} - \{P,R\}$ such that the line $L_{S,T}$ through S and T is also given by a point of U' (it is possible to choose such S and T since U' is an open set). The lines $L_{S,T}$ and $L_{Q,R} = \ell$ are then coplanar and therefore intersect in a unique point E. It follows that $\varphi(L_{S,T})$ and $\varphi(L_{Q,R})$, which are lines since $L_{S,T}$ and $L_{Q,R}$ are definable, are coplanar since they intersect in $\varphi(E)$. It follows that the lines in $P(V_2)$ given by $L_{\varphi(Q),\varphi(T)}$ and $L_{\varphi(S),\varphi(R)}$ are coplanar and consequently intersect in a unique point, which is $P(\psi)$.

This description will play an important role in Section 2.3 below.

Proof of (2.1.5). This proof is very similar to the proof due to Emil Artin in the classical case, as described by Jacobson in [**Jac85**, Section 8.4].

We may without loss of generality assume that $U_1 = U_1^{\circ}$.

Let us begin by showing the existence of the isomorphism of fields $\sigma: k_1 \rightarrow k_2$. The construction will be in several steps.

First we set up some basic notation. Let V be a vector space over a field k. For a nonzero element $v \in V$ let $[v] \in \mathbf{P}(V)$ denote the point given by the line spanned by v. For $P \in \mathbf{P}(V)$ write $\ell_P \subset V$ for the line corresponding to P, and for two distinct points $P, Q \in \mathbf{P}(V)$ write $L_{P,Q} \subset \mathbf{P}(V)$ for the projective line connecting P and Q. If P = [v] and Q = [w] then $L_{P,Q}$ corresponds to the 2-dimensional subspace of V given by

$$\operatorname{Span}(v, w) := \{av + bw | a, b \in k\}.$$

If $L \subset \mathbf{P}(V)$ is a line and $P, Q, R \in L$ are three pairwise distinct points then there is a unique k-linear isomorphism $L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ sending P to 0, Q to 1, and R to ∞ . For a collection of data $(L, \{P, Q, R\})$ we therefore have a canonical identification

$$\epsilon^{P,Q,R}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} L - \{R\}.$$

In the case when $L = L_{[v],[w]}$ for two non-colinear vectors $v, w \in V - \{0\}$ we take P = [v], Q = [v + w], and R = [w]. Then the identification of k with $L - \{R\}$ is given by

$$a \mapsto v + aw.$$

Suppose given $(L, \{P, Q, R\})$ as above, and fix a basis vector $v_P \in \ell_P$. Then one sees that there exists a unique basis vector $v_R \in \ell_R$ such that $[v_P + v_R] = Q$. This observation enables us to relate the maps $\epsilon^{P,Q,R}$ for different lines as follows.

Consider a second line L' passing through P and equipped with two additional points $\{S, T\}$, and let $a, b \in k - \{0\}$ be two scalars. We can then consider the two lines

$$L_{T,R}, L_{\epsilon^{P,Q,R}(a),\epsilon^{P,S,T}(b)},$$

which will intersect in some point

$$\{O\} = L_{T,R} \cap L_{\epsilon^{P,Q,R}(a),\epsilon^{P,S,T}(b)}.$$

The situation is summarized in the following picture, where to ease notation we write simply *a* (resp. *b*) for $\epsilon^{P,Q,R}(a)$ (resp. $\epsilon^{P,S,T}(b)$):



If we fix a basis element $v_P \in \ell_P$ we get by the above observation a basis vector v_Q (resp. v_R , v_S , v_T) for ℓ_Q (resp. ℓ_R , ℓ_S , ℓ_T), which in turn gives an identification

$$\epsilon^{[v_T],[v_T+v_R],[v_R]}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{T,R} - \{R\}.$$

An elementary calculation then shows that

$$O = \epsilon^{[v_T], [v_T + v_R], [v_R]} (-a/b).$$

In particular, if a = b then the point O is independent of the choice of a, and furthermore it follows from the construction that O is also independent of the choice of the basis element v_P . Consider now a definable projective space (k, V, U), and let $L_0 \subset \mathbf{P}(V)$ be a definable line with three points $P, Q, R \in L$. Fix $a \in k$ so we have a point

$$\epsilon^{P,Q,R}(a) \in L_0.$$

Let M_P denote the variety classifying data $(L, \{S, T\})$, where L is a line through Pand $\{S, T\}$ is a set of two additional points on L such that P, S, and T are all distinct. The variety M_P has the following description. The point P corresponds to a line $\ell_P \subset V$ and the set of lines passing through P is given by $\mathbb{P}(V/\ell_P)$. If $\mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{P}(V/\ell_P)$ denotes the universal line in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ passing through P then there is an open immersion

$$M_P \subset \mathscr{L} \times_{\mathbb{P}(V/\ell_P)} \mathscr{L},$$

whence M_P is smooth, geometrically connected, and rational. Since k is infinite it follows that the k-points of M_P are dense.

Lemma 2.1.9. Fix $a \in k$. There exist a nonempty open subset $U_{P,a} \subset M_P$ such that if $(L, \{S, T\})$ is a line through P with two points corresponding to a point of $U_{P,a}$ then the lines

$$(2.1.9.1) L_{P,T}, L_{T,R}, L_{\epsilon^{P,Q,R}(a),\epsilon^{P,S,T}(a)}$$

are all definable.

Proof. We may without loss of generality assume that $U = U^{\circ}$.

Let $Q_0 \in M_P$ denote the point corresponding to $(L_0, \{Q, R\})$. The procedure of assigning one of the lines in (2.1.9.1) to a pointed line $(L, \{S, T\})$ is a map

$$q: M_P \to \operatorname{Gr}(1, \mathbf{P}(V)).$$

Note that the image of this map contains the point corresponding to the line L_0 , and therefore the inverse image $q^{-1}(U)$ is nonempty. Since M_P is integral it follows that the intersection of the preimages of U under the three maps defined by (2.1.9.1) is nonempty.

A variant of the above lemma is the following, which we will use below.

Lemma 2.1.10. With notation as in (2.1.9), let $P, Q \in \mathbf{P}(V)$ be two points in the sweep of U° . Then there exists a definable line L_P through P and a definable line L_Q through Q such that L_P and L_Q intersect in a point R.

Proof. Let $N_P \subset \operatorname{Gr}(1, \mathbf{P}(V))$ denote the set of lines through P, so $N_P \simeq \mathbf{P}(V/\ell_P)$ for the line $\ell_P \subset V$ corresponding to P. Let $\mathcal{L} \to N_P$ denote the universal line through P, and let $s : N_P \to \mathcal{L}$ denote the tautological section. Then the natural map

$$\mathscr{L} - \{s(N_P)\} \to \mathbf{P}(V) - \{P\}$$

is an isomorphism, since any two distinct points lie on a unique line. The set of points of $\mathbf{P}(V) - \{P\}$ which can be connected to P by a line given by a point of U° is under this isomorphism identified with the preimage of $U^{\circ} \cap N_P$. In particular, this set is nonempty and open. It follows that the set of points of $\mathbf{P}(V)(k)$ which can be connected to both P and Q by lines given by points of U° is the intersection of two dense open subsets, and therefore is nonempty.

With these preparations we can now proceed with the proof of (2.1.5). With the notation of that theorem, let us first define the map $\sigma : k_1 \to k_2$. Choose a definable

line $L_0 \subset \mathbf{P}(V_1)$ together with three points $P, Q, R \in L_0$ such that $\varphi(L_0) \subset \mathbf{P}(V_2)$ is also a definable line. We then get a map

$$k_1 \xrightarrow{\epsilon^{P,Q,R}} L_0 - \{R\} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \varphi(L_0) - \{\varphi(R)\} \xrightarrow{\left(\epsilon^{\varphi(P),\varphi(Q),\varphi(R)}\right)^{-1}} k_2$$

which we temporarily denote by $\sigma^{(L_0, \{P, Q, R\})}$.

Claim 2.1.11. The map $\sigma^{(L_0, \{P, Q, R\})}$ is independent of $(L_0, \{P, Q, R\})$.

Proof. Let $(L'_0, \{P', Q', R'\})$ be a second definable line with three points. Given $a \in k_1$, we will show that

$$\sigma^{(L_0,\{P,Q,R\})}(a) = \sigma^{(L'_0,\{P',Q',R'\})}(a)$$

From the definition, we see that this holds for a = 0 and a = 1, so we assume that $a \neq 0$ in what follows. First consider the case when P = P'. By (2.1.9) we can find a line L with two points $\{S, T\}$ such that the lines (2.1.9.1) are all definable, as well as the lines (2.1.9.1) obtained by replacing $(L_0, \{P, Q, R\})$ with $(L'_0, \{P, Q', R'\})$

The picture in Figure 1 is taken by φ to the corresponding picture in $P(V_2)$. Looking at the intersection point it follows that

$$\sigma^{(L_0,\{P,Q,R\})}(a) = \sigma^{(L,\{P,S,T\})}(a) = \sigma^{(L'_0,\{P,Q',R'\})}(a).$$

It follows, in particular, that the map $\sigma^{(L_0,\{P,Q,R\})}$ is independent of the points Q and R. Since $\sigma^{(L_0,\{R,Q,P\})}$ is given by the formula

$$\iota_{k_2} \circ \sigma^{(L_0, \{P, Q, R\})} \circ \iota_{k_1},$$

where ι_{k_j} denotes the involution of k_j^* given by $u \mapsto u^{-1}$, it follows that the map $\sigma^{(L_0, \{P, Q, R\})}$ is independent of the triple $\{P, Q, R\}$, so we get a well-defined map $\sigma^{L_0} : k_1 \to k_2$. Now for a second definable line L'_0 which has nonempty intersection with L_0 the intersection, the point $P := L_0 \cap L'_0$ is on both lines so we can apply the preceding discussion with the two lines L_0 and L'_0 and Q, R and Q', R' chosen arbitrarily to deduce the independence of the choice of $(L_0, \{P, Q, R\})$. Finally for an abitrary definable line we can by (2.1.10) find a chain (in fact of length 2) of definable lines which connect the two, which concludes the proof.

Let us write the map of (2.1.11) as $\sigma : k_1 \rightarrow k_2$.

Claim 2.1.12. The map σ is an isomorphism of fields.

Proof. First note that by construction the map σ sends 1 to 1 and is compatible with the inversion map $a \mapsto a^{-1}$. Indeed the statement that $\sigma(1) = 1$ is immediate from the construction and the compatibility with the inversion map can be seen as follows. Let $\iota_j : k_j^{\times} \to k_j^{\times}$ (k = 1, 2) denote the map $a \mapsto a^{-1}$, and let $(L, \{P, Q, R\})$ be a definable line with three marked points. Write L^{\times} (resp. $\varphi(L)^{\times}$) for $L - \{P, R\}$ (resp. $\varphi(L) - \{\varphi(P), \varphi(R)\}$). Then by the independence of the choice of marked line in the definition

of σ , we have that the diagram



commutes. The compatibility with the multiplicative structure again follows from contemplating Figure 1, and the observation that by construction the map σ takes 1 to 1. Indeed given $a, b \in k_1^{\times}$ such that all the lines in Figure 1 are definable, we must have

(2.1.12.1)
$$\sigma(-a/b) = -\sigma(a)/\sigma(b)$$

since this fraction is given by the point O. Since the condition of being definable is open (by our initial reduction to $U_1 = U_1^{\circ}$), the fact that for any definable $(L, \{P, Q, R\})$ the line through $\epsilon^{P,Q,R}(a)$ and $\epsilon^{P,Q,R}(b)$ is definable implies that the same is true after deforming $(L, \{P, Q, R\})$. Thus we get the formula (2.1.12.1) for all a and b. In particular, taking b = 1 we get that $\sigma(-a) = -\sigma(a)$ for all a, and since σ is compatible with the inversion maps we get that

$$\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)\sigma(b)$$

for all $a, b \in k^{\times}$. Since 0 is also taken to 0 by σ we in fact get this formula for all $a, b \in k$.

For the verification of the compatibility with additive structure, consider a marked line $(L, \{P, Q, R\})$. Let *S* be a point not on the line and let *T* be a third point on $L_{S,R}$. The lines $L_{P,T}$ and $L_{Q,S}$ intersect in a point we call *V*, and then the line $L_{V,R}$ intersects $L_{P,S}$ in a point we call *W*. This is summarized in the following picture, where we write simply *a* (resp. *b*) for $\epsilon^{P,Q,R}(a)$ (resp. $\epsilon^{S,T,R}(b)$).



Figure 2

A straightforward calculation done by choosing a basis $v_R \in \ell_R$ then shows that the point of intersection marked with the larger bullet is the point

$$\epsilon^{W,V,R}(a+b).$$

To prove that σ is compatible with the additive structure it suffices to show the following lemma, which concludes the proof.

Lemma 2.1.13. For any $a, b \in k$ there exists a pointed line $(L, \{P, Q, R\})$ and points S and T such that all the lines in Figure 2 are definable.

Proof. The collections of data

$$(2.1.13.1) (L, \{P, Q, R\}, \{S, T\})$$

defining a diagram as in Figure 2 are classified by an irreducible scheme M, each line in the diagram gives a morphism

$$t: M \to \operatorname{Gr}(1, \mathbf{P}(V_1)).$$

It therefore suffices to show that for any particular choice of line in Figure 2, there exists a choice of (2.1.13.1) for which that line is definable. Indeed, then the set of choices of data (2.1.13.1) for which that line is definable is nonempty and open in M. Since the M is irreducible the intersection of nonempty open sets is nonempty and we conclude that there exists a point for which all the lines in Figure 2 are definable.

For the line through R, V, and W this follows from noting that the data of the colinear points S and T is equivalent to the data of the points $\{V, W\}$. Indeed given these two colinear points, the lines \overline{QV} and \overline{PQ} are coplanar and therefore intersect in a unique point, which defines S, and the intersection of \overline{SR} and \overline{PV} then defines T. Therefore the map t is smooth and dominant in this case, so the preimage of U_1 is nonempty.

For the other lines in Figure 2, note that we can extend the map t to the bigger (but still irreducible) scheme \overline{M} classifying collections of data $(L, \{P, Q, R\}, \{S, T\})$, where as before L is a point, $\{P, Q, R\}$ are three points on L, and $\{S, T\}$ are two additional points which are collinear with R, but where we no longer insist that the line through T and S is distinct from L, but only that the points $\{P, Q, R, S, T, a, b\}$ are distinct. Now it is clear that the preimage in \overline{M} of U_1 is nonempty since we can take all the points to lie on the same definable line L.

Now that we have constructed the isomorphism σ , it remains to construct the map $\psi: V_1 \to V_2$.

First note that we can choose a basis $e_1, \ldots e_n$ for V_1 with the property that the span of e_i and e_j is a definable line for any $i \neq j$. Define $e'_1, \ldots, e'_n \in V_2$ as follows. For e'_1 we take any basis element in $\ell_{\varphi([e_1])}$. Now for each $e_i, i \geq 2$, the line in $\mathbf{P}(V_1)$ associated to the plane $\operatorname{Span}(e_1, e_i)$ is definable, and therefore the image under φ is a definable line and contains the points $\varphi([e_1]), \varphi([e_i])$, and $\varphi([e_1+e_i])$. The choice of the representative e'_1 for $\varphi([e_1])$ defines a representative e'_i for $\varphi([e_i])$ such that $\varphi([e_1 + e_i]) = e'_1 + e'_i$. Consider the map

$$\gamma: V_1 \to V_2$$

defined by

$$\gamma(a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ne_n) := \sigma(a_1)e'_1 + \dots + \sigma(a_n)e'_n.$$

Claim 2.1.14. For general (a_1, \ldots, a_n) we have

$$\varphi([a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ne_n]) = [\gamma(a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ne_n)].$$

Proof. By the construction of σ , if for each $2 \leq i \leq n$ the vectors

$$(2.1.14.1) a_1e_1 + \dots + a_{i-1}e_{i-1}, a_ie_i$$

span a definable line, then we get by induction on *i* that

$$\varphi([a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ie_i]) = [\gamma(a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ie_i)].$$

Now for each *i* the map sending a vector (a_1, \ldots, a_n) to the span of the elements (2.1.14.1) defines a map

 $A \to \mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}(V_1))$

whose image meets U_1 . Taking the common intersection of the preimages of U_1 under these maps, we get a nonempty open subset $A^{\circ} \subset A$ of tuples $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A(k_1)$ for which the vectors (2.1.14.1) span a definable line. \Box As a consequence, the map γ defined above is uniquely associated to φ , up to scalar, and is thus independent of the general choice of basis e_1, \ldots, e_n .

To complete the proof of (2.1.5) it suffices to show that $\mathbf{P}(\gamma)$ agrees with φ on the entire sweep of (k_1, V_1, U_1) . By the above remark, to show this for a particular point p, it suffices to work with any general basis. To prove this we show that given a point $p \in S_{U_1}(\mathbf{P}_{k_1}(V_1))$ there exists a basis e_1, \ldots, e_n for V_1 as above for which p lies in the resulting subset A° . Reviewing the above construction, one sees that it suffices to show that we can find a basis e_1, \ldots, e_n for V_1 such that the following hold:

- (i) p is the point corresponding to the line spanned by e_1 .
- (ii) Any two elements e_i and e_j , with $i \neq j$, span a definable line.

(iii) For any $2 \le i \le n$ the vectors

 $e_1 + \cdots + e_{i-1}, e_i$

span a definable line.

For this start by choosing e_1 so that (i) holds. Since p lies in the sweep we can then find e_2 such that e_1 and e_2 span a definable line. Now observe that given $2 \le r \le n$ and a basis e_1, \ldots, e_r satisfying (ii) and (iii) with $i, j \le r$ we can find e_{r+1} such that (ii) and (iii) hold with $i, j \le r + 1$. Indeed a general choice of vector in V_1 will do for e_{r+1} since for given fixed vector v_0 lying in the sweep there is a nonempty Zariski open subset of vectors w such that w and v_0 span a definable line.

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

2.2. A variant fundamental theorem

Suppose (k_1, V_1, U_2) and (k_2, V_2, U_2) are finite-dimensional definable projective spaces. Write $P_i = \mathbf{P}_{k_i}(V_i)$ for the associated projective space for i = 1, 2.

In this section we prove the following result, weakening the assumptions of (2.1.5). This is included primarily for technical reasons related to Section 3.3; a reader interested in working only over algebraically closed fields can ignore this section on a first reading.

Theorem 2.2.1. Assume P_1 and P_2 have dimension at least 2. Suppose $\sigma : P_1 \to P_2$ is a bijection such that each line in U_1 is sent under σ to a linear subspace of P_2 and each line in U_2 is sent under σ^{-1} to a linear subspace of P_1 . Then σ sends elements of U_1 to lines and it agrees with a linear isomorphism $P_1 \to P_2$ on the sweep of U_1 . Without loss of generality, we assume that U_i is the k_i -points of an open subset of the appropriate Grassmannian, and we make this assumption for the remainder of the proof.

The proof of (2.2.1) appears at the very end of this section, after several requisite precursors about data (P_1, P_2, σ) as in the theorem are developed (assuming U_1 and U_2 are Zariski-open subsets).

Remark 2.2.2. Note that in the statement of (2.2.1), we only assume that lines are sent to *linear subspaces*, not to lines. This comes up naturally when one seeks to define lines in linear systems using incidence relations: given a subset Z of a variety X, the members of a linear system that contain Z is a linear subspace. Detecting the dimension of this linear subspace is quite subtle when the field of constants of X is not algebraically closed. In particular, while it may be obvious that such a subset is a line on one side of an isomorphism, it is not generally clear that it remains a line on the other side. A trivial (and not particularly informative) example comes from the existence of an abstract bijection between a line and a projective space of arbitrary positive dimension. As we will see in the proof, one needs control over a large set of lines to avoid this situation.

Definition 2.2.3. A pair $(D_0, D_1) \in P_1^2$ is *good* if it lies in the inverse image of U_1 under the natural span map $P_1^2 \setminus \Delta \to \operatorname{Gr}(1, P_1)$.

Definition 2.2.4. A collection of elements

$$\mathbf{D}:=(D_0,\ldots,D_s)$$

of P_1 is *admissible* if for any two $0 \le i, j \le s$ the pair (D_i, D_j) is good and if the D_i span a linear subspace of P_1 of dimension s.

We fix an admissible collection D in what follows.

Definition 2.2.5. A point $Q \in P_1$ is **D**-good if (D_i, Q) is good for all *i*.

For a pair i, j let $\ell_{ij}^1 \subset P_1$ be the line spanned by D_i and D_j and let $\ell_{ij}^2 \subset P_2$ denote the line spanned by $\sigma(D_i)$ and $\sigma(D_j)$. Note that since ℓ_{ij}^1 is definable, which implies that $\sigma(\ell_{ij}^1)$ is a linear subspace $T_{ij}^2 \subset P_2$ containing $\sigma(D_i)$ and $\sigma(D_j)$, we have

$$\ell_{ij}^2 \subset T_{ij}^2.$$

2.2.6. For $t \leq s$ define $W_t^2 \subset P_2$ inductively as follows. For t = 0 we define $W_0^2 := \sigma(D_0)$. Then inductively define W_{t+1}^2 to be the linear span of W_t^2 and $\sigma(\ell_{t,t+1})$. When we want to be unambiguous, we will write $W_t^2(\mathbf{D})$ to denote the dependence upon \mathbf{D} . Note that it is *a priori* possible for \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{D}' to have the same span in P_1 while $W_s^2(\mathbf{D}) \neq W_s^2(\mathbf{D}')$.

Let $Q \in P_1$ be a D-good point.

Theorem 2.2.7. If $\sigma(Q) \in W_s^2(\mathbf{D})$ then Q is in the linear span of the D_i .

2.2.8. We first identify mutations of D that leave (2.2.7) invariant. In each of the following two cases we have that if the assumptions hold for D then they hold after replacing D by D' and if the conclusions hold for D' then they hold for D, and therefore in the proof we may replace D by D'.

34 2. THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY AND VARIANTS

- I. Suppose D' is an admissible tuple gotten by replacing D_s by a point $D'_s \in \ell_{s-1,s}$ such that Q is D'-good and $\sigma(D'_s)$ lies in the linear span $\langle W^2_{s-1}(\mathbf{D}), \sigma(Q) \rangle$. Then we have that $\sigma(Q) \in W^2_s(\mathbf{D}')$ and Q is D'-good. Moreover, we have that $\langle \mathbf{D} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{D}' \rangle$.
- II. Suppose D' is an admissible tuple gotten by replacing D_{s-1} by a point $D'_{s-1} \in \ell_{s-1,s}$ such that Q is D'-good and $\sigma(D_{s-1}) \in \langle \sigma(D_s), \sigma(Q) \rangle$.

These mutations will arise as follows: the set of choices D'_s or D'_{s-1} will range through a line contained in the definable subspace $\sigma(\ell_{s-1,s})$. Since the base field is infinite, such a line is infinite, so its preimage in the line $\ell_{s-1,s}$ hits every open subset. This is main way in which we use the fact that the definable subspaces $\ell_{i-1,i}$ are lines.

2.2.9. We assume that $\sigma(Q) \in W_s^2$ and show that Q is in the linear span of the D_i .

The basic idea is to work inductively by projection from D_s to the lower dimensional subspace. To get things into appropriately general position, however, we will do this along with modifying our original configuration (D_0, \ldots, D_s) so as to obtain a contradiction.

First of all, by our assumptions the line ℓ through Q and D_s is definable, so $\sigma(\ell) \cap W_s^2$ is a linear subspace of positive dimension.

Furthermore, proceeding by induction we may assume that the theorem holds for collections of elements (D_0, \ldots, D_t) with t < s. Note here that the statement for s = 0 is trivial.

Lemma 2.2.10. The following hold.

- (1) $\sigma(\ell_{s-1,s}) \cap W_{s-1}^2 = \{\sigma(D_{s-1})\}.$
- (2) The intersection of $\sigma(\ell_{s-1,s})$ with the linear span of W_{s-1}^2 and $\sigma(Q)$ is a positive dimensional linear subspace of $\sigma(\ell_{s-1,s})$.

Proof. Note that all but finitely many points $U \in \ell_{s-1,s}$ the collection

$$(D_0,\ldots,D_{s-1},U)$$

is admissible, and U does not lie in the linear span of (D_0, \ldots, D_{s-1}) (recall that U_1 is assumed open). By the induction hypothesis it follows that the intersection

$$\sigma(\ell_{s-1,s}) \cap W_{s-1}^2$$

is finite. Since this is also a linear space it follows that it consists of exactly one point, namely $\sigma(D_{s-1})$. This proves (i).

For (ii), let δ be the dimension of the linear space $\sigma(\ell_{s-1,s})$. Then using (i) we have

$$\dim(W_{s-1}^2) + \delta = \dim(W_s^2).$$

On the other hand, the dimension of the linear span of $\sigma(Q)$ and W_{s-1}^2 is equal to

$$\dim(W_{s-1}^2) + 1$$

Therefore the intersection in question in (ii) is given by intersection a δ -dimensional space with a dim (W_{s-1}^2) + 1-dimensional space inside a dim (W_{s-1}^2) + 1-dimensional space. From this (ii) follows.

2.2.11. For all but finitely many points $D'_s \in \ell_{s-1,s}$ the collection of elements

$$\mathbf{D}' := (D_0, \ldots, D_{s-1}, D'_s)$$

is admissible and each of these elements is pairwise good with Q. We can therefore find $D'_s \in \ell_{s-1,s}$ such that D' is admissible, Q is D'-good, and $\sigma(D'_s)$ lies in the linear span of $W^2_{s-1}(D')$ and $\sigma(Q)$. Replacing D by such a D' is an allowable mutation of type I, as in (2.2.8).

2.2.12. Consider the projection

$$q_s: \langle \sigma(D_s), W_{s-1}^2 \rangle \dashrightarrow W_{s-1}^2$$

from the linear span of $\sigma(D_s)$ and W_{s-1}^2 sending an element R to the intersection of the line through $\sigma(D_s)$ and R with W_{s-1}^2 . This is defined in a neighborhood of the line through $\sigma(D_{s-1})$ and $\sigma(Q)$. In particular, it is defined at $\sigma(Q)$.

Let $Q_{s-1} \in W_{s-1}^2$ denote $\sigma^{-1}(q_s(\sigma(Q)))$. (We will write $Q_{s-1}(\mathbf{D})$ when we want to remember the dependence on D.)

2.2.13. If $\sigma(Q_{s-1}) \in W^2_{s-2}$ then we are done by applying our induction hypothesis to

$$(D_0,\ldots,D_{s-2},D_s)$$

and Q.

So assume $\sigma(Q_{s-1})$ is not in W_{s-2}^2 .

2.2.14. The space W_{s-1}^2 is the linear span of W_{s-2}^2 and $\sigma(\ell_{s-1,s-2})$. Since the space spanned by W_{s-2}^2 and $\sigma(Q_{s-1})$ is assumed strictly bigger than W_{s-2}^2 , this space meets a line $T \subset \sigma(\ell_{s-1,s-2})$. For all but finitely many elements $D'_{s-1} \in \ell_{s-1,s-2}$ the collection

$$\mathbf{D}'' := (D_0, \dots, D_{s-2}, D'_{s-1}, D_s)$$

is again admissible. Replacing D_{s-1} by a suitable element of $\sigma^{-1}(T)$ we may therefore further assume that the line through $\sigma(D_{s-1})$ and $\sigma(Q_{s-1})$ meets W_{s-2}^2 . Call this point $R_0 \in W_{s-2}^2$. (We will write $R_0(\mathbf{D})$ when we want to remember the dependence on \mathbf{D} .)

Note that the construction ensures that

$$\sigma(Q_{s-1}(\mathbf{D})) = \langle \sigma(D_{s-1}), R_0(\mathbf{D}) \rangle \cap \langle \sigma(D_s), \sigma(Q) \rangle$$

for any D satisfying the assumptions.

2.2.15. We claim that for a suitably chosen element $D'_{s-1} \in \ell_{s-1,s}$ we can arrange that Q_{s-1} is D"-good.

The linear span of $\sigma(\ell_{s-1,s})$ and R_0 contains the line connecting $\sigma(D_s)$ and $\sigma(Q_{s-1})$. It follows that the plane spanned by R_0 , $\sigma(Q)$, and $\sigma(Q_{s-1})$ meets $\sigma(\ell_{s-1,s})$ in a line M.

Observe that R_0 does not lie in M. To see this note that if that was the case then $R_0 \in \sigma(\ell_{s-1,s})$ which would imply $\sigma(Q_{s-1}) \in \sigma(\ell_{s-1,s})$, which would imply $\sigma(Q) \in \sigma(\ell_{s-1,s})$ so Q is in $\ell_{s-1,s}$, which we are assuming is not the case.

We then have an infinitude of elements $D'_{s-1} \in \sigma^{-1}(M)$ such that the collection

$$\mathbf{D}'' := (D_0, \ldots, D'_{s-1}, D_s)$$

is admissible.

Keeping R_0 fixed, we see that the set of points of the form

$$\sigma^{-1}\left(\langle \sigma(D'_{s-1}), R_0 \rangle \cap \langle \sigma(D_s), \sigma(Q) \rangle\right)$$

is an infinite subset of the definable line $\langle D_s, Q \rangle$. Since D" is admissible, any such infinite subset contains infinitely many points that are D"-good, as desired.

Replacing D with D" is an allowable mutation of type II as in (2.2.8). Therefore for suitable chosen $D'_{s-1} \in \sigma^{-1}(M)$ we get that Q_{s-1} is D"-good.

2.2.16. Applying our induction hypothesis we conclude that Q_{s-1} is in the linear span of D_0, \ldots, D_{s-1} . Since $\sigma(Q)$ lies in the line $\langle \sigma(D_s), \sigma(Q_{s-1}) \rangle$, we have that $\sigma(Q) \in$ $\sigma(\langle D_s, Q_{s-1} \rangle)$, and thus Q lies in the definable line $\langle D_s, Q_{s-1} \rangle$. \square

This completes the proof of (2.2.7).

Corollary 2.2.17. Let (D_0, \ldots, D_s) be an admissible collection of elements of P_1 . Then we have

$$s \le \dim W_s^2 = \sum_{i=1}^s \dim \sigma(\ell_{i-1,i}),$$

with equality if and only each space $\sigma(\ell_{i-1,i})$ is a line.

Proof. This follows immediately from (2.2.10)(i). Note that this lemma uses the induction hypothesis of the proof of (2.2.7), but with the proof of that theorem complete we can apply the lemma unconditionally.

Corollary 2.2.18. The dimensions of P_1 and P_2 are equal and for a good pair of points (E, F) in P_1 the line through E and F is sent under σ to a line in P_2 .

Proof. By the preceding corollary we see that the dimension of P_2 is at least that of the dimension of P_1 , and by consideration of σ^{-1} we see that they must be equal.

With the equality of dimensions established, note that if (E, F) is a good pair that spans a line ℓ such that the dimension of $\sigma(\ell)$ is > 1, then we can extend (E, F) to an admissible collection

$$\mathbf{D} = (D_0, \ldots, D_{\dim(P_1)})$$

with

$$(D_0, D_1) = (E, F)$$

and (2.2.17) gives

 $\dim W^2_{\dim(P_1)} > \dim(P_1)$

contradicting the equality of dimensions.

Proof of (2.2.1). This follows from (2.2.18) and (2.1.5).

2.3. The probabilistic fundamental theorem of projective geometry

In this section, we prove that knowing most lines also determines linearity of a map of *finite* projective spaces.

To state the main result consider the following functions of four variables (whose origin will be explained in the proof): (2.3.0.1)

$$A(q, N, G, \epsilon) := \frac{3(q-1)G(2(q-1)^2(\epsilon N(N-1)+G)+G(q-1)^3)+q^3(q+1)(q-1)^2G^2}{(q-1)^2G^2}$$

$$(2.3.0.2) \ B(q, N, G, \epsilon) := 2(q-1)\frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{(q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1)} + A(q, G, N, \epsilon)$$

The main result of this section is the following:
Theorem 2.3.1. Let **F** be a finite field with q elements, and let P_1 and P_2 be projective spaces over **F** of dimension n > 3. Let $\{V_i\}_{i \in B}$ be a transverse collection of proper linear subspaces of P_1 . Let N be the number of points in P_1 and let G be the number of points in $P_q \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$.¹

Let $f: P_1 \to P_2$ be an injection of sets. Assume given $\epsilon > 0$ such that the number of lines $L \subset P_1, L \not\subset \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$ for which $f(L) \subset P_2$ is not a line is at most $\epsilon G(G-1)/q(q+1)$, and assume that

$$2A(q, N, G.\epsilon) + q(q+1) < (q-1)(G-1)$$

and

$$\frac{9B(q, G, N, \epsilon)}{q^{n+1} \prod_{i \in B} (1 - 2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})} + \frac{q^{n+4}}{q^{2n+2} \prod_{i \in B} (1 - 2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})^2} < 1$$

Then there is an injection $f': P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ that takes lines to lines, and such that the proportion of elements of P_1 on which f and f' agree is at least

$$1 - \frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{N((q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1))}$$

Remark 2.3.2. Theorem (2.3.1) is similar in spirit to the Blum-Luby-Rubinfeld linearity test [**BLR93**, Lemmas 9-12], part of the theory of property testing in computer science. The arguments of that paper show that given a function $f: G \to G'$ for groups G, G', if the proportion of $x, y \in G$ such that f(x)f(y) = f(xy) is close enough to 1, then there exists a group homomorphism $f': G \to G'$ such that f(x) = f'(x) for a proportion of x close to 1. Their methods are computational and give an approach to find f'. (2.3.1) solves the analogous problem where, instead of a group of homomorphism, we have an injective map of projective spaces of large enough dimension. The strategy of [**BLR93**] relies on choosing f'(x) so that $f'(x) = f(xy^{-1})f(y)$ for a proportion of y close to 1, and our strategy uses a similar, but more complex, formula adapted to the case of projective spaces. Further adjustments must be made to handle the bad set B of linear subspaces, which might contain a high proportion of all points and lines, where nothing is assumed - essentially, we must keep track of the condition that certain points do not lie in any of these subspaces.

Before proving (2.3.1), we prove the following lemma, which guarantees that the assumptions hold as long as $n \to \infty$ and $\epsilon \to 0$ with q, #B fixed.

Lemma 2.3.3. Fix q a prime power, #B a natural number, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that either q > 2 or #B = 0.

Then there exists a natural number n_0 and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any projective space P_1 of dimension n over \mathbb{F}_q and set B of proper linear subspaces of P_1 with cardinality #B, as long as $n \ge n_0$ and $\epsilon \le \epsilon_0$ we have

$$2A(q, N, G, \epsilon) + q(q+1) < (q-1)(G-1),$$

$$\frac{9B(q, G, N, \epsilon)}{{}^{+1}\prod_{i \in B} (1 - 2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})} + \frac{q^{n+4}}{q^{2n+2}\prod_{i \in B} (1 - 2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})^2} <$$

1,

and

 q^n

$$1 - \frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{N((q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1))} > 1 - \delta$$

 $^{^{1}}B$ stands for the bad set and G stands for the number of good points.

2. THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY AND VARIANTS

Proof. We have

$$G = \frac{1}{q-1} q^{n+1} \prod_{i \in B} (1 - q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})$$

if B is nonempty and

$$G = \frac{1}{q-1}(q^{n+1} - 1)$$

if N is empty. In either case $\frac{q^n}{G}$ is bounded by a constant depending only on q, #B. The same is true for the ratios $\frac{q^n}{N}, \frac{G}{q^n}, \frac{N}{q^n}$ - in fact, in these cases we can take the constant to be 2.

Thus in the expression

$$A(q, N, G, \epsilon) = \frac{3(q-1)G(2(q-1)^2(\epsilon N(N-1)+G)+G(q-1)^3)+q^3(q+1)(q-1)^2G^2}{(q-1)^2G^2}$$

the denominator is at least a nonzero constant times q^{2n} and the numerator is at most a constant times $\epsilon q^{3n} + q^{2n}$ so $A(q, N, G, \epsilon)$ is at most a constant times $\epsilon q^n + 1$. Thus

$$\frac{2A(q, N, G\epsilon) + q(q+1)}{(q-1)(G-1)}$$

is at most a constant times $\epsilon + q^{-n}$, thus at most a constant times $\epsilon_0 + q^{-n_0}$, so by choosing ϵ_0 sufficiently small and n_0 sufficiently large we can ensure it is at most 1, verifying the first inequality.

In fact, we choose ϵ_0 and n_0 slightly larger, to ensure

$$2A(q, N, G, \epsilon) + 2q(q+1) < (q-1)(G-1)$$

and so

$$B(q, N, G, \epsilon) = 2(q-1)\frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{(q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1)} + A(q, G, N, \epsilon)$$

$$\geq 2(q-1)\frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{(q-1)(G-1)/2} + A(q, G, N, \epsilon)$$

so the denominator in the fraction is at least a positive constant times q^n and thus the fraction is at most a constant times ϵq^n and hence $B(q, G, N, \epsilon)$ is at most a constant times $\epsilon q^n + 1$.

Now because either q > 2 or B is empty, we can lower bound $\prod_{i \in B} (1 - 2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})$ by the positive constant $(1 - 2/q)^{\#B}$, and so

$$\frac{9B(q, G, N, \epsilon)}{q^{n+1} \prod_{i \in B} (1 - 2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})}$$

is at most a constant times $\epsilon + q^{-n}$ while the second term $\frac{q^{n+4}}{q^{2n+2}\prod_{i\in B}(1-2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})^2}$ is at most a constant times q^{-n} , thus choosing n_0 sufficiently large and ϵ_0 sufficiently small, we can guarantee the second inequality.

For the third inequality, we have already forced

$$(q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1) \ge (q-1)(G-1)/2$$

so the denominator

$$N((q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1)) \ge N(q-1)(G-1)/2$$

is at least a positive constant times q^{2n} and thus the ratio

$$\frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{N((q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1))}$$

is at most a constant times ϵ , so choosing ϵ_0 sufficiently small, we can ensure it is at most δ .

After building up suitable technical material (including the definition of the map f'), we will record the proof of (2.3.1) in (2.3.13) below.

2.3.4. Let k be a finite field with q elements. Let P_1 and P_2 be projective spaces over k of dimension n > 3 and let

 $f: P_1 \to P_2$

be a injection of sets. Let \mathscr{L}_{P_i} be the set of lines in P_i . Let $\mathscr{L}_{P_1,B}$ be the set of lines in P_1 which are not contained in $\bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$. As in the statement of (2.3.1), let G be the cardinality of $P_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$ and and let N be the cardinality of P_1 . Since each point in $P_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$ is contained in (N-1)/q lines, the cardinality of $\mathscr{L}_{P_1,B}$ is at most G(N-1)/qand at least $G(N-1)/(q^2+q)$.

Let $\mathscr{L}_{P_1,B}^f \subset \mathscr{L}_{P_1,B}$ be the subset of lines $L \subset P_1$ for which f(L) is a line in P_2 . We make the following assumption.

Assumption 2.3.5. For a given $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$#\mathscr{L}_{P_{1},B} - \#L_{P_{1},B}^{f} \le \epsilon \frac{N(N-1)}{q(q+1)}.$$

The relevance of the quantity $\frac{N(N-1)}{q(q+1)}$ is that it is the total number of lines, not necessarily in $\mathscr{L}_{P_1,B}$.

Under the conditions of (2.3.5), we will explain how to construct a new map

 $f': P_1 \to P_2$

that agrees with f on a large proportion of points. This construction will yield a linear map agreeing with f at most points by applying the usual fundamental theorem of projective geometry to f', giving us the desired approximate linearization.

2.3.6. The construction of f' follows the recipe described in (2.1.8): Starting with $x \in P_1$ choose two points y_1, y_3 in $P_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$, not equal to x, at random. Let L_1 be the line through x and y_1 and let L_2 be the line thorugh x and y_3 . Let y_2 be a random point on L_1 other than x and y_1 and let y_4 be a random point on L_2 that than x and y_3 . Let M_1 (resp. M_2) be the line in P_2 through $f(y_1)$ and $f(y_2)$ (resp. $f(y_3)$ and $f(y_4)$). Then we will argue that, with high probability, M_1 and M_2 intersect in a unique point z, and define f'(x) := z.

To make this precise, let us begin with some calculations. For two points $y_1, y_2 \in P_1$ we can consider the linear span $Sp(y_1, y_2) \subset P_1$, which is either a line (if the points are distinct) or a point. Let $P_1^{2,f} \subset (P_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i) \times P_1$ be the subset of pairs of distinct points y_1, y_2 for which $Sp(y_1, y_2) \in \mathscr{L}^f_{P_1,B}$.

Lemma 2.3.7. We have

$$#((P_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i) \times P_1) - #P_1^{(2,f)} \le \epsilon N(N-1) + G$$

Proof. We have a map

$$((P_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i) \times P_1) \setminus \Delta \to \mathscr{L}_{P_1,B}, \ (y_1, y_2) \mapsto Sp(y_1, y_2),$$

which has fibers of cardinality at most q(q+1). Here $\Delta \subset (P_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i) \times P_1$ denotes the diagonal $P_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$. Therefore the number of pairs $(y_1, y_2) \in ((P_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i) \times P_1) \setminus \Delta$ for which $f(Sp(y_1, y_2))$ is not a line is at most

$$q(q+1)\frac{\epsilon N(N-1)}{q(q+1)} = \epsilon N(N-1)$$

Furthermore the cardinality of the diagonal is at most G.

Fix a point $x \in P_1$ and let \mathscr{L}_x denote the set of lines through x. For

$$(i, j) \in \{(1, 3), (1, 4), (3, 2), \}$$

let

$$\pi_{ij}: (\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)})^2 \to (P_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i) \times P_1$$

be the map given by

$$((L_1, y_1, y_2), (L_2, y_3, y_4)) \mapsto (y_i, y_j)$$

Let

$$(\mathscr{L}^{(2)}_x)^{2,(i,j)-\text{good}} \subset (\mathscr{L}^{(2)}_x)^2$$

denote the subset of data $((L_1, y_1, y_2), (L_2, y_3, y_4))$ for which y_i and y_j are distinct and span a line in $\mathscr{L}_{P_1}^f$.

Lemma 2.3.8. For $(i, j) \in \{(1, 4), (3, 2)\}$, $\#(\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)})^2 - \#\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)})^{2, (i, j) - \text{good}}) \le (q - 1)^2 (\epsilon N(N - 1) + G)$

Proof. Indeed this follows from (2.3.7) and the observation that the map π_{ij} has fibers of cardinality at most $(q-1)^2$.

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset (\mathscr{L}^{(2)}_x)^2$ denote the subset of data $((L_1, y_1, y_2), (L_2, y_3, y_4))$ such that

$$Sp(y_1, y_3), Sp(y_2, y_4) \in \mathscr{L}^f_x$$

and $Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2))$ and $Sp(f(y_3), f(y_4))$ have a unique intersection point. Lemma 2.3.9.

$$#(\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)})^2 - #\mathscr{S} \le 2(q-1)^2(\epsilon N(N-1) + G) + G(q-1)^3$$

Proof. Two lines in P_i are coplanar if and only if they intersect in exactly one point. From this it follows that for data

$$((L_1, y_1, y_2), (L_2, y_3, y_4)) \in (\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)})^{2, (1,4) - \text{good}} \cap (\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)})^{2, (3,2) - \text{good}}$$

the points $(f(y_1), f(y_2), f(y_3), f(y_4))$ are coplanar. Indeed because the points (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) are coplanar, the lines $Sp(y_1, y_3)$ and $Sp(y_2, y_4)$ intersect in a unique point from which it follows that the lines

$$Sp(f(y_1), f(y_3)) = f(Sp(y_1, y_3)), Sp(f(y_2), f(y_4)) = f(Sp(y_2, y_4))$$

40

are coplanar (since they intersect in a unique point).

Let $\mathcal{S}^c \subset (\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_x)^{2,(1,3)-\text{good}} \cap (\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_x)^{2,(2,4)-\text{good}}$ be the subset of the collections of data (2.3.9.1) for which

$$Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2)) = Sp(f(y_3), f(y_4))$$

From this discussion we then have

$$#(\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)})^2 - \#\mathcal{S} \le 2(q-1)^2(\epsilon N(N-1) + G) + \#\mathcal{S}^c$$

It therefore suffices to show that

(2.3.9.2) $\delta^c \le G(q-1)^3.$

The set \mathcal{S}^c is contained in the set of collections of data (2.3.9.1) for which $f(y_3)$ and $f(y_4)$ are each points of the line $Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2))$. Since f is a injection the cardinality of this set is less than or equal to

$$(\#\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)}) \cdot (q-1)^2$$

and $\#\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)} \leq G(q-1)$ so we obtain the inequality (2.3.9.2).

2.3.10. We now introduce a third line, and we are interested in the probability that it contains z.

For $z \in P_2$ let

$$\mathbb{S}_z \subset \mathscr{U}_r^{(2)}$$

be the subset of $(L, y_1, y_2) \in \mathscr{L}_x^{(2)}$ such that $z \in Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2)$.

Lemma 2.3.11. There exists $z \in P_2$ such that

$$#(\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)})^2 - #\mathscr{S}_z \le A(q, N, G, \epsilon).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{T} be the collection of triples $((L_1, y_1, y_2), (L_2, y_3, y_4), (L_3, y_5, y_6)) \in (\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)})^3$ such that either $((L, y_1, y_2), ((L, y_3, y_4)) \notin \mathcal{S}$ or the unique intersection point z of $Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2))$ and $Sp(f(y_3), f(y_4))$ does not lie in $Sp(f(y_5), f(y_6)$

We will show

$$\#\mathcal{T} \le 3(q-1)G(2(q-1)^2(\epsilon N(N-1)+G)+G(q-1)^3)+q^3(q+1)(q-1)^2G^2.$$

To do this, note that by Lemma 2.3.9 and the fact that $\#\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)} \leq G(q-1)$, the number of triples such that $((L, y_1, y_2), ((L, y_3, y_4)) \notin S$ is at most

$$(q-1)G(2(q-1)^2(\epsilon N(N-1)+G)+G(q-1)^3).$$

By symmetry, the same holds for every other pair of two of the three lines

$$(L_1, y_1, y_2), (L_2, y_3, y_4), (L_3, y_5, y_6)$$

So the number of triples such that at least one of these three pairs fails to be in ${\mathcal S}$ is at most

$$3(q-1)G(2(q-1)^2(\epsilon N(N-1)+G))$$
.

Thus, it suffices to show that the number of triples with all three pairs in \mathcal{S} but where $z \notin Sp(f(y_5), f(y_6) \text{ is at most}$

$$q^3(q+1)(q-1)^2G^2.$$

So it suffices to show that for each of the $(q-1)^2G^2$ choices of lines $((L, y_1, y_2), ((L, y_3, y_4)))$, there are at most $q^3(q+1)$ choices of (L_3, y_5, y_6) such that $Sp(f(y_5), f(y_6))$ has a unique

intersection with $Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2))$ and a unique intersection with $Sp(f(y_3), f(y_4))$ but does not contain their intersection point z.

For each $a_1 \in Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2))$ and $a_2 \in Sp(f(y_3), f(y_4))$ there exists a unique line $L_{a_1,a_2} \subset P_2$ through a_1 and a_2 , and there are (q+1)q pairs of ordered points (w_5, w_6) on this line. Now if $(L_3, y_5, y_6) \in \mathscr{L}_x^{(2)}$ is such that the intersections $Sp(f(y_5), f(y_6)) \cap Sp((f(y_1), f(y_2)))$ and $Sp(f(y_5), f(y_6)) \cap Sp((f(y_3), f(y_4)))$ consist of single points not equal to z, then we must have $(y_5, y_6) = (f^{-1}(w_5), f^{-1}(w_6))$ for some such pair (w_5, w_6) on the line L_{a_1,a_2} where a_1 and a_2 are the two respective intersections. Since L is determined by (y_5, y_6) this shows that the number of such triples (L_3, y_5, y_6) is bounded by q(q+1) for a given (a_1, a_2) . Since there are q points a_1 in $Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2))$ other than z, and q points a_2 in $Sp(f(y_3), f(y_4))$ other than z, the total number of possibilities is $(q+1)q^3$, as desired.

Now \mathcal{T} maps to $(\mathcal{L}_x^{(2)})^2$ by projecting onto the first two factors. Since the image has size at least $(q-1)^2(G-1)^2$, the fiber over some point $((L_1, y_1, y_2), (L_2, y_3, y_4))$ must contain at most

$$\frac{3(q-1)G(2(q-1)^2(\epsilon N(N-1)+G)+G(q-1)^3)+q^3(q+1)(q-1)^2G^2}{(q-1)^2G^2} = A(q,G,N,\epsilon)$$

elements. Let z be the unique intersection point of $Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2))$ and $Sp(f(y_3), f(y_4))$ if $((L_1, y_1, y_2), (L_2, y_3, y_4)) \in S$ and an arbitrary point otherwise. In either case, if

$$z \notin Sp(f(y_5), f(y_6))$$

then

$$((L_1, y_1, y_2), (L_2, y_3, y_4), (L_3, y_5, y_6)) \in \mathcal{T}$$

so there are at most $A(q, G, N, \epsilon)$ lines with that property.

Corollary 2.3.12. If

$$(2.3.12.1) 2A(q, N, G\epsilon) + q(q+1) < (q-1)(G-1)$$

then there exists a unique point $z \in P_2$ such that

$$#\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)} - #\mathscr{S}_z \le A(q, N, G, \epsilon)$$

and for every $z' \neq z$ we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{z'} \le A(q, N, G, \epsilon) + q(q+1)$$

Proof. That there exists z with $\#\mathscr{L}_x^{(2)} - \#\mathscr{S}_z \leq A(q, N, G\epsilon)$ follows from (2.3.11). Take such a z. We will show the bound on $z' \neq z$ and use it to deduce uniqueness.

To show that

 $\mathcal{S}_{z'} \le A(q, N, G, \epsilon) + q(q+1)$

it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{S}_{z'} \cap \mathcal{S}_z \le q(q+1)$$

since we have

 $\mathcal{S}_z^c \le A(q, N, G, \epsilon).$

To do this, note that $z \in Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2))$ and $z' \in Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2))$ together with $z \neq z'$ implies that $f(y_1), f(y_2) \in Sp(z, z')$, since $Sp(z_1, z_2)$ is the unique line between z and z'. Thus there are at most q(q + 1) possibilities for $f(y_1), f(y_2)$ and hence at most

q(q+1) possibilities for y_1, y_2 since f is injective. Since $L = Sp(y_1, y_2)$, there are at most q(q+1) possibilities for (L, y_1, y_2) , as desired.

For uniqueness, if $z' \neq z$ also satisfied

$$#\mathscr{L}_{x}^{(2)} - #\mathscr{S}_{z'} \le A(q, N, G\epsilon)$$

then we would have

$$(q-1)(G-1) \le \# \mathscr{L}_x^{(2)} = (\# \mathscr{L}_x^{(2)} - \# \mathscr{S}_{z'}) + \# \mathscr{S}_{z'} \le A(q, N, G, \epsilon) + A(q, N, G, \epsilon) + q(q+1),$$

contradicting our assumption (2.3.12.1).

Assumption 2.3.0.1. Assume for the rest of the discussion that the inequality (2.3.12.1) holds.

2.3.1. We define a map

$$f': P_1 \to P_2$$

by sending $x \in P_1$ to the point $z \in P_2$ given by (2.3.12).

Let $P_1^{f=f'} \subset P_1$ be the set of points x for which f(x) = f'(x). Lemma 2.3.2.

$$(\#P_1 - \#(P_1^{f=f'})) \le \frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{(q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1)}$$

Proof. If $f(x) \neq f'(x)$ then by (2.3.12), the number of $(L, y_1, y_2) \in L^2_{(x)}$ such that $f(x) \in Sp(f(y_1), f(y_2))$ is at most $A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q + 1)$ and thus the number with $f(x) \notin Sp((f(y_1), f(y_2))$ is at least

$$(q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1).$$

So there are at least

$$(\#P_1 - \#(P_1^{f=f'}))((q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1))$$

triples (L, x, y_1, y_2) , with x, y_1, y_2 three distinct points on L, and $y_1 \notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$, such that f does not take L to a line.

On the other hand, there are at most $\frac{\epsilon G(G-1)}{(q+1)q}$ lines which contain a point $\notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$ and which f does not take to a line, and each such line contains at most (q+1)q(q-1)triples of points, so we obtain

$$(\#P_1 - \#(P_1^{f=f'}))((q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1)) \le (q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)$$

and thus

$$(\#P_1 - \#(P_1^{f=f'})) \le \frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{(q-1)(G-1) - A(q,N,G,\epsilon) - q(q+1)}.$$

2.3.3. Fix a point $x \in P_1$. Let us calculate a lower bound for the number of elements $(L, y_1, y_2) \in \mathscr{L}_x^{(2)}$ for which the following conditions hold:

(i) $f(y_1) = f'(y_1)$ and $f(y_2) = f'(y_2)$. (ii) $(L, y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{S}_{f'(x)}$. By (2.3.2) we have $f(y) \neq f'(y)$ for most

$$\frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{(q-1)(G-1) - A(q, N, G, \epsilon) - q(q+1)}$$

values of y_1 . Because we have $y_1 = y$ for at most q - 1 elements of $L_x^{(2)}$, and $y_2 = y$ for at most q - 1 elements of $L_x^{(2)}$, the number of elements of $L_x^{(2)}$ at which condition (1) fails is

$$2(q-1)\frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{(q-1)(G-1) - A(q,N,G,\epsilon) - q(q+1)}.$$

By (2.3.12) the number of elements for which condition (ii) fails is at most $A(q, G, N, \epsilon)$ and thus the number of elements at which conditions (i) or (ii) fail is at least

$$2(q-1)\frac{(q-1)\epsilon G(G-1)}{(q-1)(G-1) - A(q,N,G,\epsilon) - q(q+1)} - A(q,G,N,\epsilon) = B(q,G,N,\epsilon)$$

Lemma 2.3.4. We have

$$\#L_x^{(2)} - \#\left\{(L, y_1, y_2) \in \mathscr{L}_x^{(2)} \mid (f'(x), f'(y_1), f'(y_2)) \text{ are collinear}\right\} \le B(q, N, G, \epsilon).$$

Proof. This follows from the preceding discussion.

2.3.5. We will use (2.3.4) to show that f' takes lines to lines and that f' is injective. For this we will use Desargues's theorem, which is a consequence of Pappus's axiom, and the notion of Desargues configurations.

Recall that a *Desargues configuration* is a collection of 10 points and 10 lines such that any line contains exactly three of the points and exactly three lines pass through each point.

Desargues theorem can be stated as follows. Consider two collections of three points $\{A, B, C\}$ and $\{D, E, F\}$, usually thought of as the vertices of two triangles, and consider the 9 lines

$$\{AB, AC, BC, DE, DF, EF, AD, BE, CF\}.$$

Theorem 2.3.6 (Desargues). If the three lines AD, BE, and CF meet in a common point G then the three intersection points

$$H := AB \cap DE, \ I := AC \cap DF, \ J := BC \cap EF,$$

are collinear, and conversely if these three points are collinear then the lines AD, BE, and CF meet at a common point.

In other words, the ten points and ten lines obtained in this way form a Desargues configuration.

2.3.7. To show that f' takes lines to lines, it therefore suffices to show that for any three collinear points (t_1, t_2, t_3) there exists a Desargues configuration as above with $(H, I, J) = (t_1, t_2, t_3)$ such that f' takes all the lines other than $Sp(t_1, t_2)$ to lines in P_2 . For then, by Desargues's theorem, it follows that $(f'(t_1), f'(t_2), f'(t_3))$ are collinear. We will produce such a Desargues configuration using basic linear algebra. We fix the collinear points $\{x, y, t\}$ in what follows.

Notation 2.3.8. Let V_1 be an F-vector space with $\mathbb{P}V_1 = P_1$, and choose vectors $a, b \in V_1$ such that (t_1, t_2, t_3) is given by the three elements $(a, b, a - b) \in V_1$.

44

Construction 2.3.9. For $c, d \in V_1$, consider the ordered set of five elements $\{0, a, b, c, d\}$. Let $\mathcal{P}(c, d)$ denote the set of points of P_1 given by the differences of two elements

$$\mathcal{P}(c,d) := \{[a], [b], [c], [d], [b-a], [c-a], [d-a], [c-b], [d-b], [c-d]\}$$

and let $\mathcal{M}(c,d)$ denote the set of lines obtained by taking for each subset of three elements $T \subset \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ the linear span L_T of differences of elements of T.

Lemma 2.3.10. As long as the set of four elements $\{a, b, c, d\}$ are linearly independent the ten points and ten lines $(\mathcal{P}(c, d), \mathcal{M}(c, d))$ form a Desargues configuration.

Proof. The proof is routine linear algebra. \Box Fig. (2.3.0.1) shows a typical



FIGURE 2.3.0.1. (2.3.9)

configuration generated by (2.3.9) (on a true set of randomly generated data). The bold line shows the collinear points t_1, t_2 , and t_3 , together with the auxiliary points given by the choices of c and d. Some of the lines naturally come in pairs, corresponding to the construction of the map f' in (2.3.1). (For example, the dotted line connecting t_1 to dand d-a and the dotted line connecting x to c and c-a serve to define f'(x), under the assumption that those two lines are mapped to lines under f.) The remaining solid lines complete the Desargues configuration. The two perspective triangles are shaded in gray. The center of perspectivity lies at c-d, and the axis of perspectivity is the line spanned by t_1, t_2 , and t_3 .

Notation 2.3.11. Let $W \subset V_1^{\times 2}$ be the subset of pairs (c, d) such that the following conditions hold:

(1) $[c], [c-a], [d], [d-b] \notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$

46 2. THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY AND VARIANTS

- (2) The set of ten lines and ten points $(\mathcal{P}(c,d), \mathcal{M}(c,d))$ of (2.3.9) is a Desargues configuration.
- (3) The map f' takes every line in $\mathcal{M}(c,d) \setminus \{Sp(x,y)\}$ to a line in P_2 .

We can show W is nonempty as follows. Recall the function B from (2.3.0.2). **Proposition 2.3.12.** *If*

$$\frac{9B(q, G, N, \epsilon)}{q^{n+1} \prod_{i \in B} (1 - 2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})} + \frac{q^{n+4}}{q^{2n+2} \prod_{i \in B} (1 - 2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})^2} < 1$$

then $W \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let N_c be the number of elements $c \in V$ such that $[c], [c-a] \notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$. Then $N_c \geq q^{n+1} \prod_{i \in B} (1 - 2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})$ since we must avoid the two conditions $c = 0 \mod V_i$ or $c = a \mod V_i$ and these conditions are independent for different *i* since the V_i are transverse. The same logic holds for *d*, and so the number of pairs c, d satisfying (i) is at least

$$N_c N_d \ge q^{2n+2} \prod_{i \in B} (1 - 2q^{-\operatorname{codim} V_i})^2.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be the set of pairs c, d with a, b, c, d linearly independent, and $[c], [c-a] \notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$, i.e. satisfying conditions (i) and (ii).

The number of pairs for which a, b, c, d are linearly dependent is at most q^{n+4} . So

$$\#\mathcal{F} \ge N_c N_d - q^{n+4}$$

Now let \mathcal{F}^{0ac} be the set of $([c], [d]) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that f'([a]), f'([c]), and f'([c-a]) are not collinear. Similarly let \mathcal{F}^{acd} be the set of $([c], [d]) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that f'([c-a]), f'([d-c]), f'([d-a]) are not collinear, and similarly for all nine sets of three symbols from $\{0, a, b, c, d\}$ other than 0ab.

Let us show

$$#\mathcal{F}^{0ac} \le N_d B(q, N, G, \epsilon).$$

To do this, note that \mathscr{F} projects to $\mathscr{L}^2_{[a]}$ by sending ([c], [d]) to (Sp([a], [c]), [c], [c-a]). The key fact here is that $[c] \notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$ by the definition of \mathscr{F} .

If $(c, d) \in \mathcal{F}^{0ac}$, then the image of (c, d) under this projection fails the condition of (2.3.4). So the image of \mathcal{F}^{0ac} under this projection has cardinality at most $B(q, N, G, \epsilon)$. From the three points [a], [c], [c-a] and the vector a we can reconstruct c, so the fiber of each point under this projection is the number of possible choices for d, which is N_d . So indeed

$$#\mathscr{F}^{0ac} \le N_d B(q, N, G, \epsilon).$$

Similarly we can show

$$#\mathscr{F}^{0ad} \le N_c B(q, N, G, \epsilon)$$

using the projection $(c, d) \mapsto (Sp([a], [d]), [d], [d-a])$ that sends \mathcal{F} to $\mathcal{L}_x^{(2)}$. The key point is again that $[d] \notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$ and thus we can always take $y_1 = d$.

The projections

$$\begin{aligned} x &= [b], \, y_1 = [c], \, y_2 = [c-b], \, L = Sp([b], [c]) \\ x &= [b], \, y_1 = [d], \, y_2 = [d-b], \, L = Sp([b], [d]) \\ x &= [b-a], \, y_1 = [d-b], \, y_2 = [d-a], \, L = Sp([b-a], [d-b]) \\ x &= [b-a], \, y_1 = [c-a], \, y_2[c-b], \, L = Sp([b-a], [c-a]) \end{aligned}$$

give

$$\#\mathcal{F}^{0bc} \leq N_d B(q, N, G, \epsilon), \#\mathcal{F}^{0bd} \leq N_c B(q, N, G, \epsilon), \#\mathcal{F}^{abd} \leq N_c B(q, N, G, \epsilon), \mathcal{F}^{abc} \leq N_d B(q, N, G, \epsilon).$$

To bound $\#\mathcal{F}^{0cd}, \#\mathcal{F}^{acd}, \#\mathcal{F}^{bcd}$ we need a slightly different argument. Let us consider \mathcal{F}^{0cd} first. There are N_c possible values of c. For each value of c, every value of d with $(c,d) \in \mathcal{F}$ defines a triple $(Sp([c], [d]), [d], [d-c]) \in L^{(2)}_{[c]}$, and if $(c,d) \in \mathcal{F}^{0cd}$ then (Sp([c], [d]), [d], [d-c]) fails the conditions of (2.3.4). Hence for each vector c, the number of d with $(c,d) \in \mathcal{F}^{0cd}$ is at most $B(q, G, N, \epsilon)$ and so

$$#\mathcal{F}^{0cd} \le N_c B(q, G, N, \epsilon).$$

By the same argument, the projections

$$x = [d-a], L = Sp([c-a], [d-a]), y_1[c-a], y_2 = [d-c]$$
$$x = [c-b], L = Sp([c-b], [d-b]), y_1 = [d-b], y_2[d-c]$$

give

$$\#\mathcal{F}^{acd} \le N_d B(q, G, N, \epsilon), \#\mathbb{F}^{bcd} \le N_c B(q, G, N, \epsilon)$$

respectively.

Thus

$$\#(\mathcal{F}^{0ac} \cup \mathcal{F}^{0ad} \cup \mathcal{F}^{0bc} \cup \mathcal{F}^{0bd} \cup \mathcal{F}^{abc} \cup \mathcal{F}^{abd} \cup \mathcal{F}^{0cd} \cup \mathcal{F}^{acd} \cup \mathcal{F}^{bcd}) \leq (5N_c + 4N_d)B(q, G, N, \epsilon).$$

This union is the total number of triples that satisfy (i) and (ii) but fail (iii). So the total number of triples satisfying (i),(ii),(iii) is at least

$$N_c N_d - q^{n+4} - (5N_c + 4N_d)B(q, G, N, \epsilon).$$

To show this is nonzero, it suffices to show that

$$1 - \frac{q^{n+4}}{N_c N_d} - \left(\frac{5}{N_d} + \frac{4}{N_c}\right) B(q, G, N, \epsilon) > 0$$

which by our lower bound on N_c , N_d follows from our assumption

$$\frac{9B(q,G,N,\epsilon)}{q^{n+1}\prod_{i\in B}(1-2q^{-\operatorname{codim}V_i})} + \frac{q^{n+4}}{q^{2n+2}\prod_{i\in B}(1-2q^{-\operatorname{codim}V_i})^2} < 1.$$

2.3.13. We are now ready to give the proof of (2.3.1).

Proof of (2.3.1). We let f' be the map defined in (2.3.1). We refer in this proof to the diagram in Fig. (2.3.0.1).

Assuming the inequality of (2.3.12), we can choose $(c, d) \in W$, and let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{M}) = (\mathcal{P}(c, d), \mathcal{M}(c, d))$ be the resulting Desargues configuration. We have that all the lines in \mathcal{M} , except possibly for (t_1, t_2, t_3) , are taken to lines in P_2 under f'. Thus, in Fig. (2.3.0.1), the dotted, dashed, dot-dashed, and non-bold solid lines are all taken to lines under f'. On the other hand, the images of the dotted lines intersect at $f'(t_1)$, the images of the dashed lines intersect at $f'(t_2)$, and the images of the dot-dashed lines intersect at $f'(t_3)$. By Desargues theorem, $f'(t_1)$, $f'(t_2)$, and $f'(t_2)$ are collinear and distinct, lying on the axis of perspectivity for the image Desargues configuration. Note that this also implies that f' is injective.

CHAPTER 3

Divisorial structures and definable linear systems

This chapter is devoted to studying the basic theory of the *divisorial structure* associated to an algebraic variety X. This structure consists of the Zariski topological space |X| and the equivalence relation on the divisors given by linear equivalence. In following chapters we will use the theory of this chapter to reconstruct certain varieties from their divisorial structures.

Summary 3.0.1. Let us summarize the main consequences of the results in this section. Starting with a projective, normal, geometrically integral variety X over a field k we can consider the associated divisorial structure $\tau(X) = (|X|, \Lambda_X)$. From the divisorial structure we can extract several key pieces of information.

- (1) The basepoint-free and ample effective divisors and their linear systems are determined by $\tau(X)$. This is discussed in (3.2.8).
- (2) If κ_X is algebraically closed field, then for an ample basepoint-free linear system *P* the set of definable lines in *P* is by (3.3.7) characterized as those definable subsets with more than one element that are minimal with respect to inclusion. This set depends only on the divisorial structure.
- (3) If κ_X is an arbitrary infinite field and X has dimension at least 2, then there is an open set of definable lines in any very ample linear system $|\mathbb{O}_X(1)|$, and the bijections $|\mathbb{O}_X(1)|^{\text{set}} \to |\mathbb{O}_Y(1)|^{\text{set}}$ resulting from an isomorphism of divisorial structures send each of these lines to lines (by (2.2.1)).
- (4) If κ_X is an arbitrary infinite field and $\dim(X) \ge 2$ then for a very ample linear system *P* there is a Zariski open subset of the space of definable lines whose points correspond to lines which are taken to lines by any isomorphism of divisorial structures; see (3.3.13).

3.1. Divisorial structures

In this section we introduce the key structure that will ultimately be the subject of our main reconstruction theorem.

Notation 3.1.1. For a Zariski topological space Z we write Div(Z) for the set of divisors on Z. This is the free abelian group on the set of codimension 1 points of Z. When X is a variety, we will write Div(X) for Div(|X|).

Definition 3.1.2. Let *K* be a field. A normal, geometrically integral *K*-variety *X* is *divisorially proper over K* if $H^0(X, \mathbb{O}_X) = K$ and for any reflexive sheaf \mathcal{L} of rank 1 we have that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ is finite-dimensional over *K*.

Remark 3.1.3. One could more generally define the notion of divisorially proper for a normal K-variety, but for our main results we will always need the additional assumptions on X so we find the above definition more convenient.

Lemma 3.1.4. If a normal K-variety X is divisorially proper over K and $U \subset X$ is an open subvariety such that $\operatorname{codim}(X \setminus U \subset X) \ge 2$ at every point, then U is also divisorially proper over K.

Proof. The variety U is also geometrically integral, being schematically dense in X. Furthermore, the restriction map $K = H^0(X, \mathbb{O}_X) \to H^0(U, \mathbb{O}_U)$ is an isomorphism since $\operatorname{codim}(X \setminus U \subset X) \ge 2$ and X is normal. Finally, any reflexive sheaf \mathscr{L} of rank 1 on U is the restriction of a reflexive sheaf \mathscr{L}' of rank 1 on X, and Krull's theorem tells us that the restriction map

$$\Gamma(X, \mathscr{L}') \to \Gamma(U, \mathscr{L})$$

is an isomorphism of *K*-vector spaces.

3.1.5. We define a category \mathfrak{DP} , which we will refer to as the *category of divisorially proper varieties*, as follows.

The objects of \mathfrak{DP} consist of pairs (K, X), where K is a field and X/K is a divisorially proper K-variety. We usually write simply X, instead of (K, X), since the field K can be recovered as $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X)$.

A morphism

$$(K, X) \to (L, Y)$$

in \mathfrak{DP} is a pair (φ, j) , where $\varphi: L \to K$ is an isomorphism of fields and $j: X \to Y$ is a φ -linear open immersion of varieties such that $Y \setminus j(X)$ has codimension at least 2 in Y.

Remark 3.1.6. This definition can be simplified using the language of schemes. In this language an object of \mathfrak{DP} is a scheme X such that the following hold:

- (i) X is normal and $\kappa_X := \Gamma(X, \mathbb{O}_X)$ is a field.
- (ii) The natural map $f: X \to \text{Spec}(\kappa_X)$ is separated, of finite type, and has geometrically integral fibers.
- (iii) For every reflexive sheaf \mathcal{L} of rank 1 the κ_X -vector space $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ is finitedimensional.

Morphisms in \mathfrak{DP} are open immersions $j: X \to Y$ such that $Y \setminus j(X)$ has codimension at least 2.

Definition 3.1.7. A *divisorial structure* is a pair (Z, Λ) with Z a Zariski topological space and $\Lambda \subset \text{Div}(Z)$ is a subgroup.

Remark 3.1.8. Any divisor $D \subset \text{Div}(Z)$ can be written as a difference $D = D^+ - D^$ of effective divisors $D^+, D^- \in \text{Div}^+(Z)$. It follows that Λ can also be specified by an equivalence relation on the monoid $\text{Div}^+(Z)$, and conversely any equivalence relation on $\text{Div}^+(Z)$ compatible with the monoid structure (a so-called congruence relation) defines a subgroup of Div(Z).

Definition 3.1.9 (Restriction of a divisorial structure). Suppose $t := (Z, \Lambda)$ is a divisorial structure. Given an open subset $U \subset Z$, the *restriction of* t to U, denoted $t|_U$, is the divisorial structure (U, Λ_U) , where $\Lambda_U \subset \text{Div}(U)$ is the image of Λ under the restriction map $\text{Div}(Z) \to \text{Div}(U)$.

In other words, if we let $Div(X) \to Q$ denote the quotient by Λ , we define Λ_U by forming the pushout



in the category of abelian groups, and Λ_U is the kernel of $\text{Div}(U) \to Q_U$.

Definition 3.1.10 (Morphisms of divisorial structures). A morphism of divisorial structures

 $(Z,\Lambda) \to (Z',\Lambda')$

is an open immersion of topological spaces $f: Z \to Z'$ such that

 $\operatorname{Div}(f) : \operatorname{Div}(Z) \to \operatorname{Div}(Z')$

is a bijection and

 $\operatorname{Div}(f)(\Lambda) = \Lambda'.$

Notation 3.1.11. We will write \mathcal{T} for the category of divisorial structures.

Definition 3.1.12. The *divisorial structure* of an integral scheme X is the pair

 $\tau(X) := (|X|, \Lambda_X),$

where |X| is the underlying Zariski topological space of X and

 $\Lambda_X \subset \operatorname{Div}(X)$

is the subgroup of divisors rationally equivalent to 0.

Remark 3.1.13. The divisorial structure of an integral scheme X can be obtained from the data of the triple

$$(|X|, \operatorname{Cl}(X), c: X^{(1)} \to \operatorname{Cl}(X)),$$

where $X^{(1)}$ is the set of codimension 1 points of X. Indeed by the universal property of a free group on a set, giving the map c is equivalent to giving a map of groups

$$\operatorname{Div}(X) \to \operatorname{Cl}(X),$$

and the kernel of this map is Λ_X . Conversely, from Λ_X we obtain the class group as the quotient $\operatorname{Div}(X)/\Lambda_X$, and the map c is induced by the natural map $X^{(1)} \to \operatorname{Div}(X)$.

Formation of the divisorial structure defines a functor

$$(3.1.13.1) \qquad \qquad \Im \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{T}$$

One of the main results of this monograph is the following:

Theorem 3.1.14. The functor τ is fully faithful when restricted to the subcategory of \mathfrak{DP} of divisorially proper varieties of dimension ≥ 2 .

The proof of (3.1.14) will be given in Chapter 4 after some preliminary foundational work. **Remark 3.1.15.** Note that if (K, X) is a divisorially proper variety and $U \subset X$ is an open subset such that X - U has codimension ≥ 2 in X, then (K, U) is also a divisorially proper variety. In particular, if (K, X) and (L, Y) are divisorially proper varieties and

$$f:|X| \to |Y|$$

is a morphism of divisorial structures, then setting Y' equal to the open subscheme of Y given by the open subset $f(|X|) \subset |Y|$ we get a divisorially proper variety (L, Y') and an isomorphism of divisorial structures $|X| \simeq |Y'|$. From this it follows that in order to prove (3.1.14) it suffices to show the following: If (K, X) and (L, Y) are divisorially proper varieties then any isomorphism of divisorial structures

$$\tau(K,X) \simeq \tau(L,Y)$$

is induced by a unique isomorphism $(K, X) \simeq (L, Y)$ in \mathfrak{DP} . This is, in fact, the statement we show in Chapter 4.

3.2. Remarks on divisors

In this section we gather a few facts about divisors on normal varieties. Our main purpose is to demonstrate that some basic features of such varieties – such as the maximal factorial open subscheme – can be characterized purely in terms of the divisorial structure.

Fix a field k. For a normal k-variety X let

$$q: \operatorname{Div}(X) \to \operatorname{Cl}(X)$$

denote the quotient map to the class group. Given a divisor D on X, upon identifying |D| with the subset of effective divisors on X that are linearly equivalent to D, we have a set-theoretic equality

$$|D| = q^{-1}(q(D)) \cap \operatorname{Div}^+(X).$$

In particular, the linear system is defined *as a set* by the divisorial structure.

There is a reflexive sheaf of rank 1 canonically associated to D that we will write $\mathfrak{O}(D)$. Members of |D| are in bijection with sections $\mathfrak{O} \to \mathfrak{O}(D)$ in the usual way. Recall that D is Cartier if and only if $\mathfrak{O}(D)$ is an invertible sheaf on X.

Lemma 3.2.1. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subscheme. Then the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Div}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cl}(X) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Div}(U) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cl}(U) \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram in the category of abelian groups.

Proof. This follows from the observation the map on the kernels of the horizontal arrows is surjective. \Box

Corollary 3.2.2. If X is an integral scheme and $U \subset X$ is an open subscheme then the divisorial structure $\tau(U)$ is canonically isomorphic to the restriction $\tau(X)|_U$ (see (3.1.9)). *Proof.* By (3.2.1), we see that the induced relation on $\tau(X)|_U$ is precisely the relation for $\tau(U)$, giving the desired result.

Definition 3.2.3. Given a variety *X*, there is a largest open subset that is factorial. We refer to this open subset as the *maximal factorial open subset*.

Remark 3.2.4. The existence of the maximal factorial open subset follows from the basic observation that if $U, V \subset X$ are factorial open subsets then $U \cup V$ is also factorial. Note, however, that we are *not* asserting that the set of points $x \in X$ for which the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is factorial is equal to this open set. In some cases it is known that the set of such points is open, and hence equal to the maximal factorial open subset, but in general it is a subtle question (see [**BGS11**] for more discussion).

Proposition 3.2.5. Let X be a normal variety and let $D \subset X$ be a divisor.

- (1) If |D| is basepoint-free then D is Cartier.
- (2) If D is ample then D is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier.

Proof. Since X is quasi-compact, if D is ample we know that |nD| is basepoint-free for some n. Thus it suffices to prove the first statement. Given a point $x \in X$, choose $E \in |D|$ such that $x \notin E$. This gives some section $s : \mathfrak{O} \to \mathfrak{O}(D)$. Restricting to the local ring $R = \mathfrak{O}_{X,x}$, we see that $s_x : R \to \mathfrak{O}(D)_x$ is an isomorphism in codimension 1 (for otherwise E would be supported at x). Since $\mathfrak{O}(D)$ is reflexive, it follows that s_x is an isomorphism, whence $\mathfrak{O}(D)$ is invertible in a neighborhood of x. Since this holds at any $x \in X$, we conclude that $\mathfrak{O}(D)$ is invertible, as desired.

Corollary 3.2.6. A normal variety X is factorial if and only if it is covered by open subschemes $U \subset X$ with the property that every divisor class on U is basepoint-free.

Proof. If X is factorial, then any affine open covering has the desired property, since the linear system of any Cartier divisor on an affine scheme is basepoint-free. On the other hand, if X admits such a covering, then we know that every divisor class on X is locally Cartier, whence it is Cartier.

Proposition 3.2.7. If X is a normal k-variety then we can characterize the maximal factorial open subset of X as the union of all open subsets $U \subset X$ such that every divisor class on U is basepoint-free.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of (3.2.6).

The preceding discussion implies that various properties of a variety X and its divisors can be read off from the divisorial structure. We summarize this in the following.

Proposition 3.2.8. Let X be a normal variety and let

$$\tau(X) = (|X|, \Lambda_X)$$

be the associated divisorial structure. Then

- (1) the property that $D \in Div(X)$ has basepoint-free linear system |D| depends only on $\tau(X)$;
- (2) the property that X is factorial depends only on $\tau(X)$;
- (3) the maximal factorial open subset of X depends only on $\tau(X)$;
- (4) the condition that a divisor D is ample depends only on $\tau(X)$.

 \square

Proof. Let

$$q: \operatorname{Div}(X) \to \operatorname{Cl}(X)$$

denote the quotient map defined by Λ_X , so that for $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ we have $|D| = q^{-1}(q(D)) \cap \text{Div}^+(X)$. The condition that |D| is base point free is the statement that for every $x \in |X|$ there exists $E \in |D|$ such that $x \notin E$. Evidently this depends only on $\tau(X)$, proving (1).

Likewise the condition that a divisor D is ample is the statement that the open sets defined by elements of |nD| for $n \ge 0$ give a base for the topology on |X|. Again this clearly only depends on $\tau(X)$, proving (4).

Statement (2) follows from (3.2.6) and (3.2.1), which implies that the divisorial structure $\tau(U)$ for an open subset $U \subset X$ is determined by $|U| \subset |X|$ and $\tau(X)$.

Finally (3) follows from (3.2.7).

3.2.9. The proofs of our main results will ultimately rely on reducing to the projective case. For the remainder of this section, we record some results about polarizations that we will need later.

Lemma 3.2.10. Suppose given two divisorially proper varieties $X, Y \in \mathfrak{DP}$ and an isomorphism $\varphi : \tau(X) \to \tau(Y)$ of the associated divisorial structures. If X is polarizable and factorial then so is Y and the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Div}(X) \to \operatorname{Div}(Y)$$

given by φ preserves the classes of ample, basepoint-free, effective divisors.

Proof. Since X is factorial all divisors are Cartier divisors. By (3.2.8), Y is also factorial and polarizable, and the submonoids of ample base point free divisors are preserved.

Definition 3.2.11. Suppose $X \in \mathfrak{DP}$ is a divisorially proper variety. An open subscheme $U \subset X$ will be called *essential* if $\operatorname{codim}(X \setminus U \subset X) \ge 2$, U is factorial, and U is polarizable.

Note that if $U \subset X$ is essential, then the natural restriction map $Div(X) \rightarrow Div(U)$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 3.2.12. If X is a normal k-variety then there is an open subvariety $U \subset X$ such that $\operatorname{codim}(X \setminus U \subset X) \ge 2$ and U is quasi-projective. In particular, any $X \in \mathfrak{DP}$ contains an essential open subset $U \subset X$.

Proof. By Chow's lemma, there is a proper birational morphism $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ with \widetilde{X} quasi-projective. Since X is normal, π is an isomorphism in codimension 1. Thus, \widetilde{X} and X have a common open subset U whose complement in X has codimension at least 2, and which is quasi-projective. Passing to the maximal factorial open subset yields the second statement.

Lemma 3.2.13. Suppose $X, Y \in \mathfrak{DP}$ are divisorially proper varieties and $\varphi : \tau(X) \rightarrow \tau(Y)$ is an isomorphism of divisorial structures. If $U \subset X$ is an essential open subset then $\varphi(U) \subset Y$ is an essential open subset and there is an induced isomorphism $\tau(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau(\varphi(U))$.

Proof. First note that since φ induces a homeomorphism $|X| \to |Y|$, we have that $\operatorname{codim}(X \setminus Y \subset X) = \operatorname{codim}(Y \setminus \varphi(U) \subset Y)$. In particular, if U is divisorially proper then so is $\varphi(U)$ by (3.1.4). By (3.1.9), we have isomorphisms

$$\tau(X)|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau(U)$$

and

$$\tau(Y)|_{\varphi(U)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau(\varphi(U)).$$

On the other hand, φ induces an isomorphism $\tau(X)|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau(Y)|_{\varphi(U)}$. The result thus follows from (3.2.10).

3.3. Definable subspaces in linear systems

Fix a divisorially proper variety $X \in \mathfrak{DP}$ with infinite constant field. Let P := |D| be the linear system associated to an effective divisor D.

Definition 3.3.1. A subspace $V \subset P$ is *definable* if there is a subset $Z \subset X$ such that

$$V = V(Z) := \{ E \in P \mid Z \subset E \}.$$

Remark 3.3.2. If $Z \subset X$ is a subset and $Z' \subset X$ is the closure of Z then V(Z) = V(Z'). When considering definable subspaces it therefore suffices to consider subspaces defined by closed subsets.

Remark 3.3.3. Note that V(Z) is the projective space associated to the kernel of the restriction map

$$H^0(X, \mathfrak{O}_X(D)) \to H^0(Z_{\mathrm{red}}, \mathfrak{O}_X(D)|_{Z_{\mathrm{red}}})$$

where we write $Z_{red} \subset X$ for the reduced subscheme associated to the subspace $Z \subset |X|$.

3.3.4. When rational points are dense. Let κ_X denote the constant field of X. As we now explain, when the κ_X -points in X are Zariski dense, the structure of definable subspaces is significantly simpler than in the general case.

Lemma 3.3.5. Assume that the κ_X -points are dense in X, and let V = V(Z) be a nonempty definable subset of a linear system P on X. Then there is an ascending chain of closed subsets

$$Z = Z_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Z_n$$

such that the induced chain

$$V(Z) = V(Z_1) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V(Z_n)$$

is a full flag of linear subspaces ending in a point.

Proof. By induction, it suffices to produce $Z_2 \supseteq Z_1 = Z$ such that $V(Z_2) \subseteq V(Z_1)$ has codimension 1.

For this note that if $x \in X$ is a κ_X -point then either

$$V(Z \cup \{x\}) = V(Z)$$

or $V(Z \cup \{x\})$ has codimension 1 in V(Z) since x is κ_X -rational. Furthermore, equality happens if and only if $x \in E$ for all $E \in V(Z)$. It therefore suffices to observe that there exists a point $x \in X(\kappa_X)$ which does not lie in every element of V(Z). This follows from

fixing $E \in V(Z)$, noting that E is a proper closed subset, and applyingour assumption that the κ_X -points are Zariski dense.

Corollary 3.3.6. The dimension of *P* is equal to one more than the length of a maximal chain of definable subsets.

Proof. Take $Z = \emptyset$ in (3.3.5).

Corollary 3.3.7. Assume that the κ_X -points are dense in X. Given a basepoint-free linear system P on X, the definable lines in P are precisely those definable subsets with more than one element that are minimal with respect to inclusions of definable subsets.

Proof. By (3.3.5), any definable set of higher dimension contains a definable line. \Box

Example 3.3.8. The conclusions of (3.3.5) and (3.3.7) are false without the assumption that the κ_X -points are dense. For example, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{R}}$ be the conic given by

$$V(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{R}},$$

so we have an isomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{C}} \simeq X \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$. If \mathscr{L} is an ample invertible sheaf on X then $\sigma^* \mathscr{L}_{\mathbf{C}} \simeq \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{C}}}(2n)$ for n > 0. From this we see that each closed point $x \in X$ imposes a codimension 2 condition on $|\mathscr{L}|$, and since this projective space has dimension dimension 2n we conclude that there are no definable lines in any ample linear system on X.

3.3.9. **Arbitrary infinite constant fields.** Detecting lines is more subtle over arbitrary fields. This is closely related to the counterexamples to (3.1.14) for curves discussed in Section 4.3.

Lemma 3.3.10. Let \mathscr{L} be a line bundle on X with associated linear system $\mathbf{P}(V)$, where $V := H^0(X, \mathscr{L})$. Let $\ell \subset \mathbf{P}(V)$ be a line corresponding to a two-dimensional subspace $T \subset V$. Let $Z' \subset X$ be the maximal reduced closed subscheme of the intersection of the zero-loci of elements of T. Then ℓ is definable if and only if the dimension of the kernel

$$K := \ker(H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \to H^0(Z', \mathcal{L}|_{Z'}))$$

is equal to 2.

Proof. First suppose ℓ is definable, so we can write $\ell = V(Z)$ for some closed subset $Z \subset |X|$, which we view as a subscheme with the reduced structure. Then by definition ℓ is the projective subspace of $\mathbb{P}V$ associated to the kernel of the map

$$H^0(X, \mathscr{L}) \to H^0(Z, \mathscr{L}|_Z),$$

which must therefore equal *T*. In particular, we have $Z \subset Z'$, which implies that

$$T \subset K = \ker(H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \to H^0(Z', \mathcal{L}|_{Z'})) \subset \ker(H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \to H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}|_Z)) = T.$$

It follows that K = T, and, in particular, K has dimension 2.

Conversely, if *K* has dimension 2 then we have T = K and $\ell = V(Z')$.

Lemma 3.3.11. If Y is a projective variety over a field K and $D \subset Y$ is a Cartier divisor such that $H^0(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y) \to H^0(D, \mathfrak{G}_D)$ is surjective (for example, if D is geometrically connected and geometrically reduced), then $H^1(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y(-D)) \to H^1(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y)$ is injective.

 \square

Proof. This follows from taking cohomology of the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{G}_Y(-D) \to \mathfrak{G}_Y \to \mathfrak{G}_D \to 0$$

Lemma 3.3.12. Let Y be an integral, projective variety over a field K and let \mathcal{L} be an ample invertible sheaf with sections $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L})$. Let D_i be the zero locus of s_i , and assume that $D_1 \cap D_2$ is geometrically reduced and of codimension 2. Then

- (1) D_1 and D_2 are generically reduced.
- (2) If Y is geometrically integral and for i = 1, 2 the intersection $D_i \cap Y_{\text{norm}}$ of D_i with the normal locus of Y is schematically dense in D_i , then

$$\dim_K H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}(-(D_1 \cap D_2)) = 2,$$

where $\mathscr{L}(-(D_1 \cap D_2))$ denotes the tensor product of \mathscr{L} with the ideal sheaf of $D_1 \cap D_2$.

Proof. For (1) we show that D_1 is generically reduced (the result for D_2 follows by symmetry). Since $D_1 \cap D_2$ is reduced and of codimesion 2, $D_1 \cap D_2$ has a dense open subset that is regular. In a neighborhood of such a point $x \in D_1 \cap D_2$ the divisor D_1 is regular [Sta15, Tag 00NU], and therefore every irreducible component of D_1 which meets the regular locus of $D_1 \cap D_2$ is generically reduced. To complete the proof of (1) it suffices to note that every irreducible component of D_1 meets the regular locus of $D_1 \cap D_2$ is generically reduced. To complete the proof of (1) it suffices to note that every irreducible component of D_1 meets the regular locus of $D_1 \cap D_2$ has codimension ≥ 3 in Y and therefore codimension ≥ 2 in each irreducible component of D_1 . Since D_2 meets every irreducible component of D_1 , since \mathcal{L} is ample, this proves (1).

To prove (2) note that since Y_{norm} is S_2 the divisors $D_1 \cap Y_{\text{norm}}$ and $D_2 \cap Y_{\text{norm}}$ are S_1 , and by (1) they are also R_0 and therefore $D_1 \cap Y_{\text{norm}}$ and $D_2 \cap Y_{\text{norm}}$ are reduced [Sta15, Tag 0344]. By our assumption that $D_i \cap Y_{\text{norm}}$ is schematically dense in D_i it follows that D_1 and D_2 are reduced. In fact, we claim that D_1 and D_2 are geometrically reduced. We give the proof for D_1 . Since D_1 is reduced, for any dense open subset $j: U_1 \hookrightarrow D_1$ the map

$$\mathfrak{O}_{D_1} \to j_* \mathfrak{O}_{U_1}$$

is injective. The formation of this map commutes with passing to a finite extension of k, and therefore the same holds after making a finite extension of k. Combining this with (1) we get that D_1 is geometrically reduced, and the divisor D_1 is also geometrically connected since \mathcal{L} is ample and Y is geometrically integral. To get statement (2) from this, note that since D_1 and D_2 are reduced we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}^{-1} \xrightarrow{(s_1, s_2)} \mathfrak{G}_Y \oplus \mathfrak{G}_Y \xrightarrow{(s_2, -s_1)} \mathscr{L}(-(D_1 \cap D_2)) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which we get (2) by taking cohomology and applying (3.3.11).

Proposition 3.3.13. Let $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ be a very ample invertible sheaf on X with associated linear system $P = |\mathfrak{G}_X(1)|$. Let $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ be the compatification of X provided by the given projective imbedding. Let $\mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(1)$ be the line bundle on \overline{X} obtained from the embedding so $|\mathfrak{G}_X(1)| = |\mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(1)|$.

(1) Let $V \subset Gr(1, P)(k)$ be the subset of lines ℓ spanned by elements D and E on \overline{X} for which D is geometrically reduced, E is geometrically integral, the

intersection $B := E \cap D \subset \overline{X}$ is geometrically reduced and does not contain any components of D or E, and the inclusions

$$D \cap X \hookrightarrow D, \ E \cap X \hookrightarrow E, \ B \cap X \hookrightarrow B$$

are all schematically dense. Then V is a dense Zariski open subset of Gr(1, P) and every element of V is definable.

(2) If $D \in |\mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(1)| = P$ is a geometrically reduced divisor in \overline{X} for which $D \cap X \subset D$ is dense, then D lies in the sweep of the maximal Zariski open subset of the definable locus in $\operatorname{Gr}(1, P)$.

Proof. Let *D* and *E* be as in (1). Since $B \cap X \subset B$ is schematically dense, we have by (3.3.2)

$$V(B \cap X) = V(B).$$

By (3.3.10) it therefore suffices to show that $V(B) \subset P$ is a line. For this we apply (3.3.12) with $Y = \overline{X}$, $\mathscr{L} = \mathbb{O}_{\overline{X}}(1)$, $D_1 = E$, and $D_2 = D$. Note that $D_1 \cap D_2 = B$ is geometrically reduced by assumption. Furthermore, since X is normal, being a divisorially proper variety, and $X \cap D \hookrightarrow D$ and $E \cap X \hookrightarrow E$ are schematically dense the conditions in (3.3.12(2)) are satisfied and we conclude that V(B) is a line. Finally note that the conditions on D and E are open conditions. Therefore to prove (1) it suffices to show that V is nonempty, which follows from Bertini's theorem [FOV99, 3.4.10 and 3.4.14].

In fact, given geometrically reduced D with $D \cap X \subset D$ dense, the set of E such that (D, E) satisfy the conditions in (1) is open and nonempty by [FOV99, 3.4.14]. From this statement (2) also follows.

Corollary 3.3.14. Let $\sigma : \tau(X) \to \tau(Y)$ be an isomorphism of divisorial structures such that there are very ample invertible sheaves $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_Y(1)$ with σ inducing a bijection

$$s: |\mathfrak{O}_X(1)| \to |\mathfrak{O}_Y(1)|.$$

The map s satisfies the hypotheses of (2.2.1).

Proof. Indeed, the locus of lines described in (3.3.13(1)) suffices. Note that we know that the linear systems have dimension at least 2 because X and Y have dimension at least 2 and the linear systems are very ample.

Example 3.3.15. In general the set of definable lines in Gr(1, P) is not open. An explicit example is the following.

Consider three k-points $A, B, C \in \mathbb{P}_k^2$, say A = [0 : 0 : 1], B = [0 : 1 : 0], and C = [1 : 0 : 0]. For a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$ passing through A set

 $T_L := \{F \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_k, \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_k}(2)) | V(F) \text{ passes through } A, B, C \text{ and is tangent to } L \text{ at } A\}.$

Concretely if X, Y, and Z are the coordinates on \mathbb{P}^2_k and L is given by

$$\alpha X + \beta Y = 0,$$

then T_L is given by

$$T_L = \{aXY + b(\alpha X + \beta Y)Z | a, b \in j\}.$$

In particular, T_L gives a line ℓ_L in \mathbb{P}^2_k .

If α and β are nonzero then L does not pass through B and C and the set-theoretic base locus of T_L is equal to $\{A, B, C\}$ and the space of degree two polynomials passing through these three points has dimension 3. Therefore for such L the line ℓ_L is not definable.

However, for *L* the lines X = 0 or Y = 0 the line ℓ_L is definable. Indeed in this case the set-theoretic base locus of ℓ_L is given by the union of the line *L* together with a third point not on the line, from which one sees that T_L is definable.

Letting α and β vary we obtain a 1-parameter family of lines $\mathbb{P}^1 \simeq \Sigma \subset \operatorname{Gr}(1, |\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_k}(2)|)$ whose general member is not definable but with two points giving definable lines. It follows that the definable locus is not open in this case.

CHAPTER 4

Reconstruction from divisorial structures: infinite fields

In this chapter we prove (3.1.14) in the case when the constant fields are infinite. Suppose X and Y are divisorially proper varieties of dimension at least 2 with infinite constant fields. We need to show that given an isomorphism $\varphi : \tau(X) \to \tau(Y)$ of divisorial structures, there is a unique isomorphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ such that $\tau(f) = \varphi$. Fixing an ample invertible sheaf \mathscr{L}_X on X, we get by applying φ an invertible sheaf \mathscr{L}_Y on Y, which is ample by (3.2.8). The map φ induces bijections

$$|\mathscr{L}_X^{\otimes n}|^{\mathrm{set}} \to |\mathscr{L}_Y^{\otimes n}|^{\mathrm{set}}$$

for all n, and our challenge is to show that these are algebraic maps that can be lifted to isomorphisms between the homogeneous coordinate rings of X and Y. This will be achieved by showing that these bijections preserve definable lines, which span dense open subsets in the relevant spaces of lines, and applying the fundamental theorem of definable projective geometry, (2.1.5).

4.1. Reduction to the quasi-projective case

Lemma 4.1.1. If X is a variety over a field K then for any point $x \in X$ we have that

$$\overline{\{x\}} = \bigcap \overline{\{y\}}$$

the intersection taken over all points $y \in X$ of codimension at most 1 such that $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that, for all $z \in X$ with $z \notin \overline{\{x\}}$, there exists a codimension 1 point $y \in X$ such that $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ but $z \notin \overline{\{y\}}$. Since X is a variety, in particular a separated scheme, the condition that $z \notin \overline{\{x\}}$ is equivalent to the condition that $\mathcal{O}_{X,z}$ is not contained in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. We can therefore find $f \in \kappa(X)$ in the function field of X such that f has a pole at x but is regular at z. The divisor of poles of f then contains x but not z.

 \square

Lemma 4.1.2. Suppose $f, g : |X| \to |Y|$ are homeomorphisms of the underlying spaces of two varieties. Given an open subset $U \subset |X|$ containing all points of codimension 1, if $f|_U = g|_U$ then f = g.

Proof. By (4.1.1), we can characterize any point $x \in X$ as the unique generic point of an irreducible intersection of closures of codimension ≤ 1 points. But f and g establish the same bijection on the sets of points of codimension ≤ 1 , and, since they are homeomorphisms, therefore the same bijections on the closures of those points. The result follows.

Lemma 4.1.3. Suppose X and Y are normal varieties, $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ are dense open subvarieties with complements of codimension at least 2. Suppose $f : |X| \to |Y|$

is a homeomorphism of Zariski topological spaces such that f(U) = V and $f|_U$ is the underlying map of an isomorphism $\tilde{f}_U : U \to V$ of schemes. Then \tilde{f}_U extends to a unique isomorphism of schemes $\tilde{f} : X \to Y$ whose underlying morphism of topological spaces is f.

Proof. Let us first show that \tilde{f}_U extends to a morphism of schemes $\tilde{f} : X \to Y$. If $W_1, W_2 \subset X$ are two open subsets and $\tilde{f}_{W_i} : W_i \to Y$ (i = 1, 2) are morphisms of schemes such that \tilde{f}_{W_i} and \tilde{f}_U agree on $W_i \cap U$, then since Y is separated the morphisms \tilde{f}_{W_1} and \tilde{f}_{W_2} agree on $W_1 \cap W_2$. To extend \tilde{f}_U it therefore suffices to show that \tilde{f}_U extends locally on X. In particular, by covering X by open subsets of the form $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\operatorname{Spec}(A))$ for affines $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \subset Y$, we are reduced to proving the existence of an extension in the case when $Y = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is affine. In this case, to give a morphism of schemes $X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$, it suffices to give a morphism $\tilde{f}_U : U \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ extends uniquely to a morphism $\tilde{f} : X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$, and we get the desired extension \tilde{f} .

Applying the same argument to the inverse of f, and using that X is separated, we see that in fact \tilde{f} is an isomorphism. In particular, its underlying map of topological spaces is a homeomorphism and agrees with f on |U|. We conclude by (4.1.2) that $|\tilde{f}| = f$.

4.1.4. From this we get that in order to prove (3.1.14) it suffices to prove it assuming that X is quasi-projective. Indeed by (3.2.13), there are essential open subsets $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ such that $V = \varphi(U)$ and τ induces an isomorphism $\tau(U) \to \tau(V)$. If we know the result in the quasi-projective case then the homeomorphism $|U| \to |V|$ induced by φ extends to an algebraic isomorphism $f_U : U \to V$ such that $\tau(f) = \varphi|_U$. By (4.1.3), f extends uniquely to an isomorphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ such that $\tau(f) = \varphi$.

4.2. The quasi-projective case

4.2.1. For remainder of the proof we assume furthermore that X is quasi-projective, and that the ground field k is infinite. Let $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ denote a very ample invertible sheaf on X and for $m \ge 1$ let

$$\Sigma : |\mathfrak{O}_X(1)|^{\times m} \to |\mathfrak{O}_X(m)|$$

denote the addition map on divisors. So a point of $|\mathbb{O}_X(1)|^{\times m}$ is given by a collection of divisors $\underline{D} = (D_1, \ldots, D_m)$ and $\Sigma(\underline{D})$ corresponds to the divisor $D_1 + \cdots + D_m$.

Lemma 4.2.2. For a general point \underline{D} of $|\mathbb{G}_X(1)|^{\times m}$ the point $\Sigma(\underline{D}) \in |\mathbb{G}_X(m)|$ lies in the sweep of the maximal Zariski open subset of the set of definable lines in $\operatorname{Gr}(1, |\mathbb{G}_X(1)|)$.

Proof. Let \overline{X} be the projective closure of X in the embedding given by $\mathfrak{O}_X(1)$. Note that \overline{X} is also geometrically integral. Indeed if $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ is the inclusion then the map $\mathfrak{O}_{\overline{X}} \to j_* \mathfrak{O}_X$ is injective, and remains injective after base field extension. Since X is geometrically integral it follows that \overline{X} is as well.

By Bertini's theorem [FOV99, 3.4.14], for a general choice of $\underline{D} \in |\mathbb{G}_{\overline{X}}(1)|^{\times m} = |\mathbb{G}_X(1)|^{\times m}$ the point $\Sigma(\underline{D}) \in |\mathbb{G}_{\overline{X}}(m)|$ satisfies the conditions on D in (3.3.13 (2)) and the result follows.

Corollary 4.2.3. Let X be a k-variety and $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ a very ample invertible sheaf on X. Given a regular closed point $z \in X$, we have that

$$(4.2.3.1) {z} = \bigcap |D| \subset |X|,$$

the intersection taken over all irreducible divisors D in $|\mathbb{O}_X(m)|$ for all m.

Proof. This follows from Bertini's theorem. Precisely, by [Sta15, Tag 0FD5] there exists an integer m > 0 such that if

$$V_m := \operatorname{Ker}(H^0(X, \mathfrak{O}_X(m)) \to \mathfrak{O}_X(m)(z))$$

then the map

$$X - \{z\} \to \mathbb{P}(V_m)$$

is an immersion. We then get the result by cutting with hyperplanes.

Corollary 4.2.4. (1) With notation and assumptions as in (4.2.3), let $z \in X$ be a regular closed point and let $U \subset V_m$ be an open dense subset of the space V_m of divisors in $|\mathfrak{G}_X(m)|$ passing through z. Then there exists an integer r > 0 and irreducible divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_r \in U \subset |\mathfrak{G}_X(m)|$ such that

$$\{z\} = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_r.$$

(2) If X is a divisorially proper variety of dimension at least 2 with constant field k and very ample invertible sheaf $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$, then for any regular closed point $z \in X$ there exists an integer m > 0 and divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_r \in |\mathfrak{G}_X(m)|$ in the sweep of the maximal open subset of the definable lines in $|\mathfrak{G}_X(m)|$ such that

$$\{z\} = D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r.$$

Proof. (1) By (4.2.3) there exists an integer r and irreducible divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_r \in |\mathbb{O}_X(m)|$ such that

$$\{z\}=D_1\cap\cdots\cap D_r.$$

Now observe that the locus of tuples (D_1, \ldots, D_r) form a Zariski open subset of $|\mathbb{O}_X(m)|^r$ and therefore has nonempty intersection with U^r .

To prove (2), it suffices by (1) and Item ((3.3.13 (2))) to show that for m sufficiently large there is a dense open subset $U \subset V_m$ of geometrically reduced and irreducible divisors. For this let \overline{X} be the closure of X in the projective embedding provided by $\mathfrak{O}_X(1)$, and let $\mathfrak{O}_{\overline{X}}(1)$ be the canonical extension of $\mathfrak{O}_X(1)$ to \overline{X} . Since a divisorially proper variety is geometrically reduced (see (3.1.6)) and $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ is schematically dense, the projective variety \overline{X} is geometrically reduced. Now apply (10.1.16) to \overline{X} , with $Z_i = X$ (with a single index *i*) and $W = \{z\}$.

Proposition 4.2.5. Suppose X and Y are divisorially proper varieties of dimension at least 2 with infinite constant fields, and assume that X is polarizable. Given an isomorphism $\varphi : \tau(X) \to \tau(Y)$, the associated homeomorphism $|X| \to |Y|$ extends to an isomorphism $X \to Y$ in \mathfrak{DP} .

Proof. Let D be an ample basepoint-free divisor with $\mathfrak{G}_X(D) = \mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ and let $\mathfrak{G}_Y(1)$ denote $\mathfrak{G}_Y(\varphi(D))$. After possibly taking a power of our choice of polarization, we may assume that $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_Y(1)$ are very ample. Note that we are not asserting that we can detect very ampleness from $\tau(X)$ and $\tau(Y)$, just that we know that such a multiple must exist, so we are free to choose one.

By (3.0.1 (4)), for each m > 0, the sets of definable lines in the Grassmannians of $|\mathfrak{G}_X(m)|$ and $|\mathfrak{G}_Y(m)|$ contain dense Zariski open sets, and thus by (2.1.5), there is an isomorphism $\sigma_m : \kappa_X \to \kappa_Y$ and a σ_m -linear isomorphism $\gamma_m : |\mathfrak{G}_X(m)| \to |\mathfrak{G}_Y(m)|$ that agrees with φ on a dense Zariski open subset $U \subset |\mathfrak{G}_X(m)|$.

Consider the diagram of addition maps

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{O}_X(m)| & \longrightarrow & |\mathfrak{O}_Y(m)| \\ \Sigma_X \uparrow & \Sigma_Y \uparrow \\ |\mathfrak{O}_X(1)|^{\times m} & \longrightarrow & |\mathfrak{O}_Y(1)|^{\times m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since a general sum of divisors in $\mathcal{O}(1)$ lies in the sweep of the maximal open subset of the definable points by (4.2.2), we see that the associated diagram of sets

(4.2.5.1)
$$\begin{array}{c} |\mathfrak{G}_X(m)| \xrightarrow{\gamma_m} |\mathfrak{G}_Y(m)| \\ \Sigma_X \uparrow \qquad \Sigma_Y \uparrow \\ |\mathfrak{G}_X(1)|^{\times m} \xrightarrow{\gamma_1^{\times m}} |\mathfrak{G}_Y(1)|^{\times m} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Lemma 4.2.6. The two isomorphisms of fields $\sigma_1, \sigma_m : \kappa_X \to \kappa_Y$ are equal.

Remark 4.2.7. The basic reason why this is non-trivial is that the map Σ does not preserve the linear structure.

Proof. Let $U_1 \subset |\mathfrak{G}_X(1)|$ (resp. $U_m \subset |\mathfrak{G}_X(m)|$) be the sweep of the maximal open subset in the set of definable lines in $|\mathfrak{G}_X(1)|$ (resp. $|\mathfrak{G}_X(m)|$). Then $U_1^{\times m} \subset |\mathfrak{G}_X(1)|^{\times m}$ is a nonempty Zariski open subset, and therefore

$$V := (\Sigma_X)^{-1}(U_m) \cap U_1^{\times m} \subset |\mathfrak{G}_X(1)|^{\times m}$$

is a Zariski open subset of $|\mathbb{O}_X(1)|^{\times m}$. We can therefore find points

$$P, Q, R, P_2, \ldots, P_m \in |\mathfrak{O}_X(1)|$$

such that the three points of $|\mathfrak{G}_X(1)|^{\times m}$ given by

$$(P, P_2, \ldots, P_m), (Q, P_2, \ldots, P_m), (R, P_2, \ldots, P_m)$$

lie in V and $P, Q, R \in |\mathbb{O}_X(1)|$ are collinear. Since γ_1 and γ_m agree with the maps defined by φ on U_1 and U_m it follows that we have

$$(\gamma_m \circ \Sigma_X)(P, P_2, \dots, P_m) = (\Sigma_Y \circ \gamma_1^{\times m})(P, P_2, \dots, P_m)$$
 (call this point $\overline{P} \in |\mathbb{G}_Y(m)|)$

$$(\gamma_m \circ \Sigma_X)(Q, P_2, \dots, P_m) = (\Sigma_Y \circ \gamma_1^{\times m})(Q, P_2, \dots, P_m)$$
 (call this point $\overline{Q} \in |\mathfrak{G}_Y(m)|),$

$$(\gamma_m \circ \Sigma_X)(R, P_2, \dots, P_m) = (\Sigma_Y \circ \gamma_1^{\times m})(R, P_2, \dots, P_m)$$
 (call this point $\overline{R} \in [\mathfrak{G}_Y(m)]),$

Let $\overline{L} \subset |\mathfrak{G}_Y(m)|$ be the line through \overline{P} and \overline{Q} , and let $L \subset |\mathfrak{G}_X(1)|$ be the line through P and Q. Then

$$\Sigma_X(L \times \{P_2\} \times \cdots \{P_m\})$$

is the line in $|\mathbb{O}_X(m)|$ through the two points

$$\Sigma_X(P, P_2, \ldots, P_m), \ \Sigma_X(Q, P_2, \ldots, P_m).$$

Since γ_m takes lines to lines it follows that

$$(\gamma_X \circ \Sigma_X)(L \times \{P_2\} \times \cdots \{P_m\}) = \overline{L}.$$

Similarly since γ_1 takes lines to lines and agrees on U_1 with the map defined by φ , we find that

$$(\Sigma_Y \circ \gamma_1^{\times m})(L \times \{P_2\} \times \cdots \{P_m\}) = \overline{L}.$$

Since $\gamma_m \circ \Sigma_X$ and $\Sigma_Y \circ \gamma_1^{\times m}$ agree on a dense open subset of L, viewed as imbedded in $|\mathbb{O}_X(1)|^{\times m}$ via the identification

$$L \simeq L \times \{P_2\} \times \cdots \times \{P_m\}$$

we conclude that the two compositions

$$\kappa_X \xrightarrow{\alpha} L \subset |\mathfrak{O}_X(1)|^{\times m} \xrightarrow{\gamma_m \circ \Sigma_X} \overline{L} \xrightarrow{\beta^{-1}} \kappa_Y$$

and

$$\kappa_X \xrightarrow{\alpha} L \subset |\mathfrak{O}_X(1)|^{\times m} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_Y \circ \gamma_1^{\times m}} \overline{L} \xrightarrow{\beta^{-1}} \kappa_Y$$

agree on all but finitely many elements of κ_X , where $\alpha : \kappa_X \simeq L$ (resp. $\beta : \kappa_Y \simeq \overline{L}$) is the isomorphism obtained as in the proof of (2.1.5) using the three points P, Q, R (resp. $\overline{P}, \overline{Q}, \overline{R}$). Now the first of these maps is the map σ_m and the second is σ_1 . We conclude that $\sigma_1(a) = \sigma_m(a)$ for all but finitely many elements $a \in \kappa_X$, which implies that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_m$.

In the rest of the proof we write $\sigma : \kappa_X \to \kappa_Y$ for the isomorphism $\sigma_m = \sigma_1$. Next observe that the diagram of schemes

(4.2.7.1)

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{G}_X(m)|^{\operatorname{var}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_m} |\mathfrak{G}_Y(m)|^{\operatorname{var}} \\ \Sigma_X \uparrow & \Sigma_Y \uparrow \\ (|\mathfrak{G}_X(1)|^{\operatorname{var}})^{\times m} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_1^{\times m}} (|\mathfrak{G}_Y(1)|^{\operatorname{var}})^{\times m} \end{aligned}$$

underlying (4.2.5.1) commutes, since the two morphisms obtained by going around the different directions of the diagram are semi-linear with respect to the same field isomorphism and agree on a dense set of points.

Consider the embeddings

$$\nu_X: X \hookrightarrow |\mathfrak{O}_X(1)|^{\vee}$$

and

$$\nu_Y: Y \hookrightarrow |\mathfrak{O}_Y(1)|^{\vee}$$

and let \overline{X} (resp. \overline{Y}) be the scheme-theoretic closure of $\nu_X(X)$ (resp. $\nu_Y(Y)$). Let S_X (resp. S_Y) be the symmetric algebra on $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{O}_X(1))$ (resp. $\Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{O}_Y(1))$) so \overline{X} (resp. \overline{Y}) is given by a graded ideal $I_{\overline{X}} \subset S_X$ (resp. $I_{\overline{Y}} \subset S_Y$).

Choosing a lift

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_1: \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(1)) \to \Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y(1))$$

yields an induced σ -linear isomorphism of graded rings

$$\gamma^{\natural}: S_X \to S_Y$$

that is uniquely defined up to scalars. We claim that $\gamma^{\natural}(I_{\overline{X}}) = I_{\overline{Y}}$.

For this, consider the diagram

$$\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(m)) \xrightarrow{\gamma_m} \Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y(m))$$

$$\stackrel{p_X}{\uparrow} \qquad \qquad \uparrow^{p_Y}$$

$$S_X^m = \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(1))^{\otimes m} \xrightarrow{\gamma_m^{\natural}} \Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y(1))^{\otimes m} = S_Y^m$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(1))^{\times m} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\gamma}^{\times m}} \Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y(1))^{\times m}$$

arising as follows. The vertical arrows are the natural multiplication maps, and the induced linear maps from the universal property of \otimes . The arrow $\tilde{\gamma}_m$ is a lift of γ_m . By the commutativity of diagram (4.2.7.1), we see that this diagram commutes (up to suitably scaling $\tilde{\gamma}_m$), which implies that $\gamma_m^{\natural}(I_{\overline{X},m}) = I_{\overline{Y},m}$, as desired.

In summary, we have shown that if

$$A_{\overline{X}} \subset \bigoplus_{m \ge 0} \Gamma(X, \mathbb{O}_X(m)) \text{ (resp. } A_{\overline{Y}} \subset \bigoplus_{m \ge 0} \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{O}_Y(m)))$$

denotes the subring generated by $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(1))$ (resp. $\Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y(1))$), then we have an isomorphism of graded rings

$$\tilde{\gamma}: A_{\overline{X}} \to A_{\overline{Y}}$$

such that the isomorphism induced by $\widetilde{\gamma}$ in degree m

$$|\mathfrak{O}_{\overline{X}}(m)| \to |\mathfrak{O}_{\overline{Y}}(m)|$$

fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(m)| &\longrightarrow |\mathfrak{G}_{\overline{Y}}(m)| \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ |\mathfrak{G}_{X}(m)| \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}_{m}} |\mathfrak{G}_{Y}(m)|, \end{aligned}$$

where the vertical maps are the restriction maps.

In other words, if we let

$$f:\overline{X}\to\overline{Y}$$

be the isomorphism given by $\tilde{\gamma}$, then the diagram

commutes. The commutativity of the top square in this diagram implies that if $D \subset \overline{X}$ is an effective divisor in $|\mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(m)|$ then the image of the divisor $f(D) \subset \overline{Y}$ in $|\mathfrak{G}_{Y}(m)|$ (i.e., the restriction $f(D)|_{Y}$) is the divisor $\gamma_{m}(D)$. In particular, if D is in the sweep of the maximal open subset of the definable lines with generic point $\eta_{D} \in X$ then $f(\eta_{D}) = \varphi(\eta_{D})$.

By (4.2.4) we conclude that f acts the same as φ on every regular closed point of X. Since |X| is a Zariski topological space, it follows that φ and f have the same action on $|X^{\text{reg}}|$, the regular locus of X. This implies that there are open subschemes $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ such that

(1) $\operatorname{codim}(U^c \subset X) \ge 2$,

(2) $\operatorname{codim}(V^c \subset Y) \ge 2$,

(3) f induces an isomorphism $f|_U: U \to V$, and

(4) $f|_U$ induces $\varphi|_U$ on topological spaces.

By (4.1.3), it follows that φ is algebraizable to a unique isomorphism $f : X \to Y$, showing that τ is fully faithful.

This completes the proof of (3.1.14) in the case of infinite constant fields.

4.3. Counterexamples in dimension 1

In this section we provide counterexamples to (3.1.14) for schemes of dimension 1 over arbitrary fields. This shows that the assumption of algebraically closed base fields in **[Zil14]** is necessary and not simply an artifact of the proof.

4.3.1. Let K be a field and let C/K be a smooth, projective, geometrically connected curve over K with $Pic(C) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (note that in this case there is a unique such isomorphism sending an ample class to a positive integer). In this case the data of rational equivalence on divisors is captured by the function

 $d: \{ closed points of C \} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$

sending a closed point $c \in C$ to the class of the corresponding divisor.

Given a second such pair (K', C') with associated function

 $d': \{ closed points of C' \} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$

we find that the corresponding divisorial structures $\tau(C)$ and $\tau(C')$ are isomorphic if and only if for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ the sets

$$\{c \in C | d(c) = n\}, \{c' \in C' | d'(c') = n\}$$

have the same cardinality. This gives rise to non-isomorphic fields and curves with isomorphic divisorial structures. Specifically, the following gives examples of nonisomorphic curves for any two different genera with isomorphic divisorial structures:

Proposition 4.3.2. Fix an infinite field K. For an integer g > 1 let $C_g \to \text{Spec } K_g$ be the generic curve of genus g (so that K_g is the function field of \mathcal{M}_g – the moduli stack over K classifying genus g curves). Then

- (1) $\operatorname{Pic}(C_q) = \mathbf{Z} \cdot [K_{C_q}].$
- (2) If d is the associated function then for all n > 0 the cardinality of $\{c \in C_g | d(c) = n\}$ is equal to the cardinality of K.

Proof. Statement (1) is the Franchetta Conjecture (e.g., [Sch03, Theorem 5.1]). For (2), consider for each n the linear system $|nK_{C_g}|$. By Riemann–Roch, this has projective dimension (2n-1)g - 2n for n > 1 and dimension g - 1 for n = 1. For each pair of positive integers a and b, the natural map

$$|aK_{C_q}| \times |bK_{C_q}| \rightarrow |(a+b)K_{C_q}|$$

has proper closed image (by a simple dimension count). We conclude that for each $a \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a Zariski open $U_a \subset |aK_{C_g}|$ whose points correspond precisely to $S_g(a)$. This shows that $S_g(a)$ has the same cardinality as K_g , which is also the cardinality of K since K_g is a finitely generated field extension of K.

A similar counterexample exists for curves of genus 1 over finite fields.

Proposition 4.3.3. Fix a finite field \mathbf{F}_q . Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves over \mathbf{F}_q such that there is a group isomorphism $E_1(\mathbf{F}_q) \cong E_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Then there is an isomorphism between the divisorial structures $\tau(E_1) \cong \tau(E_2)$.

It is easy to find examples of two non-isomorphic elliptic curves over the same finite field with isomorphic groups of rational points. For example, by the Hasse bound, the number of possible isomorphism classes of groups of rational points of elliptic curves over \mathbf{F}_q is less than the number of possible *j* invariants for large *q*.

Proof. We can extend any isomorphism $E_1(\mathbf{F}_q) \to E_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ to an isomorphism of their Picard groups $f : \operatorname{Cl}(E_1) \to \operatorname{Cl}(E_2)$ preserving the degree. To do this, we can send a fixed degree 1 divisor on E_1 to any fixed degree 1 divisor on E_2 . Fix such an f.

There is a norm map $E_i(\mathbf{F}_{q^n}) \to E_i(\mathbf{F}_q)$ that sends x to $x + \operatorname{Frob}_q(x) + \operatorname{Frob}_q^2(x) + \cdots + \operatorname{Frob}_q^{n-1}(x)$. This map is a group homomorphism, and it is surjective: Indeed, it is a nonconstant morphism of elliptic curves, thus surjective on geometric points, and for $y \in E_i(\mathbf{F}_q)$, we have $\operatorname{Frob}_q(y) - y = 0$, so $x \in E(\overline{\mathbf{F}_q})$ with norm y satisfies $\operatorname{Frob}_q^n(x) - x = 0$ and thus $x \in E_i(\mathbf{F}_{q^n})$. In particular, the number of elements of $E_i(\mathbf{F}_{q^n})$ with any given norm is $\frac{\#E_i(\mathbf{F}_{q^n})}{\#E_i(\mathbf{F}_q)}$.

Let us now calculate, for $D \in Cl(E_i)$, the number of closed points in E_i with class D. Let n be the degree of d. If $n \leq 0$, then there are no such closed points. For $n \geq 1$, for any k dividing n, every closed point $x \in E_i$ of degree n/k gives an orbit of n/k points in $E_i(\mathbf{F}_{q^n})$, which has norm D - n[0] if D is k times the class of x. Every point of $E(\mathbf{F}_{q^n})$ arises from exactly one closed point this way. Thus, we have the inclusion-exclusion

$$\#\{x \in |E_i| \mid [x] = D\} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m|n} \mu(m) \#\{D' \in \operatorname{Cl}(E_i) \mid mD' = D\} \frac{\#E_i(\mathbf{F}_{q^{n/m}})}{\#E_i(\mathbf{F}_q)}$$

Because E_1 and E_2 have the same number of points and lie over the same finite field, they have the same *L*-function, and thus $\#E_1(\mathbf{F}_a^n) = \#E_2(\mathbf{F}_a^n)$ for all *n*.

It follows that, for each D in $Cl(E_1)$, the number of closed points in E_1 with class D is equal to the number of closed points in E_2 with class f(D), because the group isomorphism f guarantees that the number of D' satisfying mD' = D is equal to the number of D' satisfying mD' = f(D).

Thus, we can choose for each D a bijection between the closed points of E_1 with class D and the closed points of E_2 with class f(D). Combining all these bijections, we get a bijection between the closed points of E_1 and E_2 such that the induced diagram



commutes. Sending the generic point of the E_1 to the generic point of E_2 , we obtain an isomorphism on topological spaces which respects the divisorial structure, as desired.

CHAPTER 5

Reconstruction from divisorial structures: finite fields

The main result of this chapter is the following, which implies the parts of (1.5.1) concerned with finite fields.

Theorem 5.0.1. Let X and Y be connected, divisorially proper varieties over finite fields of dimension ≥ 3 . Assume that X is either Cohen-Macauley or defined over a finite field of cardinality > 2. Any isomorphism $\varphi : \tau(X) \to \tau(Y)$ of divisorial structures is induced by a unique isomorphism of schemes $X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$.

The proof follows a similar strategy to the case over infinite fields: the isomorphism φ induces a bijection of sets between the projectivizations of the graded pieces of the homogeneous coordinate rings of the varieties (with respect to suitable ample line bundles). The challenge is then to show that these bijections are suitably linear and can be lifted to an isomorphism of graded rings. The key technical ingredients are the Bertini-Poonen theorem, generalized to complete intersections in [**BK12**] and reviewed in Section 5.1 below, and the probabilistic fundamental theorem of projective geometry, (2.3.1).

5.1. The Bertini-Poonen theorem

In fact, we will not need the main results of [**Poo04**, **BK12**], but only a certain key lemma. Poonen's argument, and its variant due to Bucur and Kedlaya, proceeds by treating points of small, medium, and large degrees separately. For our purposes, we will need only their results about points of large degree. We introduce some notation so that this result can be stated.

The large degree estimate

Let F be a finite field with q elements and let r and n be positive integers. Let X/F be a smooth, quasi-projective variety over F of dimension $m \ge r$ (slightly more generally we could consider here a quasi-projective F-scheme of equidimension $m \ge r$) equipped with an embedding

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$$

defining an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ on X.

Let S denote the polynomial ring in n + 1 variables over F and let $S_d \subset S$ denote the degree d elements in this ring, so we have a ring homomorphism

$$S \to \Gamma_*(X, \mathfrak{O}_X(1))$$

restricting to a map

$$S_d \to \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{O}_X(d))$$

of vector spaces.

Fix functions

$$g_i:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$$

for i = 2, ..., r such that there exists an integer w > 0 for which

$$d \leq g_i(d) \leq wd$$

for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and all *i*. For notational reasons it will be convenient to also write $g_1 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ for the identity function.

5.1.1. Let \mathscr{S} denote the product $\prod_{j=1}^{r} S$ and let \mathscr{S}_d denote the subset

$$S_d \times S_{g_2(d)} \times \cdots \times S_{g_r(d)} \subset \mathcal{S}$$

For a section $f \in S_d$ let $H_{X,f} \subset X$ be the closed subscheme defined by the image of f in $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(d))$, and for $\underline{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_r) \in \mathfrak{S}_d$ let

For an integer d let $W_d \subset S_d$ denote the subset of vectors \underline{f} such that the intersection $X_{\underline{f}}$ is smooth of dimension m - r at all closed points P of degree > d/(m + 1) in this intersection, and define

$$e_d := 1 - \frac{\#W_d}{\#\mathcal{S}_d}.$$

Lemma 5.1.2. There exists a constant C, depending on n, r, m, w, and the degree of $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, such that

$$e_d \le C d^m q^{-\min\{d/(m+1), d/p\}}.$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} e_d = 0$$

Proof. This is [**BK12**, 2.7].

We recall some additional useful notation from [**Poo04**], which we will use in stating consequences of (5.1.2).

Notation 5.1.3. For a subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{S}$ write

$$\mu(\mathscr{P}) := \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\#(\mathscr{S}_d \cap \mathscr{P})}{\#\mathscr{S}_d}$$

and

$$\bar{\mu}(\mathcal{P}) := \limsup_{d \to \infty} \frac{\#(\mathcal{S}_d \cap \mathcal{P})}{\#\mathcal{S}_d}$$

Variants

5.1.4. As in Section 5.1, let F be a finite field and let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a quasi-projective variety of dimension m > r.

Let $H_d \subset S_d$ be the subset of elements (f_1, \ldots, f_r) such that for every subset $R \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$ the scheme-theoretic intersection

$$X_R := \bigcap_{i \in R} X_{f_i}$$

is generically smooth of dimension m - #R. Let $H \subset S$ denote the union of the H_d .

Theorem 5.1.5. We have

$$\mu(H) = 1.$$
Proof. For a given R, let $H_{R,d} \subset S_d$ be the subset of those vectors for which the intersection X_R is generically smooth of dimension m - #R, and let H_R denote the union of the $H_{R,d}$. Then

$$1 - \frac{\#H_d}{\#\mathcal{S}_d} \le \sum_R \left(1 - \frac{\#H_{R,d}}{\#\mathcal{S}_d}\right).$$

It therefore suffices to show that $\mu(H_R) = 1$. Furthermore, this case reduces immediately to the case when $R = \{1, \ldots, r\}$, which we assume henceforth.

Let $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the closure of X with the reduced structure, and fix a finite stratification $\overline{X} = \{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ with each Y_i a smooth locally closed subvariety of \overline{X} , and one of the strata Y_0 equal to the smooth locus of X. If we further arrange that each $Y_{i,R} \subset Y_i$ has the expected dimension then it follows that the inclusion

$$X_R \cap X_0 \hookrightarrow X_R$$

is dense.

For an integer s let $E_{Y_{i,d}}^{(s)} \subset \mathscr{S}_d$ denote the subset of those vectors (f_1, \ldots, f_r) for which the intersections $X_{i,\underline{f}}$ is smooth of the expected dimension at all points P of degree $\geq s$. Let $E_d^{(s)}$ denote the intersection of the $E_{Y_{i,d}}^{(s)}$.

Observe that since we assumed that r < m, the closed points of degree $\geq s$ are dense in any irreducible component of $X_{0,\underline{f}}$. In particular, we have $E_d^{(s)} \subset H_d$. Let $E^{(s)}$ denote the union of the $E_d^{(s)}$. Taking $s = \lfloor \frac{d}{m+1} + 1 \rfloor$ have

$$E_{Y_i,d}^{(s)} = W_{Y_i,d}$$

where $W_{Y_i,d}$ is defined as in (5.1.1) applied to Y_i .

By this and (5.1.2) we have that

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\sum_i \# \left(\mathscr{S}_d \setminus E_{Y_{i,d}} \right)}{\# \mathscr{S}_d} = 0.$$

We conclude that

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\# E_d^{(s)}}{\# \mathcal{S}_d} = 1$$

and it follows that

$$\mu(H_R) = 1,$$

as desired.

5.2. Preparatory lemmas

We continue with the setup of Section 5.1.

Lemma 5.2.1. Let k be a field, let \overline{D}/k be a geometrically irreducible, proper k-variety, and let $D \subset \overline{D}$ be a dense open subvariety with D geometrically reduced and $\operatorname{codim}(\overline{D} - D, \overline{D}) \geq 2$. Then $H^0(D, \mathfrak{G}_D) = k$.

Proof. We may without loss of generality assume that \overline{D} is reduced, and furthermore, by replacing \overline{D} by its normalization, that \overline{D} is normal. Since \overline{D} is geometrically reduced and irreducible it follows that $H^0(\overline{D}, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{D}}) = H^0(D, \mathfrak{G}_D) = k$.

Lemma 5.2.2. Let X be a divisorially proper variety over a perfect field k, and let $\mathfrak{O}_X(1)$ be a very ample invertible sheaf with associated linear system P. Let

$$j: X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$$

be the compactification of X provided by the given projective embedding, so X is schematically dense in \overline{X} . Fix a finite stratification $\{Y_i\}_{i\in I}$ of \overline{X} with each Y_i smooth, equidimensional, and $Y_i \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ locally closed. Let

$$F, G \in H^0(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(1)) = H^0(\overline{X}, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(1))$$

be two linearly independent sections. Let \overline{D}_F (resp. \overline{D}_G) be the zero locus in \overline{X} of F (resp. G) and set $D_F := \overline{D}_F \cap X$ (resp. $D_G := \overline{D}_G \cap X$), and assume they satisfy the following:

- (1) \overline{D}_F is geometrically irreducible.
- (2) The intersection $\overline{D}_F \cap Y_i$ has dimension $\dim(Y_i) 1$ for all *i*, and the intersection $\overline{D}_F \cap \overline{D}_G \cap Y_i$ has dimension $\dim(Y_i) 2$ for all *i* (here we make the convention that the empty variety has dimension -1 as well as -2).
- (3) D_F and the intersection $D_F \cap D_G$ are generically smooth.
- (4) X is geometrically S_3 at every point of D_F .

Then F and G span a definable line.

Proof. Assumption (2) implies that $D_F \subset \overline{D}_F$ is dense. Furthermore, D_F is S_2 because it is a hypersurface in an S_3 variety, and because it is generically smooth is R_0 , hence it is reduced. Both S_2 and R_0 hold geometrically, so it is geometrically reduced. Similarly, $D_F \cap D_G$ is geometrically R_0 and S_1 and thus geometrically reduced, and $D_F \cap D_G \subset$ $\overline{D}_F \cap \overline{D}_G$ is dense. We need to show that the kernel of the restriction map

$$H^0(X, \mathfrak{O}_X(1)) \to H^0(D_F \cap D_G, \mathfrak{O}_{D_F \cap D_G}(1))$$

is the span of F and G.

To this end, let W denote $(\overline{D}_F \cap \overline{D}_G) \setminus (D_F \cap D_G)$. By assumption (2), W has codimension at least 2 in \overline{D}_F , and we have a closed immersion

$$D_F \cap D_G \hookrightarrow \overline{D}_F \setminus W.$$

From this we therefore get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{0}(\overline{D}_{F,\mathrm{red}} \setminus W, \mathbb{O}_{\overline{D}_{F,\mathrm{red}}}) \xrightarrow{G} H^{0}(\overline{D}_{F,\mathrm{red}} \setminus W, \mathbb{O}_{\overline{D}_{F,\mathrm{red}}}(1)) \longrightarrow H^{0}(D_{F} \cap D_{G}, \mathbb{O}_{D_{F} \cap D_{G}}(1))$$

From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\overline{X}, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(1)) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^0(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(1)) \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ H^0(\overline{D}_{F, \mathrm{red}} \setminus W, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{D}_{F, \mathrm{red}} \setminus W}(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(D_F, \mathfrak{G}_{D_F}(1)) \end{array}$$

we see that the kernel of the map

$$H^0(\overline{X}, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(1)) \to H^0(\overline{D}_{F, \mathrm{red}} \setminus W, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{D}_{F, \mathrm{red}} \setminus W}(1))$$

is 1-dimensional generated by F. From this and the argument used in (3.3.12) we see that to prove the proposition it suffices to show that the dimension of $H^0(\overline{D}_{F,\text{red}} - W, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{D}_{F,\text{red}}})$ is 1. This follows from (5.2.1).

Lemma 5.2.3. Let X/k be a quasi-projective, divisorially proper variety of dimension at least 3, and let $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ be a very ample line bundle on X. Let B be the set of points of X which are not S_3 . For each $i \in B$, let $V_{i,n} \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(\overline{X}, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(n))$ be the set of hypersurfaces containing i. Let $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ be the embedding into projective space provided by $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ and let $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}$ be the closure of X, with the reduced subscheme structure. Let \mathcal{H}_n be the set of lines in $\mathbb{P}H^0(\overline{X}, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(n))$ and let $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathrm{def}, B} \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ be the subset of lines which are either definable as lines in $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(n))$ or contained in $\bigcup_{i \in B} V_{i,n}$. Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{H}_n}(\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathrm{def},B}) = 1.$$

Proof. Fix a finite stratification $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \overline{X} into locally closed smooth subvarieties.

Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the set of pairs of linearly independent elements

$$f_1, f_2 \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathbb{O}_{\overline{X}}(n)),$$

and let $\mathscr{P}'_n \subset \mathscr{P}_n$ denote the subset of pairs (f_1, f_2) for which the associated divisors $\overline{D}_{a_1,a_2} := V(a_1f_1 + a_2f_2) \cap \overline{X}$ have the following properties:

- (1) D_{a_1,a_2} is geometrically irreducible for all $(a_1:a_2) \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$;
- (2) The double intersection $\overline{D}_1 \cap \overline{D}_2 \cap Y_i$ and the D_{a_1,a_2} , for all $(a_1 : a_2) \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$, have the expected dimension;
- (3) The double intersection $\overline{D}_1 \cap \overline{D}_2 \cap X$ and the $\overline{D}_{a_1,a_2} \cap X$, for all $(a_1 : a_2) \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$, are generically smooth.

There is a map

$$Sp: \mathcal{P}_n \to \mathcal{H}_r$$

sending a pair (f_1, f_2) to the line spanned by f_1 and f_2 . By (5.2.2) the image of \mathscr{P}'_n is contained in $\mathscr{H}_n^{\text{def},B}$. Indeed, if the line spanned by f_1 and f_2 is contained in $\bigcup_i V_i$ then we are done, and otherwise some $a_1f_1 + bf_2$ does not intersect the non- S_3 locus B. We apply (5.2.2) to $D_{af_1+bf_2}$ and to any other divisor in the pencil.

Therefore we have

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}_n}(\mathcal{P}'_n) \leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{H}_n}(\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathrm{def},B}),$$

and it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}_n}(\mathcal{P}'_n) = 1.$$

This follows from (5.1.5) and [**CP16**, Theorem 1.1]. (Note that, if the condition that a divisor is geometrically irreducible and generically smooth has density 1, then the condition that q + 1 divisors are geometrically irreducible and generically smooth has density 1).

5.2.4. For integers n_1, n_2 with $n_1 \le n_2 \le 2n_1$ consider the subset

$$\mathcal{T}_{n_1,n_2} \subset S_{n_1} \oplus S_{n_2} \oplus S_{n_1+n_2}$$

whose elements are triples (f_1, f_2, f_3) for which either the elements f_1f_2 and f_3 span a definable line in $\mathbb{P}\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(n_1 + n_2))$ or f_3 vanishes at a point of B.

Lemma 5.2.5. For any function $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \leq g(n) \leq 2n$ for all n, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \mathcal{J}_{n,g(n)}}{\# (S_n \oplus S_{g(n)} \oplus S_{n+g(n)})} = 1.$$

Proof. Fix a stratification $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \overline{X} into smooth subvarieties.

By (5.2.2) the set $\mathcal{T}_{n,g(n)}$ contains the set $\mathcal{T}'_{n,g(n)}$ of triples $(f_1, f_2, f_3) \in S_n \oplus S_{g(n)} \oplus S_{n+g(n)}$ satisfying the condition that the zero locus of each f_i in \overline{X} is irreducible, for all $R \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$ the intersection X_R is generically smooth, the intersections $\overline{X}_R \cap Y_i$ have the expected dimension for all i, and the zero locus of f_3 does not intersect the non- S_3 points of X. The result then follows from (5.1.5) and [CP16, Theorem 1.1].

5.3. Proof of (5.0.1)

By the same argument as in (4.1.4), which did not require any assumption on the ground field, it suffices to prove (5.0.1) in the case when X and Y are quasi-projective.

5.3.1. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. In the course of the proof we will make various assumptions on ϵ being sufficiently small. As there are only finitely many steps, this is a harmless practice.

Fix an ample invertible sheaf $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ on X represented by an effective divisor D. By (3.2.8) the property of being ample depends only on the divisorial structure, and therefore $\varphi(D)$ defines an ample invertible sheaf on Y, which we denote by $\mathfrak{G}_Y(1)$. After replacing $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ by $\mathfrak{G}_X(n)$ for sufficiently large n we may assume that $\mathfrak{G}_X(1)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_Y(1)$ are very ample.

Note that, because X and Y are normal, they are S_2 , and so their loci of non- S_3 points consist of only finitely many points (see (8.3.3)). Hence, for *n* sufficiently large, a positive proportion of hypersurfaces of degree *n* do not intersect the non- S_3 points. Thus, by choosing *n* sufficiently large we may assume by (5.2.3) that there exist definable lines in $P(\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{O}_X(1)))$ and $P(\Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{O}_Y(1)))$. Since the number of elements in a definable line is q + 1, we see that the finite fields κ_X and κ_Y are isomorphic to the same finite field **F**, and in particular have the same number of elements which we will denote by q.

5.3.2. Let $\overline{X} \subset |\mathbb{O}_X(1)|^{\vee}$ (resp. $\overline{Y} \subset |\mathbb{O}_Y(1)|^{\vee}$ be the scheme-theoretic closure of X (resp. Y). Define graded rings

$$A_{\overline{X}} := \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(n)), \ A_{\overline{Y}} := \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{Y}}(n)),$$
$$A_X := \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(n)), \ A_Y := \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y(n)),$$

so $A_{\overline{X}} \subset A_X$ and $A_{\overline{Y}} \subset A_Y$. For m > 0 and any of these graded rings A write A(m) for the subring $A(m) \subset A$ given by

$$A(m) := \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} A^{nm}.$$

Write $|A_{\overline{X}}^n| \subset |nD|$ for $\mathbf{P}(\Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathbb{G}_{\overline{X}}(n))) \subset \mathbf{P}(\Gamma(X, \mathbb{G}_X(n)))$, and similarly for $|A_{\overline{Y}}^n|$. By (5.2.3), for n sufficiently large the proportion of lines in the linear system $|A_{\overline{X}}^n|$ that are both not definable and not contained in $\bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$ is at most ϵ . By (2.3.3) we may choosing ϵ sufficiently small, and thereafter n sufficiently large so that (2.3.1) applies to the map

$$|A_{\overline{X}}^n| \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(X, \mathbb{O}_X(n))) \to \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(Y, \mathbb{O}_Y(n)))$$

The assumption that q > 2 or B is empty is satisfied because, in the case q = 2, we assume that X is Cohen-Macauley, therefore S_k for any k, so in particular B is empty. We therefore find an integer n_0 such that for each $n \ge n_0$ we get an isomorphism of fields

$$\sigma_n:\kappa_X\to\kappa_Y$$

and a σ_n -linear map

$$\gamma_n: A^n_{\overline{X}} \to \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{O}_Y(n))$$

such that the induced morphism of projective spaces

$$f'_n: \mathbf{P}(\Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(n)) \to \mathbf{P}(\Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y(n)))$$

agrees with the map

$$f_n: |A_{\overline{X}}^n| \to |\mathfrak{O}_Y(n)|$$

 $1-\delta$.

defined by φ on a proportion of points

(5.3.2.1)

where $\delta > 0$ is any constant that we choose.

5.3.3. Next we prove that the f'_n are close to multiplicative.

Claim 5.3.4. We may take n_0 sufficiently large such that, for any $n_1 \ge n_0$ and for $n_2 = n_1$ or $n_2 = n_1 + 1$ we have

$$f_{n_1}'(s_1)f_{n_2}'(s_2) = f_{n_1+n_2}'(s_1s_2)$$

for a proportion $1 - 3\delta$ of pairs

$$(s_1, s_2) \in A_{\overline{X}}^{n_1} \times A_{\overline{X}}^{n_2}.$$

Proof. Suppose

$$f'_{n_1}(s_1)f'_{n_2}(s_2) \neq f'_{n_1+n_2}(s_1s_2).$$

Then either $f_{n_1}(s_1) \neq f'_{n_1}(s_1), f_{n_2}(s_2) \neq f'_{n_2}(s_2)$, or

$$f_{n_1}(s_1)f_{n_2}(s_2) \neq f'_{n_1+n_2}(s_1s_2).$$

The first two occur with probability at most δ , so it suffices to prove the third occurs with probability at most δ . If

$$f_{n_1}(s_1)f_{n_2}(s_2) \neq f'_{n_1+n_2}(s_1s_2),$$

then by (2.3.12) the number of pairs s_3, s_4 with s_1s_2, s_3, s_4 colinear, $s_3 \notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$, and $f(s_1s_2), f(s_3), f(s_4)$ colinear is at most

$$A(q, N_{n_1+n_2}, G_{n_1+n_2}, \epsilon) + q(q+1)$$

If s_1s_2 and s_3 span a definable line then all q-1 choices of s_4 satisfy this condition, so the number of s_3 with $s_3 \notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$ and $Sp(s_1s_2, s_3)$ definable is

$$\leq \frac{A(q, N_{n_1+n_2}, G_{n_1+n_2}, \epsilon) + q(q+1)}{q-1}.$$

Thus for each s_1, s_2 satisfying this last condition, the number of s_3 with $s_3 \notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$ and $Sp(s_1s_2, s_3)$ not definable is at most

$$G_{n_1+n_2} - 1 - \frac{A(q, N_{n_1+n_2}, G_{n_1+n_2}, \epsilon) + q(q+1)}{q-1}$$

Taking n_1, n_2 sufficiently large and ϵ sufficiently small, this is $\geq cN_{n_1+n_2}$ where c is some nonzero constant, for instance $\frac{1}{2}\prod_{i\in B}(1-q^{\deg i})$ taking $\deg i$ to be the degree of the closed point i or ∞ if i is not a closed point.

On the other hand, by (5.2.5), the number of triples $s - 1, s_2, s_3$ with $s_3 \notin \bigcup_{i \in B} V_i$ and $Sp(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ not definable is at most $c\delta N_{n_1}N_{n_2}N_{n_1+n_2}$ for n_1 sufficiently large and $n_2 = n_1$ or $n_1 + 1$. So the number of pairs s_1, s_2 with

$$f_{n_1}(s_1)f_{n_2}(s_2) \neq f'_{n_1+n_2}(s_1s_2)$$

is at most $\delta N_{n_1}N_{n_2}$ and so the proportion of such pairs is at most δ , as desired.

5.3.5. Next we show that the γ_n are close to multiplicative.

Claim 5.3.6. For n_0 sufficiently large as in (5.3.4), for any n_1 and $n_2 = n_1$ or $n_2 = n_1+1$, there exists a constant c_{n_1,n_2} such that

(5.3.6.1)
$$\gamma_{n_1}(s_1)\gamma_{n_2}(s_2) = c_{n_1,n_2}\gamma_{n_1+n_2}(s_1s_2)$$

for all pairs (s_1, s_2) .

Proof. Let W_n denote $A_{\overline{X}}^n$, viewed as a vector space over the prime field \mathbf{F}_p . We then have two bilinear forms

$$b_X, b_Y: W_{n_1} \times W_{n_2} \to \Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{O}_Y(n_1 + n_2))$$

given by

$$b_X(s_1, s_2) := \gamma_{n_1}(s_1)\gamma_{n_2}(s_2), \ b_Y(s_1, s_2) := \gamma_{n_1+n_2}(s_1s_2)$$

These forms have the property that they agree up to a scalar for a proportion of $1-3\delta$ of pairs (s_1, s_2) .

Given s_1 , let Y_{s_1} be the set of s_2 such that $b_X(s_1, s_2)$ is a scalar multiple of $b_Y(s_1, s_2)$. Let $p(s_1) = \#Y_{s_1}/\#W_{n_2}$. It follows from the above remarks that we have

$$\#\{s_1|p(s_1) \le 1 - \sqrt{3\delta}\} \cdot \sqrt{3\delta} \le 3\delta \cdot \#Wn_1.$$

Thus, for a proportion of $1 - \sqrt{3\delta}$ of elements s_1 the two forms $b_X(s_1, s_2)$ and $b_Y(s_1, s_2)$ agree up to a scalar for a proportion of $1 - \sqrt{3\delta}$ of elements s_2 .

Fix s_1 for which $p(s_1) \leq 1 - \sqrt{3\delta}$. Each of the maps

$$b_X(s_1, -), b_Y(s_1, -) : W_{n_2} \to \Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{O}_Y(n_1 + n_2))$$

are injective, which implies that

$$\operatorname{rank}(b_X(s_1, -) - \alpha b_Y(s_1, -)) + \operatorname{rank}(b_X(s_1, -) - \alpha' b_Y(s_1, -)) \ge \dim(W_{n_2})$$

for any distinct elements α , α' . It follows that there is at most one $\alpha \neq 0$ for which the rank of $b_X(s_1, -) - \alpha b_Y(s_1, -)$ is less than or equal to $\dim(W_{n_2})/2$.

Suppose that in fact we have

$$\operatorname{rank}(b_X(s_1, -) - \alpha b_Y(s_1, -)) \ge \dim(W_{n_2})/2$$

for all α . Then the proportion of s_2 for which $b_X(s_1, s_2)$ is a scalar multiple of $b_Y(s_1, s_2)$ is at most

$$\frac{q-1}{q^{\dim(W_{n_2})/2}},$$

and we obtain the inequality

$$\frac{q-1}{q^{\dim(W_{n_2})/2}} \ge 1 - \sqrt{3\delta}.$$

For *n* chosen sufficiently large relative to δ this is a contradiction. We conclude that there exists exactly one scalar α_0 such that

$$\operatorname{rank}(b_X(s_1, -) - \alpha_0 b_Y(s_2, -)) < \dim(Wn_2)/2.$$

Now in this case we find that the proportion of s_2 for which $b_X(s_1, s_2)$ is a scalar multiple of $b_Y(s_1, s_2)$ is at most

$$\frac{(q-2)}{q^{\dim(Wn_2)/2}} + \frac{1}{p^{r_0}},$$

where r_0 is the rank of $b_X(s_1, -) - \alpha_0 b_Y(s_1, -)$. For ϵ suitably small we see that this implies that in fact $r_0 = 0$ and $b_X(s_1, s_2) = \alpha_0 b_Y(s_1, s_2)$ for all s_2 .

Note that this argument is symmetric in s_1 and s_2 . That is, for a fixed s_2 subject to the condition that $b_X(s_1, s_2)$ is a scalar multiple of $b_Y(s_1, s_2)$ is at least $1 - \sqrt{3\delta}$ we find that there exists a constant β such that

$$b_X(s_1, s_2) = \beta b_Y(s_1, s_2)$$

for all s_1 . From this it follows that in fact the constant α_0 in the previous paragraph is independent of the choice of s_1 . Furthermore, using the bilinearity we find that there exists a constant c_{n_1,n_2} such that

$$b_X(s_1, s_2) = c_{n_1, n_2} b_Y(s_1, s_2)$$

for all pairs (s_1, s_2) . In other words, we have the equality (5.3.6.1)

Claim 5.3.7. For n_2 sufficiently large as in (5.3.4), for every $n \ge n_0$ and integer $m \ge 1$ there exists a constant c_m such that for all sections

$$s_1,\ldots,s_m\in A^n_{\overline{X}}$$

we have

$$\gamma_{nm}(s_1\cdots s_m) = c_m\gamma_n(s_1)\cdots\gamma_n(s_m).$$

Proof. This we show by induction, the case m = 1 being vacuous. For the inductive step write m = a + b for positive integers a and b with a = b = m/2 if m is even, and a = (m-1)/2 and b = (m+1)/2 if m is odd. Then by the above discussion there exists a constant $c_{a,b}$ such that

$$\gamma_{nm}(s_1\cdots s_m) = c_{a,b}\gamma_{na}\left(\prod_{i=1}^a s_i\right)\gamma_{bn}\left(\prod_{j=1}^b s_{a+j}\right).$$

By our inductive hypothesis this equals

$$c_{a,b}c_ac_b\gamma_n(s_1)\cdots\gamma_n(s_m),$$

so we can take $c_m = c_{a,b}c_ac_b$.

5.3.8. In particular, after possibly choosing n_0 even bigger so that $A_{\overline{X}}(n_0)$ is generated by $A_{\overline{X}}^{n_0}$ we get an injective ring homomorphism

$$\rho_{\overline{X},n_0}: A_{\overline{X}}(n_0) \to A_Y(n_0)$$

given in degree mn_0 by γ_{mn_0}/c_m .

5.3.9. The map $\rho_{\overline{X},n_0}$ defines a rational map

$$\lambda: Y - - \succ \overline{X}.$$

Let $Y^{\circ} \subset Y$ be the maximal open subset over which λ is defined and the map $\rho_{\overline{X},n_0}$ induces an isomorphism $\lambda^* \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(n_0) \simeq \mathfrak{G}_Y(n_0)$. We claim that the two maps of topological spaces

$$(5.3.9.1) \qquad \qquad |\lambda|, \varphi^{-1}: |Y^{\circ}| \to |\overline{X}|$$

agree, where we write φ^{-1} also for the composition

$$|Y| \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} |X| { \longleftrightarrow } |\overline{X}|$$

To prove this it suffices to show that these two maps agree on all closed points. Suppose to the contrary that we have a closed point $y \in Y^{\circ}$ such that $\lambda(y) \neq \varphi^{-1}(y)$. Consider the subset

$$T_m \subset A^{n_0 m}_{\overline{\mathbf{x}}}$$

of sections $g \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathfrak{G}_{\overline{X}}(n_0m))$ whose zero locus contains both $\lambda(y)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(y)$. Now any section g whose zero locus contains $\lambda(y)$ and for which $f_{n_0m}(g) = f'_{n_0m}(g)$ lies in T_m by definition of λ and f_n . It follows that

(5.3.9.2)
$$\frac{\#T_m}{\#A_{\overline{X}}^{n_0m}} \ge \frac{1}{q^{\deg(\lambda(y))}} - \tilde{\epsilon}.$$

On the other hand, for m sufficiently big we have

(5.3.9.3)
$$\frac{\#T_m}{\#A_{\overline{X}}^{n_0m}} = \frac{1}{q^{\deg(\lambda(y)) + \deg(\varphi^{-1}(y))}}.$$

Now observe that if we replace our choice of n_0 by a multiple, the open subset $Y^{\circ} \subset Y$ and λ remain the same, but we can decrease the size of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ by making such a choice of n_0 . Since the right side of (5.3.9.2) is larger than the right side of (5.3.9.3) for $\tilde{\epsilon}$ sufficiently small this gives a contradiction. We conclude that the two maps (5.3.9.1) agree.

Lemma 5.3.10. Let k be a field, let S be a normal, quasi-projective k-variety, and let T/k be a proper k-variety. Let $f : |S| \to |T|$ be a continuous map of topological spaces which is a homeomorphism onto an open subset of |T|. Assume that there exists a dense open subset $U \subset S$ and a morphism of schemes $\tilde{f}_U : U \to T$ whose underlying morphism of topological spaces $|\tilde{f}_U| : |U| \to |T|$ agrees with the restriction of f. Then there exists a unique morphism of schemes $\tilde{f} : S \to T$ whose underlying morphism of topological spaces is f and which restricts to \tilde{f}_U on U.

Proof. Since S is normal and T is proper there exists an open subset $S^{\circ} \subset S$ containing U and with complement of codimension ≥ 2 such that \tilde{f}_U extends to a morphism of schemes

$$\tilde{f}_{S^\circ}: S^\circ \to T$$

We claim that $\tilde{f}_{S^{\circ}}$ induces $f|_{|S^{\circ}|}$ on underlying topological spaces.

If $s \in S^{\circ}$ is a closed point, then by Bertini's theorem (or in the finite field case Poonen-Bertini) there exist effective irreducible divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_r \subset S^{\circ}$, with $D_i \cap U$ nonempty for all i, such that

$$\{s\} = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_r.$$

Since f is a homeomorphism onto an open subset of T we can further arrange that

$$\{f(s)\} = \overline{f(D_1)} \cap \dots \cap \overline{f(D_r)},$$

where $\overline{f(D_i)}$ is the closure of $f(D_i)$ in |T|. Since

$$\tilde{f}_{S^{\circ}}(s) \subset \overline{f(D_1)} \cap \dots \cap \overline{f(D_r)}.$$

We conclude that $\tilde{f}_{S^{\circ}}(s) = f(s)$. This shows that $\tilde{f}_{S^{\circ}}$ agrees with f on all closed points and therefore also on all points.

We are therefore reduced to the case when the complement of U in Y has codimension ≥ 2 . In this case, the morphism \tilde{f}_U extends to a map $\tilde{f}: Y \to T$ by the same argument as in the proof of (4.1.3), and repeating the previous argument we see that \tilde{f} induces f on topological spaces.

5.3.11. By (5.3.10) we therefore get a morphism of schemes

$$u: Y \to X$$

whose underlying morphism of topological spaces is φ^{-1} .

For n sufficiently big, the line bundle $\mathfrak{G}_Y(n)$ can be represented by an effective divisor $D \subset Y$ all of whose irreducible components occur with multiplicity one and have nonempty intersection with Y° . The divisor $\varphi(D)$ then represents the line bundle $\mathfrak{G}_X(n)$, and we have a nonzero map

$$u^* \mathfrak{G}_X(-n) = u^* \mathfrak{G}_X(-\varphi^{-1}(D)) \to \mathfrak{G}_Y(-D) = \mathfrak{G}_Y(-n)$$

Since φ induces an isomorphism on class groups we conclude that this map is an isomorphism, so u extends to a map of polarized schemes

$$u: (Y, \mathfrak{O}_Y(n)) \to (X, \mathfrak{O}_X(n)),$$

for all n sufficiently big.

Since the cardinalities of the linear systems $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(n))$ and $\Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y(n))$ are the same for all n, we conclude that u induces an isomorphism of graded rings

$$A_X(n) \to A_Y(n)$$

for all n sufficiently big. This implies that u is an open immersion. Indeed if \overline{X} (resp. \overline{Y}) is the closure of X (resp. Y) in the projective imbedding defined by $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(n))$ (resp. $\Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y(n))$) then we see that u induces an isomorphism between the homogeneous coordinate rings of \overline{X} and \overline{Y} , and therefore u is an open immersion inducing an isomorphism of topological spaces, whence an isomorphism.

This completes the proof of (5.0.1).

CHAPTER 6

Topological geometry

In this chapter we prove the main reconstruction results over uncountable fields of characteristic 0. There are two reasons to single out this case. The results we have are stronger—we can prove that the theorems hold in the minimal possible dimension, namely 2—and the proofs are technically simpler.

Also, this chapter serves as a model for the later reconstruction results. Many of its ideas and results resurface in technically more complicated forms.

The main result of this chapter is the following.

Theorem 6.0.1. Let X be a normal, projective variety of dimension at least 2 over an uncountable field k of characteristic 0. Then linear equivalence of divisors is determined by |X|.

Note that k is uncountable if and only if |X| has uncountably many points, so this is a topological assumption.

Applying (3.1.14) yields the following.

Corollary 6.0.2. Let X be a normal, projective variety of dimension at least 2 over an uncountable field of characteristic 0. Then the scheme structure of X is uniquely determined by its underlying Zariski topological space |X|.

The main technical tool for this is a study of pencils of divisors. Pencils are algebraic notions, so we start by writing down topological properties of pencils, leading to the notion of topological pencils in (6.3.1). A key question is to understand which topological pencils come from actual pencils (in which case we say that the topological pencil is algebraic). This is studied in Section 6.3. The main result is the algebraicity criterion (6.3.4), which will be used again in Chapter 7. An immediate consequence is that, over uncountable fields, every topological pencil is algebraic, see (6.3.5). This is the only place where uncountability is used, and the reason why this case is much easier.

Another notion that is important in algebraic geometry is the degree of a subvariety (with respect to an ample divisor). In Section 6.4 we prove that having a degree function is equivalent to knowing which topological pencils are algebraic. One direction, which works over any field, follows from (6.3.4). Constructing a degree function using algebraic pencils is harder, and works only in characteristic 0, see (6.4.11).

Then in Section 6.5 we prove that if X is a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible variety of dimension ≥ 2 over an infinite field and \deg_H a degree function on divisors, then |X| and \deg_H determine linear equivalence, see (6.5.8). This leads to the proof of (6.0.1) in (6.5.10).

The method of Section 6.5 also relies heavily on pencils. Specifically, the main problem is to decide which members of a topological pencil are members of the corresponding algebraic pencil, which we call *true members*.

Instead of a complete solution, we only deal with 'well behaved' linear systems. We find sufficient conditions for

- (1) linearity of a pencil (in (6.5.4)),
- (2) membership in a pencil (in (6.5.5)) and
- (3) linear equivalence of reduced divisors (in (6.5.7)).

Then, in (6.5.8), we see that linear equivalences between reduced divisors generate the full linear equivalence relation.

6.1. Pencils

We collect various results from the classical theory of pencils. Most of these are (or have been) well known, but precise references are hard to find. For our purposes the most important is (6.1.17). We start with some general remarks on Chow varieties.

6.1.1 (Chow variety of divisors). Let $g : X \to S$ be a projective morphism of pure relative dimension n with a relatively ample divisor H. Assume for simplicity that the fibers are geometrically normal. The *Chow variety* of divisors parametrizing relative divisors of H-degree d is an S-scheme $\operatorname{Chow}_d^1(X/S)$ with a universal family

(6.1.1.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Univ}_d^1(X/S) & \stackrel{*}{\hookrightarrow} & X \times \operatorname{Chow}_d^1(X/S) \\ & u \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Chow}_d^1(X/S) \end{array}$$

It has the following properties (see [Kol96, Theorem 3.21]):

- (1) $\operatorname{Chow}_d^1(X/S)$ is seminormal and projective over S.
- (2) u is projective, of pure relative dimension n-1, and its fibers have π^*H -degree d.
- (3) Assume that we have a diagram $T \leftarrow D_T \stackrel{c}{\hookrightarrow} X \times T$ where T is a seminormal scheme, $D_T \to T$ is projective, of pure relative dimension n-1, and all fibers have c^*H -degree d. Then there is a unique commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{rcccc}
D_T & \to & \operatorname{Univ}_d^1(X/S) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow u \\
T & \to & \operatorname{Chow}_d^1(X/S).
\end{array}$$

The *Chow variety* of divisors is then $\text{Chow}^1(X/S) := \text{II}_d \text{Chow}^1_d(X/S)$. It has countably many connected components, all which are projective over *S*.

Assume now that X/S is only assumed proper. It is almost certain that $Chow^1(X/S)$ exists as an algebraic space and satisfies the properties (1)–(3). However, this is not treated in the literature. The following results allow us to go around this problem. We start with a variant of [**FKL16**, 3.3].

Lemma 6.1.2. Let $g: Y \to X$ be a proper, birational morphism of normal varieties and D a divisor on Y. Let $\{E_i : i \in I\}$ be the g-exceptional divisors. Then there is a divisor $E = \sum e_i E_i$ such that for every $m \ge 0$ and every g-numerically trivial Cartier divisor T the following hold.

(1)
$$g_* \mathfrak{G}_Y (m(D+E)+T)$$
 is reflexive.
(2) $g_* \mathfrak{G}_Y (m(D+E)+T+\sum_i c_i E_i) = g_* \mathfrak{G}_Y (m(D+E)+T)$ for every $c_i \ge 0$

Proof. We may assume that X is affine. Let \mathscr{L} be a reflexive sheaf on Y. Then $g_*\mathscr{L}$ is reflexive if and only if $\operatorname{depth}_x g_*\mathscr{L} \geq 2$ for every point $x \in X$ of codimension ≥ 2 . The latter holds iff every section of \mathscr{L} over $Y \setminus g^{-1}(x)$ extends to a section of \mathscr{L} . In particular, $\operatorname{depth}_x g_*\mathscr{L} \geq 2$ except possibly at the generic points of the $g(E_i)$. We also see that (1) and (2) are equivalent.

By localization and induction we may assume that the claim holds outside a single point $x \in X$. We can then move the exceptional divisors not contained in $g^{-1}(x)$ to Dand work with the divisorial irreducible components $\{E_j : j \in J\}$ of $g^{-1}(x)$. We may also assume that g is projective with a very ample divisor H. Let now $S \subset Y$ be a normal surface obtained by intersecting dim Y - 2 general members of |H|. We may also assume that the $F_j := E_j|_S$ are irreducble. On a normal surface the intersection numbers of proper curves are well defined and the intersection matrix of the F_j is negative definite by the Hodge index theorem. Thus there is a linear combination $\sum a_j F_j$ such that $\sum a_j F_j + D|_S$ is numerically trivial on every F_j .

We claim that $E := \sum a_j T_j$, where $a_j T_j$ denotes the round-up of a_j has the required property. That is, a rational section σ of $\mathfrak{G}_Y(m(D+E)+T)$ can not have poles only along $\sum E_j$. The restriction of such a σ to S would give a rational section of $\mathfrak{G}_S(m(D|_S + E|_S) + T|_S)$ with poles only along $\sum F_j$.

Note that $\sigma|_S$ would be a section of sheaf of the form $\mathfrak{G}_S(\sum c_j F_j + T')$ where $c_j \ge 0$ and $T' = m(\sum a_j F_j + D|_S) + T|_S$ is numerically trivial on every F_j . Choose the c_j the smallest possible. Using the Hodge index theorem again, we get that there is a j_0 such that $(F_{j_0} \cdot \sum c_j F_j) < 0$. Thus every section of $\mathfrak{G}_S(\sum c_j F_j + T')$ vanishes along F_{j_0} . (This is clear if $\mathfrak{G}_S(\sum c_j F_j + T')$ is invertible, a simple computation shows that the singularities work in our favor.) That is, $\sigma|_S$ is a section of $\mathfrak{G}_S(\sum c_j F_j - F_{j_0} + T')$, contradiction our choice of the c_j .

Corollary 6.1.3. Let X be a proper, geometrically normal variety over a field k and D a Weil divisor on X. Let $g: Y \to X$ be a proper, birational morphism. Assume that Y is projective and $Y \to \operatorname{Alb}(Y) = \operatorname{Alb}(X)$ is a morphism. Fix an ample divisor H on Y. Then there is a d(D) > 0 such that $\deg_H g_*^{-1}D' \leq d(D)$ for every effective divisor D' on X that is algebraically equivalent to D.

Proof. As explained in [**Ful98a**, Example 10.3.4], $g_*^{-1}D'$ is algebraically equivalent to some $g_*^{-1}D + \sum m_i E_i$ where E_i are the *g*-exceptional divisors. Thus $g_*^{-1}D'$ is linearly equivalent to some $g_*^{-1}D + T + \sum m_i E_i$ where *T* is numerically trivial. By (6.1.2) the m_i are bounded from above, independent of D'.

Combining (6.1.3) with (6.1.1(2)) we get the following.

Corollary 6.1.4. Let X be a proper, geometrically normal variety over a field k and D a Weil divisor on X. Then all divisors algebraically equivalent to D are parametrized by a k-scheme of finite type. \Box

Example 6.1.5. Hironaka's example in [**Har77**, B.3.4.1] is a smooth, proper 3-fold over \mathbb{C} , containing a curve *C* such that all curves algebraically equivalent to *C* are *not* parametrized by a \mathbb{C} -scheme of finite type.

Its exceptional divisor is a proper non-normal surface E. The curve C is a divisor on it and all divisors algebraically equivalent to it are again *not* parametrized by a \mathbb{C} -scheme of finite type.

Also, *E* contains a nonzero, effective divisor that is algebraically equivalent to 0.

Applying (6.1.3) to $D, 2D, \ldots$ gives the following.

Corollary 6.1.6. On a proper, geometrically normal variety X an effective divisor is algebraically equivalent to 0 if and only if it is the 0 divisor. \Box

Next we recall the definitions and basic facts about pencils in modern language; see [**Zar41**] for a classical exposition.

Definition 6.1.7 (Pencils). Let *X* be an integral *k*-variety.

- (1) A pencil of divisors, or just a pencil, on X is a nonconstant, rational map $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ to an integral, nonsingular and projective curve C. If we we to emphasize the distinction with the notion of t-pencil, introduced in (6.3.1) below, we sometimes refer to a pencil of divisors as an *algebraic pencil*.
- (2) The indeterminacy locus $B \subset X$ of a pencil π is called the *base locus* of the pencil.
- (3) For a closed point $c \in C$, the closure of $\pi^{-1}(c) \subset X$ is called a *fiber* of the pencil. We frequently denote it by D_c . The fibers over k-points are the *members* of the pencil. The fibers of a pencil are Cartier divisors on $X \setminus B$.
- (4) A pencil is called *linear* if $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1_k$, *rational* if C is a smooth, geometrically rational curve and *irrational* if the geometric genus g(C) is greater than 0. (Over imperfect fields there are pencils that are neither rational nor irrational. They will not come up for us.)
- (5) Fix an algebraic closure $k \hookrightarrow \overline{k}$ and let π be a pencil. If X is geometrically integral, then $\pi_{\overline{k}} : X_{\overline{k}} \dashrightarrow C_{\overline{k}}$ is also a pencil, its members are the *geometric* members of π . In traditional terminology

$$|D|_{\operatorname{alg}} := \{D_c : c \in C(\overline{k})\}$$

is an *(algebraic) pencil of divisors* parametrized by the curve C. We use $|D|_{alg}$ to emphasize that C can be a non-rational curve.

(6) If a pencil π factors as $X \to C' \to C$ where $\deg(C'/C) > 1$, then $\pi' : X \to C'$ is another pencil. We say that π is *composite with* π' . In this case each fiber of π is a union of certain fibers of π' .

If no such C' exists then π is called *non-composite*. Every pencil is composite with a unique non-composite pencil.

Remark 6.1.8. Let $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ be a pencil over a field k.

- (1) If k is perfect, then we can describe the members as follows. Let $\bar{c} \in C_{\bar{k}}$ be a geometric point lying over $c \in C$. As σ runs through $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, $D_c := \bigcup_{\sigma} D_{\bar{c}}^{\sigma}$ is a finite union, giving a divisor defined over k.
- (2) If k = k then the notions member, fiber, geometric member coincide. The distinction between these 3 notions is not systematic in the literature. Thus the phrase 'let $|D_{\lambda}|$ be a pencil' may mean that D_{λ} runs through all members, fibers, or geometric members of a pencil. We keep the very convenient pencil notation |D|, but specify whether we work with members, fibers, or geometric members.
- (3) If $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and D_1, D_2 are distinct members of a pencil π , then the pencil can be identified with the linear system $|D_1, D_2|$.

(4) (Warning) The definition of linear system frequently allows fixed components; those without fixed components are called *mobile*. In this terminology, our pencils are the *mobile pencils*.

Definition 6.1.9 (Linear and numerical similarity). Let X be a normal variety. Two divisors D_1, D_2 are called *linearly similar* if there are nonzero integers m_1, m_2 such that $m_1D_1 \sim m_2D_2$. We denote it by $D_1 \sim_s D_2$.

This notion will play a central role starting Section 8.5, but it also gives a convenient way to talk about pencils, since all fibers of a rational pencil are linearly similar to each other. We say that 2 pencils $|D_1|, |D_2|$ are *linearly similar* if every fiber of $|D_1|$ is linearly similar to fiber of $|D_2|$. We denote this by $|D_1| \sim_s |D_2|$. If A is a divisor, we define $|D_1| \sim_s A$ analogously.

The numerical versions of these notions are the following. First, two real valued functions f_1, f_2 are called *similar* if $f_1 = cf_2$ for some positive constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let X be a proper variety. Two Q-Cartier divisors D_1, D_2 are called *numerically* similar—denoted by $D_1 \equiv_{s} D_2$ —if the functions $C \mapsto (D_i \cdot C)$ are similar (as functions from the set of all curves on X to \mathbb{R}). We use the same terminology for pencils of Q-Cartier divisors.

The basic characterization of non-composite pencils is due to Bertini, but it may have been first fully proved in [vdW37].

Theorem 6.1.10. Let X be an integral variety over a perfect field k and $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ a pencil. The following are equivalent.

- (1) Almost all fibers of π are irreducible and reduced.
- (2) Almost all geometric fibers of π are irreducible and reduced.
- (3) π is not composite with any other pencil.
- (4) k(C) is algebraically closed in k(X).
- (5) k(C) is algebraically closed in k(X) and k(X) is a separable extension of k(C).

Proof. The claims all follow from Stein factorization, except for 2 issues.

To see that (4) \Rightarrow (5), assume to the contrary that k(X)/k(C) is not separable. Then, by MacLane's Separating transcendence basis theorem (see [Wei62, p.18], [Lan02, Sec.VIII.4] or [Eis95, p.558]), $k(X) \otimes_{k(C)} k(C)^{1/p}$ is nonreduced. If k is perfect and dim C = 1 then deg $[k(C)^{1/p} : k(C)] = p$, thus $k(X) \otimes_{k(C)} k(C)^{1/p}$ has degree p over k(X). So, if it is nonreduced, then

$$\operatorname{red}(k(X) \otimes_{k(C)} k(C)^{1/p}) = k(X).$$

Thus $k(C)^{1/p} \subset k(X)$, contradicting (4).

Next (5) implies that the generic fiber is irreducible and generically reduced, but we claim that it is reduced. Assume first that $X \setminus B$ is S_2 and let D be a fiber. Since $D \setminus B$ is a Cartier divisor, it is S_1 , hence reduced if and only if it is generically reduced. Taking its closure does not add any embedded points. In general $X \setminus B$ is S_1 , but then it is S_2 except at finitely many points by (8.3.3). Thus the previous argument shows that all but finitely many fibers are irreducible and reduced.

Lemma 6.1.11. Let X be a normal, proper variety and $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ a pencil with base locus B. Then B is also the intersection of any two fibers of π .

Proof. To see this let $\Gamma \subset X \times C$ be the closure of the graph of π with projection $\rho: \Gamma \to X$. If π is not a morphism at $x \in X$ then $\rho^{-1}(x)$ is positive dimensional, hence dominates C. Thus x is contained in every fiber of π .

The next classical claims help us recognize rational and linear pencils.

Lemma 6.1.12 (Rationality test). Let X be a normal, projective variety over a perfect field k. Let $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ be a pencil with parameter curve C. If π has a smooth basepoint then π is rational.

Proof. Let $\rho : \Gamma \to X$ be as in (6.1.11). By a lemma of Abhyankar, if x is smooth then $\rho^{-1}(x)$ is rationally connected over k(x), see for example [Kol96, VI.1.9]. Thus C is a geometrically rational curve.

Lemma 6.1.13 (Linearity test I). Let X be a normal, projective variety over a perfect field k. Let $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ be a rational pencil with base locus B and parameter curve C. Then $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ in any of the following cases

- (1) X has a smooth k-point.
- (2) There is a geometrically irreducible subvariety $W \not\subset B$ that is contained in a fiber of π .
- (3) There is a fiber D_c of π that is smooth at some point of B.

Proof. If X has a smooth k-point then so does C by Nishimura's lemma, and then $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. (See [**KSC04**, p.183] for a very simple proof of Nishimura's lemma.)

For (2), note that $\pi(W \setminus B) \subset C$ is geometrically irreducible, hence a *k*-point.

For (3), assume that a fiber D_c is smooth at $x \in B$. As we noted in (6.1.7), D_c becomes the union of deg(k(c)/k) geometric fibers over \bar{k} , and they all contain B. If D_c is smooth at x then k(c) = k.

Proposition 6.1.14. A proper variety has only countably many irrational pencils.

Proof. We may as well assume that the base field is perfect and the variety is normal.

First proof. Let $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ be an irrational pencil. It gives $X \dashrightarrow C \to \operatorname{Jac}(C)$. By the universal property of the Albanese variety (10.3.9), we can factor it as $X \dashrightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Jac}(C)$. Thus irrational pencils are in one-to-one correspondence with Abelian quotients $\operatorname{Alb}(X) \twoheadrightarrow B$ such that the composite $X \dashrightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(X) \twoheadrightarrow B$ has a 1-dimensional image. Now note that an Abelian variety has only countably many Abelian quotients: Because every quotient map factors into an isogeny and one with connected fibers, and there are countably many isogenies from a given abelian variety, it suffices to check there are countably many quotients by connected subvarieties. Each quotient by a connected subvariety admits an ample line bundle, and the pullback of this ample line bundle determines the quotient as the Proj of its section ring, so because there are countably many line bundles, there are countably many quotients.

Second proof. First assume that X is smooth and projective. Then irrational pencils are basepoint-free by (6.1.13), hence by (6.1.16) each irrational pencil corresponds to a 1-dimensional connected component of the Chow variety of divisors on X by (6.1.16). The Chow variety has countably many irreducible components by (6.1.1(2)).

Now suppose X is an arbitrary proper variety over k. Let $X' \to X$ be a dominant morphism. We see that the set of pencils on X injects into the set of pencils on X'

(since the set of subfields of k(X) injects into the set of subfields of k(X)). Choosing X' to be a resolution or an alteration of X, reduces the result to the smooth projective case.

The following lemmas help us recognize fibers of a pencil.

Lemma 6.1.15. Let X be a normal, proper, irreducible variety over a perfect field k and $\pi: X \to C$ a basepoint-free pencil. Let E_1, \ldots, E_r be irreducible divisors contained in fibers such that their union does not contain the support of any fiber. Let E_0 be an irreducible fiber. Then $\sum_{i=0}^r m_i E_i$ is algebraically equivalent to 0 if and only if it is identically 0.

Proof. Using [**Ful98a**, Example 10.3.4], we may assume that X is projective. Taking general hypersurface sections reduces us to the case when X is a surface, which we may even assume nonsingular.

It is now enough to show that the intersection matrix $(E_i \cdot E_j)$ for $1 \le i, j \le r$ is negative definite. This can be done one fiber at a time. After reindexing, we may assume that $E_1 + \cdots + E_m$ is a maximal connected component of $E_1 + \cdots + E_r$. Then E_1, \cdots, E_m are contained in a fiber F and there is an irreducible curve $E^* \subset F$ that is different from the E_i but is not disjoint from them. Then $(F - E^*) \cdot E_i \le 0$ for every iand $(F - E^*) \cdot E_j < 0$ for some $1 \le j \le m$. By a lemma on quadratic forms, this implies that $(E_i \cdot E_j)$ for $1 \le i, j \le m$ is negative definite; see [Kol13, 10.3.4].

Lemma 6.1.16. Let X be a normal, proper, irreducible variety over a perfect field k and |D| a basepoint-free pencil. Let $A \subset X$ be a connected, effective divisor such that $A \equiv_{s} |D|$. Then A is a (rational) multiple of a fiber of π .

Proof. Let $D \in |D|$ be an irreducible fiber. Since $A|_D \equiv_{s} D|_D = 0$, we see that A is disjoint from D by (6.1.6), hence A is contained in some fiber D_0 of |D|. There is a largest $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $D_0 - cA$ is effective. We are done if $D_0 - cA = 0$. Otherwise the support of $D_0 - cA$ is a proper subset of D_0 and it is numerically equivalent to a fiber. This is impossible by (6.1.15).

The next result will allow us to reconstruct pencils from topological data.

Proposition 6.1.17. Let X be a proper, geometrically normal, irreducible variety over a field k, $\{D_i : i \in I\}$ an infinite set of irreducible Weil divisors and $B \subsetneq X$ a closed subset. Assume that

- (1) the D_i are algebraically equivalent to each other,
- (2) $D_i \cap D_j \subset B$ for every $i \neq j \in I$, and
- (3) $D_i \not\subset B$ for every $i \in I$.

Then there is a unique non-composite pencil of divisors $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ such that all the D_i are

- (4) fibers of π if k is perfect, and
- (5) reductions of fibers of π in general.

Proof. By (6.1.4), there is scheme of finite type parametrizing all effective divisors algebraically equivalent to the D_i . Denote it by $Chow_X^D$.

Thus the closure of the infinite set of points $\{[D_i] : i \in I\} \subset \text{Chow}_X^D$ contains a positive dimensional irreducible component Z. Set $J := \{i \in I : [D_i] \in Z\}$.

There is a universal family $u: U \to Z$ with canonical map $\chi: U \to X$. For a divisor D with $[D] \in Z$ write $D^u \subset U$ for the fiber of u over $[D] \in Z$. Note that $\chi: D^u \to D$ is an isomorphism for general $[D] \in Z$, but D^u can have some embedded points in general.

The image $\chi(U)$ contains a countable set of distinct divisors $\{D_i : i \in J\}$, hence χ is dominant. We claim that χ is generically purely inseparable.

Let $X^{\circ} \subset X$ be a dense, nonsingular, open set such that χ is flat over X^{0} and let $U^{\circ} \subset U$ be its preimage.

Let $x \in D_i \cap X^\circ$ be a closed point for some $i \in J$. We claim that

$$\operatorname{Supp}(\chi^{-1}(x)) = x^u \in D_i^u.$$

To see this note that any other point would lie on another fiber D^u . Since D is irreducible but not equal to D_i , the intersection $D_i \cap D$ has codimension 2 in X.

Thus $\chi^{-1}(D_i \cap X^\circ) \subset U^\circ$ is a Cartier divisor that intersects $D^u \cap U^\circ$ properly, so $u : \chi^{-1}(D_i) \to Z$ is dominant. Thus there is a dense, open $Z^\circ \subset Z$ contained in $u(\chi^{-1}(D_i))$. Set $J^\circ := \{j : [D_j] \in Z^\circ\} \setminus \{i\}$. Then

$$\cup_{j\in J^{\circ}} D_{j}^{u} \cap \chi^{-1}(D_{i})$$
 is dense in $\chi^{-1}(D_{i})$.

Taking its image by χ we get that

 $\cup_{i \in J^{\circ}} D_i \cap D_i$ is dense in D_i .

This is impossible since, by assumption, this set is contained in $D_i \cap B$.

Thus $\chi^{-1}(x)$ is single point for a dense set of points $x \in X$, hence χ is generically purely inseparable. In particular, dim $U = \dim X$ and so dim Z = 1. After composing with a power if the Frobenius, $u \circ \chi^{-1}$ gives a pencil $\pi_Z : X \dashrightarrow Z$ such that the $\{D_i : i \in J\}$ are fibers of π , at least set theoretically. Taking Stein factorization gives a non-composite pencil $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$.

If $E \subset X$ is any irreducible divisor that is not contained in a fiber of π , then $\pi|_E : E \dashrightarrow C$ is dominant, hence $\bigcup_{j \in J^{\circ}} D_j \cap E$ is dense in E. Applying this to D_i for $i \in I \setminus J$ shows that every D_i is contained in a fiber of the pencil π . Since there are only finitely many reducible fibers, at least one of the D_i is a fiber. Then all the D_i are fibers because they are algebraically equivalent to a fiber, so if they were a proper subset of a fiber, their complement would be numerically trivial, contradicting (6.1.15).

Finally note that all but finitely many fibers are irreducible and reduced if k is perfect. In general, all but finitely many fibers are irreducible.

The following result is proved in [**BPS16**], sharpening earlier versions of [**Tot00**, **Per06**].

Theorem 6.1.18. Let X be a normal, projective, irreducible k-variety and $\{D_i : i \in I\}$ pairwise disjoint divisors. Then

- (1) either $|I| \le \rho^{\rm cl}(X_{\bar{k}}) 1$,
- (2) or all the D_i are contained in members of a basepoint-free pencil.

Proof. Let D'_i be a geometric irreducible component of D_i . We apply [**BPS16**] to the D'_i . Thus we get that either (1) holds or the D'_i are contained in members of a basepoint-free pencil |M| defined over \bar{k} .

By (6.1.15), there can be at most $\rho^{cl}(X_{\bar{k}}) - 2$ of the D'_i that are components of reducible members of |M|. Thus either (1) holds, or there is a divisor, say D'_1 , that is an irreducible member of |M|. Any divisor disjoint from an irreducible member of |M|

is contained in a finite union of members of |M|. Thus all the other D_i are contained in finite unions of members of |M|. So |M| is defined over k if k is perfect, and |qM| is defined over k for some power q of the characteristic in general.

6.2. Fibers of finite morphisms

Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a quasi-finite, dominant morphism of k-varieties. For any closed point $y \in Y$, the fiber X_y is a finite k(y)-scheme. We will need to understand which finite k(y)-schemes occur. The extreme cases are especially important for us. These are

- X_y is reduced and irreducible.
- X_y is a disjoint union of copies of k(y).

The first condition is the topic of Hilbert's irreducibility theorem and its generalizations. We consider such questions in (7.5.7) and the discussion immediately afterwards. We focus on the second case here.

Note that such questions can be viewed as attempted generalizations of Chebotarev's density theorem which describes the density of primes with given splitting behavior in an extension of number fields. Density does not seem to make sense in general, but the first result, proved in [**Poo01**] shows that there are infinitely many completely split points in any separable field extension.

Proposition 6.2.1. Let C be a geometrically reduced k-curve and $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ a quasifinite, separable morphism. Then there are infinitely many separable points $p_j \in \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\pi^{-1}(p_j)$ is a reduced, disjoint union of copies of p_j for every j.

Proof. Let C_i be the irreducible components of C. Let D be the normalization of \mathbb{P}^1 in a Galois closure of a composite of the $k(C_i)/k(\mathbb{P}^1)$. If p_j works for $\sigma : D \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and π is étale over p_j then p_j works for $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

If k is infinite, then a general pencil of very ample divisors gives a separable morphism $\rho: D \to \underline{\mathbb{P}}^1 := \mathbb{P}^1$ such that

(6.2.1.1)
$$(\rho, \sigma): D \to D' \subset \underline{\mathbb{P}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

is birational onto its image. (We use the notation $\underline{\mathbb{P}}^1$ to distinguish the two factors.) Let $S \subset D$ be the union of the preimage of $\operatorname{Sing} D'$, the ramification locus of σ and the ramification locus of ρ .

Pick any $c \in \mathbb{P}^1(k) \setminus \rho(S)$. Let $p_D \in \rho^{-1}(c)$ be any closed point, p'_D its image in D'and $p := \sigma(p_D) \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$k(p_D) = k(p'_D) = k(c) \otimes_k k(p) = k(p).$$

Since D/\mathbb{P}^1 is Galois, the same holds for all points in $\sigma^{-1}(p)$.

If k is finite, choose $q = p^r$ such that D decomposes into m irreducible components that are geometrically irreducible. Then D has about mq points in \mathbb{F}_q . All these map to \mathbb{F}_q points in \mathbb{P}^1 . We show that for most of them, their image is not defined over a proper subfield of \mathbb{F}_q . All proper subfields of \mathbb{F}_q have at most \sqrt{q} elements and the number of maximal ones equals the number of prime divisors of r, so there are at most $\log_2 r$ of them. Thus at most $\log_2 r \cdot \sqrt{q}$ points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are in a smaller subfield and these have at most $\deg \pi \cdot \log_2 r \cdot \sqrt{q}$ preimages in D. So for $q \gg 1$, almost all \mathbb{F}_q points of D map to points of \mathbb{P}^1 whose residue field is \mathbb{F}_q . The following versions of (6.2.1) are also useful.

Claim 6.2.2. Let X, Y be geometrically reduced k-schemes and $\pi : X \to Y$ a quasifinite, separable morphism. Then there is a Zariski dense set of closed, separable points $p_j \in Y$ such that $\pi^{-1}(p_j)$ is a reduced, disjoint union of copies of p_j .

Proof. We can replace Y by a general curve $B \subset Y$. The curve case is reduced to (6.2.1) by composing with a quasi-finite, separable morphism $B \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

Claim 6.2.3. Let X, Y be geometrically reduced k-schemes and $\pi : X \to Y$ a quasifinite, separable morphism. Then there are infinitely many irreducible divisors $D_j \subset Y$ such that $\pi^{-1}(D_j)$ is a reduced union of divisors D_j^i , such that each $D_j^i \to D_j$ is birational.

Proof. As in (6.2.1) and in (6.2.2), we may assume that $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ is Galois. If k is infinite, the proof works as in (6.2.1), but we replace (6.2.1.1) by

$$(\rho, \sigma) : X \to X' \subset \underline{\mathbb{P}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n$$

We can also reduce (6.2.3) to (6.2.2) by choosing a coordinate projection $p : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and working with the generic fibers of $Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

The first application is a topological formula for the degree of a morphism.

Lemma 6.2.4. Let $g : Y \to X$ be a generically finite and separable morphism of k-varieties of pure dimension d. Assume that X is normal. Then

$$\deg g = \max\{\#|g^{-1}(x)| : x \in X, \#|g^{-1}(x)| < \infty\}.$$

Proof. We may assume that g is quasi-finite. Then $x \mapsto \dim_{k(x)} \mathfrak{G}_{g^{-1}(x)}$ is lower semicontinuous and equal to the degree on a dense open set $X^{\circ} \subset X$. We may also assume that g is étale over X° .

Note that $\#|g^{-1}(x)| \leq \dim_{k(x)} \mathfrak{G}_{g^{-1}(x)}$ and equality holds if and only if $g^{-1}(x)$ is a union of copies of $\{x\}$. The existence of such points is guaranteed by (6.2.2).

As a special case, we get a simple topological formula computing the intersection number of a curve with a pencil of divisors.

Notation 6.2.5. Let X be a normal, proper variety over a field k of characteristic 0, |D| an algebraic pencil of \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors with parameter curve C. Let $\{D_c : c \in C(\bar{k})\}$ be its geometric members. For any 1-cycle $Z \subset X$, the intersection number $(Z \cdot D_c)$ is independent of c. We denote it by $(Z \cdot |D|)$.

Corollary 6.2.6. Let X be a normal, proper variety over a field k of characteristic 0, |D| an algebraic, \mathbb{Q} -Cartier pencil with parameter curve C. Let $A \subset X$ be an irreducible curve disjoint from Bs |D|. Then

$$(A \cdot |D|) = \max\{\# | A \cap D_c| : c \text{ is a closed point of } C\}. \square$$

Remark 6.2.7. If char k = p > 0 then we get the formula

 $(A \cdot |D|) = p^m \cdot \max\{\# | A \cap D_c| : c \text{ is a closed point of } C\},\$

where *m* is the degree of inseparability of $A \rightarrow C$. In our applications the latter is an unknown (and in fact unknowable) number.

This gives us a topological way to compute the prime-to-p part of intersection numbers between curves and algebraic pencils. This does carry a lot of information, but it is much harder to exploit.

Another application is the following, whose proof was suggested by M. Larsen. **Lemma 6.2.8.** Let k be a field that is not locally finite and T a nontrivial algebraic torus over k. Then $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} T(k) = \infty$.

Proof. The torus T is defined over a finitely generated subfield, so we may as well assume that k is either a number field or the field of functions of a geometrically integral curve C over a subfield $k_0 \subset k$. Over a dense, open, regular subset $U \subset C$ we have a torus $T_U \to U$.

Assume to the contrary that $t_1, \ldots, t_s \in T(k)$ generate a maximal rank subgroup. We can view the t_i as rational sections of $T_U \to U$. After further shrinking U we may assume that they are all regular sections. If $t \in T(k)$ then $t^n \in \langle t_1, \ldots, t_s \rangle$ for some n > 0. Thus t is a rational section that is also finite over U, hence a regular section. Thus every rational section of $T_U \to U$ is regular.

Next we show that this is not the case. The torus T is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_m^r over k^{sep} , hence there is a finite, separable field extension K/k such that $T_K \cong \mathbb{G}_m^r$. (Such a Kis called a *splitting field* of T.) After further shrinking U we may assume that we have a finite morphism $\pi : V \to U$ such that $T_V \cong V \times \mathbb{G}_m^r$. Let now $p \in U$ be a point with preimages $p_1, \ldots, p_r \in V$ such that $k(p_i) \cong k(p)$ for every i and π is étale over p. In the geometric cases this is possible by (6.2.2), while for number fields this follows from the Chebotarev density theorem.

Then T_V has a rational section s_V that has a pole along p_1 but regular at p_2, \ldots, p_r . Then $\operatorname{norm}_{K/k}(s_V)$ is a rational section of T_U with a pole at p, a contradiction.

The following more precise version was communicated to us by B. Poonen; we do not use it.

Theorem 6.2.9. Let k be an infinite, finitely generated field and T a nontrivial algebraic torus over k. Then $T(k) \cong A \times \mathbb{Z}^{\omega_0}$, where A is a finite abelian group and \mathbb{Z}^{ω_0} is a free abelian group.

6.3. Topological pencils

Given a pencil $\pi : X \to C$ with base locus B, we get a map of topological spaces $|\pi| : |X \setminus B| \to |C|$. Since |C| is discrete, all information is contained in the collection of the fibers of $|\pi|$. Its abstract properties define topological pencils. The main question is then to understand which topological pencils come from algebraic pencils.

Definition 6.3.1 (Topological pencil). Let X be an irreducible, normal k-variety. A *t-pencil* is a collection of effective, reduced divisors $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ such that

- (1) Every closed point of X is contained in some D_{λ} .
- (2) Almost all of the D_{λ} are irreducible.
- (3) There is a closed subset $B \subset X$ of codimension ≥ 2 such that (a) $D_{\lambda} \cap D_{\mu} \subset B$ for all $\lambda \neq \mu \in \Lambda$, and
 - (b) $D_{\lambda} \cap D_{\mu} = B$ for almost all $\lambda \neq \mu \in \Lambda$.
- (4) Each $D_{\lambda} \setminus B$ is connected.

Here 'almost all' means that there is a cofinite subset $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ such that the claims hold for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda'$. *B* is called the *base locus*. We call a t-pencil *ample* if almost all members are ample Q-Cartier divisors.

If dim X = 1 then there is a unique t-pencil, its members are the closed points of X. Thus the notion is interesting only for dim $X \ge 2$.

The main examples are the following.

Example 6.3.2. Let *X* be a normal, irreducible *k*-variety and |D| an algebraic pencil given by $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ with parameter curve *C* and base locus *B*.

Given a closed point $c \in C$, let $\{D_{c,j} : j = 1 \dots, r_c\}$ be the closures of the connected components of $\operatorname{red} D_c \setminus B$. The set of all $D_{c,j}$ forms a t-pencil with base locus B. We denote it by $|D|^t$ and write its members as $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. These are the *algebraic t-pencils*.

If |D| is composite with |D'|, then $|D|^t = |D'|^t$.

Note that $|D|^t$ is ample if and only if the fibers of |D| are ample Q-Cartier divisors.

While this makes sense over any field, we can use t-pencils effectively only if the divisors D_{λ} are also geometrically reduced. Thus *k* should be perfect. As in (6.1.7), we can then describe the members as follows.

Let $\bar{c} \in C_{\bar{k}}$ be a geometric point lying over $c \in C$ and $D_{\bar{c},j}$ a connected component of $\operatorname{red} D_{\bar{c}} \setminus B$. As σ runs through $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, $D_{c,j} := \bigcup_{\sigma} D_{\bar{c},j}^{\sigma}$ is a finite union, giving a divisor defined over k. Note also that for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, the divisors $D_{\bar{c},j}^{\sigma_1}$ and $D_{\bar{c},j}^{\sigma_2}$ are either identical or their intersection is contained in B. This shows the following.

Claim 6.3.2.1. A member of $|D|^t$ is either contained in a member of |D|, or every irreducible component of it is geometrically reducible.

Proposition 6.3.3 (Algebraicity criterion I). Let X be a normal, irreducible k-variety and $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ a t-pencil. Assume that there is an algebraic pencil |A| given by $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ such that infinitely many fibers of π are members of $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Then $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = |A|^{t}$.

Proof. We claim that every D_{λ} is contained in a fiber of π , the rest is then clear.

Let $\{A_i = D_{\mu_i} : i \in I\}$ be the fibers of π that are members of $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. If a given D_{λ} is not contained in a fiber of π , then $\pi : D_{\lambda} \setminus B \to C$ is dominant. Thus $\cup_i A_i \cap D_{\lambda}$ is dense in D_{λ} . But

$$\cup_i A_i \cap D_\lambda = \cup_i D_{\mu_i} \cap D_\lambda \subset B,$$

which is a contradiction.

Proposition 6.3.4 (Algebraicity criterion II). Let X be a geometrically normal, proper, irreducible variety over an infinite field. A t-pencil $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is algebraic if and only if there is an infinite subset $\Lambda^* \subset \Lambda$ such the $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda^*\}$ are algebraically (or numerically) equivalent to each other.

Proof. Let $p : X \to C$ be an algebraic pencil. Let $\tau : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a nonconstant morphism of degree d. For every $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ the fiber $\tau^{-1}(p)$ is a union of closed points of degrees $\leq d$. Thus there is a $d' \leq d$ such that C has infinitely many closed points $c_i \in C$ of degree d'. The corresponding fibers are algebraically equivalent to each other.

Conversely, assume that the $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda^*\}$ are algebraically equivalent to each other. By (6.1.17) there is an algebraic pencil |A| given by $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ such that

infinitely many of the fibers of π are members of $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Thus $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = |A|^t$ by (6.3.3)

The correspondence between t-pencils and algebraic pencils works best over uncountable fields.

Corollary 6.3.5. Let X be a geometrically normal, proper variety over an uncountable field k. Then every t-pencil on X is algebraic.

Proof. Let $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a t-pencil with base locus B. Pick any D_{λ_0} , a point $x \in D_{\lambda_0} \setminus B$ and an irreducible curve $x \in C$ that is not contained in D_{λ_0} . Then C is not contained in any other D_{λ} , so the $C \cap D_{\lambda}$ are all finite. They cover all closed points of C, thus $|\Lambda|$ is uncountable.

By (6.1.4), the Chow variety of divisors has only countably many irreducible components. Thus one of them contains uncountably many of the D_{λ} . So $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is algebraic by (6.3.4).

As the following example shows, over a countable field t-pencils need not come from algebraic pencils (this more or less must be the case by (9.3.1)).

Example 6.3.6 (t-pencils over countable fields). Let *X* be a normal, projective variety of dimension ≥ 2 over an infinite field *K* and *L* a very ample line bundle on *X*.

Pick any $s_1 \in H^0(X, L^{m_1})$ and $s_2 \in H^0(X, L^{m_2})$. Assume that we already have $s_i \in H^0(X, L^{m_i})$ for i = 1, ..., r such that $\text{Supp}(s_i = s_j = 0)$ is independent of $1 \le i < j \le r$. Set $M = \prod_i m_i$,

$$S_{r+1} := \left(\prod_i s_i^{M/m_i}\right) \left(\sum_i s_i^{-M/m_i}\right) \text{ and } T_{r+1} := \prod_i s_i.$$

and choose $s_{r+1} = S_{r+1} + g \cdot T_{r+1}$ for a general $g \in H^0(X, L^{n_r})$ where $n_r = M(r-1) - \sum_i m_i$. Then $(s_{r+1} = 0)$ is irreducible and $\text{Supp}(s_i = s_j = 0)$ is independent of $1 \le i < j \le r+1$.

If K is countable then we can order the points of X as x_1, x_2, \ldots and we can choose the s_i such that $\prod_{i=1}^r s_i$ vanishes on x_1, \ldots, x_r for every r. Then the resulting $D_i := (s_i = 0)$ is a t-pencil that does not correspond to any algebraic pencil.

6.4. Degree functions and algebraic pencils

Definition 6.4.1 (Degree function). Let X be an irreducible, normal, proper variety. A *degree function* on d cycles is real valued function that is

- (1) linear, namely $\deg(Z_1 + Z_2) = \deg(Z_1) + \deg(Z_2)$, and
- (2) respects algebraic equivalence, namely deg(Z) = 0 if Z is algebraically equivalent to 0.

The main example is of course the following. Let A be a Q-Cartier divisor on X. Then $\deg_A(Z) := (Z \cdot A^d)$ is a degree function.

The most important cases are when A is ample. With this in mind, a degree function deg is called *ample* if it is

- (3) positive, that is deg(Z) > 0 if Z is effective, nonzero, and
- (4) bounded, that is, there is an an ample divisor H such that $\deg(Z) \leq \deg_H(Z)$ for every effective cycle Z.

A key step of our proofs is to construct \deg_H out of topological data. However, we are able to get only $c \cdot \deg_H$ for some *completely unknown* positive constant $c \in \mathbb{Q}$.

For arbitrary algebraic pencils, we turn (6.2.5) and (6.2.6) into a definition.

Definition 6.4.2. Let X be a normal, projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0, |D| an algebraic pencil with corresponding topological pencil $|D|^t$. Let $C \subset X$ be a curve disjoint from $Bs |D|^t$. Set

$$\mathfrak{d}_{|D|}(C) := \max\{\# | C \cap D_{\lambda} | : D_{\lambda} \in |D|^t\}.$$

We can use this to decide when two algebraic, Q-Cartier pencils are numerically similar, after recalling some of the basic results of [**Kle66**] in slightly modified forms.

6.4.3 (Intersecting curves and divisors). Let *X* be a normal, projective variety.

Two 1-cycles C_1, C_2 are numerically equivalent if $(C_1 \cdot D) = (C_2 \cdot D)$ for every Cartier divisor D. Let H be an ample divisor. Then mH + D is ample for $m \gg 1$ and D = (mH + D) - mH. Thus

Claim 6.4.3.1. Let C_1, C_2 be 1-cycles. Then $C_1 \equiv C_2$ if and only if $(C_1 \cdot H) = (C_2 \cdot H)$ for every ample Cartier divisor H.

Two Q-Cartier divisors D_1, D_2 are numerically equivalent if $(C \cdot D_1) = (C \cdot D_2)$ for every curve $C \subset X$.

Claim 6.4.3.2. Let D be a Q-Cartier divisor and H an ample divisor. Then D is numerically trivial if and only if $(H^{n-1} \cdot D) = (H^{n-2} \cdot D^2) = 0$, where $n = \dim X$.

Sketch of proof. We need to show that $(C \cdot D) = 0$ for every curve $C \subset X$. Now C is contained in an *H*-complete intersection surface S. After normalizing we are reduced to the n = 2 case, which is the Hodge index theorem.

Claim 6.4.3.3. Let D_1, D_2 be \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors. Then $D_1 \equiv D_2$ if and only if $(C \cdot D_1) = (C \cdot D_2)$ for every irreducible, ample-sci curve C.

Sketch of proof. Set $D = D_1 - D_2$. We can unify the conditions

$$(H^{n-1} \cdot D) = (H^{n-2} \cdot D^2) = 0$$

as

$$(H^{n-2} \cdot (mH+D) \cdot D) = 0$$
 for all $m \gg 1$.

Since mH + D is ample for $m \gg 1$, we can think of $H^{n-2} \cdot (mH + D)$ as an ample-sci curve. It is actually enough to work with self-intersections of the form $(mH + D)^{n-1}$ since

$$H^{n-2} \cdot D \in \left\langle (H^i \cdot D^{n-1-i}) : i = 0, \dots, n-1 \right\rangle = \left\langle (mH+D)^{n-1} : m \gg 1 \right\rangle.$$

Note finally that ample-sci curves can be moved away from any codimension 2 subset, hence we get the following variant.

Claim 6.4.3.4. Let *D* be a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor on *X* and $B \subset X$ a subset of codimension ≥ 2 . Then $D \equiv 0$ if and only if $(C \cdot D) = 0$ for every proper curve $C \subset X \setminus B$.

As an immediate consequence of Claim 6.4.3.4 we get the following.

Corollary 6.4.4. Let X be a normal, projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0. Let $|D^1|$ and $|D^2|$ be Q-Cartier, algebraic pencils. Then $|D^1| \equiv_{\rm s} |D^2|$ if and only if $\mathfrak{d}_{|D^1|} \equiv_{\rm s} \mathfrak{d}_{|D^2|}$, as functions on the set of proper curves contained in $X \setminus (\operatorname{Bs} |D^1| \cup \operatorname{Bs} |D^2|)$. This is a good topological description of numerical similarity, but the \mathbb{Q} -Cartier condition is not topological. The following definitions aim to fix this.

Definition 6.4.5. Let X be a normal variety and $\{Z_i \subset X : i \in I\}$ irreducible, closed subvarieties. We say that a t-pencil $|D|^t = \{D_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is in general position for $\{Z_i : i \in I\}$ if the following hold.

(1) None of the Z_i is contained in a member of $|D|^t$.

(2) $Z_i \cap Bs |D|^t$ has codimension ≥ 2 in Z_i for every *i*.

We also say that these Z_i are in general position for $|D|^t$.

Definition 6.4.6. Let X be a normal, projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0. A set $\{|D^i| : i \in I\}$ of algebraic pencils is called *compatible* if $\mathfrak{d}_{|D_i|} \equiv_{\mathrm{s}} \mathfrak{d}_{|D_j|}$ (on the set of curves where both are defined) for every $i, j \in I$.

The set $\{|D^i| : i \in I\}$ is called *complete* if for every finite set of closed subvarieties $Z_i \subset X$ there is an $i \in I$ such that $|D^i|$ is in general position for all the Z_i .

The set $\{|D^i| : i \in I\}$ is called *ample* if for every pair of closed points $p, q \in X$, there is a $|D^i|$ such that $p \in Bs |D^i|$ but $q \notin Bs |D^i|$.

Example 6.4.7. Let *H* be an ample divisor on *X*. The set of all \mathbb{Q} -Cartier pencils of divisors linearly similar to *H* is ample, complete and compatible.

An important observation is that the converse also holds.

Proposition 6.4.8. Let X be a normal, projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0 and $\{|D^i| : i \in I\}$ a complete and compatible set of pencils. Then there is a Cartier divisor H such that $\deg_H \equiv_{s} \mathfrak{d}_{|D^i|}$ for every $i \in I$.

If $\{|D^i| : i \in I\}$ is ample then H is ample.

Proof. Let $\{Z_j \subset X : j \in J\}$ be the closures of the non-Cartier centers as in (10.3.19). Let $I' \subset I$ be the subset indexing those pencils that are in general position for $\{Z_j \subset X : j \in J\}$. Then $\{|D^i| : i \in I'\}$ is still complete and compatible. These $|D^i|$ are \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and numerically similar by (6.4.4). Thus there is a Cartier divisor H whose degree function matches all their degree functions, and then by the definition of compatibility, matches the degree functions of D^i for all $i \in I$.

If $\{|D^i| : i \in I\}$ is ample, we check the Nakai-Moishezon criterion. Let $Z \subset X$ be an irreducible subvariety. Pick closed points $p, q \in Z$. By assumption there is a $|D^i|$ such that $p \in Bs |D^i|$ but $q \notin Bs |D^i|$. Let D_c^i be a general fiber. Then $D_c^i \equiv_s H$ and $(Z \cap D_c^i) \subsetneq Z$ is nonempty. By induction we get that $(Z \cdot H^{\dim Z}) > 0$.

So far we have constructed a degree function on curves. Now we extend it to all algebraic cycles.

Definition 6.4.9. Let S be a set and $g : S \to \mathbb{R}$ a function. The generic minimum of g, denoted by $genmin(g) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, is the supremum of $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\{s : g(s) < c\}$ is finite.

Lemma 6.4.10. Let X be a normal, projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0 and $Z \subset X$ an irreducible subvariety of dimension $r \ge 2$. Let |D| be an ample, algebraic pencil given by $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$. Assume that |D| is in general position for Z. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest such that C has infinitely many points of degree d. Then

$$d \cdot (Z \cdot |D|^r) = \operatorname{genmin}\left\{\left((Z \cap D_{\lambda}) \cdot |D|^{r-1}\right) \colon \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}.$$

Proof. With finitely many exceptions, $Z \cap D_{\lambda}$ is reduced and

$$(Z \cdot D_{\lambda} \cdot |D|^{r-1}) = ((Z \cap D_{\lambda}) \cdot |D|^{r-1}).$$

We can summarize these results as follows.

Theorem 6.4.11. Let X be a normal, projective variety of dimension ≥ 2 over a field k of characteristic 0. Let $\mathbf{P} := \{|D^i| : i \in I\}$ be an ample, complete, compatible set of algebraic pencils. Then \mathbf{P} determines a similarity class of degree functions $\deg_{\mathbf{P}}$ on all algebraic r-cycles on X for every $1 \leq r \leq \dim X$. Furthermore, $\deg_{\mathbf{P}} \equiv_{s} \deg_{H}$ for some ample divisor H.

Proof. First, (6.4.8) defines $\deg_{\mathbf{P}}$ on curves. Next assume that we already extended $\deg_{\mathbf{P}}$ to cycles of dimension $\leq r-1$.

Fix now an *r*-dimensional, irreducible cycle Z_0 . Pick $\{D_{\lambda}^i : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ in general position for Z_0 . If Z is in general position for $|D^i|^t$ then, by (6.4.10),

$$\frac{\operatorname{genmin}\left\{\operatorname{deg}_{\mathbf{P}}(Z \cap H_{\lambda}) \colon \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}}{\operatorname{genmin}\left\{\operatorname{deg}_{\mathbf{P}}(Z_{0} \cap H_{\lambda}) \colon \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}} = \frac{(Z \cdot H^{r})}{(Z_{0} \cdot H^{r})}$$

Thus the formula

$$\deg_{\mathbf{P}}(Z) := \frac{\operatorname{genmin}\left\{ \operatorname{deg}_{\mathbf{P}}(Z \cap H_{\lambda}) \colon \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}}{\operatorname{genmin}\left\{ \operatorname{deg}_{\mathbf{P}}(Z_0 \cap H_{\lambda}) \colon \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}}$$

defines a degree function similar to \deg_H on those *r*-cycles that are in general position for $|D^i|$. It is normalized by the condition $\deg_{\mathbf{P}}(Z_0) = 1$.

For any Z we can choose $|D^i|$ in general position for Z and Z_0 , so the definition works for any Z.

Remark 6.4.12. To be precise, we proved that if Z_1, Z_2 are nonzero, effective *r*-cycles then

$$\frac{\deg_{\mathbf{P}}(Z_1)}{\deg_{\mathbf{P}}(Z_2)} = \frac{(Z_1 \cdot H^r)}{(Z_2 \cdot H^r)}$$

This implies that $\deg_{\mathbf{P}} = c_r \cdot \deg_H$ where the constant depends on the dimension. There does not seem to be any way of assigning a specific value to the constants c_r .

6.5. Degree functions and linear equivalence

Definition 6.5.1. Let X be a normal variety, |D| an algebraic, non-composite pencil given by $\pi : X \dashrightarrow C$ and $|D|^t = \{D_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ the corresponding t-pencil.

We say that D_{μ} is a *true member* of $|D|^t$ if there is a $c \in C(k)$ such that D_c is generically reduced and $D_{\mu} = \operatorname{red} D_c$.

If |D| is a non-composite, linear pencil with parameter curve $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, then D_c is a true member of $|D|^t$ for all but finitely many $c \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$, but in general there may not be any true members.

Assume that X is projective and let deg be an ample degree function on divisors. We say that D_{μ} is a generically minimal member of $|D|^t$ if

$$\deg D_{\mu} = \operatorname{genmin} \{ \deg D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda \}.$$

The corresponding index set is denoted by $\Lambda^{\text{gmin}} \subset \Lambda$. (This notion a priori depends on deg, but this will not be important for us.)

If |D| is non-composite and linear, then a true member is also generically minimal. Understanding the converse will be a key question for us.

The following example shows that a linear pencil can have generically minimal members that are not true members.

Example 6.5.2. Start with the pencil on \mathbb{P}^2 given in affine coordinates as

$$|(u^{2} + v^{2} + u)(v^{2} + u), (u^{2} + v^{2} + v)(u^{2} + v)||$$

Its general member is a quartic with a node at the origin, but it has two members that split into conics.

Next make a change of variables u = x + iy, v = x - iy. The resulting pencil |D| is still defined over \mathbb{Q} but now it has a conjugate pair of reducible members. Thus we obtain that

$$\begin{array}{l} \left((x+iy)^2 + (x-iy)^2 + (x-iy) \right) \left((x+iy)^2 + (x-iy)^2 + (x+iy) \right) = \\ \left(2x^2 + 2y^2 + x - iy \right) \left(2x^2 + 2y^2 + x + iy \right) = \\ \left(2x^2 + 2y^2 + x \right)^2 + y^2 \quad \text{and} \\ \left((x-iy)^2 + (x+iy) \right) \left((x+iy)^2 + (x-iy) \right) = \\ \left(x^2 - y^2 + x + i(y - 2xy) \right) \left(x^2 - y^2 + x + i(y - 2xy) \right) = \\ \left(x^2 - y^2 + x \right)^2 + \left(y - 2xy \right)^2 \end{array}$$

both give degree 4 false members of $|D|^t$.

Lemma 6.5.3. Let X be a normal, projective variety over a perfect field k and deg an ample degree function on divisors. Let |D| be a rational, algebraic pencil with parameter curve C and corresponding topological pencil $|D|^t = \{D_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Then there is a cofinite subset $\Lambda^* \subset \Lambda^{\text{gmin}}$ such that the $\{D_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda^*\}$ are linearly equivalent to each other.

Proof. If |D| is linear, then we can take Λ^* correspond to irreducible members of |D|. Otherwise we can take Λ^* correspond to irreducible members of |D| after a quadratic extension of k.

Lemma 6.5.4 (Sub-membership test). Let X be a normal, projective variety over a perfect field k and deg an ample degree function on divisors. Let |D| be a non-composite, algebraic pencil with parameter curve C and corresponding topological pencil $|D|^t$. Assume that some $D_{\mu} \in |D|^t$ is generically smooth along a geometrically irreducible subvariety $W \subset D_{\mu}$. Then

- (1) D_{μ} is contained in a member of |D|.
- (2) C has k-point.
- (3) If |D| is rational then it is linear.

Proof. As we noted in (6.3.2), a member D_{λ} of $|D|^t$ is either contained in a member of |D|, or every irreducible component of it is geometrically reducible. In the latter case if a geometric irreducible component of D_{λ} contains W then so do its conjugates, hence D_{λ} is singular along W. The rest follow from (6.1.13).

Lemma 6.5.5 (True membership test). Let X be a normal, projective variety over a perfect field k and deg an ample degree function on divisors. Let $|D|^t = \{D_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a non-composite, rational, algebraic t-pencil.

Assume that D_{μ} is a generically minimal member that is generically smooth along a geometrically irreducible subvariety $W \subset D_{\mu}$. Then $|D|^t$ is linear and D_{μ} is a true member.

Proof. By (6.5.4), |D| is linear and there is a $c \in C(k)$ such that $D_{\mu} \subset D_c$. Since |D| is linear, all but finitely many of its members are generically minimal. If $D_{\mu} \subset D_c$ then $\deg_L D_{\mu} \leq \deg_L D_c = \deg_L |D|$ and equality holds if and only if $D_{\mu} = D_c$, that is, if and only if D_{μ} is a true member of $|D|^t$.

Lemma 6.5.6. Let X be a normal variety and A_1, A_2 reduced divisors without common irreducible components. Let $|D|^t$ be a t-pencil in general position for the irreducible components of $A_1 + A_2$. Then there is a cofinite subset $\Lambda^* \subset \Lambda^{\text{mem}}$ such that, for every $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \in \Lambda^*$, the subsets

 $(6.5.6.1) \qquad (A_i \cup D_{\lambda_i}) \setminus \left((A_1 \cup D_{\lambda_1}) \cap (A_2 \cup D_{\lambda_2}) \right)$

are connected.

Proof. Let $A' \subset A_1$ be an irreducible component. All the $A' \cap D_{\lambda}$ are distinct divisors, and only finitely many of them have an irreducible component that is contained in $A_1 \cap A_2$. For all the others, $A' \cap D_{\lambda}$ contains an irreducible divisor $A'_{\lambda} \subset A'$ that is not contained in A_2 or in any D_{μ} for $\mu \neq \lambda$. This A'_{λ} connects A' to D_{λ_1} inside (6.5.6.1). We can apply this to each irreducible component of the A_i .

Thus, with finitely many exceptions, D_{λ} is irreducible and each irreducible component of A_1 is connected to it inside (6.5.6.1). Thus (6.5.6.1) is connected.

Theorem 6.5.7. Let X be a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible variety of dimension ≥ 2 over an infinite, perfect field. Assume that we have an ample degree function deg on divisors. Let A_1, A_2 be reduced divisors without common irreducible components. Then A_1, A_2 are linearly equivalent if and only if there is a closed subset $W \subset X$ of codimension ≥ 2 such that the following holds:

Let $|D|^t = \{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be any algebraic t-pencil in general position for the irreducible components of $A_1 + A_2$ and such that $\operatorname{Bs} |D|^t \not\subset W$. Then there is a cofinite subset $\Lambda^* \subset \Lambda^{\operatorname{gmin}}$ such that, for every $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \in \Lambda^*$, the divisors $A_1 + D_{\lambda_1}$ and $A_2 + D_{\lambda_2}$ are generically minimal members of an algebraic t-pencil $|G|^t$ (which depends on the A_i, D_{λ_i}).

Proof. Assume that $A_1 \sim A_2$ and choose $W \supset \text{Sing } X$. Then $|D|^t$ has a smooth base point, hance it is rational by (6.1.13). Then, by (6.5.3) we can choose Λ^* such that the corresponding members are linearly equivalent. Thus $D_{\lambda_1} \sim D_{\lambda_2}$ and then $A_1 + D_{\lambda_1} \sim A_2 + D_{\lambda_2}$. Thus they span an linear t-pencil $|G|^t$. By (6.5.6) $A_1 + D_{\lambda_1}$ and $A_2 + D_{\lambda_2}$ are generically minimal members of $|G|^t$.

Conversely, we may choose $|D|^t$ such that it is linear, ample, has a smooth base point, and all but finitely many of its generically minimal members are geometrically irreducible. Since

$$\operatorname{Bs} |D|^t = D_{\lambda_1} \cap D_{\lambda_2} \subset \operatorname{Bs} |G|^t.$$

we see that $|G|^t$ also has a smooth base point, hence it is rational by (6.1.13). Next note that $A_i + D_{\lambda_i}$ is generically smooth along the geometrically irreducible subvariety D_{λ_i} , hence $|G|^t$ is linear by (6.5.4), the $A_i \cup D_{\lambda_i}$ are members by (6.5.6) and true members by (6.5.5).

Thus $A_1 + D_{\lambda_1} \sim A_2 + D_{\lambda_2}$, and also $A_1 \sim A_2$.

Corollary 6.5.8. Let X be a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible variety of dimension ≥ 2 over an infinite, perfect field and deg an ample degree function on divisors. Then |X| and deg determine linear equivalence.

Proof. By (6.5.7), |X| and deg determine linear equivalence of reduced divisors. By (6.5.9) this gives linear equivalence for all divisors.

Lemma 6.5.9. Let X be a proper, normal variety over an infinite field. Let $T(X) \subset$ WDiv(X) denote the subgroup generated by all $A_1 - A_2$ such that $A_1 \sim A_2$ and the A_i are reduced. Then T(X) is the subgroup of all divisors linearly equivalent to 0.

Proof. Let $\pi : X' \to X$ be a proper, birational morphism such that X' is projective. Let H' be an ample divisor on X' and set $H = \pi_*(H')$. In general H is not ample, but if A is an divisor on X then |A + mH| gives a birational map and Bs |A + mH| has codimension ≥ 2 for $m \gg 1$.

Suppose given an effective divisor $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i A_i$ on X. For large enough m and a general member $H_m \in |mH|$, there is an integral divisor A_0 that is distinct from A_1, \ldots, A_n and such that $A_1 + H_m - A_0 \in T(X)$. Thus

$$H_m + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i A_i - \left(A_0 + (a_1 - 1)A_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i A_i\right) \in T(X).$$

By induction on $\sum a_i$, we see that for all sufficiently large m, for any $d > \sum a_i$ and general members $H_m^{(1)}, \ldots, H_m^{(d)}$, there is an integral divisor A_∞ such that

$$H_m^{(1)} + \dots + H_m^{(d)} + \sum a_i A_i - A_\infty \in T(X).$$

Given two linearly equivalent effective divisors $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i A_i$ and $B = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j B_j$, choose $d > \max\{\sum a_i, \sum b_j\}$. By the above argument, we get A_{∞} and B_{∞} as above. We can thus arrange that $A_{\infty} - B_{\infty} \in T(X)$, hence $A - B \in T(X)$ as claimed.

6.5.10 (Proof of (6.0.1)). Since k is uncountable, every t-pencil on X is algebraic by (6.3.5). Thus we know which t-pencils are algebraic. Then X has a degree function by (6.4.11), and we conclude by (6.5.8).

CHAPTER 7

The set-theoretic complete intersection property (scip)

Let X be a normal, projective variety over some field K and $C \subset X$ an irreducible curve. In Sections 7.1 and 7.2 we study which finite subsets of C are obtained as the intersection of C with a divisor, a condition that depends only on the topology of the pair $|C| \subset |X|$.

Somewhat surprisingly, at the most basic level the answer is governed by the base field K. More precisely, it is determined by the qualitative behavior of the groups of K-points of Abelian varieties over K. There are three classes of fields K for which the "size" of A(K) is about the same for every Abelian variety over K.

- *Finite fields*: For these A(K) is finite. More generally, if K is *locally finite* then A(K) is a torsion group.
- *Number fields*: For these A(K) is finitely generated by the Mordell-Weil theorem. More generally, the same holds for fields that are finitely generated over a prime field [**LN59**].
- Geometric fields: For these A(K) has infinite rank. This holds for example if K is algebraically closed, except for $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. These are called anti-Mordell-Weil fields [**IL19**]; see (7.5.5) for the main examples.

Roughly speaking, our results show how to read off closely related properties of K from the topology of |X| in the first two cases and to recognize rational curves on X in the third case.

The conclusions are most complete for locally finite fields.

Theorem 7.0.1. Let X be an irreducible, quasi-projective variety of dimension ≥ 2 over a perfect field K. The following are equivalent.

- (1) For every irreducible curve $C \subset X$ and every finite, closed subset $P \subset C$, there is a divisor $D \subset X$ such that $C \cap D = P$ (as sets).
- (2) *K* is locally finite.
- (3) A(K) is a torsion group for every commutative algebraic group A over K.

We have a more complicated characterization of the Mordell-Weil case.

Theorem 7.0.2. Let X be an irreducible, quasi-projective variety of dimension ≥ 2 over a field K. The following are equivalent.

- (1) For every irreducible curve $C \subset X$ there is a finite, closed subset $\Sigma \subset C$ such that for every finite, closed subset $P \subset C$, there is a divisor $D \subset X$ such that $P \subset C \cap D \subset P \cup \Sigma$ (as sets).
- (2) A(K) has finite Q-rank for every Abelian variety A over K.

In the geometric cases our considerations yield a Zariski-topological characterization of rational curves. The complete statement in (7.2.7) needs several definitions, so here we state it somewhat informally. **Proposition 7.0.3.** Let X be an irreducible, quasi-projective variety of dimension $n \ge 2$ over an anti–Mordell-Weil field and $C \subset X$ an irreducible, geometrically reduced curve. One can decide using only the topology of the pair $|C| \subset |X|$ whether C is rational or not.

The last result is especially useful if X contains many rational curves, for example for $X = \mathbb{P}^n$. However, in Sections 7.3–7.4 we get better results by observing that, from the topological point of view,

$$(\mathbf{hyperplane}) \cup (\mathbf{line}) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$$

is a very unusual configuration. This leads to the following simpler special case of (1.3.1):

Theorem 7.0.4. Let *L* be a field of characteristic 0 and *K* an arbitrary field. Let Y_L be a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible variety of dimension $n \ge 2$ over *L* such that $|Y_L|$ is homeomorphic to $|\mathbb{P}_K^n|$. Then $K \cong L$ and $Y_L \cong \mathbb{P}_L^n$.

7.1. Set-theoretic complete intersection property

Definition 7.1.1. Let X be a variety and $Z \subset X$ a closed, irreducible subset. We say that Z has the *set-theoretic complete intersection property*—or that Z is *scip*—if the following holds.

(1) Let $D_Z \subset Z$ be a closed subset of pure codimension 1. Then there is an effective divisor $D_X \subset X$ such that $\text{Supp}(D_X \cap Z) = D_Z$.

In some cases only 'nice' subvarieties $D_Z \subset Z$ are set-theoretic complete intersections. It is usually hard to formulate this in general, but the next variant allows us to ignore finitely many 'bad' points of Z.

We say that Z is generically scip if there is a finite (not necessarily closed) subset $\Sigma_Z \subset Z$ such that the following holds.

(2) Let $D_Z \subset Z$ be a closed subset of pure codimension 1 that is disjoint from Σ_Z . Then, for every finite (not necessarily closed) subset $\Sigma_X \subset X \setminus D_Z$, there is an effective divisor $D_X \subset X$ that is disjoint from Σ_X and such that $\text{Supp}(D_X \cap Z) = D_Z$.

As a simple example, the quadric cone $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is not scip (over \mathbb{C}) but it is generically scip with $\Sigma_Z = \{\text{vertex}\}$ and Σ_X arbitrary.

The introduction of Σ_Z means that we do not have to worry about some very singular points on Z. This is especially clear on curves, where we may assume that Σ_Z contains all singular points. The introduction of Σ_X makes finding D_X harder. However, if X is normal and Σ_X contains all non-Cartier centers of X (10.3.19), then D_X is a Cartier divisor. Thus we can usually work with the Picard group of X (for which there are solid references), rather than the class group (for which modern references seem to be lacking). We will mostly work with generically scip, but the following is an open question.

Question 7.1.2. Does scip imply generically scip?

It is clear that these notions depend only on the topological pair $|Z| \subset |X|$.

At the beginning we study the case when Z is an irreducible curve, but later we need to understand many cases when Z is reducible, and not even pure dimensional.

We check in (7.1.11) that being generically scip is invariant under purely inseparable morphisms and purely inseparable base field extensions. Thus, in order to save considerable trouble with non-reduced group schemes, we usually work over perfect base fields.

Lemma 7.1.3. Let X be a normal, quasi-projective variety over a perfect field k and $C \subset X$ an integral, generically scip curve. Then

 $\operatorname{coker}[\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C)]$ is a torsion group.

If X is in addition factorial, then the same conclusion holds under the assumption that $C \subset X$ is integral and scip.

Proof. We may assume that $\Sigma_Z \supset \operatorname{Sing} C$ and $\Sigma_Z \cup \Sigma_X$ contains all non-Cartier centers of X (10.3.19). Let $p \in C \setminus \Sigma_Z$ be a point. By assumption there is an effective, Cartier divisor D_p such that $\operatorname{Supp}(D_p \cap C) = \{p\}$. We do not know the intersection multiplicity at p, so we can only say that $\mathfrak{G}_X(D_p)|_C \cong \mathfrak{G}_C(m[p])$ for some m > 0. (Here we use that pis a regular point.) That is, $\mathfrak{G}_C(p)$ is torsion in $\operatorname{coker}[\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C)]$. Since the $\mathfrak{G}_C(p)$ generate $\operatorname{Pic}(C)$, we are done.

For the case that X is factorial and C is scip, because X is factorial all Weil divisors are Cartier, and we can apply the same argument to any $p \in C \setminus \text{Sing } C$.

The rest of Sections 7.1 and 7.2 is essentially devoted to trying the understand the converse of (7.1.3). Let us see first that the direct converse does not hold.

Example 7.1.4. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth cubic over a number field. By the Mordell-Weil theorem $\operatorname{Pic}(C)$ is finitely generated. Choose points $\{p_i \in C : i \in I\}$ such that $\mathfrak{G}_C(1)$ and the $\mathfrak{G}_C(p_i)$ form a basis of $\operatorname{Pic}(C) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Let X be obtained by blowing up the points $p_i \in C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $E_i \subset X$ be the exceptional curves and let $C_X \subset X$ denote the birational transform of C. Note that $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is spanned by the E_i and the pull-back of $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. Thus $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C_X)$ is an injection with torsion cokernel.

Claim 7.1.5. $C_X \subset X$ is not generically scip.

Proof. Choose $n_i > 0$ and let $p \in C_X$ be a closed point such that $[p] \sim \sum n_i[p_i]$. Assume that $\{p\} = \text{Supp}(C_X \cap D)$ for some effective divisor $D \subset X$. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_X(D)|_{C_X} \cong \mathfrak{G}_{C_X}(m[p]) \cong \mathfrak{G}_{C_X}(\sum mn_i[p_i])$$

for some m > 0. Since $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C_X)$ is an injection, this implies that $D \sim \sum mn_i[E_i]$. But then $D = \sum mn_i[E_i]$ and so $C_X \cap D = \{p_i : i \in I\}$.

The following is a partial converse of (7.1.3).

Lemma 7.1.6. Let X be a projective variety over a field k and $C \subset X$ a reduced, irreducible curve. Assume that

 $\operatorname{coker}[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(C)]$ is a torsion group.

Then C is scip and generically scip.

Proof. Let L be an ample line bundle such that $H^1(X, L^m \otimes T \otimes I_C) = 0$ for every $m \ge 1$ and every $T \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$, where $I_C \subset \mathfrak{G}_X$ denotes the ideal sheaf of C. Then $H^0(X, L^m \otimes T) \to H^0(C, L^m \otimes T|_C)$ is surjective for every $m \ge 1$. Set $d = \deg_C L$. For

a point $p \in C$ let P be a Cartier divisor on C whose support is p and set $r = \deg P$. (We can take P = p if p is a regular point.) Then $L_C^r(-dP) \in \operatorname{Pic}^\circ(C)$, thus there is an $m \ge 1$ and $T \in \operatorname{Pic}^\circ(X)$ such that

$$L_C^{mr}(-mdP) \cong T^{-1}|_C.$$

This gives a section $s_C \in H^0(C, L^m \otimes T|_C)$ whose divisor is mdP. It lifts to a section $s \in H^0(X, L^m \otimes T)$ and D := Z(s) works, verifying that C is scip.

To verify that C is generically scip, we must choose a section nonvanishing on a finite set Σ_X . We may assume that Σ_X consists of closed points, choose m large enough that we can lift a section of $L^m \otimes T$ from $C \cup \Sigma_X$ to X, and extend s_C to a section on $C \cup \Sigma_X$ nonvanishing on Σ_X , and then lift to X, to achieve this.

The next example shows that C can be scip even if $\operatorname{coker}[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(C)]$ is non-torsion.

Example 7.1.7. Again let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth cubic over a number field. Choose a finite subset $L_i \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(C)$, closed under inverse, that generates a full rank subgroup.

Choosing general sections in each $L_i^{-1}(3)$, their zero sets $P_i \subset C$ are irreducible and distinct. Let $S_i \to \mathbb{P}^2$ denote the blow-up of P_i and $C_i \subset S_i$ the birational transform of C. Then $\mathbb{O}_{S_i}(C_i)$ is a nef line bundle on S_i and $\mathbb{O}_{S_i}(C_i)|_{C_i} \cong L_i$.

Finally consider the diagonal embedding $C \subset \prod_i C_i \subset \prod_i S_i =: X$. We claim that $C \subset X$ is scip.

The key point is that $\{T|_C : T \in \text{Pic}(X), T \text{ is nef and } \deg T|_C = 0\}$ contains a full rank subgroup of $\text{Pic}^{\circ}(C)$. By Fujita's vanishing theorem [Laz04, 1.4.35], there is an ample line bundle L such that $H^1(X, L^m \otimes T \otimes I_C) = 0$ for every $m \ge 1$ and every nef T. The rest of the argument in the proof of (7.1.6) works.

7.1.8 (Cokernel of $Pic(X) \rightarrow Pic(Y)$). (See (10.3.2) for definitions and notation involving the Picard group.)

If X is a proper variety then $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is an extension of $\operatorname{NS}(X)$ by $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$. While $\operatorname{NS}(X)$ is always a finitely generated abelian group, $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$ can be trivial or very large, depending on the ground field and X. However, $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$ is an algebraic group and $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)(k)/\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$ is torsion. Thus, if $p : Y \to X$ is a morphism, we aim to understand $p^* : \operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$, in terms of

(7.1.8.1)
$$p^* : \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Y) \text{ and } p^* : \operatorname{NS}(X) \to \operatorname{NS}(Y).$$

We have better theoretical control of these maps since the first is a map of Abelian varieties and the second a map of finitely generated abelian groups.

Proposition 7.1.9. Let $p: Y \to X$ be a morphism of proper k-varieties. Then

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{coker}\left[\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(Y)\right] \ge \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{coker}\left[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Y)\right](k) - \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{NS}(X)$$

Proof. Because $0 \to \text{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \text{Pic}(X) \to \text{NS}(X) \to 0$ is exact, and the same exact sequence exists for *Y*, by the snake lemma there is an exact sequence

$$\ker[\operatorname{NS}(X) \to \operatorname{NS}(Y)] \to \operatorname{coker}[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Y)] \to \operatorname{coker}[\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(Y)]$$

 $\mathbf{S0}$

1

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{coker}\left[\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(Y)\right] \ge \\\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{coker}\left[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Y)\right] - \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{ker}\left[\operatorname{NS}(X) \to \operatorname{NS}(Y)\right].$$

and the result follows on noting that

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker \left[\operatorname{NS}(X) \to \operatorname{NS}(Y) \right] \le \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{NS}(X)$$

and

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{coker}\left[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Y)\right] = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{coker}\left[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Y)\right](k)$$

since the maps $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Z) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Z)(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are isomorphisms for proper *k*-varieties and $A \mapsto A(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an exact functor of commutative group varieties (10.4.5). \Box

The first application is a characterization of locally finite fields.

Theorem 7.1.10. Let X be an irreducible, quasi-projective variety of dimension ≥ 2 over a perfect field k. The following are equivalent.

- (1) k is locally finite.
- (2) Every irreducible curve $C \subset X$ is scip.
- (3) Every irreducible curve $C \subset X$ is generically scip.

Proof. Assume first that k is locally finite and let $\overline{X} \supset X$ be a compactification. Let $C \subset X$ be an irreducible curve with closure \overline{C} . If k is locally finite then $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(\overline{C})(k)$ is torsion by (10.4.2 (1)), hence \overline{C} is scip and generically scip by (7.1.6), and so is $C \subset X$. It remains to prove that (2) \Rightarrow (1) and (3) \Rightarrow (1). We handle the case of (3) first.

Note that if (3) holds for X then it holds for every open subset of it, we may thus assume that X is normal (or even smooth). Let $\overline{X} \supset X$ be a normal compactification.

If k is not locally finite then let $\overline{C} \subset \overline{X}^{\text{ńs}}$ be an irreducible curve with a single node that is in X. Note that $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(\overline{X})$ is an Abelian variety (10.3.2) and $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(\overline{C})$ contains a k-torus (10.4.3). Thus $\operatorname{coker}[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(\overline{X}) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(\overline{C})]$ contains a k-torus, hence its \mathbb{Q} -rank is infinite (10.4.2). Thus $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{coker}[\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{X}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{C})] = \infty$ by (7.1.9) and so \overline{C} is not generically scip by (7.1.3).

If $\overline{C} \setminus X$ consist of m_{∞} points, then the kernel of the restriction map $\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{C}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C)$ has rank $\leq m_{\infty}$, thus we still have $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{coker}[\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C)] = \infty$, hence C is not generically scip by (7.1.3).

To handle (2), we again may pass to an open subset, and so we may assume that X is smooth, hence factorial. This allows us to apply (7.1.3) to deduce that C is not scip.

We will use this in (9.3.2) below to strengthen the results of [WK81].

Lemma 7.1.11. Let $p : X' \to X$ be a morphism between normal, projective varieties. Let $C' \subset X'$ be an irreducible curve. Set C := p(C') and assume that k(C')/k(C) is purely inseparable.

Then C generically scip \Rightarrow C' generically scip.

Proof. Let $\Sigma_X \subset X$ be a finite subset that contains all non-Cartier centers and such that $C' \setminus p^{-1}(\Sigma_X) \to C \setminus \Sigma_X$ is a bijection.

Pick any $q' \in C' \setminus p^{-1}(\Sigma_X)$ and set q = p(q'). There is a divisor D(q) such that $C \cap D(q) = \{q\}$. Then D(q) is Cartier, hence its pull-back gives a divisor D(q') such that $C' \cap D(q') = \{q'\}$.

7.2. Mordell-Weil fields

The Mordell-Weil theorem says that if A is an Abelian variety over a number field k then A(k) is a finitely generated group. Our results are not sensitive to torsion in A(k), this is why we need the concept of Q-Mordell-Weil fields where $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} A(k)$ is always finite; see (7.5.3).

 $\mathbb Q-Mordell-Weil$ fields have a nice characterization involving complete intersections on curves.

Definition 7.2.1. Let *X* be a variety and $C \subset X$ a curve.

- (1) *C* is *scip with defect* $\Sigma \subset C$ if, for every closed, finite subset $P \subset C$, there is an effective divisor $D = D(C, P) \subset X$ such that $P \subset \text{Supp}(D \cap C) \subset P \cup \Sigma$.
- (2) C is scip with finite defect if it is scip with defect Σ for some finite subset $\Sigma \subset C$.

It is clear that these depend only on the topological pair $|C| \subset |X|$.

Note that being scip with finite defect is invariant under birational maps that are isomorphisms at the generic point of C, we just need to add to Σ all the indeterminacy points that lie on C.

Let X, Y be irreducible varieties and $\pi : Y \to X$ a dominant, finite morphism. Let $C_X \subset X$ be a curve with reduced preimage $C_Y \subset Y$. If C_Y is scip with finite defect Σ_Y then C_X is scip with finite defect $\Sigma_X := \pi(\Sigma_Y)$.

Thus most questions about these notions can be reduced to normal, projective varieties.

Lemma 7.2.2. Let X be a normal, projective variety over a perfect field k and $C \subset X$ an irreducible curve with normalization $\pi : \overline{C} \to C$. Then C is scip with finite defect if and only if

(7.2.2.1)
$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{coker}\left[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C})\right](k) < \infty.$$

Proof. Note first that the difference between

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{coker}\left[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C})\right](k) \text{ and } \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{coker}\left[\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{C})\right]$$

is at most $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{O}} \operatorname{NS}(X)$.

Assume that C is scip with finite defect. By (10.3.22) there is a finite set $\Sigma_X \subset X$ such that $C \setminus \Sigma_X$ is smooth and every Weil divisor on X not containing Σ_X is Cartier along $C \setminus \Sigma_X$. Let the defect set be $\Sigma \subset C \setminus \Sigma_X$ and $\overline{\Sigma} \subset \overline{C}$ its preimage. Let $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{C})$ be the subgroup generated by all $\overline{q} \in \overline{\Sigma}$.

Pick any closed point $p \in C \setminus \Sigma_X$. By assumption we have an effective Cartier divisor D_p such that $p \in \text{Supp}(D_p \cap C) \subset \{p\} \cup \Sigma$. This shows that, for some m > 0,

$$m[p] \in \langle \Gamma, \operatorname{Im}[\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{C})] \rangle.$$

Since these $\{[p] : p \in C \setminus \Sigma\}$ generate $\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{C})$, we get that

 $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{O}}\operatorname{coker}\left[\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{C})\right] \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{O}}\Gamma.$

Conversely, assume that 7.2.2.1 holds. Using embedded resolution of curves, we may assume that C is smooth, so $\overline{C} = C$. Then there is a finite subset $\{F_i : i \in I\} \subset \operatorname{Jac}(C)$, closed under inverses, that generates $\operatorname{coker}[\operatorname{Pic}^\circ(X) \to \operatorname{Jac}(C)]$ modulo torsion.
Fix a point $p_0 \in C \setminus \Sigma$ and an ample line bundle L on X such that $\deg_C L = c \deg p_0$ for some c > 0 and L, restricted to c, has a section with zero set D containing p_0 . Set $d_0 = \deg p_0$ and choose r_1 such that $r_1[p_0] + F_i \sim G_i$, where the G_i are effective and disjoint from Σ_X .

Now pick any $p \in C \setminus \Sigma_X$ and set $d = \deg p$. Then

$$L(-c[p_0] + d[p_0] - d_0[p])|_C \in \operatorname{Jac}(C).$$

So, by assumption, there are nonnegative m_i and $T \in Pic^{\circ}(X)$ such that

$$L(-c[p_0] + d[p_0] - d_0[p])|_C \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{O}_C(\sum_i m_i F_i) \otimes T^{-1}.$$

Set $e := d - c + r_1 \sum m_i$. We can rewrite this as

$$(L \otimes T)|_C \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{O}_C (d_0[p] - e[p_0] + \sum_i m_i G_i).$$

So, for sufficiently divisible natural numbers r > 0,

$$(L^r \otimes T^r)|_C \cong \mathbb{O}_C (rd_0[p] - re[p_0] + r\sum_i m_i G_i).$$

If $e \leq 0$, then for large enough r, the constant 1 section on the right side lifts to a section of $L^r \otimes T^r$. If e > 0, then

$$\left(L^{r(1+e)} \otimes T^r\right)|_C \cong \mathfrak{O}_C\left(rd_0[p] + re(D - [p_0]) + \sum_i m_i G_i\right)$$

As before, for large enough r, the constant 1 section of the right side lifts to a section of $L^{r(1+e)} \otimes T^r$. Combining the two cases, C is scip with defect $\Sigma = \text{Supp}(p_0 + D + \sum G_i)$. \Box

Lemma 7.2.3 (Curves with large Jacobians). Let X be a geometrically normal, projective variety of dimension ≥ 2 and A an Abelian variety over k. Then there is an irreducible, projective curve $C \subset X^{ns}$ such that there is a Q-injection (that is, with finite kernel)

$$A \hookrightarrow_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{coker} [\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C})].$$

Proof. Let $\overline{C} \subset A^{\vee} \times X$ be a curve that is a general, irreducible, complete intersection of ample divisors; we use [**Poo08**] in case k is finite. Let $C \subset X$ be the image of the second coordinate projection $\pi : \overline{C} \to C$. Then $C \subset X^{ns}$ and \overline{C} is the normalization of C. (In fact, $\overline{C} \cong C$ if dim $X \ge 3$ and k is infinite.) By (10.3.13) the natural map

$$A \times \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) = \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(A^{\vee}) \times \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C})$$

is \mathbb{Q} -injective, hence we have a \mathbb{Q} -injection $A \hookrightarrow_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{coker} [\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C})].$

Theorem 7.2.4. Let X be an irreducible, quasi-projective variety of dimension ≥ 2 over a field k. The following are equivalent.

- (1) k is \mathbb{Q} -Mordell-Weil.
- (2) Every irreducible curve $C \subset X$ is scip with finite defect.

Proof. By (7.5.4 (3)) we may assume that k is perfect. As we observed in (7.2.1), it is enough to prove (1) \Rightarrow (2) for normal, projective varieties. If k is Q–Mordell-Weil then (2) holds for these by (7.2.2).

Conversely, if every irreducible curve $C \subset X$ is scip with finite defect then the same holds for the smooth locus $X^{ns} \subset X$. Let $X' \supset X^{ns}$ be a normal compactification. If $C' \subset X'$ has nonempty intersection with X^{ns} then C' is also scip with finite defect.

Now let A be an Abelian variety over k. By (7.2.3) there is an irreducible, projective curve $C' \subset X'$ and a \mathbb{Q} -injection

 $A \hookrightarrow_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{coker} [\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X') \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C}')].$

By (7.2.2) $\operatorname{coker} \left[\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X') \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C}')\right](k)$ has finite \mathbb{Q} -rank, and so does A(k). Thus k is \mathbb{Q} -Mordell-Weil.

By (7.2.2), being scip with finite defect depends on the interaction of $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C})$. The following definition is designed to get rid of the influence of $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$.

Definition 7.2.5. Let X be a variety, $C \subset X$ an irreducible curve. We say that C is *absolutely scip with finite defect* if the following holds.

(1) Let $C' \neq C$ be any irreducible curve. Then there are finite subsets $\Sigma \subset C$ and $C \cap C' \subset \Sigma' \subset C'$ such that for every finite subset $P \subset C$ there is an effective divisor $D \subset X$ such that $P \subset \text{Supp}(D \cap (C \cup C')) \subset P \cup \Sigma \cup \Sigma'$.

Note that P is a subset of C only. This has the following effect.

Let $\Gamma' \subset \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C}')$ be the subgroup generated by the preimages of Σ' . Let $\Gamma'_X \subset \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ be the preimage of Γ' under $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C}')$. Then the class of D has to be in Γ'_X .

If C' is general ample curve then the kernel of $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C}')$ is torsion, thus Γ'_X is a finitely generated group.

Now when we run the proof of (7.2.2) for $C \subset X$, instead of the whole Pic(X), we have only Γ'_X to choose D from. The condition (7.2.2.1) now becomes

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\operatorname{coker}\left|\Gamma'_X \to \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{C})\right| < \infty.$$

Since Γ'_X a finitely generated, this holds if and only if $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{C}) < \infty$, and we get the following.

Proposition 7.2.6. Let X be a normal, projective variety of dimension ≥ 2 over a perfect field k and $C \subset X$ an irreducible curve. Then C is absolutely scip with finite defect if and only if $Pic(\overline{C})$ has finite \mathbb{Q} -rank.

This is especially useful over fields where the opposite of the Mordell-Weil theorem happens, these are the *anti–Mordell-Weil* fields (7.5.5). For varieties over such fields we can recognize rational curves using only their set-theoretic intersection properties.

Putting together (7.2.6) with (7.5.5) gives the topological characterization of rational curves.

Corollary 7.2.7. Let k be a perfect, anti–Mordell-Weil field, X an irreducible, quasiprojective k-variety of dimension ≥ 2 and $C \subset X$ an irreducible curve. Then C is absolutely scip with finite defect if and only if every irreducible component of $C_{\overline{k}}$ is rational.

7.3. Reducible scip subsets

Definition 7.3.1. Let *X* be a variety and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset. We say that *Z* is *scip* if the following holds.

(1) Let $D_Z \subset Z$ be a closed subset of pure codimension 1 that has nonempty intersection with every irreducible component of Z. Then there is an effective divisor $D_X \subset X$ such that $\text{Supp}(D_X \cap Z) = D_Z$.

We say that Z is *generically scip* if the following holds.

(2) There is a (not necessarily closed) finite subset $\Sigma_Z \subset Z$ such that, if D_Z in (1) is disjoint from Σ_Z , then, for every (not necessarily closed) finite subset $\Sigma_X \subset X \setminus D_Z$, we can find $D_X \subset X$ as in (1) that is also disjoint from Σ_X .

It is clear that these depend only on the topological pair $|Z| \subset |X|$. Also, if (7.3.1(2)) holds for some Σ_Z then it also holds for every larger $\Sigma'_Z \supset \Sigma_Z$. We usually just take the union $\Sigma := \Sigma_Z \cup \Sigma_X$ large enough.

If Z is scip (resp. generically scip) then any union of its irreducible components is also scip (resp. generically scip).

Example 7.3.2. In \mathbb{P}^n with coordinates x_0, \ldots, x_n , set $L_1 = (x_1 = \cdots = x_i = 0)$ and $L_2 = (x_{i+1} = \cdots = x_n = 0)$. We claim that $L_1 \cup L_2$ is generically scip. First we discuss the case Σ_X empty. Given divisors $D_{Z_i} \subset L_i$ not containing $L_1 \cap L_2 = (1:0:\cdots:0)$, they can be given as zero sets of polynomials

 $D_{Z_1} = Z(g_1(x_0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n))$ and $D_{Z_2} = Z(g_2(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i)).$

We may assume that $g_i(1, 0, \ldots, 0) = 1$. Then

$$D_X := Z \left(g_1^{\deg g_2} + g_2^{\deg g_1} - x_0^{\deg g_1 \deg g_2} \right)$$

satisfies $\operatorname{Supp}(D_X \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)) = D_{Z_1} \cup D_{Z_2}$.

We can modify this construction to allow for nonempty sets Σ_X . We may assume that Σ_X consists of closed points by choosing a specialization of each point of Σ_X . Then we can choose g_1, g_2 so that $\deg g_1 \deg g_2$ is high enough that $H^1(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\deg g_1 \deg g_2) \otimes I_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \Sigma_X}$ is zero. Hence every section of $\mathbb{O}(\deg g_1 \deg g_2)$ on $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \Sigma_X$ extends to a section of $\mathbb{O}(\deg g_1 \deg g_2)$ on \mathbb{P}^n . Then we choose a section that agrees with $g_1^{\deg g_2} + g_2^{\deg g_1} - x_0^{\deg g_1 \deg g_2}$ on L_1, L_2 and does not vanish at any point of Σ_X .

We prove a partial converse in (7.3.6).

Next we prove a general result about reducible scip subschemes.

Notation 7.3.3. For a *k*-scheme *Y* we use $k[Y] := H^0(Y, \mathbb{O}_Y)$ to denote the ring of regular functions. If *Y* is normal and proper then k[Y] = k and only if *Y* is geometrically integral.

If Y is reduced then k(Y) denotes the ring of rational functions. Y is irreducible if and only if k(Y) is a field. If Y_i are the irreducible components of Y then $k(Y) \cong \bigoplus_i k(Y_i)$.

Proposition 7.3.4. Let X be a normal, projective k-variety such that $\rho(X) = 1$. Let $Y, W \subset X$ be reduced, irreducible subvarieties such that $Y \cap W$ is 0-dimensional. Assume that k is not locally finite. Then $Y \cup W$ is generically scip (7.3.1) if and only if the following hold.

- (1) Y and W are generically scip,
- (2) $Y \cap W$ is irreducible,
- (3) either $k[red(Y \cap W)]/k[W]$ or $k[red(Y \cap W)]/k[Y]$ is purely inseparable, and
- (4) if char k = 0 then $Y \cap W$ is reduced.

Proof. Assume first that $Y \cup W$ is generically scip. Choose any Σ that contains $\Sigma(Y \cup W)$ (8.3.2) and the non-Cartier centers of X (10.3.19). Let L be an ample line bundle on Xsuch that $H^0(X, L) \to H^0(Y \cap W, L_{Y \cap W})$ is surjective. Choose sections $s_Y, s_W \in H^0(X, L)$ that are nowhere zero on Σ . Write $Z(s_Y|_Y) = \sum_i a_i A_i$ and $Z(s_W|_W) = \sum_j b_j B_j$. By assumption, for every i, j there is a divisor $D_{ij} \subset X$ such that $D_{ij}|_{Z \cup W} = c_{ij}A_i + d_{ij}B_j$ for some $c_{ij}, d_{ij} > 0$. The D_{ij} are Cartier since they are disjoint from Σ .

For each j a suitable positive linear combination of the D_{ij} gives a divisor D_j such that $D_j|_Y$ is a multiple of $Z(s_Y|_Y)$ and $D_j|_W$ is a multiple of B_j . Then we can take a suitable positive linear combination D of the D_j such that $D|_Y$ is a multiple of $Z(s_Y|_Z)$ and $D|_W$ is a multiple of $W(s_W|_W)$.

Since $\rho(X) = 1$, after passing to a suitable power we may assume that

(7.3.4.1)
$$D = Z(s)$$
 for some $s \in H^0(X, L^m)$ and $m > 0$.

As we check in (8.3.4) below, this implies that

(7.3.4.2)
$$s|_{Y} = u_{Y}s_{Y}^{m}|_{Y} \text{ for some } u_{Y} \in k[Y]^{\times} \text{ and} \\ s|_{W} = u_{W}s_{W}^{m}|_{W} \text{ for some } u_{W} \in k[W]^{\times},$$

and hence

(7.3.4.3)
$$(s_Y/s_W)^m|_{Y\cap W} = u_W|_{Y\cap W} \cdot u_Y^{-1}|_{Y\cap W} \in \operatorname{Im}\left[k[W]^{\times} \times k[Y]^{\times} \to k[Y\cap W]^{\times}\right]$$

At the beginning we can choose s_Y/s_W to be an arbitrary element of $k[Y \cap W]^{\times}$, hence we conclude that

(7.3.4.4) $k[Y \cap W]^{\times}/k[W]^{\times} \times k[Y]^{\times}$ is a torsion group.

Now (10.4.11) shows that either $k[red(Y \cap W)]/k[W]$ or $k[red(Y \cap W)]/k[Y]$ is purely inseparable, proving (3). Since Y, W are irreducible, k[Y] and k[W] are finite field extensions of k. Thus $k[red(Y \cap W)]$ is a finite field extension of k, hence $Y \cap W$ is irreducible. Finally (4) follows from (10.4.9).

Conversely, assume that (1)–(4) hold. Let A_Y and B_W be effective divisors on Y and W that are disjoint from Σ , obtained as restrictions of Cartier divisors from X. Since $\rho(X) = 1$, there is a power L^m and sections $\sigma_Y \in H^0(Y, L^m|_Y)$ and $\sigma_W \in H^0(W, L^m|_W)$ defining A_Y and B_W .

Suitable powers of σ_Y^r and σ_W^r can be glued to a section of $\sigma_{Y \cup W} \in H^0(Y \cup W, L^{mr}|_{Y \cup W})$ if and only if

(7.3.4.5)
$$\sigma_Y \sigma_W^{-1} \in k[Y \cap W]^{\times} / k[W]^{\times} \times k[Y]^{\times} \text{ is torsion.}$$

This is guaranteed by (3) and (4) using (10.4.11).

Once $A_Y \cup B_W$ is defined as the zero set of a section $\sigma_{Y \cup W}$, we can lift (a possibly higher power of) it to a section $\sigma_X \in H^0(X, L^N)$ that is nonvanishing on Σ_X , and $D_X := \operatorname{Supp} Z(\sigma_X)$ shows that $Y \cup W$ is generically scip. \Box

Corollary 7.3.5. Let X be a smooth, projective k-variety such that $\rho(X) = 1$ and char k = 0. Let $C \subset X$ be a geometrically connected curve and $D \subset X$ a divisor. If $C \cup D$ is generically scip then $(C \cdot D) = 1$.

The following strong converse to (7.3.2) also illustrates the big difference between fields of characteristic 0, fields of positive characteristic and subfields of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Corollary 7.3.6. Let k be a field, $D \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ an irreducible divisor and $C \not\subset D$ an irreducible, ample-sci (10.2.1) curve. Then $C \cup D$ is scip (resp. generically scip) if and only if one of the following holds.

(1) char k = 0, C is a line and D is a hyperplane.

- (2) char k > 0, Supp $(C \cap D)$ is a single k^{ins} -point and C, D are both scip (resp. generically scip).
- (3) k is locally finite and D is scip (resp. generically scip).

Proof. Assume first that char k = 0. By (7.3.5) $(C \cdot D) = 1$, so deg C = 1 and deg D = 1. If char k > 0 but k is not locally finite, then $\text{Supp}(C \cap D)$ is a single k^{ins} -point by (7.3.4). If $C \cup D$ is scip (resp. generically scip) then C and D are both scip (resp. generically scip). This shows that the conditions of (2) are necessary. Their sufficiency also follows from (7.3.4).

Note that if *C* is smooth and rational, then it is scip. If $n \ge 4$ and *D* is smooth then it is scip. Let $D \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth hypersurface and $n \ge 3$. If deg $D \le n$ then there are lots of smooth rational curves that meet *D* in 1 point only. If deg $D \ge n + 2$ then there should be few such curves, but there are examples of arbitrary large degree.

Looking at the above proofs shows that there should be even fewer generically scip reducible subsets if $\rho(X) > 1$, but for now we have the following slightly weaker result.

Proposition 7.3.7. Let X be a normal, projective k-variety where k is not locally finite. Let $Y, W \subset X$ be reduced, irreducible subvarieties such that $Y \cap W$ is 0-dimensional. Assume that $Y \cup W$ is generically scip (7.3.1). Then

- (1) $Y \cap W$ is irreducible,
- (2) either $k[red(Y \cap W)]/k[W]$ or $k[red(Y \cap W)]/k[Y]$ is purely inseparable, and
- (3) if char k = 0 then

$$\dim_k \ker \left[k[Y \cap W] \to k[\operatorname{red}(Y \cap W)] \right] \le \frac{\rho(X) - 1}{\deg[k : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

Proof. Choose Σ to contain $\Sigma(Y \cup W)$ and the non-Cartier centers of X (10.3.19). As in (10.3.6), let $\operatorname{WDiv}(X, \Sigma)$ denote the group of Weil divisors whose support is disjoint from Σ . These are all Cartier by our choice of Σ . We get restriction maps $r_Y : \operatorname{WDiv}(X, \Sigma) \to \operatorname{WDiv}(Y, \Sigma)$ and $r_W : \operatorname{WDiv}(X, \Sigma) \to \operatorname{WDiv}(W, \Sigma)$. These descend to maps of the Picard groups $\overline{r}_Y : \operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$ and $\overline{r}_W : \operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(W)$, which do not depend on Σ . Set $K_Y(X) := \ker \overline{r}_Y$, $K_W(X) := \ker \overline{r}_W$ and $K_{YW}(X) = K_Y(X) \cap K_W(X)$.

As in (10.3.6), the kernels of \overline{r}_Y and \overline{r}_W define closed subgroups $\mathbf{K}_Y(X) \subset \mathbf{Pic}(X)$ and $\mathbf{K}_W(X) \subset \mathbf{Pic}(X)$. Their intersection is denoted by $\mathbf{K}_{YW}(X)$.

Let *B* be a divisor in $WDiv(X, \Sigma)$ whose class [*B*] lies in $K_{YW}(X)$. Then $B|_Y = Z(s_Y)$, where s_Y is unique up to $k[Y]^{\times}$, and $B|_W = Z(s_W)$, where s_W is unique up to $k[W]^{\times}$; here we use that $\Sigma \supset \Sigma(Y \cup W)$ and (8.3.1). Restricting both to $Y \cap W$ we get

$$s_Y|_{Y\cap W} \cdot s_W^{-1}|_{Y\cap W} \in k[Y\cap W]^{\times} / (k[W]^{\times} \times k[Y]^{\times}),$$

which defines a homomorphism

$$K_{YW}(X) \to k[Y \cap W]^{\times} / (k[W]^{\times} \times k[Y]^{\times}).$$

As in (10.4.6), we get a homomorphism of algebraic groups

$$\partial_{YW}: \mathbf{K}_{YW}(X) \to \left(\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{Y \cap W} \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) / \left(\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{W} \mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathfrak{R}_{k}^{Y} \mathbb{G}_{m}\right).$$

Note that $\mathbf{K}_{YW}(X, \Sigma) \cap \mathbf{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$ is an Abelian variety (10.3.9.7), hence a positive dimensional subgroup of it has no morphisms to a linear algebraic group. Set

$$NS_{YW}(X) := K_{YW}(X) / (K_{YW}(X) \cap \mathbf{Pic}^{\circ}(X)(k))$$

Thus ∂_{YW} factors through

$$\mathrm{NS}_{YW}(X) \to \left(\mathfrak{R}_k^{Y \cap W} \, \mathbb{G}_m\right) / \left(\mathfrak{R}_k^W \, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathfrak{R}_k^Y \, \mathbb{G}_m\right).$$

Let $\Gamma_{YW}(X)$ denote its image.

By the above, $\Gamma_{YW}(X)$ is the image of a subgroup of $\ker[NS(X) \to NS(Y)]$ (modulo torsion) and the restriction map $NS(X) \to NS(Y)$ has rank at least 1. All we need from this is that

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \Gamma_{YW}(X) \le \rho(X) - 1.$$

Now we start to follow the proof of (7.3.4). The departure from it happens at (7.3.4.5), where now σ is not a section of L^m , but of some $L^m(B)$ for some $B \in K_{YW}(X)$. Thus we conclude that

(7.3.7.1)
$$(s/t)^m|_{Y \cap W} = u_W|_{Y \cap W} \cdot u_Y^{-1}|_{Y \cap W} \cdot \gamma \in \operatorname{Im}[k[W]^{\times} \times k[Y]^{\times} \to k[Y \cap W]^{\times}],$$

for some $\gamma \in \Gamma_{YW}(X)$. We can arrange s/t to be an arbitrary element of $k[Y \cap W]^{\times}$, hence we conclude that

(7.3.7.2)
$$k[Y \cap W]^{\times} / k[W]^{\times} \times k[Y]^{\times} \times \Gamma_{YW}(X)$$
 is a torsion group.

Now we use (10.4.11) to get that $Y \cap W$ is irreducible and (10.4.9) implies (2). Finally we get that

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{ker} \left[k[Y \cap W] \to k[\operatorname{red}(Y \cap W)] \right] \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \Gamma_{YW}(X) \leq \rho(X) - 1.$$

Thus (3) follows from (10.4.2(3)).

In characteristic 0 we can reformulate the bound of (7.3.7 (3)) as follows.

Corollary 7.3.8. Let X be a normal, projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0. Let $Z, W \subset X$ be reduced, irreducible subvarieties such that $Z \cap W$ is 0-dimensional. Assume that $Z \cup W$ is generically scip (7.3.1). Then $Z \cap W$ is irreducible and

$$\dim_k k[Z \cap W] \le \max\{\dim_k k[Z], \dim_k k[W]\} + \frac{\rho(X) - 1}{\deg[k : \mathbb{Q}]}. \quad \Box$$

Example 7.3.9. Combining the ideas of (7.3.2) with (7.3.4) we get a method to recognize *k*-points. The assumptions are restrictive, but this gives the first indication that one can get detailed scheme-theoretic information from the topology. However, scip and generically scip turn out to be too restrictive in general; searching for a more flexible variant lead to the notion of linkage in Section 8.7.

Claim 7.3.10. Let X be a smooth, projective k-variety of dimension ≥ 7 such that $\rho(X) = 1$. Then $p \in X$ is a k-point if and only if there are 3-dimensional, set-theoretic complete intersections $Z, W \subset X$ such that

- (1) $\text{Supp}(Z \cap W) = \{p\} and$
- (2) $Z \cup W$ is generically scip.

Proof. Assume that $p \in X$ is a k-point and let $Z, W \subset X$ be 3-dimensional, smooth, complete intersections such that $Z \cap W = \{p\}$ as schemes. Lefschetz theorem tells us that if $D_Z \subset Z$ is any divisor then (some multiple of) it is a complete intersection. Arguing as in (7.3.2) we see that $Z \cup W$ is generically scip.

Conversely, k[Z] = k[W] = k since Z, W are set-theoretic complete intersections (10.2.2.1), thus (7.3.4) says that $p \in X(k)$.

Note that the bound $\dim X \ge 7$ can be improved to $\dim X \ge 5$ if the Noether-Lefschetz theorem applies over k; see (9.7.6) for such cases.

7.4. Projective spaces

We study the scip property for the union of a curve and of a divisor. As we observed in Section 7.3, this happens very rarely, and it leads to the following stronger version of (7.0.4)

Theorem 7.4.1. Let *L* be a field of characteristic 0 and *K* an arbitrary field. Let Y_L be a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible *L*-variety of dimension $n \ge 2$ and $\Phi : |\mathbb{P}^n_K| \sim |Y_L|$ a homeomorphism. Then

- (1) $Y_L \cong \mathbb{P}^n_L$,
- (2) $K \cong L$, and
- (3) Φ is the composite of a field isomorphism $\varphi : K \cong L$ and of an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^n_K .

We will not build on (7.4.1) in later sections – instead, the proof serves as a first example of the kind of arguments we will make afterwards. Thus, the proof of (7.4.1) uses a result from Sections Section 8.5 without circularity.

We start with an easy to prove but interesting special case of (7.4.1).

7.4.2 (Proof of (7.4.1) when $Y_L \cong \mathbb{P}_L^n$). Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be a hyperplane and $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$ a line not contained in H. Then $\ell \cup H$ is scip by (7.3.1(2)) hence so is $\Phi(\ell) \cup \Phi(H) \subset \mathbb{P}_L^n$. So $\Phi(H) \subset \mathbb{P}_L^n$ is a hyperplane by (7.3.6(1)). By taking intersections, we see that Φ gives an isomorphism of the projective geometries $K\mathbb{P}^n$ and $L\mathbb{P}^n$. By the Veblen-Young theorem **[VY08]** this is induced by a field isomorphism $\varphi : K \cong L$.

Composing Φ with the natural isomorphism induced by φ^{-1} , we get a homeomorphism $\Psi : |\mathbb{P}_K^n| \to |\mathbb{P}_K^n|$ that is the identity on *K*-points. It remains to show that it is the identity on all points. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be a *K*-rational curve. It has infinitely many *K*-points and these are fixed by Ψ . Thus $C \cap \Psi(C)$ is infinite, hence $C = \Psi(C)$. However, we do not yet know that $\Psi|_C$ is the identity.

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be a closed point. Assume that there are *K*-rational curves $C_{\lambda} \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$ such that $\{p\} = \cap C_{\lambda}$. Then $\{\Psi(p)\} = \cap \Psi(C_{\lambda}) = \cap C_{\lambda} = \{p\}$, as needed.

It remains to construct such curves C_{λ} . For this we can work in an affine chart $p \in \mathbb{A}_{K}^{n} \subset \mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}$ with coordinates x_{i} . Note that K(p)/K is a finite, separable extension, hence can be generated by a single element $z_{p} \in K(p)$. We can thus write $x_{i}(p) = h_{i}(z_{p})$ for some $h_{i} \in K[t]$.

Let $g(t) \in K[t]$ be the minimal polynomial of z_p ; we can then identify z_p with a root of g in \overline{K} .

For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $a \in K$ let $C_{i,a}$ be the image of

$$\tau_{i,a}: t \mapsto \left(h_1(t), h_2(t), \dots, h_n(t)\right) + ag(t)e_i,$$

where e_i is the *i*th standard basis vector.

The $C_{i,a}$ are *K*-rational curves, hence stabilized by Φ .

Claim 7.4.3. $\cap_{i,a} C_{i,a} = \{p\}.$

Proof. First, the $\tau_{i,a}$ all map $z_p \in \mathbb{A}^1(\overline{K})$ to p, so $p \in \bigcap_{i,a} C_{i,a}$. To see the converse, assume that $p \neq q \in \bigcap_{i,a} C_{i,a}$. After permuting the coordinates we may assume that $p_n \neq q_n$. If $q = \tau_{1,a}(z')$ then $h_n(z') = q_n$. The equation $h_n(*) = q_n$ has finitely many solutions z'_j and they are all different from z_p . Then, for all but finitely many $a \in K$, $h_1(z'_i) + ag(z'_i) \neq q_i$ for every *i*. Thus $q \notin C_{1,a}$.

In positive characteristic the above proof and (7.3.4) give the following.

Claim 7.4.4. Let K, L be perfect fields that are not locally finite, $n \ge 2$ and $\Phi : |\mathbb{P}_K^n| \sim |\mathbb{P}_L^n|$ a homeomorphism. Then Φ induces a bijection of sets $\mathbb{P}^n(K) \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(L)$ (but we do not know that the linear structure is preserved).

Proof. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be a hyperplane and $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$ a line not contained in H. Then $\ell \cup H$ is scip by (7.3.1(2)) hence so is $\Phi(\ell) \cup \Phi(H) \subset \mathbb{P}_L^n$. So $\Phi(H \cap \ell) = \Phi(H) \cap \Phi(\ell)$ is an L^{ins} -point by ((7.3.6(2))). Since L is perfect, $L^{\text{ins}} = L$. Thus every K-point is sent to an L-point. Applying the same argument to Φ^{-1} , we see that every L-point is sent to a K-point, and so Φ is a bijection.

The following lemma, which essentially says that pencils determine higher dimensional linear systems, is longer to state than to prove.

Lemma 7.4.5. Let Y be a normal, projective variety over a field L. Let K be an infinite field and $e_0, \ldots, e_n \in K\mathbb{P}^n$ independent points. Assume that we have a map

 $\Phi: K\mathbb{P}^n \to (effective Weil \ divisors \ on \ Y)$

with the following property.

(1) For r = 1, ..., n there are Zariski open subsets $\emptyset \neq U_{r-1} \subset \langle e_0, ..., e_{r-1} \rangle$ such that, for every $p \in U_{r-1}$, the divisors $\{\Phi(q) : q \in \langle p, e_r \rangle\}$ are L-members of a linear pencil on Y.

Then there is a Zariski open subset $W \subset K\mathbb{P}^n$ such that the divisors

$$\{\Phi(q): q \in W\}$$

are *L*-members of a linear system of dimension $\leq n$ on *Y*.

7.4.6 (Proof of (7.4.1) in general). First note that K is not locally finite by (7.1.10).

For any $H \in |H|^{\text{set}}$, its image $\Phi(H)$ is ample by (8.5.15). Thus $\Phi(H)$ is geometrically connected, and so are the Φ -images of the lines since they are set-theoretic complete intersections of divisors $\Phi(H_i)$ (10.2.3).

Set $Z := \Phi^{-1}(\operatorname{Sing}(Y_L))$ and pick any *K*-point $x_0 \notin Z$. Let ℓ be a line through x_0 and *H* a plane through x_0 but not containing ℓ . Then $\ell \cup H$ is scip, hence so is $\Phi(\ell) \cup \Phi(H)$. Since $\Phi(\ell)$ and $\Phi(H)$ are both geometrically connected, (7.3.7 (2)) shows that $y_0 := \Phi(x_0)$ is an *L*-point and (7.3.8) gives that

(7.4.6.1)
$$\dim_L L\big[\Phi(\ell) \cap \Phi(H)\big] \le 1 + \frac{\rho(Y) - 1}{\deg[L:\mathbb{Q}]}.$$

Since y_0 is a smooth point of Y, $(\Phi(\ell) \cdot \Phi(H)) = \dim_L L[\Phi(\ell) \cap \Phi(H)]$. So the $\Phi(H)$ have bounded intersection number with a curve that is an intersection of ample divisors, hence they form a bounded family.

Let $|D| \subset |H|$ be a pencil of hyperplanes whose base locus contains x_0 , and $|D|^t = \{D_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ the corresponding t-pencil (6.3.1). Thus $\{\Phi(D_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a t-pencil on Y_L .

There are infinitely many hyperplanes among the $\{D_{\lambda}\}$ and, as we noted, their Φ -images form a bounded family of divisors. Thus $\{\Phi(D_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is algebraic (6.3.4). Since y_0 is a smooth *L*-point, $\{\Phi(D_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is linear (6.5.4) and the images of the *K*-hyperplanes are true members (6.5.5). Thus, by (7.4.5), the $\{\Phi(H) : H \in |H|^{\text{set}}\}$ span an *n*-dimensional linear system $|H|^Y$, which is basepoint-free since already the $\{\Phi(H) : H \in |H|^{\text{set}}\}$ have no point in common. So $|H|^Y$ gives a morphism $g : Y \to \mathbb{P}_L^n$. Since any hyperplane *H* has nonempty intersection with every curve, the same holds for $\Phi(H)$, so $g : Y \to \mathbb{P}_L^n$ is finite and $|H|^Y$ is ample.

We can also obtain y_0 as $\Phi(H_1) \cap \cdots \cap \Phi(H_n)$, or as a fiber of $g: Y \to \mathbb{P}_L^n$. Since char L = 0, general fibers of g are reduced. Thus $g: Y \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{P}_L^n$ is finite and of degree 1, hence an isomorphism. The rest now follows from (7.4.2).

7.5. Appendix: Special Fields

We discuss various classes of fields that were used earlier.

Proposition 7.5.1 (Locally finite fields). For a field k the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) Every finitely generated subfield of k is finite.
- (2) *k* is an algebraic extension of a finite field.
- (3) k is isomorphic to a subfield of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ for some p > 0.
- (4) A(k) is a torsion group for every Abelian variety A over k.
- (5) There is a C > 0 such that $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} A(k) \leq C$ for every Abelian variety A over k.

Proof. The only non-obvious claims are (4) and (5). If k is not locally finite then it contains either \mathbb{Q} or $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$. In both cases, there is an Abelian variety A over k with arbitrarily large $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} A(k)$. For example, if E is an elliptic curve of $\operatorname{rank} \ge 1$ then E^m has $\operatorname{rank} \ge m$.

Definition 7.5.2. If one of the equivalent conditions in 7.5.1 hold then we say that k is *locally finite*¹.

Definition 7.5.3 (Q-Mordell-Weil fields). A field k is *Mordell-Weil* (resp. Q-*Mordell-Weil*) if for every Abelian variety A over k, the group of its k-points A(k) is finitely generated (resp. has finite Q-rank).

Remark 7.5.4. (1) By [LN59], every finitely generated field is Mordell-Weil.

- (2) Weil restriction (cf. [**BLR90**, Sec.7.6]) shows that these properties are invariant under finite field extensions. Since every Abelian variety is a quotient of a Jacobian, it is equivalent to ask that Jac(C) have these properties for every smooth projective curve C over k (10.4.5).
- (3) It is nor clear how much the class of Q-Mordell-Weil fields differs from the class of Mordell-Weil fields. If char k = p > 0 and $a \in A(k^{1/p})$ then $a^p \in A(k)$. Thus k is Q-Mordell-Weil iff its inseparable closure k^{ins} is Q-Mordell-Weil. Note also that $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ is Q-Mordell-Weil but not Mordell-Weil.
- (4) By [Fal94] if k is Q-Mordell-Weil and char k = 0, then every curve of genus ≥ 2 has only finitely many k-points.

Definition 7.5.5 (Anti–Mordell-Weil fields). Following [**IL19**] a field k is called *anti–Mordell-Weil* if

(1) the \mathbb{Q} -rank of A(k) is infinite for every positive dimensional abelian variety.

Remark 7.5.6. (i) In particular, an anti–Morell-Weil field k is not locally finite. If the latter holds then the Q-rank of T(k) is infinite for every k-torus T (10.4.2(4)), hence (7.5.5(1)) can be restated as:

(2) The \mathbb{Q} -rank of A(k) is infinite for every positive dimensional semi-abelian variety A.

(ii) If char k = 0, then k is not a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} by the Mordell-Weil theorem, hence the \mathbb{Q} -rank of U(k) is infinite for every unipotent group (10.4.2(3)). Thus (7.5.5(1)) and (7.5.6(2)) are further equivalent to:

¹The terminology is not standard in English; it is an analog of the notion of locally finite group.

(3) The \mathbb{Q} -rank of A(k) is infinite for every positive dimensional commutative algebraic group A.

(iii) Warning. Note that if char k = p > 0 then U(k) is *p*-power torsion for every unipotent group; this creates a crucial difference between 0 and positive characteristics for us.

(iv) Examples of anti-Mordell-Weil fields are the following.

- (4) algebraically closed fields, save for $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$,
- (5) R and all real closed fields,
- (6) \mathbb{Q}_p , more generally quotient fields of Henselian, local domains,
- (7) large fields [Kob06, FP10, FP19] that are not locally finite, where a field k is *large* (also called ample, fertile or anti-mordell) if C(k) is either empty or infinite for every smooth curve C.

The last case implies the earlier ones.

Definition 7.5.7 (Hilbertian fields). A field k is *Hilbertian* if for every irreducible polynomial $f(x,y) \in k[x,y]$ such that $\partial f/\partial y \neq 0$, there are infinitely many $c \in k$ such that $f(x,c) \in k[x]$ is irreducible. (We follow [**FJ08**, Chap.12] with adding the separability condition.)

Equivalently, for every smooth, irreducible curve C and every basepoint-free linear system |M| that defines a separable map $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$, there are infinitely many irreducible members $M_c \in |M|$. This also implies that, for every irreducible variety X over k, and every mobile linear system |M| that defines a separable map $X \to \mathbb{P}^N$, there is a dense set $\Lambda \subset |M|(k)$ such that $M_\lambda \in |M|$ is irreducible for $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Remark 7.5.8. Hilbert proved that number fields are Hilbertian. More generally, every finitely generated, infinite field is Hilbertian. A finite, separable extension of a Hilbertian field is Hilbertian, and so is any purely inseparable extension. See [Lan62, Chap.VIII] or [FJ08, Chaps.12–13] for these and many other facts.

Theorem 7.5.9. Let k be a Q-Mordell-Weil field of characteristic 0. Then k is Hilbertian, hence BH(k) = 1.

Proof. The hardest part is a theorem of [**Fal94**] which implies that a smooth, projective curve of genus ≥ 2 has only finitely many k-points. The rest follows from (7.5.10).

Theorem 7.5.10. Let k be an infinite field. Assume that every irreducible curve of geometric genus ≥ 2 has only finitely many k-points. Then k is Hilbertian.

Proof. Let C be an irreducible, projective curve and $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ a finite, separable morphism of degree $d \ge 2$. Pick $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$. If $\pi^{-1}(p)$ is reducible, then one of its irreducible components has degree $\le d/2$. Let $\pi^{(r)} : C^{(r)} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ denote the *r*-fold symmetric fiber product of $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with itself, that is, the quotient of the *r*-fold fiber product $C \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C$ by the symmetric group S_r permuting the factors. Then the set of reducible *k*-fibers equals

$$\operatorname{RedFib}(\pi) := \bigcup_{r \le d/2} \pi^{(r)} \left(C^{(r)}(k) \right) \subset \mathbb{P}^1(k).$$

Let $B \subset C^{(r)}$ be an irreducible component. Taking its preimage in $C \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C$ and projecting to the first component gives a subvariety $C' \subset C$ whose degree over \mathbb{P}^1 is $\leq r \cdot \deg(B/\mathbb{P}^1)$. Since C' = C, we see that $\deg(B/\mathbb{P}^1) \geq 2$. Thus the complement of $\operatorname{RedFib}(\pi)$ is infinite by (7.5.11).

Proposition 7.5.11. Let k be an infinite field such that every irreducible curve of geometric genus ≥ 2 has only finitely many k-points. Let $\{C_i : i \in I\}$ be finitely many irreducible, projective curves and $\pi_i : C_i \to \mathbb{P}^1$ finite, separable morphisms of degree $d_i \geq 2$. Then

(7.5.11.1)
$$\mathbb{P}^1(k) \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} \pi_i \big(C_i(k) \big)$$

is infinite.

Proof. We may discard any curve C_i that has only finitely many k-points. We may thus assume that the C_i are geometrically integral. By (7.5.14) there are automorphisms σ_j of \mathbb{P}^1 such that the branch loci of $\sigma_j \circ \pi_i : C_i \to \mathbb{P}^1$ are all disjoint. For j = 1, 2, 3 and $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I$ let

$$\pi_{i_1, i_2, i_3} : C_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \to \mathbb{P}^1$$

denote the triple fiber product of the $\sigma_j \circ \pi_{i_j} : C_{i_j} \to \mathbb{P}^1$. We see in (7.5.13) that each C_{i_1,i_2,i_3} is a geometrically integral curve of geometric genus ≥ 2 . It has thus finitely many k-points. Therefore

$$(7.5.11.2) \qquad \qquad \cup_{i_1,i_2,i_3 \in I} \ \pi_{i_1,i_2,i_3} (C_{i_1,i_2,i_3}(k))$$

is a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$. Its complement is the union of the three translates of (7.5.11.1).

The claims about the curves C_{i_1,i_2,i_3} are geometric, hence we can check them over \overline{k} . We start with double fiber products.

Lemma 7.5.12. Let C_1, C_2 be irreducible, smooth, projective curves over \overline{k} and $\pi_i : C_i \to \mathbb{P}^1$ finite, separable morphisms of degree $d_i \ge 2$ whose branch loci are disjoint. Then $C_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C_2$ is irreducible, smooth and

$$g(C_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C_2) = d_1 g_2 + d_2 g_1 + (d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1).$$

Proof. Over any point $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$, one of the π_i is étale, hence the fiber product is smooth. $C_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C_2 \subset C_1 \times C_2$ is the pull-back of the diagonal of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. It is thus ample, hence connected and so irreducible. Hurwitz's formula now gives the genus.

We see that $g(C_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C_2) \ge 2$, unless $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ and $d_1 = d_2 = 2$. Taking triple products gives the following.

Corollary 7.5.13. Let C_1, C_2, C_3 be irreducible, smooth, projective curves over \overline{k} and $\pi_i : C_i \to \mathbb{P}^1$ finite, separable morphisms of degree $d_i \ge 2$ whose branch loci are pairwise disjoint. Then $C_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C_2 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C_3$ is irreducible, smooth and of genus ≥ 2 .

7.5.14 (Cosets covering a group). Let G be a group, H_1, \ldots, H_n (left or right) cosets of subgroups such that $G = \bigcup_i H_i$. [Neu54] proves that then the index of one of the H_i in G is $\leq n$.

Next let G be a group acting on a set S and $S_1, S_2 \subset S$ finite subsets. Then $\{g \in G : g(S_1) \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset\}$ is a union of $|S_1| \times |S_2|$ cosets of point stabilizers. If G acts without finite orbits, then these stabilizers have infinite index. Thus we conclude that there is a $g \in G$ such that $g(S_1) \cap S_2 = \emptyset$.

In our proofs the Hilbertian condition is mostly used through the following consequence.

Lemma 7.5.15. Let C be an irreducible, geometrically reduced, projective curve over a Hilbertian field k. Let $\Sigma \subset C$ be a finite subset and $Z \subset C$ a finite subscheme. Let Lbe a line bundle on C such that $\deg L \geq \deg Z + \deg \omega_C + 3$. Then every $s_Z \in H^0(Z, L|_Z)^{\times}$ can be lifted to $s_C \in H^0(C, L)$ such that $(s_C = 0)$ is irreducible, reduced and disjoint from Σ .

Proof. The condition $s|_Z = c \cdot s_Z$ for some $c \in k$ determines a linear subsystem $|L, s_Z| \subset |L|$. The degree condition guarantees that it is basepoint-free and separable. Hence it has infinitely many irreducible members. Almost all of them are disjoint from Σ .

It turns out that versions of (7.5.15) hold for some non-Hilbertian fields and in our proofs a weakening of it is sufficient. We discuss this in Section 8.10.

CHAPTER 8

Linkage

In light of (3.1.14), the problem of reconstructing a variety from its topological space is at its core equivalent to the problem of reconstructing linear equivalence on divisors. The basic technical tool we use for understanding linear equivalence is the notion of *linkage*.

This chapter and the next are devoted to deducing (1.3.1) from (1.5.1). In other words, we must show that the homeomorphism Φ automatically preserves linear equivalence of divisors, which we denote by \sim .

As an intermediate step, in (8.1.1) we introduce a variant, called *linear similarity* of ample divisors and denoted by \sim_{sa} .

We first show, under mild assumptions on the dimension, that every homeomorphism preserves \sim_{sa} , and then show that every homeomorphism preserving \sim_{sa} also preserves linear equivalence.

8.1. Linear similarity and linear equivalence

Definition 8.1.1 (Linear similarity of ample divisors). Let X be a normal variety and PDiv(X) the set of prime divisors on X. We define a relation on $PDiv(X) \times PDiv(X)$ by declaring that $D_1 \sim_{sa} D_2$ iff

(1) D_1, D_2 are \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, ample and

(2) $m_1D_1 \sim m_2D_2$ for some nonzero integers m_1, m_2 .

Note that if $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Cl}(X) \leq 1$ then $D_1 \sim_{\operatorname{sa}} D_2$ for any two ample, prime divisors on X. In these cases the relation \sim_{sa} carries no extra information.

If X is a proper k-variety (or, more generally, if $H^0(X, \mathbb{O}_X) = k$), then $m_1D_1 \sim m_2D_2$ implies that m_1, m_2 have the same sign. We choose $m_1, m_2 > 0$.

The first step of the proof finds $\sim_{
m sa}$.

Proposition 8.1.2. Let X be a normal, projective variety of dimension ≥ 3 over a field k. Assume that k is not locally finite. Then |X| determines \sim_{sa} .

The proof is actually a quite short argument in Section 8.5, which is surprising since \sim and \sim_{sa} seem very closely related at first sight. We show how to recognize

- (1) irreducible, ample \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors (8.5.15),
- (2) linear similarity of irreducible, ample \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors (8.5.17), and
- (3) irreducible \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors (8.5.18).

Once we know |X| and \sim_{sa} , then we also know \sim .

Theorem 8.1.3. Let X be a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible variety over a field k of characteristic 0. Assume that

- (1) either dim $X \ge 4$,
- (2) or dim $X \ge 3$ and k is a finitely generated field extension of \mathbb{Q} .

Then |X| and \sim_{sa} together determine \sim . (See (8.9.13) for a more general version.)

The proof is longer; we recognize the following objects/properties step by step.

- (1) k-points (8.7.8).
- (2) Isomorphism of residue fields of closed points (8.7.10).
- (3) Isomorphism of reduced, 0-dimensional subvarieties (8.7.11).
- (4) Transversality of 0-dimensional intersections of subvarieties (8.8.5).
- (5) Two irreducible curves having the same degree (8.9.5).
- (6) Two irreducible divisors having the same degree (8.9.6).
- (7) numerical equivalence of ample divisors (8.9.10).

We can now use (6.3.4) to recognize algebraic pencils of divisors. Using (6.4.11), we can construct an ample degree function on divisors, which by (6.5.8) determines linear equivalence.

The proof of (1.3.1) is then completed by (1.5.1).

The main technical tool for all this is the study of linkage of divisors.

8.2. Basic notions

8.2.1 (Linkage of divisors). Let X be a normal, projective, irreducible k-variety and \mathscr{L} an ample line bundle on X. Let $Z_1, Z_2 \subset X$ be closed, irreducible subvarieties such that $\dim(Z_1 \cap Z_2) = 0$. We consider the following

8.2.1.1 (Linkage problem). We say that $s_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{m_i})$ with zero sets $H_i := (s_i = 0)$ are \mathcal{L} -linked on $Z_1 \cup Z_2$ if there is an $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^r)$ with zero set H := (s = 0) such that

$$\operatorname{Supp}(H_1 \cap Z_1) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(H_2 \cap Z_2) = \operatorname{Supp}((Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cap H).$$

Note that if the Picard number of X is 1, then this is clearly a question involving only the underlying topology |X|. In fact, by (8.1.2), this is almost always a question about |X|.

8.2.1.2 (Sufficient condition). If $s_1^{r_1}|_{Z_1 \cap Z_2} = c \cdot s_2^{r_2}|_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}$ for some nonzero $c \in k^{\times}$ and $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then the $H_i := (s_i = 0)$ are \mathcal{L} -linked on $Z_1 \cup Z_2$.

Next note that $s_i|_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}$ can be an arbitrary element of $H^0(Z_1 \cap Z_2, \mathcal{L}|_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}) \cong H^0(Z_1 \cap Z_2, \mathcal{O}_{Z_1 \cap Z_2})$ for \mathcal{L} sufficiently ample. Thus we obtain the following.

8.2.1.3 (Identification of points). If the sufficient condition (8.2.1.2) is necessary and any two H_1, H_2 are \mathscr{L} -linked, then $H^0(Z_1 \cap Z_2, \mathfrak{G}_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}) \cong k$. That is, $Z_1 \cap Z_2$ is a reduced k-point of X.

This gives us a topological way to identify k-points and also check whether an intersection is transverse or not.

8.2.1.4 (When is condition (8.2.1.2) necessary?). We know that $\text{Supp}(s_i|_{Z_i} = 0) = \text{Supp}(s|_{Z_i} = 0)$. Thus if

(1) the Z_i are geometrically normal and irreducible, and

(2) the $\text{Supp}(s_i|_{Z_i} = 0)$ are irreducible, then

$$(8.2.1.4.1) s_i^{m_i}|_{Z_i} = c_i \cdot s^{n_i}|_{Z_i}$$

for some nonzero $c_i \in k^{\times}$ and $m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we conclude that there is a constant $c \in k^{\times}$ such that

$$(8.2.1.4.2) s_1^{m_1n_2}|_{Z_1 \cap Z_2} = c \cdot s_2^{m_2n_1}|_{Z_1 \cap Z_2},$$

as needed.

It remains to deal with the conditions (8.2.1.4(1)) and (8.2.1.4(2)). It turns out that normality can be avoided, this is worked out in Section 8.3. Instead of geometric irreducibility, the key condition is geometric connectedness, which is guaranteed if the Z_i are set-theoretic complete intersections of ample divisors. Thus the troublesome condition is (8.2.1.4.b).

8.2.1.5 (Irreducibility of $H \cap Z$). Let $Z \subset X$ be an irreducible subvariety and $H \subset X$ a general ample divisor. When is $H \cap Z$ irreducible?

Bertini's theorem says that this holds if $\dim Z \ge 2$. Since we also need $\dim(Z_1 \cap Z_2) = 0$, we must have $\dim X \ge 4$. For the best results we also need $Z_1 \cap Z_2$ to be a single point, which usually can be arranged only if $\dim X \ge 5$. This is the case when the methods work best.

There are two ways to lower the dimension. First, it turns out that we get almost everything if one of the Z_i has dimension ≥ 2 , the other can be a curve. Thus we get all results if dim $X \geq 4$, as in (1.3.1 (1)).

If k is finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} , then a theorem of Hilbert guarantees that $H \cap Z$ is irreducible for most ample divisors H even if dim Z = 1. This property defines Hilbertian fields (7.5.7), and, for such fields, we can work with varieties of dimension ≥ 3 ; leading to (1.3.1(2)).

In order to give unified treatments, we introduce the Bertini-Hilbert dimension of fields in (8.6.5).

8.3. Preparations: Sections and their zero sets

In this section we discuss foundational results about sections and their zero sets that are needed in our study of linkage.

8.3.1. Let k be a field and let X be a normal, geometrically integral, proper k-variety. For a line bundle \mathscr{L} on X and sections $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(X, \mathscr{L})$ with corresponding divisors $Z(s_i)$ (i = 1, 2) we have $Z(s_1) = Z(s_2)$ if and only if $s_1 = s_2 \cdot c$ for some $c \in k^{\times}$. We would like to relax the normality assumption on X as much as possible while retaining the conclusion of this statement.

Let Y be a reduced noetherian scheme, \mathscr{L} a line bundle on Y and $s \in H^0(Y, \mathscr{L})$ a section that does not vanish at any generic point of Y. It has *scheme-theoretic zeros* Z(s) and *divisor-theoretic zeros*; the latter is the Weil divisor $\sum_{\eta} \text{length}_{k(\eta)}(\mathscr{L}/s\mathbb{G}_Y)[\eta]$, where the summation is over all codimension 1 points of Y. The scheme-theoretic zeros determine the divisor-theoretic zeros, but the converse does not always hold.

We consider two genericity conditions.

(8.3.1.1) Every generic point of Supp(Z(s)) is a regular codimension 1 point of Y. (8.3.1.2) Y is S_2 along Supp(Z(s)).

Since Y is reduced and s does not vanish at any generic point of Y the scheme Z(s) is a Cartier divisor over a dense open subset of Y, and if (8.3.1.1) holds then over

a dense open of Y the associated Weil divisor is Cartier and agrees with the Cartier divisor given by the restriction of Z(s).

If (8.3.1.2) holds and s does not vanish at any generic point of Y, then the zero set Z(s) has no embedded points. Indeed these assumptions imply that the map $s : \mathfrak{G}_Y \to \mathscr{L}$ is injective which together with [**Sta15**, Tag 031Q] yields that Z(s) is S_1 and therefore has no embedded points [**Sta15**, Tag 031Q]. It follows that in this case the scheme Z(s) is determined by the associated Weil divisor. In this case we do not distinguish between the two divisors, refer to it simply as the *zero set*, and denote bit by Z(s).

Notation 8.3.2. For a reduced, noetherian scheme Y, let $\Sigma(Y) \subset Y$ denote the set of points $y \in Y$ such that $\mathfrak{G}_{Y,y}$ is either of dimension 0, or of dimension 1 but not regular, or not S_2 .

Lemma 8.3.3. Let Y be a reduced, noetherian, excellent scheme. Then

- (1) $\Sigma(Y)$ is finite, and
- (2) a section s of a line bundle \mathcal{L} on Y satisfies conditions (8.3.1.1) and (8.3.1.2) if and only if Z(s) is disjoint from $\Sigma(Y)$.

Proof. The ring $\mathbb{G}_{Y,y}$ has dimension 0 if and only if y is a generic point. Since Y is excellent, its regular locus $Y^{\text{reg}} \subset Y$ is open and dense. The points such that $\mathbb{G}_{Y,y}$ is of dimension 1 but not regular are among the generic points of $Y \setminus Y^{\text{reg}}$.

All the points of Y^{reg} are S_2 . To prove the finiteness on non- S_2 points in $Y \setminus Y^{\text{reg}}$, we may assume that Y is affine. Let g be a global section of \mathcal{O}_Y that vanishes along $Y \setminus Y^{\text{reg}}$ but does not vanish at any generic point of Y. The non- S_2 points in $Y \setminus Y^{\text{reg}}$ are the non- S_1 points of the subscheme (g = 0), that is, its associated points. Since Yis noetherian, all 3 of these give finitely many points in $\Sigma(Y)$.

Statement (2) is immediate from the definition of $\Sigma(Y)$ and the above discussion.

Lemma 8.3.4. Let Y be a reduced, noetherian scheme, \mathcal{L} a line bundle on Y and $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L})$ sections that do not vanish at any point of $\Sigma(Y)$. Then $Z(s_1) = Z(s_2)$ if and only if $s_1 = s_2 \cdot u$ for some uniquely determined $u \in H^0(Y, \mathfrak{G}_Y)^{\times}$.

Proof. We may assume that Y is affine given by a ring A and that \mathcal{L} is trivial. We then view s_1 and s_2 as elements of A.

Let $\overline{s}_2 \in A/(s_1)$ denote the image of s_2 . The assumption $Z(s_1) = Z(s_2)$ implies that \overline{s}_2 vanishes at all generic points of $\text{Spec}(A/(s_1))$. Since $A/(s_1)$ is S_1 , it has no embedded points, thus $s_2 \in (s_1) \subset A$. Similarly $s_1 \in (s_2)$, and therefore $(s_1) = (s_2)$ (equality of ideals). The lemma follows.

Example 8.3.5. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^4_k$ be the union of $\{\underline{x}|x_1 = x_2 = 0\}$ and of $\{\underline{x}|x_3 = x_4 = 0\}$. Note that [1:0:0:0:0] is a non- S_2 point and $H^0(Y, \mathfrak{O}_Y) = k$. Consider $s(a, c) = ax_1 + cx_3 \in H^0(Y, \mathfrak{O}_Y(1))$, and observe that its divisor Z(s(a, c)) is independent of $a, c \in k^{\times}$. However, $s(a, c) = s(a', c') \cdot u$ for some $H^0(Y, \mathfrak{O}_Y)^{\times}$ if and only if a/c = a'/c'.

Notation 8.3.6. Let Y be a reduced scheme, $B \subsetneq Y$ a closed subset and \mathscr{L} a line bundle on Y. For m > 0 set

(8.3.6.1)
$$\Gamma^B(Y, \mathcal{L}, m) := \{ s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^m) : \operatorname{Supp}(Z(s)) = B \},$$

(8.3.6.2)
$$\Gamma^{\subset B}(Y, \mathcal{L}, m) := \{ s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^m) : \operatorname{Supp}(Z(s)) \subset B \},$$

(8.3.6.3)
$$\Gamma^B(Y, \mathscr{L}) := \amalg_m \Gamma^B(Y, \mathscr{L}^m) \text{ and } \Gamma^{\subset B}(Y, \mathscr{L}) := \amalg_m \Gamma^{\subset B}(Y, \mathscr{L}, m).$$

These are all unions of $k[Y]^{\times}$ -orbits (7.3.3). Note that $\Gamma^B(Y, \mathcal{L}, m)$ is a set (it is not closed under addition in $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L})$) and $\Gamma^B(Y, \mathcal{L})$ and $\Gamma^{\subset B}(Y, \mathcal{L})$ are monoids.

In view of (8.5.1) and (8.5.17), $\Gamma^B(Y, \mathcal{L})$, is a very natural object to consider.

Lemma 8.3.7. Let Y be a reduced, projective scheme over a field, $B \subset Y$ a closed subset that is disjoint from $\Sigma(Y)$, and \mathcal{L} a line bundle on Y.

(1) If B is irreducible then $\Gamma^B(Y, \mathcal{L}, m)$ consists of at most one $k[Y]^{\times}$ -orbit.

- (2) $\Gamma^{\subset B}(Y, \mathcal{L}, m)/k[Y]^{\times}$ is finite.
- (3) $\Gamma^{\subset B}(Y, \mathcal{L})/k[Y]^{\times}$ is a submonoid of a finitely generated free monoid.

Proof. The first claim follows from (8.3.4). Indeed, if $\Gamma^B(Y, \mathcal{L}, m)$ is nonempty then B is the support of an effective Weil divisor and since B is irreducible it follows that B is the closure of a codimension 1 point $\eta \in Y$. If $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma^B(Y, \mathcal{L}, m)$ are two sections then the associated Weil divisors are equal to $n_i[\eta]$ for positive integers n_i (i = 1, 2). The two integers n_1 and n_2 must be equal. Indeed, if not we obtain that a positive multiple of $[\eta]$ is trivial, which is impossible since Y is a reduced projective scheme over a field. It follows that $Z(s_1) = Z(s_2)$

To see the other two statements, let $B_i \subset B$ be the irreducible, divisorial components. Taking the length along each B_i defines a map of monoids

$$\Gamma^{\subset B}(Y, \mathscr{L}) \to \oplus_i \mathbb{N},$$

which by 8.3.4 induces an inclusion

$$\Gamma^{\subset B}(Y, \mathscr{L})/k[Y]^{\times} \subset \oplus_i \mathbb{N}$$

proving (iii). If $s \in \Gamma^{\subset B}(Y, \mathcal{L}, m)$ and $Z(s) = \sum_i m_i B_i$ then, computing the degrees (with respect to some ample divisor) gives that $\sum_i m_i \deg B_i = \deg \mathcal{L}$, hence $m_i \leq \deg \mathcal{L}$ for every *i* and (ii) follows.

8.3.8. Next we look at the evaluation of a section of a line bundle \mathscr{L} at a point or at a 0-dimensional subscheme V. The twist is that we can not distinguish 2 sections if their zero sets have the same support, and we also can not distinguish various powers of \mathscr{L} from each other. Thus for us the outcome of evaluation is not a single element of $H^0(V, \mathscr{L}|_V)$, but a submonoid of $\bigoplus_m H^0(V, \mathscr{L}^m|_V)$. Our aim is then to understand when this submonoid is small. As a further twist, we need to study this question not on the original scheme X, but on many of its subschemes $W \subset X$.

Notation 8.3.9. Let X be a normal, projective, irreducible k-scheme, \mathcal{L} a line bundle and D an effective divisor on X. Let $W \subset X$ be a closed, integral subscheme and $V \subset W \setminus D$ a 0-dimensional subscheme. Set

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathfrak{R}_{V}^{W}(D,\mathcal{L},m) &:= & \operatorname{Im} \left[\Gamma^{W \cap D}(W,\mathcal{L}|_{W},m) \to H^{0}(V,\mathcal{L}^{m}|_{V}) \right] & \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{R}_{V}^{W}(D,\mathcal{L}) &:= & \operatorname{II}_{m \geq 0} \mathfrak{R}_{V}^{W}(D,\mathcal{L},m). \end{array}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{R}_V^W(D, \mathscr{L})$ is a monoid that is closed under multiplication by $k[W]^{\times} = H^0(W, \mathbb{G}_W)^{\times}$ and, if $D \cap \Sigma(W) = \emptyset$, then $\mathfrak{R}_V^W(D, \mathscr{L})/k[W]^{\times}$ is a submonoid of a finitely generated free monoid by (8.3.7 (3)).

The following elementary observations turn out to be crucial.

Proposition 8.3.10. Using the notation and assumptions of (8.3.9), assume also that D := Z(s) for some $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^r)$, $D \cap W$ is irreducible and disjoint from $\Sigma(W)$. Then

- (1) $\mathfrak{R}_V^W(D, \mathscr{L}) \cong \langle s, k[W]^{\times} \rangle^{\text{Sat}}$, the saturation in $\mathfrak{R}_V^W(D, \mathscr{L})$ of the submonoid generated by s and $k[W]^{\times}$.
- (2) If k[W] = k then the saturation of $\mathfrak{R}_V^W(D, \mathscr{L})$ in $\coprod_{m \ge 0} H^0(V, \mathscr{L}^m|_V)$ depends only on D, \mathscr{L} and V (but not on W).

Proof. The first assertion follows from (8.3.7 (1)). Indeed, $s|_W$ is the unique section of $\mathscr{L}^r|_W$ (up to $k[W]^{\times}$) that defines $\operatorname{Supp}(D \cap W)$. Therefore

(8.3.10.1)
$$\mathfrak{R}_V^W(D, \mathcal{L}, rm) = s^m|_W \cdot k[W]^{\times}|_V = s^m|_V \cdot k[W]^{\times}|_V$$

For other values, $\mathfrak{R}^W_V(D, \mathcal{L}, m')$ is either empty or consists of a single $k[W]^{\times}$ -orbit.

For the second statement note that if k[W] = k then $k[W]^{\times} = k^{\times}$ and the k^{\times} -action on $H^0(V, \mathcal{Z}^m|_V)$ is independent of W.

Remark 8.3.11. Even if we fix the isomorphism type of k[W], in general the image of the restriction map $\sigma : k[W] \to k[V]$ depends on W. This is the main reason why we prefer to work with geometrically connected W.

We also get uniqueness if k[W]/k is Galois and V is irreducible, or if $k[W] = k[V_{red}]$ and it is separable over k, but neither of these conditions is easy to guarantee.

8.4. Néron's theorem and consequences

Definition 8.4.1. Let X be an irreducible variety. Following [Ser89], a subset $T \subset X(k)$ is called *thin* if there is a generically finite morphism $\pi : Y \to X$ such that $T \subset \pi(Y(k))$ and there is no rational section $\sigma : X \dashrightarrow Y$.

Remark 8.4.2. This notion is most interesting for finitely generated, infinite fields. For such fields, $\mathbb{A}^1(k) \subset \mathbb{A}^1(k)$ is not thin; this is essentially due to Hilbert.

Example 8.4.3. A rather typical example to keep in mind is the following. The map $\mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1$ given by $x \to x^2$ shows that the set of all squares is a thin subset of $\mathbb{A}^1(k)$.

We also need a version of this for arbitrary fields *K*:

Definition 8.4.4. A subset $T \subset X(K)$ is *field-locally thin* if for every finitely generated subfield $k \subset K$, the intersection $T \cap X(k)$ is thin.

Theorem 8.4.5. Let k be a finitely generated, infinite field. Let $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be an open subset and $\pi : T^U \to U$ a smooth, projective morphism of relative dimension 1. Then there is a dense set $N(T^U) \subset U(k)$, such that the restriction map

 $\operatorname{Pic}(T^U) \to \operatorname{Pic}(T_u)$ is injective for all $u \in N(T^U)$.

Moreover, $N(T^U)$ contains the complement of a thin set.

Proof. This is [Nér52b, Thm.6].

Remark 8.4.6. A stronger version is proved in [Sil83, Thm.C], though it applies only to number fields and finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$.

Corollary 8.4.7. Let K be a field that is not locally finite. Let S be a normal, projective surface over K and $|C| = \{C_u : u \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$ a linear pencil of curves with finitely many basepoints $\{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$. Assume that a general C_u is smooth and S is smooth along it. Let $\{B_j : j \in J\}$ be the irreducible components of the reducible members of |C|, plus one of the irreducible members. Let m_{ij} be the intersection multiplicity of B_j with a general C_u at p_i ; this is independent of u.

Then there is a dense set $N(S, |C|) \subset \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ such that, for $u \in N(S, |C|)$, all the \mathbb{Q} -linear relations among

$$[p_1(u)], \ldots, [p_r(u)] \in \frac{\operatorname{Pic}(C_u)}{\operatorname{Im}[\operatorname{Cl}(S) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C_u)]}$$

are generated by $\sum_{i} m_{ij}[p_i(u)] = 0$ for all $j \in J$. Moreover, N(S, |C|) contains the complement of a field-locally thin set.

Proof. Note that the point p_i is contained in every C_u ; the notation $[p_i(u)]$ indicates that we take its class in $Pic(C_u)$, which depends on u.

The restriction of B_j to C_u is $\sum_i m_{ij}[p_i(u)]$, so we do need to have the equations $\sum_i m_{ij}[p_i(u)] = 0$. The interesting part is to show that there are no other relations.

Let T be the normalization of the closure of the graph of $|C| : S \to \mathbb{P}^1$. The projection $\pi_1 : T \to S$ is birational, with exceptional curves $E_i \subset T$ sitting over p_i . Let $B_i^T \subset T$ denote the birational transform of B_j . Note that

$$B_j^T \sim \pi_1^* B_j - \sum_i m_{ij} E_i.$$

The second projection $\pi_2 : T \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is generically smooth and the irreducible components of its reducible fibers are exactly the B_i^T .

Let $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be the largest open set over which π_2 is smooth. By restriction we get $T^U \to U$. The Picard group of T^U is then

$$\operatorname{Pic}(T^U) = \operatorname{Cl}(T) / \langle B_j^T : j \in J \rangle$$

Choose now a finitely generated subfield $k \subset K$ such that S, |C|, the p_i and the B_j are defined over k.

Note that $\operatorname{Cl}(T_k) = \pi_1^* \operatorname{Cl}(S_k) + \sum_i [E_i]$, and killing $\pi_1^* \operatorname{Cl}(S_k)$ gives an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Cl}(T_k^U)/\pi_1^*\operatorname{Cl}(S_k) \cong \langle E_i : i \in I \rangle / \langle \sum_i m_{ij}[p_i] : j \in J \rangle.$$

Thus all the linear relations among $[E_1], \ldots, [E_r] \in \operatorname{Cl}(T_k^U)/\pi_1^* \operatorname{Cl}(S_k)$ are generated by $\sum_i m_{ij}[E_i] = 0$ for all $j \in J$.

We now apply (8.4.5) to get $N(T_k^U) \subset \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ such that, for $u \in N(T_k^U)$, all the linear relations among

$$[p_1(u)], \ldots, [p_r(u)] \in \operatorname{Pic}(C_u) / \operatorname{Im}[\operatorname{Cl}(S_k) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C_u)]$$

are generated by $\sum_{i} m_{ij}[p_i(u)] = 0$ for all $j \in J$.

This is not exactly what we want since $\operatorname{Cl}(S_K)$ may be much bigger than $\operatorname{Cl}(S_k)$. However, by (10.4.5), if some \mathscr{L}_k is in $\operatorname{Im}[\operatorname{Cl}(S_K) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C_u)]$, then \mathscr{L}_k^r is in $\operatorname{Im}[\operatorname{Cl}(S_k) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C_u)]$ for some r > 0. (With a little more work one can prove the Corollary for \mathbb{Z} -linear relations, but this is not important for us.)

8.5. Linear similarity

Definition 8.5.1. Let X be a normal, integral, separated scheme. Following (8.1.1), two Weil \mathbb{Z} -divisors D_1, D_2 are *linearly similar*, denoted $D_1 \sim_s D_2$, if there are nonzero integers m_1, m_2 such that $m_1D_1 \sim m_2D_2$.

The set of all effective divisors linearly similar to a fixed divisor D is naturally an infinite union of linear systems, we denote it by $|\mathbb{Q}D|^{\text{set}}$.

Let $|\mathbb{Q}D|^{irr} \subset |\mathbb{Q}D|^{set}$ be the subset of irreducible (but not necessarily reduced) divisors.

Remark 8.5.2. (i) We will use this notion mostly when the D_i are effective and X is a normal scheme over a field k. If X is proper, or, more generally, when $H^0(X, \mathbb{O}_X)$ is a finite k-algebra, then $m_1D_1 \sim m_2D_2$ implies that m_1, m_2 have the same sign. We always choose $m_1, m_2 > 0$.

(ii) If $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Cl}(X) \leq 1$ then any 2 effective divisors are linearly similar. Thus this notion is nontrivial only if $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Cl}(X) > 1$.

Some of the linear systems $|D'|^{\text{set}} \subset |\mathbb{Q}D|^{\text{set}}$ may be small and behave exceptionally so we introduce the following definition:

Definition 8.5.3. A subset $W \subset |\mathbb{Q}D|^{\text{set}}$ is called *stably dense* if $W \cap |mD|^{\text{set}}$ is Zariski dense in $|mD|^{\text{set}}$ for $m \gg 1$.

Remark 8.5.4. Note that $|\mathbb{Q}D|^{\text{irr}}$ need not be dense in $|\mathbb{Q}D|^{\text{var}}$, but, if k is infinite, D is ample and dim $X \ge 2$ (more generally, if D is has Kodaira dimension ≥ 2 and there are no fixed components) then, by (10.1.15), $|\mathbb{Q}D|^{\text{irr}}$ is stably dense in $|\mathbb{Q}D|^{\text{set}}$.

Remark 8.5.5. If dim X = 1 then $|\mathbb{Q}D|^{\text{irr}}$ is frequently empty. This presents a serious technical difficulty in our treatment. However, if deg D > 0 then $|\mathbb{Q}D|^{\text{irr}}$ is stably dense in $|\mathbb{Q}D|^{\text{set}}$ provided the Bertini-Hilbert dimension, defined in (8.6.5) below, is equal to 1. This will be shown in (8.6.4).

8.5.6. For a sub-monoid $M \subset Cl(X)$ of effective classes one can consider a generalization of the Cox ring construction. Namely, choose for each $m \in M$ an effective divisor D_m on X with class m and set

$$\operatorname{Cox}(X, M) := \bigoplus_{m \in M} H^0(X, \mathfrak{O}_X(D_m)).$$

This is a graded k-vector space, well-defined up to non-canonical isomorphism. We would like to endow Cox(X, M) with the structure of a ring compatible with the monoid structure on M. Let $X_{reg} \subset X$ be the regular locus, which has complement of codimension ≥ 2 since X is assumed normal. To obtain the ring structure on Cox(X, M) it suffices to construct isomorphisms

$$(8.5.6.1) \qquad \qquad \mathbb{O}_X(D_m)|_{X_{\mathrm{reg}}} \otimes \mathbb{O}_X(D_{m'})|_{X_{\mathrm{reg}}} \simeq \mathbb{O}_X(D_{m+m'})|_{X_{\mathrm{reg}}}$$

for all $m, m' \in M$. Furthermore, these isomorphisms should satisfy a suitable associativity condition for triples of elements. This can conveniently be summarized as follows. Let $\mathcal{P}ic(X_{\text{reg}})$ be the groupoid of invertible sheaves on X_{reg} and let $\mathcal{P}ic(X_{\text{reg}}, M^{\text{gp}})$ be the preimage of $M^{\text{gp}} \subset \text{Cl}(X_{\text{reg}})$ under the natural map

$$\mathcal{P}ic(X_{\mathrm{reg}}) \to \mathrm{Cl}(X_{\mathrm{reg}}).$$

The data of compatible choices of isomorphisms (8.5.6.1) is then equivalent to the data of a section of the map of Picard categories

(8.5.6.2)
$$\mathscr{P}ic(X_{\mathrm{reg}}, M^{\mathrm{gp}}) \to M^{\mathrm{gp}}.$$

By [AGV73, XVIII, 1.4.15], the obstruction to finding such a section lies in

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{2}(M^{\operatorname{gp}}, H^{0}(X, \mathbb{O}_{X}^{*})),$$

which vanishes since Z has projective dimension 1. The choice of section of (8.5.6.2) is not unique in general. In fact, by loc. cit. the group of sections, up to equivalence defined by compatible automorphisms of line bundles, is given by $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M^{\operatorname{gp}}, H^0(X, \mathbb{O}_X^*))$, which may be nonzero if M^{gp} has torsion and $H^0(X, \mathbb{O}_X^*)$ is not divisible. It follows that in general $\operatorname{Cox}(X, M)$ admits a ring structure as expected but the resulting ring is not uniquely defined in general.

8.5.7. We will be interested in the Cox rings for M, where M is the union of 0 and the image of $|\mathbf{Q}D|$ in Cl(X), in which case we write $Cox(X, |\mathbf{Q}D|)$ for Cox(X, M).

8.5.8 (Restriction and linear similarity). Let X be a normal variety, $Z \subset X$ a subvariety and D_1, D_2 effective divisors on X. If $D_1 \sim_s D_2$ then (aside from some problems that appear for non-Cartier divisors), $D_1|_Z \sim_s D_2|_Z$. For us the main interest will be the converse: if $D_1|_Z \sim_s D_2|_Z$, when can we conclude that $D_1 \sim_s D_2$?

Let D be an irreducible divisor. We say that a subvariety $Z \subset X$ detects linear similarity to D if for any effective divisor D' such that $\operatorname{Supp}(D \cap Z) = \operatorname{Supp}(D' \cap Z)$ we have $D' \sim_{\mathrm{s}} D$. It is not always easy to see when this happens, but the following is quite useful.

Criterion 8.5.9. Assume that $Z \cap \operatorname{Sing} X$ has codimension ≥ 2 in Z, the kernel of $\operatorname{Cl}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(Z \setminus \operatorname{Sing} X)$ is torsion, D is disjoint from $\Sigma(Z)$ (see definition 8.3.2) and $D \cap Z$ is irreducible. Then Z detects linear similarity to D.

Proof. If $Z \cap \operatorname{Sing} X$ has codimension ≥ 2 in Z then we have a restriction map from rank 1 reflexive sheaves on X (that are locally free along $\Sigma(Z)$) to rank 1 reflexive sheaves on Z (that are locally free along $\Sigma(Z)$) and such a rank 1 reflexive sheaf on Z is determined by the divisors of its sections by 8.3.4.

Lemma 8.5.10. Let X be a geometrically normal, projective variety over an infinite field k and D_1, \ldots, D_r irreducible divisors on X. Then linear similarity to the D_i is detected by general, ample, complete intersections of dimension ≥ 2 .

Proof. Let $Z \subset X$ be a general, ample, complete intersection surface. Then $Cl(X) \rightarrow Cl(Z)$ is an injection by (10.2.5) and $Z \cap D_i$ is irreducible and reduced for every *i* by Bertini's theorem (10.1.15).

Lemma 8.5.11. Let X be a normal, projective variety and $C \subset X^{ns}$ a smooth, projective curve. Assume that the kernel of $Cl(X) \rightarrow Pic(C)$ is torsion and the following holds.

(*) Let $D \cap C = \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$. Then the points p_1, \ldots, p_{r-1} are linearly independent over $\operatorname{Im}[\operatorname{Cl}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C)]$. More precisely,

 $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\langle p_1, \ldots, p_r \rangle \cap \operatorname{Im}[\operatorname{Cl}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C)]) = 1.$

Then C detects linear similarity to D.

Proof. Let D' be another effective divisor such that $\operatorname{Supp}(D' \cap C) = \operatorname{Supp}(D \cap C)$. Note that both D, D' are Cartier along C. Thus $D|_C = \sum d_i[p_i]$ and $D'|_C = \sum d'_i[p_i]$. By condition (*)

$$m_1' \sum d_i'[p_i] = m_1 \sum d_i[p_i]$$

for some $m'_1, m_1 > 0$, hence $m'_1D' - m_1D$ is in the kernel of $\operatorname{Cl}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C)$. Thus $m_2(m'_1D' - m_1D) \sim 0$ for some $m_2 > 0$.

Theorem 8.5.12. Let k be a field that is not locally finite. Let X be a geometrically normal, projective variety of dimension $n \ge 2$ over k, $\{D_i : i = 1, ..., r\}$ irreducible Weil divisors and $H_1, ..., H_{n-1}$ ample divisors. Then, for $m_1 \gg m_2, ..., m_r \gg 1$, there is a dense subset

$$U \subset |m_1H_1|^{\text{set}} \times \cdots \times |m_{n-1}H_{n-1}|^{\text{set}}$$

such that, for $u \in U$, the corresponding complete intersection curve C_u detects linear similarity to each D_i .

Proof. By 8.5.10 there is a Zariski open

$$U_2 \subset |m_2 H_2|^{\operatorname{var}} \times \cdots \times |m_{n-1} H_{n-1}|^{\operatorname{var}}$$

such that $\operatorname{Cl}(X) \to \operatorname{Cl}(H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-1})$ is an injection for $(H_2, \ldots, H_{n-1}) \in U_2$ and the $D_i \cap H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-1}$ are irreducible. This reduces us to the case n = 2.

Thus from now on we have a normal, projective surface X over k, $\{D_i : i = 1, ..., r\}$ irreducible Weil divisors on X and an ample divisor H on X.

Now choose a pencil $|C| \subset |mH|$ such that

- (1) $D_0 + \cdots + D_r \in |C|$ for some irreducible curve D_0 ,
- (2) all other members of |C| are irreducible,
- (3) the general member of |C| is smooth and X is smooth along it.

Applying (8.4.7) to it we get a dense subset of $|C|^{\text{set}}$ where the requirements hold. \Box

Remark 8.5.13. Most likely one can choose U such that it contains the complement of a field-locally thin set.

Lemma 8.5.14. Let X be a geometrically normal, projective variety over an infinite field k and D_1, \ldots, D_r irreducible divisors on X. Then linear similarity to the D_i is detected by a dense subset of complete intersection curves if k is weakly Hilbertian.

Proof. By (8.5.12) the map $Cl(X) \to Cl(C)$ is injective for a dense subset of complete intersection curves $C \subset X$, and the assumption that k is weakly Hilbertian (8.10.1) implies that such a general curve X is also irreducible and the lemma follows from (8.5.11).

Lemma 8.5.15. Let X be a geometrically normal, projective variety over a field k. Assume that either

- (1) k is not locally finite and dim $X \ge 2$, or
- (2) k is infinite, locally finite and dim $X \ge 3$.

Then an irreducible divisor H is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and ample if and only if for every divisor $D \subset X$ and distinct closed points $p, q \in X \setminus D$, there is a divisor $H(p,q) \subset X$ such that

- (i) $H \cap D = H(p,q) \cap D$,
- (ii) $p \notin H(p,q)$ and

(iii) $q \in H(p,q)$.

Proof. If H is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and ample then the restriction map

$$(8.5.15.1) H^0(X, \mathfrak{O}_X(mH)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(D, \mathfrak{O}_D(mH|_D)) + \mathfrak{O}_X(mH) \otimes (k(p) + k(q))$$

is surjective for some m > 0. We can thus find a section $s(p,q) \in H^0(X, \mathfrak{G}_X(mH))$ as needed.

Conversely, by (8.5.10) and (8.5.12) we can choose an ample divisor $D \subset X$ that detects linear similarity to H. Then assumption (8.5.15(i)) guarantees that $H(p,q) \sim_s H$. Assumption (8.5.15(ii)) implies that H is Q-Cartier at p. Since p,q are arbitrary points (if we also vary D), H is Q-Cartier and a multiple of it separates points.

Finally, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $B_m \subset X \times X$ be the set of point pairs that are not separated by any member of |mH|. Then B_m is closed, $B_{m_1} \subset B_{m_2}$ if $m_2 \mid m_1$ and we have just proved that $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_m = \emptyset$. Thus $B_m = \emptyset$ for some m.

Corollary 8.5.16. Let X be a geometrically normal, projective variety over a field k and $|H| \subset |X|$ a closed, irreducible subset of codimension 1. Assume that

- (1) either k is not locally finite and dim $X \ge 2$,
- (2) or k is infinite, locally finite and dim $X \ge 3$.

We can then decide, using only $|H| \subset |X|$, whether |H| supports an ample divisor. Together with (8.5.15), the next result proves (8.1.2).

Lemma 8.5.17. Let X be a geometrically normal, projective variety over a field k and H_1, H_2 irreducible, Q-Cartier, ample divisors. Assume that

- (1) either k is not locally finite and dim $X \ge 3$,
- (2) or k is infinite, locally finite and dim $X \ge 5$.

Then the following are equivalent.

- (3) $H_1 \sim_{s} H_2$.
- (4) $|\mathbb{Q}H_1|^{\text{irr}} = |\mathbb{Q}H_2|^{\text{irr}}$.
- (5) Let $Z_1, Z_2 \subset X$ be any pair of disjoint, irreducible subvarieties of dimension ≥ 2 if k is locally finite and ≥ 1 otherwise. Then there is an irreducible, \mathbb{Q} Cartier, ample divisor H' such that $\operatorname{Supp}(H' \cap Z_i) = \operatorname{Supp}(H_i \cap Z_i)$ for i = 1, 2.

Proof. The implication (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) is clear. If (3) holds then choose $m_1, m_2 \gg 1$ such that $m_1H_1 \sim m_2H_2$ and

$$H^{0}(X, \mathfrak{O}_{X}(m_{1}H_{1})) \twoheadrightarrow H^{0}(Z_{1}, \mathfrak{O}_{X}(m_{1}H_{1})|_{Z_{1}}) + H^{0}(Z_{2}, \mathfrak{O}_{X}(m_{2}H_{2})|_{Z_{2}})$$

is surjective. As in (8.5.15 (ii)), the kernel separates points on $X \setminus (Z_1 \cup Z_2)$. Thus, by Bertini's theorem (10.1.15), we can then find an irreducible divisor $H' \in |m_1H_1| = |m_2H_2|$ whose restriction to Z_i is $m_iH_i|_{Z_i}$.

Finally assume (5). By (8.5.10) and (8.5.12) we can choose both Z_1, Z_2 normal, disjoint and such that they detect linear similarity to the H_i . Then we have the chain of linear similarities

(8.5.17.1)
$$H_1 \overset{(by \ Z_1)}{\sim_{\mathrm{s}}} H' \overset{(by \ Z_2)}{\sim_{\mathrm{s}}} H_2.$$

8.5.18 (Variants for reducible divisors). With X as in (8.5.17), we can also get some results when the H_i are reducible. For this write $H_i = \sum_j a_{ij} H_{ij}$. We argue as above, except at the end, instead of (8.5.17.1) we get that

$$\sum_{j} a'_{1j} H_{1j} \overset{(by \ Z_1)}{\sim_{\mathrm{s}}} H' \overset{(by \ Z_2)}{\sim_{\mathrm{s}}} \sum_{j} a'_{2j} H_{2j},$$

where $a'_{ij} > 0$ if and only if $a_{ij} > 0$. Thus (8.5.17(5)) is equivalent to the following.

(1) There are Q-Cartier, ample, effective divisors H_1, H_2 such that $\operatorname{Supp} H_i = \operatorname{Supp} B_i$ and $H_1 \sim_s H_2$.

It is hard to get precise information out of this, but we obtain the following.

(2) If the irreducible components of H_2 are Q-Cartier and all but one of the irreducible components of H_1 are Q-Cartier, then the remaining irreducible component of H_1 is also Q-Cartier.

We can thus recognize irreducible Q-Cartier divisors using the following criterion.

Corollary 8.5.19. An irreducible divisor $D \subset X$ is Q-Cartier if and only if there are irreducible, Q-Cartier, ample divisors A_1, A_2 such that (8.5.18(1)) holds for $B_1 := D+A_1$ and $B_2 := A_2$.

Remark 8.5.20. Using (8.5.15)) and (8.5.17 we get our first topological invariance claims. Namely, let X_K , Y_L be normal, projective varieties such that $|X| \sim |Y|$. Assume that either L is not locally finite and dim $Y \ge 3$, or dim $Y \ge 5$. Then

- (1) If X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial then so is Y.
- (2) If rank_{\mathbb{O}} Cl(X) = 1 then rank_{\mathbb{O}} Cl(Y) = 1.

Note that by (9.3.2), $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is homeomorphic to smooth surfaces with arbitrary large Picard number, so some restriction on the dimension is necessary in (8.5.17).

8.6. Bertini-Hilbert dimension

8.6.1. Let X be a projective variety over a field k and let \mathscr{L} be an ample line bundle on X. We are looking for sections $s \in H^0(X, \mathscr{L})$ that satisfy 3 properties:

- (1) The zero set Z(s) is irreducible.
- (2) The values of s at some points $x_i \in X$ are specified. More generally, given a 0-dimensional subscheme $Z \subset X$, we would like to specify $s|_Z$.
- (3) The zero set Z(s) avoids a finite set of points $\Sigma \subset X$.

To formalize these, let X be a scheme over a field $k, Z \subset X$ a subscheme, \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on X and $s_Z \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}|_Z)$. Set

(8.6.1.1)
$$H^0(X, \mathcal{L}, s_Z) := \left\{ s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) : s|_Z = cs_Z \text{ for some } c \in H^0(X, \mathfrak{G}_X) \right\}.$$

This is a vector subspace of $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$. If X is integral then for the corresponding linear systems we use the notation $|\mathcal{L}, s_Z| \subset |\mathcal{L}|$. For a finite subset $\Sigma \subset X$, let

$$(8.6.1.2) \qquad \qquad |\mathcal{L}, s_Z, \Sigma^c| := \{ D \in |\mathcal{L}, s_Z| : D \cap \Sigma = \emptyset \}$$

denote the subset of those divisors that are disjoint from Σ . Finally we use

$$(8.6.1.3) \qquad \qquad |\mathcal{L}, s_Z, \Sigma^c|^{\mathrm{urr}} := \{ D \in |\mathcal{L}, s_Z, \Sigma^c| : D \text{ is irreducible.} \}$$

For our applications, we are free to replace \mathscr{L} by \mathscr{L}^m . Thus we are most interested in the case when $H^0(X, \mathscr{L}) \to H^0(Z, \mathscr{L}|_Z)$ is surjective and the linear system $|\mathscr{L}, s_Z|$ is very ample on $X \setminus Z$. In this situation conditions (2) and (3) above are easy to satisfy and the key issue is the irreducibility of Z(s).

Next we discuss 3 cases when we can guarantee irreducibility.

Lemma 8.6.2. Let X be an irreducible, projective variety of dimension ≥ 2 over an infinite field k. Let $\Sigma \subset X$ be a finite subset and $Z \subset X$ a finite subscheme. Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X and let $s_Z \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}|_Z)$ be a nowhere zero section over Z. Then,

$$|\mathscr{L}^m, s^m_Z, \Sigma^c|^{\mathrm{irr}}$$

contains an open and dense subset of $|\mathcal{L}^m, s_Z^m|$ for $m \gg 1$.

Proof. The linear system $|\mathscr{L}^m, s_Z^m|$ is very ample on $X \setminus Z$, so this follows from the Bertini theorem (10.1.16).

Next we consider Hilbertian fields (7.5.7). Here $|\mathscr{L}^m, s_Z^m, \Sigma^c|^{\text{irr}}$ need not be open, but it is still quite large. In light of (8.6.2), we need to primarily consider the case of curves.

Lemma 8.6.3. Let C be an irreducible, projective curve over a Hilbertian field k. Let $\Sigma \subset C$ be a finite subset and $Z \subset C$ a finite subscheme. Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on C and $s_Z \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}|_Z)^{\times}$. Then, $|\mathcal{L}^m, s_Z^m, \Sigma^c|^{\text{irr}}$ contains the complement of a thin subset (8.4.1) of $|\mathcal{L}^m, s_Z^m|$ for $m \gg 1$.

Proof. As before, $|\mathscr{L}^m, s_Z^m|$ is very ample on $C \setminus Z$, hence this follows from a basic property of Hilbertian fields (7.5.7).

For the following applications in Sections 8.7–8.8 we only need a weaker version of the conclusion in (8.6.3)/ Namely, we only need $|\mathscr{L}^m, s_Z^m, \Sigma^c|^{\operatorname{irr}}$ to be nonempty for some m > 0. This led to the definition of *weakly Hilbertian* fields (8.10.1). The following is essentially the definition but we state it as a lemma to emphasize the similarity to (8.6.3).

Lemma 8.6.4. Let C be an irreducible, projective curve over a weakly Hilbertian field k. Let $\Sigma \subset C$ be a finite subset and $Z \subset C$ a finite subscheme. Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on C. Then $|\mathcal{L}^m, s_Z^m, \Sigma^c|^{\text{irr}}$ is nonempty for some m > 0.

Note that although we ask for only 1 irreducible divisor, by enlarging Σ we see that we get infinitely many. In fact, the sets

$$|\mathcal{L}^m, s_Z^m, \Sigma^c|^{\operatorname{irr}} \subset |\mathcal{L}^m, s_Z^m|$$

seem to be quite large, though we do not know how to formulate this precisely.

For most of the proofs we need to know the smallest dimension where linear systems are guaranteed to have many irreducible members. This leads to the following definition.

Definition 8.6.5. Let k be a field that is not locally finite. We define the *Bertini-Hilbert dimension* of k—denoted by BH(k)—by setting

- (1) BH(k) = 1 if k is weakly Hilbertian (8.10.1), and
- (2) BH(k) = 2 otherwise.

Remark 8.6.6. In view of (8.6.2), the distinction is only about curves. If k is Hilbertian then BH(k) = 1 by (8.6.3).

Remark 8.6.7. We do not make a definition for locally finite fields. If k is locally finite and \mathscr{L} is an ample line bundle on an irreducible curve C, then every smooth point $p \in C$ is the co-support of some section of some \mathscr{L}^m . This would suggest that BH(k)should be 1, but in some applications setting $BH(\mathbb{F}_q) = 2$ or even $BH(\mathbb{F}_q) = \infty$ would seem the right choice.

8.7. Linkage of divisors and residue fields

Definition 8.7.1. Let X be a normal, projective k-variety and $Z, W \subset X$ closed subsets. Two irreducible divisors $H_Z \sim_s H_W$ are (topologically, directly) *linked on* $Z \cup W$ if there is a 3rd irreducible divisor $H \sim_s H_Z \sim_s H_W$ such that

$$H \cap Z = H_Z \cap Z$$
 and $H \cap W = H_W \cap W$, as sets.

This makes it clear that linkage depends only on |X| and \sim_s , but it is technically simpler to work with the following equivalent line bundle version.

Let \mathscr{L} be an ample line bundle on X. Then $H_Z, H_W \in |\mathbb{QL}|^{irr}$ are (topologically, directly) \mathscr{L} -linked on $Z \cup W$ if the following equivalent conditions holds.

(1) There is an m > 0 and a section $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^m)$ such that $(s = 0) \cap Z = H_Z \cap Z$ and $(s = 0) \cap W = H_W \cap W$ (as sets).

If k is infinite, then, by Bertini's theorem this is equivalent to:

(2) There is an $H_X \in |\mathbb{QL}|^{\text{irr}}$ such that $H_X \cap Z = H_Z \cap Z$ and $H_X \cap W = H_W \cap W$ (as sets).

Thus, \mathcal{L} -linking depends only on $(|X|, \sim_{sa})$.

As we see below, this notion is not interesting if $Z \cap W = \emptyset$ and it has various problems if $\dim(Z \cap W) \ge 1$. Thus we focus on the case when $\dim(Z \cap W) = 0$.

A key observation is that linkage carries very significant information about

- residue fields of $Z \cap W$ in every characteristic, and
- the scheme structure of $Z \cap W$ in characteristic 0.

Proposition 8.7.2. Let X be a normal, projective k-variety and $Z, W \subset X$ closed subsets such that $\dim(Z \cap W) = 0$. Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X and $H_Z, H_W \in |\mathbb{QL}|^{\operatorname{irr}}$. Then H_Z, H_W are \mathcal{L} -linked on $Z \cup W$ if and only if (using the notation of (8.3.9))

$$\mathfrak{R}^{Z}_{Z\cap W}(H_{Z},\mathfrak{L})\cap\mathfrak{R}^{W}_{Z\cap W}(H_{W},\mathfrak{L})\neq\emptyset.$$

Proof. Assume that H = Z(s) gives the \mathscr{L} -linkage for some $s \in H^0(X, \mathscr{L}^m)$. Then $s|_Z \in \Gamma^{Z \cap H_Z}(Z, \mathscr{L}|_Z, m)$ and $s|_W \in \Gamma^{W \cap H_W}(W, \mathscr{L}|_W, m)$ have the same restriction to $Z \cap W$.

Conversely, if $s_Z \in H^0(Z, \mathscr{L}^m|_Z)$ and $s_W \in H^0(W, \mathscr{L}^m|_W)$ have the same image in $H^0(Z \cap W, \mathscr{L}^m|_{Z \cap W})$, then they glue to a section $s_{Z \cup W} \in H^0(Z \cup W, \mathscr{L}^m|_{Z \cup W})$, and then $s_{Z \cup W}^{m'}$ lifts to a section of $H^0(X, \mathscr{L}^{m'm})$ for some m' > 0.

8.7.3. The conditions in (8.7.2) give the strongest restriction if

(8.7.3.1)
$$\Re^{Z}_{Z \cap W}(H_{Z}, \mathcal{L})/k^{\times} \text{ and } \Re^{W}_{Z \cap W}(H_{W}, \mathcal{L})/k^{\times}$$

both have \mathbb{Q} -rank 1. However, in general these objects are essentially extensions of a finite rank monoids by $k[Z]^{\times}$ (resp. $k[W]^{\times}$).

We get further interesting consequences if we relax these restrictions. The general situation seems rather complicated. In our applications it is advantageous to work with a non-symmetric situation:

(2) $H^0(Z, \mathbb{O}_Z) = k$, and (3) $\mathcal{R}^W_{Z \cap W}(H_W, \mathcal{L})/k[W]^{\times}$ has Q-rank 1.

Note that (1) holds if Z is geometrically connected and reduced. In applications we achieve this by choosing Z to be ample-ci (10.2.1).

We see in (8.3.10(1)) that (2) holds if $\dim W > BH(k)$ (with some additional mild genericity conditions).

Next we study the case when linking is always possible.

Definition 8.7.4 (Free linking). Let X be a normal, projective k-variety and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on X. Let $Z, W \subset X$ be closed, integral subvarieties such that $\dim(Z \cap W) = 0.$

We say that \mathcal{L} -linking is free on $Z \cup W$ if there is a finite subset $\Sigma \subset X$ such that two divisors $H_Z, H_W \in |\mathbb{QL}|^{\mathrm{irr}}$ are \mathcal{L} -linked on $Z \cup W$ whenever they are disjoint from Σ . (In practice, any $\Sigma \supset \Sigma(Z \cup W)$ will work; cf. (8.3.2).)

As in (8.7.1), free \mathscr{L} -linking depends only on $(|X|, \sim_{sa})$.

In the rest of the section we discuss various cases when the topological notion of free linking makes it possible to obtain information about the residue fields of closed points.

8.7.5. Let X be a normal, projective k-variety and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on X. Let $Z, W \subset X$ be closed, irreducible, positive dimensional subvarieties such that dim $(Z \cap$ W) = 0. We are interested in the following conditions:

- (1) \mathcal{L} -linking is free on $Z \cup W$.
- (2) $k[Z \cap W]^{\times}/k[Z]^{\times} \cdot k[W]^{\times}$ is a torsion group.
- (3) One of the following holds.
 - (a) char $k = 0, Z \cap W$ is reduced, and either $k[Z \cap W] = k[Z]$ or $k[Z \cap W] = k[W]$.
 - (b) char k > 0, and either $k [red(Z \cap W)] / k[Z]$ or $k [red(Z \cap W)] / k[W]$ is purely inseparable.
 - (c) k is locally finite.

Remark 8.7.6. Note that (8.7.5(2)) implies (8.7.5(1)) by (8.7.2) and the equivalence of (8.7.5(2)) and (8.7.5(3)) is proved in (10.4.11). We will prove, under additional assumptions, that these three conditions are equivalent – we do not know if they are equivalent in general.

Below we will show that (8.7.5(1)) implies (8.7.5(3)) if W is geometrically connected and $\dim W > BH(k)$. A careful study of the proof shows that the first assumption is not necessary, and the validity of (9.7.9) would imply that (8.7.5(1)) always implies (8.7.5(2)).

Proposition 8.7.7. Let X be a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible k-variety and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on X. Let $Z, W \subset X$ be closed, irreducible, positive dimensional subvarieties such that $\dim(Z \cap W) = 0$. Assume that W is geometrically connected and dim $W \ge BH(k)$.

Then conditions (1)-(3) in (8.7.5) are equivalent.

Proof. By 8.7.6 we need to show that (8.7.5 (1)) implies (8.7.5 (3)). Assuming (8.7.5 (1)), choose $s_Z \in H^0(X, \mathcal{Z}^m)$ with associated divisor H_Z such that $\text{Supp}(Z(s_Z)) = \text{Supp } H_Z$ is disjoint from $\Sigma(Z \cup W)$.

By (8.6.2) and (8.6.4), for every $s_{Z \cap W} \in k[Z \cap W]^{\times}$, there is an n > 0 such that $s_{Z \cap W}^n$ extends to $s_W \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$ and $W \cap H_W$ is irreducible, where $H_W := \text{Supp}(Z(s_W))$.

If H_Z , H_W are \mathcal{L} -linked, then there is an $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^m)$ as in (8.7.1). (We can use the same m, if we pass to suitable powers of s, s_Z, s_W .)

By (8.3.7), there are $u_Z \in k[Z]^{\times}$, $u_W \in k[W]^{\times} = k^{\times}$, a finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma_Z \subset k(Z)^{\times}$, $\gamma_Z \in \Gamma_Z$ and a natural number r such that

$$(8.7.7.1) s_W^r = s^r|_W \cdot u_W \quad \text{and} \quad s_Z^r = s^r|_Z \cdot u_Z \cdot \gamma_Z.$$

(8.7.7.2)

$$s_W^r|_{Z\cap W} \cdot s_Z^{-r}|_{Z\cap W} = u_W|_{Z\cap W} \cdot u_Z^{-1}|_{Z\cap W} \cdot \gamma_Z^{-1}|_{Z\cap W} \in k^{\times} \cdot k[Z]^{\times} \cdot \Gamma_Z|_{Z\cap W} = k[Z]^{\times} \cdot \Gamma_Z|_{Z\cap W}.$$

Next note that $s_W|_{Z\cap W} = s_{Z\cap W}^n$ where $s_{Z\cap W}$ is arbitrary. Thus $s_{Z\cap W}^n s_Z^{-1}|_{Z\cap W}$ is an arbitrary element of $k[Z\cap W]^{\times}$ (up to *n*-torsion). Therefore we get that

$$(8.7.7.3) k[Z \cap W]^{\times} / (k[Z]^{\times} \cdot \Gamma_Z|_{Z \cap W})$$

is torsion. Thus we obtain that

$$(8.7.7.4) k[Z \cap W]^{\times}/k[Z]^{\times}$$

has finite \mathbb{Q} -rank. By (10.4.9) we are in one of four cases.

- (1) k is locally finite; giving (8.7.5(3)(c)).
- (2) char k > 0 and $k[Z] \hookrightarrow k[red(Z \cap W)]$ is a purely inseparable extension; giving (8.7.5 (3) (b)).
- (3) char k = 0 and $k[Z] \cong k[Z \cap W]$; giving (8.7.5(3)(c)).
- (4) $\deg(k/\mathbb{Q}) < \infty$.

In the latter case k is Hilbertian. Once k is Hilbertian, at the beginning of the proof we can choose $Z \cap H_Z$ to be irreducible; in which case $\Gamma_Z = \{1\}$ by (8.3.7(1)). Thus in this case we need to show that

$$k[Z \cap W]^{\times}/k[Z]^{\times}$$

is torsion and (10.4.9) implies that $Z \cap W$ is reduced.

Using (8.7.7) we get a topological way of recognizing k-points.

Corollary 8.7.8. Let k be a perfect field that is not locally finite, and X a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible k-variety of dimension > 1 + BH(k). Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle and $p \in X$ a closed point. Assume that either char k > 0 or p is a smooth point of X. The following are equivalent.

- (1) *p* is a *k*-point.
- (2) There are ample-isci (10.2.1) subvarieties Z, W such that
 - (a) dim Z = 1, dim W = BH(k),
 - (b) $\text{Supp}(Z \cap W) = \{p\} and$
 - (c) \mathcal{L} -linking is free on $Z \cup W$.

Proof. Statement (2) implies (1) by (8.7.7). Conversely, we can take Z, W to be general complete intersections of ample divisors containing p.

Remark 8.7.9. If char k = 0 and (8.7.8(2)) holds then $Z \cap W$ is a *k*-point, even if X is singular there. However, for a singular *k*-point it may not be possible to find Z, W such that $Z \cap W = \{p\}$ (as schemes). Thus the method does not yet provide a topological way of identifying singular *k*-points if char k = 0.

Corollary 8.7.10. Let k be a perfect field that is not locally finite, and X a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible k-variety of dimension > 1 + BH(k). Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle and $p, q \in X$ closed points. Assume that either char k > 0 or p is a smooth point. The following are equivalent.

- (1) There is a k-embedding $k(p) \hookrightarrow k(q)$.
- (2) There are irreducible subvarieties Z, W such that
 - (a) dim Z = 1, dim W = BH(k),
 - (b) $\text{Supp}(Z \cap W) = \{p\},\$
 - (c) $q \in Z$,
 - (d) W is \mathcal{L} -isci, and
 - (e) \mathcal{L} -linking is free on $Z \cup W$.

Proof. If (2) holds then $k(p) \cong k[Z]$ by (8.7.7) and (2)(c) gives an embedding $k[Z] \hookrightarrow k(q)$.

Conversely, given $k(p) \hookrightarrow k(q)$, the required Z is constructed in (10.2.9) and then choose W to be a general complete intersection containing p.

Reversing the role of p, q we the obtain a criterion to decide whether $k(p) \cong k(q)$. Note, however, that we get no information about deg(k(p)/k). Using (8.7.4) we see that the conditions (2.a—e) depend only on $(|X|, \sim_{sa})$, thus we obtain the following.

Corollary 8.7.11 (Isomorphism of 0-cycles from |X| and \sim_{sa}). Let k be a perfect field that is not locally finite, and X a normal, projective k-variety of dimension > 1 + BH(k). Let $Z_1, Z_2 \subset X$ be reduced 0-dimensional subschemes. Assume that either char k > 0 or $Z_1, Z_2 \subset X^{ns}$. We can then decide, using only $(|X|, \sim_{sa})$, whether Z_1, Z_2 are isomorphic as k-schemes.

8.7.12 (Imperfect fields). If k is an imperfect field, we can apply the above results to k^{ins} . This results in the following changes in the statements.

In (8.7.8(1)) we characterize k^{ins} -points.

In (8.7.10(1)) we characterize k-embeddings $k^{ins}(p) \hookrightarrow k^{ins}(q)$.

In (8.7.11) we characterize isomorphisms $Z_1 \times_k k^{\text{ins}} \cong Z_2 \times_k k^{\text{ins}}$.

Remark 8.7.13. Let X a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible k-variety of dimension > 1 + BH(k). Then char k > 0 if and only if the following holds.

There is an integral curve $C \subset X$ and a point $p \in C$, such that \mathcal{L} -linking is free on $C \cup W$ for every ample-sci subvariety W of dimension BH(k), for which $Supp(C \cap W) = \{p\}$.

Indeed, if char k = 0 then for any $p \in C$ we can choose W such that $C \cap W$ is non-reduced, and then \mathcal{L} -linking is not free on $C \cup W$ by (8.7.7).

Conversely, we use (10.2.9) to get $p \in C$ such that $k(p)^{\text{ins}} = k[C]^{\text{ins}}$, and then (8.7.7) and (8.7.12) show that \mathcal{L} -linking is free on $C \cup W$ if char k > 0.

8.8. Minimally restrictive linking and transversality

Definition 8.8.1. Let X be a normal, projective k-variety, let \mathcal{L} be an ample invertible sheaf on X, and let $Z, W_1, W_2 \subset X$ be closed, irreducible, geometrically connected subvarieties such that $\dim(Z \cap W_i) = 0$. We say that \mathcal{L} -linking on W_2 determines \mathcal{L} -linking on W_1 if there is a finite subset $\Sigma \subset X$ such that the following holds.

Let $H_Z, H_W \in |\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{L}|^{\text{irr}}$ be divisors disjoint from the Σ such that $W_2 \cap H_W$ is irreducible. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_Z, H_W \text{ are} \\ \text{linked on } Z \cup W_2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} H_Z, H_W \text{ are} \\ \text{linked on } Z \cup W_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In applying this notion we always assume that $\dim W_i \geq BH(k)$, hence the above conditions are not empty.

We say that \mathcal{L} -linking is minimally restrictive on W_1 , if \mathcal{L} -linking on W_2 determines \mathcal{L} -linking on W_1 , whenever $\operatorname{Supp}(Z \cap W_1) = \operatorname{Supp}(Z \cap W_2)$.

The key result—and rationale for the definition—is the following.

Proposition 8.8.2. Let k be a field of characteristic 0, X a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible k-variety and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on X. Let $Z, W_1, W_2 \subset X$ be closed, integral, geometrically connected subvarieties such that $\dim Z \ge 1$, $\dim W_i \ge BH(k)$ and $\dim(Z \cap W_i) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) $Z \cap W_1 \subset Z \cap W_2$ as schemes.
- (2) \mathcal{L} -linking on W_2 determines \mathcal{L} -linking on W_1 .

Proof. Pick $H_Z = Z(s_Z)$ and $H_W = Z(s_W)$. By assumption $W_2 \cap H_W$ is irreducible and disjoint from $\Sigma(Z \cup W_2)$. Thus, by (8.3.10(1)),

$$\mathscr{R}_{Z\cap W_2}^{W_2}(H_Z,\mathscr{L}) = \left\langle s_W|_{Z\cap W_2}, k[W_2]^{\times} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} = \left\langle s_W|_{Z\cap W_2} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot k^{\times},$$

where the last equality holds since W_2 is geometrically connected. So, by (8.7.2), H_Z , H_W are linked on $Z \cup W_2$ if and only if, for some r > 0,

(8.8.2.1)
$$s_W^r|_{Z\cap W_2} \in \mathfrak{R}^Z_{Z\cap W_2}(H_Z, \mathscr{L}) \subset \prod_m H^0(Z\cap W_2, \mathscr{L}^m|_{Z\cap W_2}).$$

Now observe that if (1) holds then for m sufficiently big the restriction map

$$H^0(Z \cap W_2, \mathcal{Z}^m|_{Z \cap W_2}) \to H^0(Z \cap W_1, \mathcal{Z}^m|_{Z \cap W_1})$$

is surjective. Thus if (1) holds then (8.8.2.1) implies that

(8.8.2.2)
$$s_W^r|_{Z\cap W_1} \in \mathfrak{R}^Z_{Z\cap W_1}(H_Z, \mathscr{L}) \subset \prod_m H^0(Z\cap W_1, \mathscr{L}^m|_{Z\cap W_1})),$$

proving (2).

To see the converse, let N be the kernel of

$$\rho: H^0(Z \cap W_1, \mathfrak{O}_{Z \cap W_1})^{\times} \to H^0(Z \cap W_1 \cap W_2, \mathfrak{O}_{Z \cap W_1 \cap W_2})^{\times}.$$

It is a direct sum of a commutative, unipotent group over k and of the $k(p_i)^{\times}$ for every $p_i \in W_1 \setminus W_2$. N is positive dimensional if and only if $Z \cap W_1 \not\subset Z \cap W_2$. We distinguish two cases, depending on whether $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} N(k) = \infty$ or not.

Next choose any $\sigma_2 \in H^0(Z \cap W_2, \mathcal{L}|_{Z \cap W_2})^{\times}$. If $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} N(k) = \infty$ then the restriction of σ_2 to $Z \cap W_1 \cap W_2$ can be lifted in 2 different ways to

$$\sigma_Z, \sigma_W \in H^0(Z \cap W_1, \mathcal{L}|_{Z \cap W_1})^{\diamond}$$

such that $\sigma_Z \sigma_W^{-1}$ is non-torsion in

$$\prod_{m} H^{0}(Z \cap W_{1}, \mathcal{L}^{m}|_{Z \cap W_{1}})^{\times} / \mathcal{R}^{Z}_{Z \cap W_{1}}(H_{Z}, \mathcal{L})$$

We can now glue σ_2, σ_W to a section of $H^0(Z \cap (W_1 \cup W_2), \mathcal{L}|_{Z \cap (W_1 \cup W_2)})^{\times}$ and then lift (some power of) it to $s_W \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ such that both $W_i \cap Z(s_W)$ are irreducible and disjoint from $\Sigma(Z \cup W_1 \cup W_2)$. Similarly, we can glue σ_2, σ_Z to a section of $H^0(Z \cap (W_1 \cup W_2), \mathcal{L}|_{Z \cap (W_1 \cup W_2)})^{\times}$ and then lift (some power of) it to $s_Z \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ such that $Z \cap Z(s_Z)$ is irreducible and disjoint from $\Sigma(Z \cup W_1 \cup W_2)$.

By construction, $s_Z|_{Z \cap W_2} = s_W|_{Z \cap W_2}$, hence $Z(s_Z)$ and $Z(s_W)$ are \mathcal{L} -linked on $Z \cup W_2$, but $s_Z|_{Z \cap W_1}$ and $s_W|_{Z \cap W_1}$ are multiplicatively independent, hence $Z(s_Z)$ and $Z(s_W)$ are not \mathcal{L} -linked on $Z \cup W_2$.

We are left with the case when $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} N(k) < \infty$. In this case $\operatorname{deg}(k/\mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ by (10.4.2), hence k is Hilbertian (7.5.7. We can thus choose s_Z such that $Z \cap H_Z$ is irreducible and disjoint from $\Sigma(Z \cup W_2)$. So, by (8.3.10(1)),

$$\mathfrak{R}^{Z}_{Z\cap W_{2}}(H_{Z},\mathcal{L}) = \left\langle s_{Z}|_{Z\cap W_{2}}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{O}} \cdot k[Z]^{\times} = \left\langle s_{Z}|_{Z\cap W_{2}}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{O}} \cdot k^{\times}.$$

This implies that $\Re^{Z}_{Z \cap W_{2}}(H_{Z}, \mathscr{L})$ has trivial intersection with N. We can thus again choose $\sigma_{Z}, \sigma_{W} \in H^{0}(Z \cap W_{1}, \mathscr{L}|_{Z \cap W_{1}})^{\times}$ such that $\sigma_{Z} \sigma_{W}^{-1}$ is non-torsion, and complete the proof as before.

Corollary 8.8.3. Let k be a field of characteristic 0, X a normal, projective k-variety and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle. Let $Z, W \subset X$ be closed, integral, geometrically connected subvarieties such that $\dim(Z \cap W) = 0$. Assume that $\dim X > \dim Z + BH(k)$

Then \mathcal{L} -linking is minimally restrictive on $Z \cup W$ if and only if $Z \cap W$ is reduced.

Proof. Set $W_1 := W$ in (8.8.2) and let W_2 run through all ample-sci subvarieties of dimension BH(k) (10.2.1) that intersect Z exactly along $Z \cap W_1$. Then apply the following (8.8.4).

Lemma 8.8.4. Let X be a projective k-variety. Fix $1 \le r < \dim X$, let $Z \subset X$ be a subscheme of codimension > r and $P \subset Z$ a reduced, finite subscheme. Let $\mathcal{W}(Z, P)$ be the set of all irreducible, r-dimensional, ample-sci (10.2.1) subvarieties $W \subset X$ for which $\operatorname{Supp}(Z \cap W) = P$. Then

$$\bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{W}(Z,P)} W = P \quad (scheme \ theoretically).$$

Proof. By definition we have $P \subseteq W$ for all $W \in \mathcal{W}(Z, P)$, so $P \subseteq \bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{W}(Z, P)}$. Thus it suffices to show the reverse inclusion.

If $\bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{W}(Z,P)} W$ is not contained in P, then it either contains a closed point not in P, or it contains a nonzero tangent vector at some point of P. So it suffices to show that for each point not in P or nonzero tangent vector at a point in P, there exists some $W \in \mathcal{W}(Z,P)$ not containing that point or tangent vector.

We will show that, for L sufficiently ample, and $s_1, \ldots, s_{\dim X-r}$ generic sections of $H^0(L)$ vanishing on P, the intersection of the vanishing loci of $s_1, \ldots, s_{\dim X-r}$ will suffice. Because we are looking for a generic solution, we can work freely over an algebraically closed field.

Assume L is ample enough that the restriction map from $H^0(L)$ to $H^0(L | P')$ is surjective for each subscheme P' of X that consists of either the union of P and another point Q or P with one of the points replaced by a double point.

Then for each $Q \in X \setminus P$, the condition that s_i vanishes on Q is a codimension 1 condition, so the condition that $s_1, \ldots, s_{\dim X-r}$ all vanish on Q is a codimension $\dim X - r$ condition. Since $Z \setminus P$ has dimension $< \dim X - r$, the condition that all of s_1, \ldots, s_r vanish on at least one point of $Z \setminus P$ is a codimension > 0 condition and thus does not hold generically, and hence the contrary condition that $\operatorname{Supp}(Z \cap W) = P$ holds generically.

Furthermore, for each Q in $X \setminus P$, the condition that $Q \in W$ is a codimension $\dim X - r > 0$ condition and thus does not hold generically.

Finally, for each tangent vector at a point $p \in P$, W contains that tangent vector if and only if it contains the scheme P' obtained by replacing p with the corresponding doubled point. This happens if and only if each of the s_i vanish on P', and these are all codimension 1 conditions, so this does not happen generically.

The following consequence of (8.8.3) allows us to understand intersection multiplicities topologically.

Theorem 8.8.5 (Determining transversality from |X| and \sim_{sa}). Let k be a field of characteristic 0, X a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible k-variety of dimension > 1+BH(k). Let $H \subset X$ be an irreducible, ample divisor and $C \subset X$ an irreducible, geometrically connected curve. Assume that $C \cap H \subset X^{ns}$. The following are equivalent.

- (i) All intersections of $C \cap H$ are transversal.
- (ii) $C \cap H$ is reduced.

(iii) \mathcal{L} -linking is minimally restrictive on $C \cup H$ for some ample line bundle \mathcal{L} .

Proof. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from (8.8.3) and the equivalence of (i) and (ii) is a basic property of intersection mutiplicities; see for example [**Ful98b**, 8.2]. \Box

Remark 8.8.6. Note that there are several weaknesses of the current form of the above equivalences. First, we do not yet know how to decide which are the smooth points of X. We usually go around this by saying that some assertion holds outside some codimension ≥ 2 subset. Second, we also do not yet know how to decide whether a curve C is geometrically connected or not. However, if C is ample-sci (10.2.1), then C is geometrically connected (10.2.3).

The above arguments also show the following.

Corollary 8.8.7. Let k be a field of characteristic 0, X a k-variety, $Z \subset X$ an irreducible, geometrically connected subvariety of codimension r > BH(k) and $p \in Z$ a closed point such that X is smooth at p. Then Z is smooth at p if and only if there is an irreducible, ample-sci subvariety $W \subset X$ of dimension r such that $p \in Supp(Z \cap W)$ and \mathcal{L} -linking is minimally restrictive on W.

Interchanging the roles of Z, W gives the following dual version.

Corollary 8.8.8. Let k be a field of characteristic 0, X a k-variety, $W \subset X$ an irreducible, geometrically connected subvariety of dimension r > BH(k) and $p \in W$ a closed point such that X is smooth at p. Then W is smooth at p if and only if there is an irreducible, ample, complete intersection subvariety $Z \subset X$ of codimension r such that $p \in Supp(Z \cap W)$ and H-linking is minimally restrictive on W.

8.8.9. The argument in (8.8.2) also applies in positive characteristic, except that then the kernel of

$$H^0(Z \cap W, \mathfrak{O}_{Z \cap W})^{\times} \to H^0(\operatorname{red}(Z \cap W), \mathfrak{O}_{\operatorname{red}(Z \cap W)})^{\times}$$

is *p*-power torsion. Thus multiplicative independence is not changed as we pass from $Z \cap W$ to $red(Z \cap W)$. We get that, if k is not locally finite, then the following are equivalent.

(1) $\operatorname{Supp}(Z \cap W_1) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(Z \cap W_2).$

(2) \mathcal{L} -linking on W_1 determines \mathcal{L} -linking on W_2 .

Thus, while \mathscr{L} -linking carries scheme-theoretic information in characteristic 0, it detects only the underlying reduced subscheme positive characteristic.

8.9. Recovering linear equivalence

8.9.1. In this section we discuss how to compute intersection numbers $(C \cdot D)$ of curves and divisors on a proper *k*-variety *X*. General theory tells us that we should write the scheme-theretic intersection $C \cap D$ as the union of 0-dimensional subschemes $\{Z_i : i \in I\}$ and then

$$(C \cdot D) = \sum_{i \in I} \operatorname{length}_k \mathfrak{O}_{Z_i}.$$

From the topology we see right away the points $p_i := \operatorname{red} Z_i$, but neither the nilpotent structure of Z_i nor $\operatorname{deg}[k(p_i):k]$ is visible to us.

We can use (8.8.5) to check that all intersections are transversal. To be precise, this works only if X is smooth along $C \cap D$, and the latter is a problematic condition to check.

If the field k is algebraically closed, then $deg[k(p_i) : k] = 1$, and we are done. However, if the field is not algebraically closed, we would need to compute $deg[k(p_i) : k]$. However, this we cannot do.

Nonetheless, by (8.7.11), we can determine when $deg[k(p_1) : k] = deg[k(p_2) : k]$ for two points. (This again with the caveat that X should be smooth along the p_i .)

The end result says that, although we are not able to compute $(C \cdot D)$ itself, we can decide whether $(C_1 \cdot D) = (C_2 \cdot D)$ for two curves or $(C \cdot D_1) = (C \cdot D_2)$ for two divisors. This turns out to be sufficient for our applications.

The rest of the section is pure algebraic geometry, technically independent of previous results. However, the somewhat unusual assumptions and restrictions are dictated by the needs of (8.8.5) and (8.7.11).

8.9.2. Let \mathscr{L} be a very ample line bundle on a reduced, projective curve over an algebraically closed field. The zero set of a general section of \mathscr{L} consist of $\deg_C \mathscr{L}$ distinct points. However, if we work over a non-closed field k, then the zero set of a general section $s \in H^0(C, \mathscr{L})$ is a union of points of the form $\operatorname{Spec} k_i$ for some field extensions k_i/k , that depend on the choice of the section in a rather unpredictable way. We may thus aim to find sections $s \in H^0(C, \mathscr{L})$ whose zero set is arithmetically simple. If k is

Hilbertian, we can chose the zero set to be irreducible. Another direction would be to find zero sets that consist of low degree points. This is, however, impossible already for genus 1 curves over \mathbb{Q} .

Next we show that an intermediate result is possible. For any finite set of curves C_i and line bundles \mathcal{L}_i , one can find sections that consists entirely of points with a fixed (separable) residue field, at the expense of a lack of control over the particular field or its degree.

Theorem 8.9.3. Let C be a geometrically reduced, projective curve over a field k with irreducible components $\{C_i : i \in I\}$. Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on C and $\Sigma \subset C$ a finite set. Then for infinitely many m > 0, there is a separable field extension K/k and a section $s \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^m)$ such that

- (1) (s = 0) is disjoint from $\Sigma \cup \text{Sing } C$, and
- (2) $C_i \cap (s = 0)$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union of $(m/\deg(K/k)) \cdot \deg_{C_i} \mathcal{L}$ copies of Spec K for every *i*.

Proof. For m_1 large enough there is a separable morphism $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\mathscr{L}^{m_1} \cong \pi^* \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. By (6.2.1) there is a separable point $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ that is disjoint from $\pi(\Sigma \cup \operatorname{Sing} C)$ and such that $\pi^{-1}(p)$ is a reduced, disjoint union of copies of p. Let $s' \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2))$ be a defining equation of p. Then $s := \pi^* s' \in H^0(C, \mathscr{L}^{m_1m_2})$ has the required properties. \Box

Corollary 8.9.4. Let X be a projective variety over a field k, \mathscr{L} an ample line bundle, $\{C_i : i \in I\}$ a finite set of geometrically reduced curves and $\Sigma \subset X$ a finite subset. Then there is an m > 0, a section $s \in H^0(X, \mathscr{L}^m)$ and a separable field extension K/k such that

- (1) (s = 0) is disjoint from $\Sigma \cup \text{Sing}(\cup_i C_i)$, and
- (2) $C_i \cap (s = 0)$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union of $(m/\deg(K/k)) \cdot \deg_{C_i} \mathcal{L}$ copies of $\operatorname{Spec}_k K$ for every *i*.

Theorem 8.9.5. Let X be a projective variety over an infinite field, H an ample, Cartier divisor, $\{C_i : i \in I\}$ finitely many irreducible, geometrically reduced curves. The following are equivalent.

- (1) $(C_i \cdot H)$ is independent of *i*.
- (2) There is an irreducible divisor $G \sim_{sa} H$ such that the (scheme theoretic) intersections $\{C_i \cap G : i \in I\}$ are reduced and isomorphic to each other.

Moreover, we can choose G such that

- (3) $G \in |mH|$ for some $m \gg 1$,
- (4) *G* is disjoint from any given finite subset $\Sigma \subset X$, and
- (5) the $G \cap C_i$ are disjoint from any given closed subset $B \subsetneq X$ that does not contain any of the C_i .

Proof. Assume that (2) holds and $G \sim mH$. Then $m(C_i \cdot H) = \deg_k(C_i \cap G)$, proving (1). To see the converse, let C denote the union of the C_i . Set $\mathscr{L} := \mathfrak{O}_X(H)|_C$. Choose $m \gg 1$ such that $H^0(X, \mathfrak{O}_X(mH)) \to H^0(C, \mathfrak{O}_C(mH|_C))$ is surjective and there is a section $s \in H^0(C, \mathfrak{O}_C(mH|_C))$ as in (8.9.3). Then G := (s = 0) works. \Box

Corollary 8.9.6. Let X be a normal, projective variety over an infinite field, H an irreducible, ample, Cartier divisor, $\{D_i : i \in I\}$ finitely many irreducible, geometrically
reduced divisors and $B \subset X$ a closed subset of codimension ≥ 2 containing $\operatorname{Sing} X$. The following are equivalent.

- (1) $(D_i \cdot H^{n-1})$ is independent of *i*.
- (2) There are irreducible, H-sci curves A (10.2.1) that are disjoint from B and such that the (scheme theoretic) intersections A ∩ D_i are reduced and isomorphic to each other.

Proof. As before, (2) \Rightarrow (1) is clear. For the converse, we look for A contained in a general complete intersection surface $S \subset X$. This reduces us to the special case when $\dim X = 2$. Then the D_i are curves, so (8.9.6) follows from (8.9.5).

The main technical result is somewhat hard to state. To make it clearer, we list a series of six questions that one could ask about a variety X. The first four we already know how to answer using |X| only. Then we show that we can also answer the remaining two.

Queries 8.9.7. Let *X* be a normal, projective variety.

- (1) Given an irreducible divisor $H \subset X$, is it Q-Cartier and ample?
- (2) Given two irreducible, ample divisors $H_1, H_2 \subset X$, are they linearly similar?
- (3) Given an irreducible, geometrically connected curve $C \subset X$, and an irreducible, geometrically connected divisor $D \subset X$, is $C \cap D$ reduced or $(C \cap D) \cap \text{Sing } X \neq \emptyset$?
- (4) Given two 0-dimensional, closed subschemes $Z_1, Z_2 \subset X$, is $Z_1 \cong Z_2$ or $(Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cap \operatorname{Sing} X \neq \emptyset$?
- (5) Given two irreducible, ample divisors $H_1, H_2 \subset X$, are they \mathbb{Q} -linearly equivalent?
- (6) Given two irreducible, ample divisors $H_1, H_2 \subset X$, are they numerically equivalent?

Clarification 8.9.8. In (3) and (4) we do not assume to know which part of the answer applies. That is, we get the correct answer if X is nonsingular at the points $C \cap D$ (resp. $Z_1 \cup Z_2$) but we do not know whether the answer is correct or not otherwise. We also do not assume that we can decide which points are nonsingular.

In the applications we avoid this problem by working in the complemnmt of an arbitrary codimension ≥ 2 subset; see (8.9.9.3).

Note that if X is a normal, projective variety over a field of characteristic zero and $\dim X > 1 + BH(k)$, then we know how to answer Queries 1–4 by (8.5.15), (8.5.17), (8.8.5) and (8.7.11). The assumptions in these results dictated the Queries.

Proposition 8.9.9. Let X be a normal, projective variety of dimension ≥ 2 over a field of characteristic 0. Assume that we know how to answer (8.9.7(1))–(8.9.7(4)). Then we can also answer (8.9.7(5)) and (8.9.7(6)).

Proof. We need to string together the five claims below. In each of them the first part is the information we seek, the second shows how it can be obtained using (8.9.5) and (8.9.6), and the preceding Claims.

Claim 8.9.9.1. Let C_1, C_2 be irreducible curves, not contained in $\operatorname{Sing} X$, and H an ample divisor. Then

(1) $(C_1 \cdot H) = (C_2 \cdot H)$ iff

- (2) for every finite subset $\Sigma \subset C_1 \cup C_2$ there is an irreducible divisor $G \sim_s H$ disjoint from Σ , such that $C_i \cap G$ are reduced and $C_1 \cap G \cong C_2 \cap G$ (as k-schemes).
- *Proof.* This just restates (8.9.5). Here we use the answer to (8.9.7 (3)).

Claim 8.9.9.2. Let C_1, C_2 be irreducible curves, not contained in Sing X. Then

- (1) $C_1 \equiv C_2 iff$
- (2) $(C_1 \cdot H) = (C_2 \cdot H)$ for every ample divisor H.

Proof. The follows from (6.4.3.1) and (8.9.9.1).

Claim 8.9.9.3. Let D_1, D_2 be irreducible, geometrically connected divisors on X and H an ample divisor. Then

- (1) $(D_1 \cdot H^{n-1}) = (D_2 \cdot H^{n-1})$ if and only if
- (2) For every codimension ≥ 2 subset B ⊂ X there are irreducible, H-sci curves A that are disjoint from B and such that the A ∩ D_i are reduced, and A ∩ D₁ ≅ A ∩ D₂ (as k-schemes).

Proof. This uses (8.9.5) and the answers to (8.9.7(3)) and (8.9.7(4)).

Claim 8.9.9.4. Let D_1, D_2 be irreducible, geometrically connected divisors on X. Then

- (1) $D_1 \equiv D_2 iff$
- (2) $(D_1 \cdot H^{n-1}) = (D_2 \cdot H^{n-1})$ for every ample divisor H.

Proof. This follows from (6.4.3.3) and the answer to (8.9.9.3). This gives the answer to (8.9.7 (5)). \Box

Claim 8.9.9.5. Let H_1, H_2 be irreducible, ample divisors. Then

- (1) $H_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_2$ iff
- (2) $H_1 \sim_{\text{sa}} H_2$ and $H_1 \equiv H_2$.

Proof. This needs the answer to (8.9.7 (2)) and (8.9.7 (4)). We get the answer to (8.9.7 (6)).

This completes the proof of (8.9.9).

The culmination of our work so far is the following.

Theorem 8.9.10. Let X be a normal, projective variety of dimension > 1 + BH(k) over a field k of characteristic 0. Then we can decide using |X| only when 2 irreducible, ample divisors are numerically equivalent.

Proof. Under our assumptions, we know how to answer (8.9.7(1))–(8.9.7(4)) by (8.5.15), (8.5.17), (8.7.11) and (8.8.5). Thus (8.9.9) implies the Theorem.

Corollary 8.9.11. Let X be a normal, projective variety of dimension > 1 + BH(k) over a field k of characteristic 0. Let H be an irreducible, ample divisor on X. Then we can decide using |X| only when a t-pencil is algebraic and linearly similar to H.

Proof. Let $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a t-pencil. By (6.3.4), it is algebraic and linearly similar to H iff

- (1) D_{λ} is Q-Cartier and ample for all but finitely many $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
- (2) $D_{\lambda} \sim_{s} H$ for all but finitely many $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
- (3) There is an infinite subset $\Lambda^* \subset \Lambda$ such the $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda^*\}$ are numerically equivalent to each other.

146

The first of these we can decide by (8.5.15) and the second by (8.5.17). Once we know these, then all but finitely many of the D_{λ} are ample. So their numerically equivalence is decided by (8.9.10).

Corollary 8.9.12. Let X be a normal, projective variety of dimension > 1+BH(k) over a field k of characteristic 0. Let H be an irreducible, ample divisor on X. Then for each $1 \le r \le \dim X$ we can determine the similarity class of $\deg_H()$ on r-cycles, using |X|.

Proof. Using (8.9.11) we determine the set HP of all \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, algebraic pencils linearly similar to *H*.

By (6.4.7), HP is an ample, complete and compatible set of algebraic pencils. Thus, by (6.4.11), HP determines the similarity class of $\deg_H()$ on *r*-cycles for every *r*. \Box

We now come to the final result of our work in Chapters 6 to 8.

Theorem 8.9.13. Let X be a normal, projective variety of dimension > 1 + BH(k) over a field k of characteristic 0. Then linear equivalence of divisors is determined by |X|.

Proof. Let *H* be any irreducible, ample divisor on *X*. We get the similarity class of $\deg_H()$ on divisors using (8.9.12). Once we have an ample degree function on divisors, linear equivalence is obtained by (6.5.8).

8.10. Appendix: Weakly Hilbertian fields

Definition 8.10.1 (Weakly Hilbertian fields). We call a field k weakly Hilbertian if, for every irreducible, geometrically reduced, projective curve C over k and every ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on C, there is an n > 0 and a nonzero section $s \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^n)$ such that $(s = 0) \subset C$ is irreducible. We discuss other versions of the definition in (8.10.3– 8.10.4).

Having only one section with irreducible zero set is not very useful, but we show in (8.10.3) that there are infinitely many, and they can be chosen with much flexibility.

The Hilbertian field condition (7.5.7) requires that such an s exists in every 2dimensional, basepoint-free subspace of $H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$. Going from 2-dimensional subspaces to all of $H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$ is a minor change, but allowing powers of \mathcal{L} gives much more flexibility.

A convenient aspect is that if K/k is a finite extension then K is weakly Hilbertian iff k is; this does not hold for Hilbertian fields.

Most 'well known' fields are either Hilbertian or not even weakly Hilbertian, but there are many fields that are weakly Hilbertian but not Hilbertian, for example \mathbb{Q}^{solv} , the maximal, solvable, Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} ; see (8.10.13).

Notation 8.10.2. For a field k let CL(k) denote the set of all pairs (C, \mathcal{L}) where C is a projective, irreducible, geometrically reduced curve over k and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on C. Such a pair is called nonsingular iff C is nonsigular.

If $Z \subset C$ is a finite subscheme and $s_Z \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}|_Z)$, then let $H^0(C, \mathcal{L}, s_Z) \subset H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$ denote the subspace of those sections whose restriction to Z is a constant multiple of s_Z . We use $H^0(C, \mathcal{L})^{\circ} \subset H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$ to denote the nonzero sections.

Theorem 8.10.3. Let k be a field that is not locally finite. The following are equivalent.

- (1) For every $(C, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathbf{CL}(k)$ there is an n > 0 and $s \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^n)^\circ$ such that (s = 0) is irreducible.
- (2) For every $(C, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathbf{CL}(k)$ there are infinitely many $s_i \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^{n_i})^\circ$ such that the $(s_i = 0)$ are irreducible and distinct.
- (3) For every nonsingular $(C, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathbf{CL}(k)$, closed subscheme $Z \subset C$ and nowhere zero $s_Z \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}|_Z)$, there is an n > 0 and $s \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^n, s_Z^n)^\circ$ such that (s = 0) is irreducible.
- (4) For every $(C, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathbf{CL}(k)$, closed subscheme $Z \subset C$ and nowhere zero $s_Z \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}|_Z)$, there are infinitely many $s_i \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^{n_i}, s_Z^{n_i})^\circ$ such that the $(s_i = 0)$ are irreducible and distinct.

Proof. $(4) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1)$ and $(4) \Rightarrow (3)$ are clear.

In order to show that (2) \Rightarrow (4), we apply (8.10.5) to $Z \subset C$. We get a finite, birational morphism $\pi : C \to B$ and a line bundle $\mathscr{L}_B \subset \pi_*\mathscr{L}$ on B with natural isomorphisms

$$H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^n_B) \cong H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^n, s^n_Z).$$

If $t_i \in H^0(B, \mathscr{L}_B^{n_i})^{\circ}$ has irreducible zero set that is different from $\pi(Z)$ then the corresponding $s_i \in H^0(C, \mathscr{L}^{n_i})^{\circ}$ also has irreducible zero set that is not contained in Z. Thus (4) holds for (C, \mathscr{L}, s_Z) iff (2) holds for (B, \mathscr{L}_B) .

Next consider (1) \Rightarrow (2). Assume that only finitely many points $c_i \in C$ occur as irreducible zero sets of sections of powers of \mathcal{L} . Since some of these points may be

singular, let $p: \overline{C} \to C$ denote the normalization and $W \subset \overline{C}$ the union of all preimages of the c_i . Let

$$\Gamma \subset \amalg_{n>0} H^0(\bar{C}, p^* \mathcal{L}^n)^{\circ} / k^{\times}$$

be the subsemigroup of all sections whose zero sets are contained in W. Then the map from Γ to $\mathbf{N}^{|W|}$ that sends a section to its order of vanishing at each point of W is injective, so Γ has finite rank.

Assume first that k is not separably closed. Then there are closed points $c \in C \setminus W$ such that k(c)/k is a separable field extension of degree > 1. By (8.10.6) we get a finite homeomorphism $\pi : C \to B$ and an invertible sheaf $\mathscr{L}_B \subset \pi_* \mathscr{L}$ such that none of the sections in Γ descend to $\coprod_{n>0} H^0(B, \mathscr{L}_B^n)^\circ$. Since π is a homeomorphism, a closed subset of B is irreducible iff its preimage in C is irreducible. Thus \mathscr{L}_B^n has no sections with irreducible zero set, proving (1) \Rightarrow (2) in this case.

If k is separably closed and not locally finite, we check in (8.10.8) and (8.10.18) that it does not satisfy (1).

Finally we show that (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $\pi : \overline{C} \to C$ denote the normalization and $\overline{Z} \subset \overline{C}$ the conductor subscheme of π .

Let s_0 be a nowhere zero section of \mathscr{L} in a neighborhood of $\pi(\overline{Z})$. Set $s_{\overline{Z}} := \pi^* s_0|_{\overline{Z}}$. Then $H^0(\overline{C}, \pi^*\mathscr{L}^n, s_{\overline{Z}}^n) \subset H^0(C, \mathscr{L}^n)$, thus any section in $H^0(\overline{C}, \pi^*\mathscr{L}^n, s_{\overline{Z}}^n)$ with an irreducible zero set gives a required section in $H^0(C, \mathscr{L}^n)$.

Remark 8.10.4. Other versions of the properties (8.10.3(1))–(8.10.3(4)) are worth considering. The following variants of (8.10.3(2)) are especially natural.

- (1) For every nonsingular $(C, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathbf{CL}(k)$ there are infinitely many $s_i \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^{n_i})^{\circ}$ such that the $(s_i = 0)$ are irreducible and distinct.
- (2) For every $(C, \mathscr{L}) \in \mathbf{CL}(k)$ there is an n > 0 and infinitely many $s_i \in H^0(C, \mathscr{L}^n)^{\circ}$ such that the $(s_i = 0)$ are irreducible, reduced and distinct.

It is clear that $(2) \Rightarrow (8.10.3(2)) \Rightarrow (1)$.

We see in (8.10.15) that the *p*-adic fields \mathbb{Q}_p satisfy (1), but they are not weakly Hilbertian by (8.10.18). We do not know whether (2) is equivalent to (8.10.3(2)). The examples in (8.10.12) all satisfy (2).

8.10.5 (Pinching points). Let X be a k-scheme and $Z \subset X$ a closed subscheme that is finite over k. The universal push-out of $\operatorname{Spec} k \leftarrow Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a finite, birational morphism $\pi : X \to Y$ such that

$$\mathfrak{O}_Y = k + \pi_* \mathfrak{O}_X(-Z) \subset \pi_* \mathfrak{O}_X.$$

The image of Z is a point $z \in Y(k)$ and $X \setminus Z \cong Y \setminus \{z\}$.

Let \mathscr{D} be an invertible sheaf on X and $s_Z \in H^{\hat{0}}(Z, \mathscr{D}|_Z)$ a nowhere zero section. Choosing any extension \tilde{s}_Z to some open neighborhood of $Z \subset X$, we get an invertible subsheaf

$$\mathscr{L}(s_Z) := k \cdot \tilde{s}_Z + \pi_* \mathscr{L}(-Z) \subset \pi_* \mathscr{L}.$$

Note that $\mathscr{L}(s_Z)$ is independent of the choice of \tilde{s}_Z , and, for every n > 0, push-forward gives a natural isomorphism

$$H^0(Y, \mathscr{L}(s_Z)^n) \cong H^0(X, \mathscr{L}^n, s_Z^n)$$

Lemma 8.10.6. Let X be an irreducible k-scheme, \mathcal{L} a line bundle on X and $\Gamma \subset \prod_{n>0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)^{\circ}/k^{\times}$ a (multiplicative) semigroup of finite \mathbb{Q} -rank. Let $x \in X$ be a closed

point such that k(x)/k is separable of degree > 1. Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be the pinching of x as in (8.10.5). Then there is an invertible subsheaf $\mathscr{L}_Y \subset \pi_*\mathscr{L}$ such that

$$\amalg_{n>0} H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^n_Y)^{\circ}/k^{\times} \subset \amalg_{n>0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)^{\circ}/k^{\times}$$

is disjoint from the saturation of Γ .

Proof. Fixing some $s_0 \in H^0(\{x\}, \mathscr{L}_x)^\circ$ specifies an isomorphism

$$\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\{x\}, \mathcal{L}^n_x)^{\circ} \cong \mathbb{Z} \times k(x)^{\times}.$$

(It is unfortunate notation-wise that \mathbb{Z} is additive but $k(x)^{\times}$ is multiplicative.) The restriction of Γ to $\{x\}$ generates a finite rank subgroup, denote it by $\Gamma_x \subset \mathbb{Z} \times k(x)^{\times}/k^{\times}$. Its projection to the second factor is $\overline{\Gamma}_x \subset k(x)^{\times}/k^{\times}$.

If we choose another $s_x \in H^0(\{x\}, \mathscr{L}_x)^\circ$, then $t_x := s_x/s_0 \in k(x)^{\times}/k^{\times}$. By (8.10.7) we can choose s_x such that $\overline{\Gamma}_x \cap (\langle t_x \rangle) = 1$. Thus $s_x^n \cdot k^{\times}$ is disjoint from $\Gamma \cap H^0(\{x\}, \mathscr{L}_x^n)/k^{\times}$ for n > 0.

Lemma 8.10.7. Let k be a field that is not locally finite. Let T_1 be a k-torus and $T_2 \subsetneq T_1$ a subtorus. Let $\Gamma \subset T_1(k)/T_2(k)$ be a subgroup of finite \mathbb{Q} -rank. Then there is a $t \in T_1(k)/T_2(k)$ such that

$$\Gamma \cap \langle t \rangle \cdot = 1.$$

Proof. By (10.4.2(4)), the rank of $T_1(k)/T_2(k)$ is infinite, so we can take $\bar{t} \in T_1/T_2$ such that $\langle \bar{t} \rangle$ intersects Γ only at the origin.

Next we show that algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0 are not weakly Hilbertian, they do not even satisfy (8.10.4(1)). Note that a much stronger variant of (8.10.8) could be true; see (9.7.10) and (8.10.10). The same methods work for real closed fields. We do not know any other subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ that does not satisfy (8.10.4(1)) for smooth curves, though presumably there are many.

Proposition 8.10.8. Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. There is a smooth projective curve C and an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} , defined over K, such that every section of \mathcal{L}^m has at least 2 distinct zeros for every m > 0.

Proof. Let $\pi : C \to B$ be a nonconstant morphism between smooth, projective curves such that $g(C) \ge g(B) + 2$ and $g(B) \ge 1$. Assume that there is $b_0 \in B(K)$ such that $\pi^{-1}(b_0)$ is a single point c_0 . Let $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Pic}(B)$ be as in (8.10.9).

The Q-rank of $\operatorname{Pic}(B)$ is infinite by (7.5.6(4)), so there is an ample $\mathscr{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}(B)$ no power of which is in Γ . Then $\pi^*\mathscr{L}$ has the required property.

Lemma 8.10.9. Let $\pi : C \to B$ be a nonconstant morphism between smooth, projective curves defined over K, such that $g(C) \ge g(B) + 2$. Assume that there is $b_0 \in B(K)$ such that $\pi^{-1}(b_0)$ is a single point c_0 . Then

$$\Gamma := \langle \mathscr{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}(B) : \pi^* \mathscr{L}^m \cong \mathfrak{G}_C(n[c]) \text{ for some } c \in C(K), n, m > 0 \rangle \subset \operatorname{Pic}(B)$$

has finite \mathbb{Q} -rank.

Proof. Embed $C \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Jac}(C)$ sending c_0 to the origin. Set $A := \operatorname{Jac}(C)/\pi^* \operatorname{Jac}(B)$ with quotient map $\sigma : C \to A$. Let \mathscr{L} be a line bundle of degree d on B. If $\pi^* \mathscr{L}^m \sim \mathfrak{O}_C(n[c])$ then $\sigma(c) \in A$ is an *n*-torsion point since $\pi^* \mathscr{L}(-d[b_0])$ maps to the origin in A. Thus there are only finitely many such $c \in C(K)$ by [**Zha98**], and the Q-rank of Γ is at most the number of such torsion points.

For nodal rational curves, there is an elementary proof; see (8.10.17) for a more advanced version of it.

Example 8.10.10. Nodal rational curves show that $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is not weakly Hilbertian. In fact we show that for most line bundles, every section has at least as many zeros as the number of nodes.

A rational curve with r nodes is obtained form \mathbb{P}^1 by identifying r point pairs. Thus we start with 2r distinct points $a_1, \ldots, a_{2r} \in \mathbf{A}^1$ and identify a_i with a_{r+i} to get a nodal rational curve C.

A line bundle on C is obtained by starting with some $\mathscr{L} = \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)$ and specifying isomorphisms $\mathscr{L}|_{a_i} \cong \mathscr{L}|_{a_{r+i}}$. Thus sections of the resulting line bundle are given by polynomials p(x) of degree $\leq m$ such that $p(a_i) = u_i p(a_{r+1})$ for every i where $u_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ specify the line bundle.

A polynomial with zeros $\{z_j : j \in J\}$ is $s(x) = \gamma \prod_j (x - z_j)^{m_j}$. Thus for nonzero u_1, \ldots, u_r we aim to solve the *r* equations

(8.10.10.1)
$$\prod_{j \in J} \left(\frac{a_i - z_j}{a_{r+i} - z_j} \right)^{m_j} = u_i^n$$

where $m_i, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ are unknowns with $n \neq 0$.

For every p choose an extension v_p of the p-adic valuation to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. The v_p -valuation of any $d \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ is 0 for all but finitely many p. We can thus choose p such that

(8.10.10.2)
$$v_p(a_i) = v_p(a_i - a_j) = 0$$
 for every $i \neq j$.

Thus taking the valuation of (8.10.10.1) we get the equations

(8.10.10.3)
$$\sum_{j} m_{j} v_{p} \left(\frac{a_{i} - z_{j}}{a_{r+i} - z_{j}} \right) = n v_{p}(u_{i}).$$

Choose the u_i such that $v_p(u_i) \neq 0$ for every *i*. By (8.10.10.5) below, for every *i* we get a $\sigma(i) \in J$ such that

$$(8.10.10.4) v_p(a_i - z_{\sigma(i)}) > 0 or v_p(a_{r+i} - z_{\sigma(i)}) > 0.$$

If r > |J| then the same z_j appears twice. Thus we have $v_p(a_{i_1}-z_j) > 0$ and $v_p(a_{i_2}-z_j) > 0$ for some $i_1 \neq i_2$ and j. Then

$$v_p(a_{i_1} - a_{i_2}) \ge \min\{v_p(a_{i_1} - z_j), v_p(a_{i_2} - z_j)\} > 0$$

gives a contradiction.

Claim 8.10.10.5. Let (R, v) be a valuation ring and $a, c \in R^{\times}$ such that v(a) = v(c) = v(a - c) = 0. Then

$$v\left(\frac{a-z}{c-z}\right) > 0 \iff v(a-z) > 0$$
 and $v\left(\frac{a-z}{c-z}\right) < 0 \iff v(c-z) > 0.$

Algebraic field extensions

The weak Hilbertian property is well behaved in algebraic field extensions. **Proposition 8.10.11.** Let K/k be a separable, algebraic field extension.

- (1) If K is weakly Hilbertian then so is k.
- (2) If k is weakly Hilbertian and $deg(K/k) < \infty$, then so is K.

Proof. For (1) we use (8.10.3 (3)). So we start with $C_k, \mathscr{L}_k, Z_k, s_{Z_k}$ and by base change we get $C_K, \mathscr{L}_K, Z_K, s_{Z_K}$. Let s_K be a section in $H^0(C_K, \mathscr{L}_K^m, s_{Z_K}^m)$ with irreducible zero set.

Let $C'_K \subset C_K$ be one of the irreducible components. All these data are defined over a finite degree subextension $k \subset K_1 \subset K$. Since C_k is nonsingular, $C'_{K_1} \to C_k$ is flat, so $\operatorname{norm}_{K_1/k}$ sends sections of $(\mathscr{L}'_{K_1})^m$ to sections of \mathscr{L}^{md}_k , where $d = \deg(K_1/k)$. Thus $s_k := \operatorname{norm}_{K_1/k}(s'_{K_1})$ is a section in $H^0(C_k, \mathscr{L}^{md}_k, s^{md}_{Z_k})$ with irreducible zero set.

To prove (2) we use (8.10.3 (2)). Let C_K be an irreducible curve over K. Since K/k is finite, C_K can be viewed as an irreducible curve C_k over k. If \mathscr{L}_K is a line bundle over C_K , it gives a line bundle \mathscr{L}_k and a natural identification $H^0(C_K, \mathscr{L}_K) = H^0(C_k, \mathscr{L}_k)$. If $s_k \in H^0(C_k, \mathscr{L}_k^n)$ has an irreducible zero set, then so does the corresponding $s_K \in H^0(C_K, \mathscr{L}_K^n)$.

Corollary 8.10.12. Let k be a Hilbertian field and K/k a Galois extension that is not separably closed. Then K is weakly Hilbertian.

Proof. By [Wei82], every nontrivial finite extension of such a field K is Hilbertian. Thus, if K is not separably closed, then K is weakly Hilbertian by (8.10.11).

Example 8.10.13. \mathbb{Q}^{solv} , the composite of all Galois extensions of \mathbb{Q} with solvable Galois group, is weakly Hilbertian by (8.10.12), but not Hilbertian, as shown by the polynomial $y^2 - x$.

Lemma 8.10.14. Let K/k be a purely inseperable field extension. Then K is weakly Hilbertian iff k is.

Proof. Going from K to k works as in the proof of (8.10.11(1)).

Conversely, assume that k is weakly Hilbertian and let (C_K, \mathscr{L}_K) be a curve. It is defined over a finite subextension $k \subset K_1 \subset K$. Since K/K_1 is purely inseprable, an irreducible subvariety of C_{K_1} stays irreducible over K. Thus it is enough to show that $(C_{K_1}, \mathscr{L}_{K_1})$ satisfies the weak Hilbertian property.

Now note that $K_1^q \subset k$ where q is a high enough power of the characteristic. Thus $K_1 \cong K_1^q$ is weakly Hilbertian by the first part.

Quotient fields of valuation rings

The behavior of \mathbb{Q}_p , $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$, and, more generally, quotient fields of Henselian valuation rings, is very interesting. Smooth curves have weak Hilbertian properties but singular curves do not.

Proposition 8.10.15. Let (R, m) be an excellent DVR with quotient field K and locally finite residue field k. Let C_K be a smooth, projective, irreducible curve over K and \mathscr{L}_K an ample line bundle on C_K . Then $|\mathscr{L}_K^n|$ has infinitely many irreducible members for n sufficiently divisible.

Proof. We extend C_K to a flat morphism $C_R \to \operatorname{Spec} R$. We may assume that C_R is regular by [Sha66]. Then \mathcal{L}_K extends to a line bundle \mathcal{L}_R on C_R .

Let E_1, \ldots, E_r be the irreducible components of the central fiber $C_k = \sum m_i E_i$. The intersection matrix $(E_i \cdot E_j)$ is negative semidefinite with $[C_k]$ as the only null-vector. Thus the intersection matrix of E_2, \ldots, E_r is negative definite. We can thus find a divisor F supported on E_2, \ldots, E_r such that $\mathscr{L}_R^{n_1}(F)$ has degree 0 on E_2, \ldots, E_r .

By [Art62] the curves E_2, \ldots, E_r can be contracted $C_R \to C_R^*$ and a suitable power of $\mathscr{L}_R^{n_1}(F)$ descends to a line bundle \mathscr{L}^* on C_R^* .

Now we have a normal scheme with a flat morphism $\pi : C_R^* \to R$ whose generic fiber is C_K and whose central fiber C_k^* is an irreducible curve. Furthermore there is a line bundle \mathscr{L}^* whose restriction to C_K is a power of \mathscr{L}_K .

Set $E^* = \operatorname{red} C_k^*$ and pick any point $p \in E^*$ that is regular both on E^* and on C_R^* . Since $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}(E^*) = 1$, after passing to a power of \mathcal{L}^* , we may assume that

- (1) $\mathscr{L}^*|_{E^*}$ has a section \overline{s}_0 that vanishes only at p,
- (2) $\mathscr{L}^*(-E^*)/\mathscr{L}^*(-2E^*)$ has a section \overline{s}_1 that does not vanish at p, and
- (3) $H^1(C_R^*, \mathscr{L}^*(-E^*)) = H^1(C_R^*, \mathscr{L}^*(-2E^*)) = 0.$

By (3) we can lift \overline{s}_0 and \overline{s}_1 to $s_0 \in H^0(C_R^*, \mathcal{L}^*)$ and $s_1 \in H^0(C_R^*, \mathcal{L}^*(-E^*))$. For all but 1 residue value of $\lambda \in R$, $D_R(\lambda) := (s_0 + \lambda s_1 = 0)$ is regular at p. Since p is its sole point over k, $D_R(\lambda)$ is irreducible and reduced.

Remark 8.10.16. The proof uses excellence, but the result might hold without it. Note that a DVR of characteristic 0 is excellent [**Sta15**, 07QW]. In positive characteristic, local rings of smooth curves are excellent and so are power series rings K[[t]]. However, there are many non-excellent DVRs; see [**DS18**] for especially simple examples.

Proposition 8.10.17. Let (R, m) be a Henselian valuation ring with quotient field K and residue field k. For $2g \le |k|$ there are rational curves C with g nodes over K such that, for 'most' ample line bundles \mathcal{L} over C, every section of \mathcal{L}^n has at least g distinct zeros.

Proof. We choose $a_i \in R \subset \mathbf{A}^1(K) \subset \mathbb{P}^1_K$ such that $\overline{a}_i \in k$ (their reduction mod m) are all distinct. As in (8.10.10), identifying a_i with a_{g+i} to get a nodal rational curve C and a line bundle \mathscr{L} on C is obtained by starting with some $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r)$ and specifying isomorphisms $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r)|_{a_i} \cong \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r)|_{a_{g+i}}$. Thus sections of \mathscr{L}^n are given by polynomials f(x) of degree $\leq nr$ such that

(8.10.17.1)
$$f(a_i) = u_i^n f(a_{g+1})$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, g$,

where the $u_i \in K^{\times}$ determine \mathscr{L} . We may assume that $f(x) \in R[x] \setminus m[x]$ and denote by \overline{f} its image in k[x]. If the valuation of u_i is not 0 for every *i*, this implies that

(8.10.17.2)
$$\overline{f}(\overline{a}_i) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{f}(\overline{a}_{g+i}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, g.$$

Thus \overline{f} has at least g distinct zeros, so f has at least g distinct prime factors since R is Henselian.

Corollary 8.10.18. The following fields are not weakly Hilbertian.

- (1) \mathbb{Q}_p and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p$.
- (2) $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p(t)} \cap \mathbb{F}_p((t))$.
- (3) Separably closed fields.

Proof. The rings $\mathbb{Z}_p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p(t)} \cap \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ are all Henselian, hence not weakly Hilbertian by (8.10.17).

Assume that *K* is separably closed. If $K = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ then *K* is not weakly Hilbertian by definition. Otherwise *K* has nontrivial valuations. The value ring is Henselian since *K* is separably closed.

Remark 8.10.19 (Locally finite fields). Let C be an integral, projective curve over a locally finite field k and \mathscr{L} an ample line bundle on C. Let $c \in C$ be any smooth point. Then $\mathscr{L}^{\deg c}(-\deg \mathscr{L} \cdot [c])$ has degree 0, hence torsion since k is locally finite. Thus there is an m > 0 and a section $s \in H^0(C, \mathscr{L}^m)$ such that $\operatorname{red}(s = 0) = \{c\}$.

B. Poonen explained to us that, using geometric class field theory and the function field Chebotarev density theorem, one can prove that \mathscr{L}^m has a section with irreducible and reduced zero set for all $m \gg 1$. However, the probability that a random section has this property tends to 0 as $m \to \infty$.

CHAPTER 9

Complements, counterexamples, and conjectures

9.1. A topological Gabriel theorem

In this section, we prove the following analogues of Gabriel's reconstruction theorem.

Notation 9.1.1. Given a scheme X and a ring A that is either a finite field, a finite extension of \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} for some prime ℓ (not necessarily invertible on X), or some field $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \subset A \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$, we write $\mathscr{C}_{X,A}$ for the category of constructible étale A-modules. For \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} -subfields of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$, we take the definition as in [**Gro77**, Exposé VI, 1.5.3].

Given a field *K*, call a *K*-scheme *X* recognizable if *K* and *X* satisfy conditions (1), (2), or (3) of (1.3.1). The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 9.1.2. *Fix a ring A as in (9.1.1).*

(i) For a scheme X the Zariski topological space |X| is determined by the category $\mathscr{C}_{X,A}$.

(ii) Let K and L be fields of characteristic 0 and X_K, Y_L recognizable varieties over K and L, respectively. Any equivalence $\mathscr{C}_{X,A} \to \mathscr{C}_{Y,A}$ is induced by a unique isomorphism $X \to Y$ of schemes.

The proof occupies the remainder of this section.

9.1.3. Note that by (1.3.1), to prove (9.1.2) it suffices to statement (i). So we fix the scheme *X*, and to ease notation write simply \mathscr{C} for the category $\mathscr{C}_{X,A}$ in what follows.

Since we can pick out the \mathbb{F}_{ℓ} -modules from the \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -modules (using the criterion that the ℓ -fold sum of the identity map vanishes), to prove (9.1.2), it suffices to prove it under the assumption that A either \mathbb{F}_{ℓ} or a subfield $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \subset A \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$.

Lemma 9.1.4. A constructible A-module M is isomorphic to a sheaf of the form ι_*A for some ι : Spec $k \to X$ if and only if it is a (non-zero) simple object of \mathscr{C} .

Proof. Since M is constructible, its support is a constructible subset of X. If it contains two closed points x and y, then M contains the proper submodule $\iota_!M_x$, where $\iota: x \to X$ is the inclusion map. We conclude that M is supported at a single closed point $x \in X$, so that $M = \iota_*M'$ for some M'. Since M is simple, M' must be a simple vector space, so it must have dimension 1.

Definition 9.1.5. (i) An object $F \in \mathscr{C}$ is *irreducible* if

- (1) for every simple object $s \in \mathscr{C}$ we have $\dim_A \operatorname{Hom}(F, s) \leq 1$, and
- (2) any pair of subobjects $F', F'' \subset F$ have non-zero intersection.
- (ii) The support of an irreducible object F is the set Supp(F) of simple quotients of F.
- (iii) Two irreducible objects F and F' are *equivalent* if Supp(F) = Supp(F').

- (iv) An irreducible object F is a *partial closure* of an irreducible object G if there are non-zero subobjects $F' \subset F$ and $G' \subset G$ such that F' is equivalent to G'.
- (v) An irreducible object F is *closed* if any partial closure of F has the same support.
- (vi) An irreducible object F is a *closure* of an irreducible object F' if F is a closed partial closure of F'.

We can associate two subsets of X to an irreducible object F: the support of the sheaf F and the set Supp(F) as defined above, which is identified with a subset of X via Lemma 9.1.4. It is not hard to see that the support of the sheaf F is the Zariski closure of the set of closed points, which is Supp(F). We will safely conflate these supports in what follows.

Lemma 9.1.6. The following hold for irreducible objects.

- (1) If F is a closed irreducible object of C then the support of F is a closed irreducible subset of X.
- (2) The set of irreducible closed subsets of X is in bijection with equivalence classes of closed irreducible objects of C.
- (3) An irreducible closed subset $Y \subset X$ lies in an irreducible closed subset $Z \subset X$ if and only if there is a closed irreducible sheaf F with Supp(F) = Z(k), a closed irreducible sheaf F' with Supp(F') = Y(k), and a surjection $F \to F'$.

Proof. If the support of F is not irreducible then there are two open subsets $U, V \subset$ Supp(F) such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$. But then $(j_U)_!F_U$ and $(j_V)_!F_V$ are two non-zero subsheaves with trivial intersection. Suppose the support of F is not closed. Consider the inclusion $\text{Supp}(F) \hookrightarrow \overline{\text{Supp}(F)}$. Since F is constructible, there is an open subscheme $U \subset \text{Supp}(F) \subset \overline{\text{Supp}(F)}$. The constant sheaf on $\overline{\text{Supp}(F)}$ is then a partial closure of F, since $j_!F|_U$ is equivalent to $i_!A$, where $j: U \to \text{Supp}(F)$ and $i: U \to \overline{\text{Supp}(F)}$ are the natural open immersions.

The second statement follows from the first statement and the fact that constant sheaves define all irreducible closed subsets.

The last statement follows from the fact that for any surjection $F \to F'$ we have $\operatorname{Supp}(F') \subset \operatorname{Supp}(F)$, combined with the fact that, if $i: Y \to Z$ is a closed immersion, the natural map $A_Z \to i_*A_Y$ is a surjection of irreducible sheaves.

Proposition 9.1.7. The Zariski topological space X is uniquely determined by the category C.

Proof. It suffices to reconstruct the Zariski topology on the set of closed points X(k). First note that we can describe the set itself as the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of \mathcal{C} , by (9.1.4). Given a sheaf F, we can thus describe the support $\operatorname{Supp}(F) \subset X(k)$. By (9.1.6),we can reconstruct the set of irreducible closed subsets $Z \subset X(k)$. This suffices to completely determine the topology, since closed subsets are precisely finite unions of irreducible closed subsets. \Box

This completes the proof of (9.1.2).

Remark 9.1.8. It is natural to wonder if there are topological analogues of Balmer's monoidal reconstruction theorem [**Bal05**], or the theory of Fourier–Mukai transforms. These ideas will be pursued elsewhere.

Remark 9.1.9. In the above we work with the standard coefficient rings for étale sheaves. However, the same argument shows that (9.1.2) also holds with other coefficient rings such as \mathbb{Z} (so $\mathscr{C}_{X,A}$) is the category of constructible sheaves of abelian groups) or \mathbb{Q} (defined directly, in contrast with \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ}).

9.2. Examples over finite fields

Let K be a locally finite field. The following example shows that, while $|\mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K|$ determines K, one cannot recover the field K from the Zariski topology of \mathbb{P}^2_K .

Example 9.2.1. Let *K*, *L* be locally finite fields. Then

- (1) $|\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}| \sim |\mathbb{P}_{L}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{L}^{1}| \iff K \cong L.$ (2) $|\mathbb{P}_{K}^{2}| \sim |\mathbb{P}_{L}^{2}|.$

Both assertions are special cases of more general results. Item (2) is essentially proved in **[WK81**]; we discuss a more general form of it in (9.3.1) below.

For (1), we show in (9.2.2) that, over any field K, one can recover K^{insep} from $|\mathbb{P}^1_K \times$ \mathbb{P}^1_K . If *K* is locally finite then $K = K^{\text{insep}}$ and we are done.

Note that the finite field case follows already from the simpler (9.2.5).

Theorem 9.2.2. Let K be a perfect field. Then $|\mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K|$ determines K, up to isomorphism.

We present three methods to extract information from $|\mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K|$.

9.2.3 (Lines in $\mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K$ and their intersections). Start with K arbitrary. Consider all irreducible curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K$ that are disjoint from some other curve C'. These come in 2 families:

$$\mathbf{A} := \left\{ \mathbb{P}_K^1 imes \{p\} : p \in \mathbb{P}_K^1
ight\} \quad ext{and} \ \mathbf{B} := \left\{ \{q\} imes \mathbb{P}_K^1 : q \in \mathbb{P}_K^1
ight\}.$$

Given $A \in \mathbf{A}$ corresponding to p and $B \in \mathbf{B}$ corresponding to q, we see that

$$A \cap B \cong \operatorname{Spec}_K (K(q) \otimes_K K(p)).$$

Lemma 9.2.4. Let K be a field and $L_1/K, L_2/K$ finite extensions. Write $L_1 \otimes_K L_2 \cong$ $\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ where the A_i are local K-algebras. Then |I| is at most the separable degree of L_1/K , and equality holds if L_2 is the normal closure of L_1/K .

Proof. If we replace K by a purely inseparable field extension then |I| does not change. We may thus assume that L_i/K are separable. Each A_i is an L_2 -algebra, hence $\deg_K A_i$ is divisible by $\deg_K L_2$. Thus

$$\deg_K L_1 \cdot \deg_K L_2 = \deg_K (L_1 \otimes_K L_2) \ge |I| \cdot \deg_K L_2.$$

If L_2 is the normal closure of L_1/K then every $A_i \cong L_2$, hence we get that |I| = $\deg_K L_1.$

Corollary 9.2.5. Fix $A \in \mathbf{A}$ corresponding to $p \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$. Then the separable degree of K(p)/K equals $\max\{|A \cap B| : B \in \mathbf{B}\}.$

In particular, we can determine—using $\left|\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1} imes \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}\right|$ only—which $A \in \mathbf{A}$ corresponds to a purely inseprable point $p \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$.

Proof. The elements of $|A \cap B|$ correspond precisely to the factors of $K(p) \otimes K(q)$, where $B = \{q\} \times \mathbb{P}^1.$ The previous results determine $\mathbb{P}^1_K(K^{\text{insep}})$ as a point set. To go further, we use the following immediate consquence of (6.2.1).

Lemma 9.2.6. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K$ be an irreducible curve. Then the separable degree of the projection to the B-factor equals $\max_{A \in \mathbf{A}, A \neq C} |C \cap A|$.

9.2.7 (Bidegree in characteristic 0). Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K$ be an irreducible curve. If char K = 0 then the coordinate projections $C \to \mathbb{P}^1_K$ are separable, hence (9.2.6) tells us that the bidegree of C equals

$$\left(\max_{A\in\mathbf{A},A\neq C}|C\cap A|,\max_{B\in\mathbf{B},B\neq C}|C\cap B|\right).$$

In particular, the bidegree is determined by $|\mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K|$.

Since the bidegree determines the linear equivalence class, (1.5.1) shows that $|\mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K|$ determines K.

The above argument does not work in positive characteristic, since we do not know which projections are separable. However, we can tell which projections are purely inseparable, and this is what we exploit next.

9.2.8 (Determining $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1})$). Here we show how to compute the abstract group structure of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1})$ from $|\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}|$ if K is perfect. Once the abstract group structure is known, we use [**BT73**] to conclude that $|\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}|$ determines K. This then concludes the proof of (9.2.2).

Let C denote the set of all curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K$ such that both coordinate projections are purely inseparable. By (9.2.6), the members of C are determined by $|\mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K|$.

Using the A, B families from (9.2.3), any such C determines a bijection

$$\sigma_C: \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$$

Fix now one such curve C_0 , giving σ_0 . Then we get a subset

$$G_{\mathbf{A}} := \{ \sigma_0^{-1} \circ \sigma_C : C \in \mathbf{C} \} \subset S_{\mathbf{A}},$$

which depends only on $|\mathbb{P}_K^1 \times \mathbb{P}_K^1|$ and C_0 , where S_A denotes the group of permutations of A.

Computing on $\mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K$ we see that G_A is actually a subgroup generated by $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1_K)$ and the Frobenius F. (Since K is perfect, negative powers of the Frobenius also make sense.) Moreover, $G_A \cong \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1_K) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, so $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1_K)$ is the commutator subgroup of G_A . Thus $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1_K)$ is determined by $|\mathbb{P}^1_K \times \mathbb{P}^1_K|$, and so is K by [**BT73**]. \Box

9.3. Surfaces over locally finite fields

The next result is a mild strengthening of [WK81].

Theorem 9.3.1. Let S_1, S_2 be smooth, projective surfaces over locally finite fields K_1 and K_2 . Assume that every effective divisor on the S_i is ample. Then $|S_1| \sim |S_2|$.

In view of (9.2.1(1)), the assumption on every divisor being ample seems reasonable. By contrast, we do not know what happens with higher dimensional varieties over finite or locally finite fields. For example, it is not known whether $|\mathbb{P}_{K}^{3}|$ determines K, or at least the characteristic.

The proof is given in (9.5.8) below; the key property that makes it work is the following.

Proposition 9.3.2. Let S be a normal, projective surface over a field k. The following are equivalent.

- (1) k is locally finite and any 2 curves in S have a non-empty intersection.
- (2) Let D ⊂ S be any 1-dimensional, closed subset and P ⊂ D a 0-dimensional subset. Then there is an irreducible curve C ⊂ S such that D ∩ C = P if and only if P ∩ D_i ≠ Ø for every 1-dimensional, irreducible component D_i ⊂ D.

Proof. If |S| satisfies (2) then k is locally finite by (7.1.10). Applying (2) to an irreducible curve $D \subset S$ and $P = \emptyset$ shows that every irreducible curve $C \subset S$ has nonempty intersection with D.

For the converse we follow [WK81]. First we blow up P and normalize to get $S_1 \rightarrow S$. Repeatedly blowing up points over P we get $S_r \rightarrow S$ such that the intersection matrix of $D_r \subset S_r$ (the birational transform of D) is negative definite. By [Art62], $D_r \subset S_r$ can be contracted to get $\pi : S_r \rightarrow T$. By [CP16], there is an irreducible hypersurface section $C_T \subset T$ that is disjoint from $\pi(D_r)$. Let $C \subset S$ be its birational transform.

9.4. Real Zariski topology

Let X be an algebraic variety over a real closed field \mathbb{R} . It is then natural to consider its real Zariski topology—denoted by $|X_1|_{\mathbb{R}}$ —which is the topology induced on the set of \mathbb{R} -points by the Zariski topology on |X|; see (9.4.2) for the precise definition.

It turns out that, over countable, real closed fields, the dimension is the only topological invariant. This applies to $\mathbb{R} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}$, but we do not know what happens over \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 9.4.1. Let X_1, X_2 be irreducible, quasi-projective varieties over countable, real closed fields \mathbb{R}_1 and \mathbb{R}_2 . Assume that they both have smooth real points. Then $|X_1|_{\mathbb{R}_1} \sim |X_2|_{\mathbb{R}_2}$ if and only if dim $X_1 = \dim X_2$.

The proof is completed in (9.5.8).

Definition 9.4.2 (Real Zariski topology). Let X be an algebraic variety defined over \mathbb{R} and $X(\mathbb{R})$ its set of real points. We then get the *real Zariski topology* on $X(\mathbb{R})$, whose closed subsets are of the form $W(\mathbb{R})$, where $W \subset X$ is a closed subset. We denote this topological space by $|X|_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Note that, unlike in the complex case, $X(\mathbb{R})$ does not determine X. For example if $X = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$, then $|X|_{\mathbb{R}}$ consists of a single point. More generally, if $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ has no smooth real points, then $X(\mathbb{R}) = (\operatorname{Sing} X)(\mathbb{R})$, so, $X(\mathbb{R})$ does not even detect the dimension of X. However, if $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ is irreducible and has smooth real points, then $X(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ uniquely determines X.

Let X be an algebraic variety defined over \mathbb{R} . If X has a smooth real point, then the underlying set of $|X|_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $X(\mathbb{R})$ and the irreducible subsets are given as $W(\mathbb{R})$, where $W \subset X$ is an irreducible closed subset that has a smooth real point.

More generally, one can work with any real closed field \mathbb{R} , and its algebraic closure $\mathbb{C} := \mathbb{R}(\sqrt{-1})$. We denote the real Zariski topology associated to an irreducible \mathbb{R} -variety X by $|X|_{\mathbb{R}}$. Adopting a more scheme-theoretic view point, we can view $|X|_{\mathbb{R}}$ as a subset of |X|

 $|X|_{\mathbb{R}} := \{ Z \in |X| : Z \text{ has smooth } \mathbb{R}\text{-points.} \}.$

The key property that makes (9.4.1) work is the following analog of (9.3.2).

Lemma 9.4.3. Let \mathbb{R} be a real closed field, Y an irreducible \mathbb{R} -variety that has smooth real points, and $U, V \subsetneq Y$ closed subsets. Assume that $\dim U \leq \dim Y - 2$.

Then there is an irreducible \mathbb{R} -subvariety $Y_1 \subset Y$ of codimension 1 such that $U \subset Y_1$, $V \cap Y_1 = V \cap U$ and Y_1 has a smooth real point.

Proof. We may assume that Y is affine. Let $\{g_i : i \in I\}$ be defining equations for U (over \mathbb{C}). Pick a smooth point $y \in Y \setminus (U \cup V)$ and let $\{h_j : j \in J\}$ be polynomials such that $h_j|_V \equiv 1$ and y is an isolated smooth point of $(h_j = 0 : j \in J)$. Let g be a general positive linear combination $\sum_{ij} c_{ij} g_i^2 h_j$. Then $Y_1 := (g = 0)$ works.

9.5. Countable Noetherian topologies

Here we prove (9.3.1) and (9.4.1) using only basic properties of Noetherian topologies and the key properties proved in (9.3.2) and (9.4.3).

The proofs rely on the observation that, if every closed subset is a complete intersection, then the Zariski topology carries relatively little information.

Definition 9.5.1 (Noetherian topology). We consider topological spaces M consisting of an underlying point set |M|, and the set of closed, irreducible subsets $Irr(M) \subset 2^{|M|}$. We assume the following.

- (1) Every closed subsets is a finite union of irreducibles, and minimal unions are unique (up to order).
- (2) Krull dimension is a dimension function dim : $Irr(M) \to \mathbb{Z}$. That is, if $Z_1 \subsetneq Z_2$ are irreducible and there is no irreducible subset satisfying $Z_1 \subsetneq Z_3 \subsetneq Z_2$, then dim $Z_2 = \dim Z_1 + 1$. The dimension function is unique if we set dim $\emptyset := -1$.

These conditions are satisfied by the underlying Zariski topology of an algebraic variety.

Given a subset $I \subset \operatorname{Irr}(M)$, let $\mathscr{L}(I)$ be the lattice generated by the subvareties $\{Z_i : i \in I\}$, that is, we repeatedly take intersections, irreducibe decompositions and finite unions. We write $\mathscr{L}(M)$ for $\mathscr{L}(\operatorname{Irr}(M))$. Note that $\mathscr{L}(M)$ determines M.

Definition 9.5.2 (Complete intersection properties). Let $M = (|M|, \operatorname{Irr}(M))$ be a topological space satisfying the conditions of (9.5.1). We say that M satisfies the *complete intersection property* if the following holds.

(1) Let $U, V \subsetneq M$ be closed subsets and $\dim U < d < \dim M$. Then there is an irreducible $Z \subset M$ of dimension d such that $U \subset Z$ and $V \cap Z = V \cap U$.

We saw in (9.4.3) that this is satisfied by the real Zariski topology.

We say that M satisfies the *postive complete intersection property* if the following holds.

(2) Let $V \subsetneq M$ be closed subset and $U \subset V$ a finite subset. Then there is an irreducible curve $C \subset M$ such that $U \subset C$ and $V \cap C = U$ if and only if every positive dimensional irreducible component of V has nonempty intersection with U.

Applying this to an irreducible $V \subsetneq M$ and $U = \emptyset$ shows that every irreducible curve $C \subset M$ has nonempty intersection with V. In particular, if |X| satisfies (2)

then dim $X \leq 2$. We do not know how to formulate a meaningful variant of (2) for dimension ≥ 3 .

We saw in (9.3.2) that (2) is satisfied by the Zariski topology of a normal, projective surface over a locally finite field if every effective divisor is ample.

The abstract homeomorphism results that underly (9.3.1) and (9.4.1) are the following.

Proposition 9.5.3. Two countable, irreducible, topological spaces satisfying 9.5.1 and (9.5.2(1)) are homeomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

Proposition 9.5.4. Any two countable, irreducible, 2-dimensional topological spaces satisfying (9.5.1) and (9.5.2 (2)) are homeomorphic to each other.

By the see-saw argument (9.5.6), both of these are implied by the following.

Lemma 9.5.5. Let M_1, M_2 be an irreducible, topological spaces satisfying 9.5.1 and (9.5.2 (1)) or (9.5.2 (2)). Let $I \subset J \subset Irr(M_1)$ be finite subsets. Then every dimension preserving latice embedding $\varphi_I : L(I) \hookrightarrow L(M_2)$ extends to a dimension preserving lattice embedding $\varphi_J : L(J) \hookrightarrow L(M_2)$.

Proof. It is enough to prove this when L(J) is obtained from L(I) by adjoining a minimal element of $L(J) \setminus L(I)$. That is, an irreducible subset $Z_0 \subset X$ such that, for every $i \in I$, either $Z_0 \subset Z_i$ or $Z_i \cap Z_0 \in L(I)$.

If $Z_0 \subset Z_i$ for some *i*, then we can replace the M_1 by $|Z_i|$ and conclude by induction. Otherwise we are looking for $W_0 \subset M_2$ such that $\dim W_0 = \dim Z_0$ and

$$W_0 \cap \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi(Z_i) = \varphi(\bigcup_{i \in I} (Z_0 \cap Z_i)).$$

If the M_i satisfy (9.5.2 (1)) then the existence of such W_0 follows from the definition.

If the M_i satisfy (9.5.2(2)) then Z_0 has nonempty intersection with every positive dimensional irreducible component of $\bigcup_{i \in I} Z_i$, hence $\varphi(\bigcup_{i \in I} (Z_0 \cap Z_i))$ has nonempty intersection with every positive dimensional irreducible component of $\bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi(Z_i)$. Thus W_0 exists by definition.

Lemma 9.5.6 (See-saw isomorphism). *Two countable, abstract algebras* A, B are isomorphic if the following hold.

- (1) For any 2 finitely generated subalgebras $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A$, every embedding $\varphi_1 : A_1 \hookrightarrow B$ extends to an embedding $\varphi_2 : A_2 \hookrightarrow B$.
- (2) For any 2 finitely generated subalgebras $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset B$, every embedding $\psi_1 : B_1 \hookrightarrow A$ extends to an embedding $\psi_2 : B_2 \hookrightarrow A$.

Proof. Choose well-orderings $A = \{a_1, a_2, ...\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, ...\}$. We start with the isomorphism $A_0 = \langle \emptyset \rangle = B_0$. Assume next that we already have subalgebras and an isomorphism $\varphi_i : A_i \cong B_i$. If *i* is even, choose the smallest $a' \in A \setminus A_i$ and extend φ_i to $\varphi_{i+1} : \langle A_i, a' \rangle \hookrightarrow B$. If *i* is odd, choose the smallest $b' \in B \setminus B_i$ and extend φ_i^{-1} to $\varphi_{i+1}^{-1} : \langle B_i, b' \rangle \hookrightarrow A$.

Remark 9.5.7. The assumption of countability seems essential here. For example, by the Baer-Specker theorem, $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is not free, but every countable subgroup of it is free. (This does not follow directly from (9.5.6). However, we can add a unary operation prim() that sends $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to the smallest $\mathbf{u}/m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.)

9.5.8 (Proof of (9.3.1) and (9.4.1)). In both cases, the topologies satisfy 9.5.1. In view of (9.5.3) and 9.5.4 it remains to verify the following.

- The $|S_i|$ in (9.3.1) satisfy the condition (9.5.2(2)).
- The $|X|_{\mathbb{R}}$ in (9.4.1) satisfies the condition (9.5.2(1)).

As we already noted in (9.5.2), (9.5.2(2)) is a restatement of (9.3.2(2)) and (9.5.2(1)) holds by (9.4.3). \Box

9.6. Affine schemes in general

An abstract characterization of spectra of commutative rings is given in [Hoc69].

Theorem 9.6.1. A topological space M is homeomorphic to $|\operatorname{Spec} A|$ for some commutative ring A if and only if M is T_0 , quasi-compact, the quasi-compact open subsets are closed under finite intersection and form an open basis, and every nonempty irreducible closed subset has a generic point.

Moreover, for every M there are many such rings A.

As a special case one obtains that for every quasi-projective variety X, there are many commutative rings A such that $|\operatorname{Spec} A| \sim |X|$. If X is not affine, then these rings are necessarily very far from being finitely generated.

9.7. Conjectures

More general form of (1.3.1)

It is possible that with more work the methods used here can be extended to weaken the normality assumption in (1.3.1):

Question 9.7.1. Let K, L be fields and X_K, Y_L seminormal, geometrically irreducible varieties over K (resp. L). Let $\Phi : |X_K| \sim |Y_L|$ be a homeomorphism. Assume that char L = 0 and dim $X_L \ge 2$. Then does the conclusion of (1.3.1) hold? That is, Φ is the composite of a field isomorphism $\varphi : K \cong L$ and an algebraic isomorphism of L-varieties $X_L^{\varphi} \cong Y_L$.

Positive characteristic

As the following examples show (1.3.1) is false as stated in positive characteristic.

Example 9.7.2. Let *E* be an elliptic curve over a field *k* of characteristic p > 0. Then the morphism

$$F_{E/k} \times \mathrm{id} : E \times_k E \to E^{(p)} \times_k E$$

is a homeomorphism which is not induced by an isomorphism of schemes

$$E \times_k E \to E^{(p)} \times_k E.$$

Indeed, such an isomorphism would have to respect the product structure, implying that $E \simeq E^{(p)}$ over k. So we get examples of non-algebraizable homeomorphisms by choosing E such that E is not isomorphic to $E^{(p)}$ over k.

Example 9.7.3. For the second example, we assume that k has characteristic at least 5. Given a homogeneous polynomial f(x, y, z) of degree p, let $D_f \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ denote the divisor given by the equation $w^p - f(x, y, z)$. The projection map

$$(x, y, z, w) \mapsto (x, y, z) : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

defines a morphism $\pi: D_f \to \mathbb{P}^2$ which realizes D_f as obtained from the *p*-th root construction

$$D_f = \mathbf{Spec}_{\mathfrak{G}_{p_2}} \mathfrak{G} \oplus \mathfrak{G}(-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{G}(-p+1),$$

with the multiplication structure defined by the inclusion $\mathfrak{O}(-p) \to \mathfrak{O}$ associated to the divisor f(x, y, z) = 0. In particular, D_f is finite flat over \mathbb{P}^2 . Since a general such polynomial f (for example, $f(x, y, z) = x^{p-1}y + y^{p-1}w + w^{p-1}x$) has a finite set of critical points, we see that for such f the scheme D_f is a normal surface. By adjunction, we have that $K_{D_f} \cong \mathfrak{O}_{D_f}(p-3)$ is big. We will write $X_f \to D_f$ for a minimal resolution of X_f ; the preceding considerations show that X_f is a smooth surface of general type.

Since π is purely inseparable, the map π is a homeomorphism, but not an isomorphism, as D_f is not smooth. This gives counterexamples to (1.3.1) in positive characteristic.

Note that the example described here comes from a purely inseparable homeomorphism $X \rightarrow P$. In particular, X and P have isomorphic perfections. This leads naturally to the following question:

Question 9.7.4. Suppose X and Y are proper normal varieties of dimension at least 2 over uncountable algebraically closed fields with perfections X^{perf} and Y^{perf} . Is the map

$$\operatorname{Isom}(X^{\operatorname{perf}}, Y^{\operatorname{perf}}) \to \operatorname{Isom}(|X|, |Y|)$$

a bijection?

In the spirit of Grothendieck and Voevodsky, it is also natural to ask the following question.

Question 9.7.5. Is the perfection of a normal scheme of positive dimension over an uncountable algebraically closed field uniquely determined by its proétale topos?

Noether-Lefschetz theorem over countable fields

The following is probably old, [Ter85] attributes a version of it to T. Shioda.

Conjecture 9.7.6. Let k be a field that is not locally finite, X a normal projective kvariety of dimension ≥ 3 and H an ample Cartier divisor. Then, for $m \gg 1$ and for 'most' k-divisors $D \in |mH|(k)$, the restriction maps

 $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(D)$ and $\operatorname{Cl}(X) \to \operatorname{Cl}(D)$ are isomorphisms.

The traditional statement of the Noether-Lefschetz theorem says that the conclusion holds outside a countable union of proper, closed subvarieties of |mH|; see [Gro05] for the Picard group and [RS06, RS09, Ji21] for the class group. This gives a positive answer to the conjecture whenever k is uncountable. Using the ideas of [And96, MP12, Amb18, Chr18], this implies the claim for all algebraically closed fields, save the locally finite ones, see [Ji21, Sec.4.2]. See also [Ter85] for similar results over \mathbb{Q} for complete intersections in \mathbb{P}^n .

Independence of intersection points

To start with an example, let $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an elliptic curve, and $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ a very general line intersecting E at 3 points p_1, p_2, p_3 . Let $[H] \in \text{Pic}(E)$ denote the hyperplane class. One can see that $m_1p_1 + m_2p_2 + m_3p_3 \sim nH$ holds only for $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = n$. This is easy over \mathbb{C} , gets quite a bit harder over \mathbb{Q} if we want the line to be also defined over \mathbb{Q} . The following conjecture says that a similar claim holds for all smooth curves.

Conjecture 9.7.7. Let k be a field that is not locally finite. Let C be a smooth, projective curve of genus ≥ 1 over k and L a very ample line bundle on C. For a section $s \in H^0(C, L)$ write $\{p_i(s) : i \in I\}$ (resp. $\{\overline{p}_i(s) : i \in \overline{I}\}$) for the closed points (resp. \overline{k} -points) of (s = 0). Then, for 'most' sections, we have injections

(1)
$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}[p_i(s)] \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(C)$$
 (weak form),

(2) $\bigoplus_{i \in \overline{I}} \mathbb{Z}[\overline{p}_i(s)] \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(C_{\overline{k}})$ (strong form).

It is not clear what 'most' should mean. It is possible that this holds outside a field-locally thin set (8.4.1), but some heuristics suggest otherwise.

Proof for $k = \mathbb{C}$. The following argument was explained to us by C. Voisin.

Let *C* be a smooth, projective curve over \mathbb{C} , $A \subseteq \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(C)$ an algebraic subgroup and $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Pic}(C)$ a countable subgroup.

Let L be a line bundle of degree d on C. Let $Z \subset C \times |L|$ be the universal hypersurface, $U \subset |L|$ the open subset parametrizing members that consist of d distinct points and $Z_U^{(d)} \to U$ the universal family of members in U plus an ordering of the d points. If d > 2g then $Z_U^{(d)}$ is irreducible by (9.7.8) below.

For integers n_1, \ldots, n_d, m (where $m \neq 0$ and not all the n_i are 0) and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $Z(\mathbf{n}, m, \gamma) \subset Z_U^{(d)}$ denote the set of those points that satisfy

$$(9.7.7.1) m(\gamma - \sum n_i[p_i]) \in A.$$

We aim to prove that the union of all the $Z(\mathbf{n}, m, \gamma)$ does not cover $Z_U^{(d)}$. Each $Z(\mathbf{n}, m, \gamma)$ is closed and there are countably many of them. Thus their union covers $Z_U^{(d)}$ if and only if $Z(\mathbf{n}, m, \gamma) = Z_U^{(d)}$ for some (\mathbf{n}, m, γ) . That is, (9.7.7.1) holds for every $(p_1, \ldots, p_d) \in Z_U^{(d)}$. By (9.7.8), this means that (9.7.7.1) holds for every permutation of the p_1, \ldots, p_d . The permutation representation of S_d on \mathbb{Q}^d is the sum of two irreducible subrepresentations; the diagonal and its complement. Thus either $n_1 = \cdots = n_d$ (what we want) or

(9.7.7.2)
$$mn([p_1] - [p_2]) \in A + m\gamma \quad \forall p_1, p_2 \in C$$

Now $p_1 = p_2$ gives that $m\gamma \in A$ hence

(9.7.7.3)
$$mn([p_1] - [p_2]) \in A \quad \forall p_1, p_2 \in C.$$

The $[p_1] - [p_2]$ generate $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(C)$, hence we get that $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(C)/A$ is torsion, a contradiction.

Claim 9.7.8. In the above setting, the monodromy group of $Z_U \rightarrow U$ is the full symmetric group for d > 2g.

Proof. We show that the monodromy group is 2-transitive and contains a transposition. Then it contains all transpositions, and these generate the symmetric group. For 2-transitivity we need to show the irreducibility of $Z_2 \subset S^2C \times |L|$ consisting of all $(p+q,L): p+q \leq L$. Since $H^1(C, L(-p-q)) = 0$, Z_2 is a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle over S^2C with m = d - 2 + 1 - g. Finally we get a transposition by looking at the deformation of a section with a double zero.

For the proof of (8.7.5) we would need the following stronger variant. If true, it would allow us to prove (1.3.1) for 3-folds as well.

Conjecture 9.7.9. Using the notation of (9.7.7), let $A \subsetneq \text{Pic}^{\circ}(C)$ be an Abelian subvariety and $\Gamma \subset \text{Pic}(C)$ a finitely generated subgroup that contains [L]. Then, for 'most' sections, we have injections

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \oplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}[p_i(s)]\big/{\sum_{i\in I}[p_i(s)]} &\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(C)\big/\langle A(k),\Gamma\rangle & \textit{(weak form),} \\ \oplus_{i\in \overline{I}}\mathbb{Z}[\overline{p}_i(s)]\big/{\sum_{i\in \overline{I}}[\overline{p}_i(s)]} &\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(C_{\overline{k}})\big/\langle A(\overline{k}),\Gamma\rangle & \textit{(strong form).} \end{array}$

Sections with few zeros

The next 2 conjectures posit that, for 'most' ample line bundles, every section has many zeros.

Conjecture 9.7.10. Let K be an algebraically closed field other than $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let C be a smooth, projective curve over K. Then, for 'most' ample line bundles L, every section of L^m has at least g(C) zeros for every $m \ge 1$.

Line bundles of degree d that have a section with fewer than g zeros form a closed subset of dimension g - 1 of $\operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C)$ obtained as the image of the maps

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{m}}: C^{g-1} \to \mathbf{Pic}^d(C) \quad \text{given by} \quad (c_1, \dots, c_{g-1}) \mapsto \mathbb{O}_C(\sum_i m_i[c_i])$$

where $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, \ldots, m_{g-1})$ such that $\sum m_i = d$. Thus (9.7.10) is true if K is uncountable. The most interesting open case is probably $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. By (8.10.9), there is a curve C and a line bundle L over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, such that every section of L^m has at least 2 zeros for every $m \ge 1$.

We prove the nodal rational curve cases of (9.7.10) in (8.10.8).

Thinking of the curve C as a subvariety of its Jacobian leads to the following stronger form.

Conjecture 9.7.11. Let K be an algebraically closed field other than $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let A be an Abelian variety over K and $Z_i \subset A$ subvarieties such that $\sum_i \dim Z_i < \dim A$. Then, for 'most' $p \in A(K)$, the equation

$$n[p] = \sum_{i} m_i[z_i] \quad n, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, z_i \in Z_i(K),$$

has only the trivial solution $n = m_i = 0$.

Next we give an example with only one Z_i where this holds.

Example 9.7.12. Let k be any field. Assume that $A = B \times E$ where B is a simple Abelian variety, E an elliptic curve, and we have only one $Z = Z_1 \subset A$ of dimension $\leq \dim A - 2$. Assume also that Z does not contain any translate of E.

Let $\pi : A \to B$ be the coordinate projection. If $p \in E(k), z \in Z(k)$ and n[p] = m[z], then $m[\pi(z)] = 0$, that is, $\pi(z)$ is a torsion point in $\pi(Z)$. By [**Zha98**] there are only finitely many such, so there are only finitely many $\{z_j \in Z : j \in J\}$ for which there is an $m_j > 0$ such that $m_j[z_j] \in E$.

Thus if $p \in E(k)$ then n[p] = m[z] has a nontrivial solution if and only if p is in the saturation of $m_j[z_j]$ for some $j \in J$.

If rank_{\mathbb{O}} $E(k) \ge 2$, then finitely many subgroups of \mathbb{Q} -rank 1 do not cover E(k).

To get such Jacobian examples, fix an elliptic curve E over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let C be a sufficiently general member of a very ample linear system on $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then, by [Koc18, 1.6], Jac(C) is isogeneous to the product of E and of a simple Abelian variety B.

CHAPTER 10

Appendix

In this appendix we collect various results that do not fit neatly within the main part of the exposition.

10.1. Bertini-type theorems

Here we collect various Bertini-type theorems. The basic Bertini theorem says that if $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is an irreducible variety of dimension ≥ 2 over an algebraically closed field and $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a general hyperplane, then $X \cap H$ is irreducible and smooth outside Sing X. There are many similar Bertini-type theorems saying that if X has a property \mathcal{P} , then a general member of a linear system also has property \mathcal{P} .

We start with the geometric versions and then discuss some variants that explore the difference between irreducibility and geometric irreducibility.

Definition 10.1.1. Fix an algebraically closed field K. Let X be a quasi-projective K-variety and $|H_i|$ finite dimensional (possibly incomplete) linear systems on X. Assume that X satisfies a property \mathcal{P} . We say that \mathcal{P} is *inherited by general complete* $|H_i|$ -*intersections* if there is an open, dense subset $U \subset \prod_i |H_i|$ such that if $(D_1, \ldots, D_r) \in U$ then $Z := D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r$ also satisfies \mathcal{P} .

We, of course, need to assume that the $|H_i|$ are reasonably large. The following assumptions are close to optimal in most cases.

Theorem 10.1.2 (Bertini smoothness theorem). Let X be a quasi-projective variety over an algebraically closed field K and $|H_i|$ finite dimensional (possibly incomplete) linear systems on X. Assume that

- (1) either char K = 0 and the $|H_i|$ are basepoint-free,
- (2) or the $|H_i|$ are very ample.

The following properties are inherited by general complete $|H_i|$ -intersections

- (3) smooth,
- (4) Serre's condition S_2 ,
- (5) normal.

Proof. This follows from [**FOV99**, 3.4.9 and 3.4.13a]. Alternatively, these are proved in [**Har77**, II.8.18, III.10.9] and [**Jou83**], but for statements (4) and (5) one needs to use that a general hypersurface section of an S_2 scheme is also S_2 ; see [**Gro60**, IV.12.1.6].

Applying this to subvarieties, we get the following.

Corollary 10.1.3. Assume that K, X and the $|H_i|$ are as in (10.1.2). Let $W_j \subset X$ be a finite set of locally closed subvarieties. Then for a general, complete $|H_i|$ -intersection $Z := D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r$ we have

- (1) $\operatorname{codim}(Z \cap W_j, Z) = \operatorname{codim}(W_j, X)$ or the intersection is empty.
- (2) If the W_j are smooth, then so are $Z \cap W_j$.
- (3) If the W_j are S_2 , then so are $Z \cap W_j$.
- (4) If the W_j are normal, then so are $Z \cap W_j$.

In many applications, we need complete intersections that are special in some respects but general in some others. Here we deal with local conditions.

10.1.4. A set LC of *local conditions* consists of finitely many (not necessarily closed) points $p_j \in X$ with maximal ideals $m_j \subset \mathbb{G}_{p_j,X}$, natural numbers n_{ij} and $g_{j1}, \ldots, g_{jr} \in \mathbb{G}_{p_i,X}$.

We always assume that none of the p_j are generic points of X, and $p_i \notin \overline{p_j}$ for $i \neq j$. The *base locus* of LC is $B(LC) := \bigcup_j \overline{p_j} \subset X$.

We say that a divisor D_i satisfies LC if g_{ji} is a local equation for D_i at p_j , modulo $m_i^{n_{ji}}$ for every j. (Thus if $n_{ji} = 0$ then no condition is imposed on D_i at p_j .)

For example, if $g_{ji}(p_j) \neq 0$ and $n_{ji} \geq 1$ then this just says that $p_j \notin D_i$. If $g_{ji} \in m_j$ and $n_{ji} \geq 1$ then we get that $\overline{p_j} \subset D_i$. If p_j is a smooth point, $g_{ji} \in m_j \setminus m_j^2$, and $n_{ji} \geq 2$ then D_i is generically smooth along $\overline{p_j}$.

Given a linear system $|H_i|$, the set of $D_i \in |H_i|$ that satisfy LC form an open subset of a linear subspace of $|H_i|$; we denote the latter by $|H_i, LC|$.

We say that $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r$ satisfies LC if every D_i satisfies LC. Such intersections are parametrized by an open, dense subset of $\prod_i |H_i, LC|$, which is a product of projective spaces (but may be empty).

The following combines the local conditions with ((10.1.2)(2)-(5)). We assume for simplicity that X is projective, so the complete linear systems $|m_iH_i|$ are finite dimensional.

Corollary 10.1.5. Let X be a projective variety over an algebraically closed field K and H_i ample divisors on X. Let LC be a set of local conditions with base locus B = B(LC). Then, for $d_i \gg 1$, the restrictions

$$|d_iH_i, \mathrm{LC}||_{X\setminus B(\mathrm{LC})}$$

are very ample. In particular, if $X \setminus B$ is smooth (resp. normal) then $(D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r) \setminus B$ is also smooth (resp. normal) for general $(D_1, \ldots, D_r) \in \prod_i |d_iH_i, LC|$.

Remark 10.1.6. Note that per our conventions in (1.7.2), the linear systems $|d_iH_i|$ are complete but the restrictions may be incomplete. Also, if the codimension of B is $\geq r+1$, then $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r$ is the closure of $(D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r) \setminus B$. In these cases $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r$ is a true complete intersection.

10.1.7 (General fields). Assume now that X and the other data are defined over a field k. Applying (10.1.5) over its algebraic closure, we get $U \subset \prod_i |d_iH_i, LC|$, and the k-points of U correspond to complete intersections defined over k with the desired properties. Since U is an open subset of a rational variety this implies that the k-points are dense if k is infinite and we get the above results also over such fields. If k is finite, however, then a dense open subset of \mathbb{P}^n may be disjoint from $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$. Nonetheless, the results of [**Poo08, CP16**] say that the open sets U above should have many k-points as d_i grows, though not all cases have been worked out.

Our proofs have other problems with finite fields, so we will be able to make only very limited use of these cases.

Next we discuss a Bertini-type irreducibility theorem over infinite fields. The key point is to understand the relationship between irreducibility and geometric irreducibility. We use mainly (10.1.16), which does not seem to be treated in the standard reference books, so we give details.

Lemma 10.1.8. Let K/k be a normal, algebraic field extension with Galois group G = Aut(K/k). Let X be a k-scheme of finite type.

- (1) $Z \mapsto \operatorname{red}(Z_K)$ provides a one-to-one correspondence between (a) closed subsets of X, and
 - (b) closed, G-invariant subsets of X_K .
- (2) Z is irreducible if and only if G acts transitively on the set of irreducible components of Z_K .
- (3) Assume that X is irreducible and let $X_K^1 \subset X_K$ be an irreducible component. If $Z_K \cap X_K^1$ is irreducible then Z is irreducible.

Proof. The first 2 claims are clear. Let $\{X_K^i \subset X_K : i \in I\}$ be the irreducible components. Since X is irreducible, G acts transitively on the X_K^i . Thus it also acts transitively on the $Z_K \cap X_K^i$. Thus (2) implies (3) since $Z_K = \bigcup_i (Z_K \cap X_K^i)$.

Lemma 10.1.9. Let X be a normal scheme over a field k and K/k a finite field extension. Then every connected component of X_K is irreducible.

Proof. If k is perfect then X_K is also normal and the claim is clear. The general case needs more work, see [**Sta15**, Tag 0BQ1].

Lemma 10.1.10. Let X be an irreducible scheme over a field k. Assume that X has a normal k-point x_0 . Then X is geometrically irreducible.

Proof. Let K/k a finite, normal field extension and $X_K^i \subset X_K$ the irreducible components. Since X is irreducible, the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ acts transitively on the X_K^i by (10.1.8(1)(a)).

By (10.1.9), $x_{0,K}$ is contained in a unique irreducible component of X_K , call it X_K^1 . Since x_0 is a k-point, every Galois conjugate of X_K^1 also contains x_0 . So X_K^1 is the unique irreducible component of X_K .

Lemma 10.1.11. Let $p: Y \to S$ be a morphism of irreducible k-schemes of finite type. Assume that there is a section $\sigma: S \to Y$ such that Y is normal at the generic point of $\sigma(S)$. Then there is a dense, open $S^{\circ} \subset S$ such that all fibers over S° are geometrically irreducible.

Proof. The generic fiber is irreducible and the generic point of $\sigma(S)$ is a normal k(S)-point on it. Thus the generic fiber is geometrically irreducible by (10.1.10). The rest follows from [Sta15, Tag 0559].

Lemma 10.1.12. Let X be an irreducible k-variety of dimension ≥ 2 and $|H| = |H_1, H_2|$ a mobile, linear pencil with base locus $B = H_1 \cap H_2$. Assume that there is a point $x \in B(k)$ that is smooth both on B and X. Then all but finitely many members of $|H|_{\overline{k}}$ are irreducible.

Proof. Let $\pi : X' \to X$ denote the blow-up of *B*. The birational transform of |H| defines a morphism $p : X' \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Note that $\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ gives a section of *p*. The rest follows from (10.1.11).

Lemma 10.1.13. Let K be an algebraically closed field, S an irreducible K-surface and $p: S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ a finite morphism. Then there is a dense, open subset $\check{U} \subset \check{\mathbb{P}}^2$ in the dual projective space such that $p^{-1}(L) \subset S$ is irreducible for every $[L] \in \check{U}$.

Proof. See [Jou83, 6.10.3].

Theorem 10.1.14 (Bertini irreducibility theorem I). Let K be an algebraically closed field, X an irreducible K-variety and |H| a finite dimensional, mobile, (possibly incomplete) linear system such that the image $X \dashrightarrow |H|^{\vee}$ has dimension ≥ 2 . Then there is a dense, open subset $U \subset |H|$ such that $H_{\lambda} \subset X$ is irreducible for $[H_{\lambda}] \in U$.

Proof. It is enough to show that $H_{\lambda} \subset X$ is irreducible for a dense set. For a dense set of linear projections $|H|^{\vee} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, the composite map $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is dominant. Let X' be the normalization of the graph of $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and $p' : X' \to S$ and $p : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ the Stein factorization. By (10.1.13) $p^{-1}(L) \subset S$ is irreducible for a general line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, and so is $p'^{-1}(p^{-1}(L))$.

Theorem 10.1.15 (Bertini irreducibility theorem II). Let k be an infinite field, X an irreducible k-variety and |H| a finite dimensional, mobile, (possibly incomplete) linear system such that the image $X \dashrightarrow |H|^{\vee}$ has dimension ≥ 2 . Then there is a dense, open subset $U \subset |H|$ such that $H_{\lambda} \subset X$ is irreducible for $[H_{\lambda}] \in U(k)$.

Proof. As in (10.1.14), we can reduce to the case when S is an irreducible k-surface, $p: S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ a finite morphism and |H| is the pull-back of $|\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)|$. Let $K \supset k$ be an algebraic closure.

Let $\{S_K^i \subset S_K : i \in I\}$ be the irreducible components and $p^i : S_K^i \to \mathbb{P}^2$ the restriction of p_K . For each i we have a dense, open subset $U^i \subset \check{\mathbb{P}}^2$ as in (10.1.13); set $U := \bigcap_{i \in I} U^i$. If $[L] \in U(k)$ then $p^{-1}(L)$ is irreducible by (10.1.8(1)(b)).

We mostly use the following special case, obtained by combining (10.1.15) and (10.1.5).

Corollary 10.1.16. Let k be an infinite field, X a projective k-variety and H an ample, Cartier divisor on X. Let $\{Z_i \subset X : i \in I\}$ be a finite collection of irreducible subvarieties such that $\dim Z_i \ge 2$ for every i. Let $W \subset X$ be a closed subscheme.

Then, for $m \gg 1$, there is a dense, open subset $U_m \subset |mH|(-W)$, where |mH|(-W) denotes the subspace of |mH| of divisors containing W scheme-theoretically, such that for every $[H_{\lambda}] \in U_m(k)$ and $i \in I$, the following hold.

(1) $H_{\lambda} \cap (Z_i \setminus W)$ is irreducible.

(2) If Z_i is geometrically reduced, then so is $H_{\lambda} \cap (Z_i \setminus W)$.

(3) $H_{\lambda} \cap (Z_i^{sm} \setminus W)$ is smooth, where $Z_i^{sm} \subset Z_i$ denotes the smooth locus.

Furthermore, if X and W are regular at the generic points of W, then

(4) H_{λ} is regular at the generic points of W.

10.2. Complete intersections

In this section we collect various results on complete intersections that we need.

10.2.1 (Complete intersections). Let X be an irreducible variety. A subscheme $Z \subset X$ of codimension r is a *complete intersection* (resp. *set-theoretic complete intersection*) if there are effective Cartier divisors D_1, \ldots, D_r such that $Z = D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r$ scheme theoretically (resp. $\operatorname{Supp} Z = \operatorname{Supp}(D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r)$).

If the D_i are ample, we call Z an *ample (set-theoretic)* complete intersection, usually abbreviated as *ample-ci* resp. *ample-sci*.

If H is a Cartier divisor and $D_i \in |m_iH|$ for every i, then we say that Z is a *complete H*-*intersection*. We usually abbreviate this as *H*-*ci*, and the set-theoretic version as *H*-*sci*.

If Z and the D_i are furthermore irreducible then we say that Z is a *irreducible-sci* or *isci*. For us *H*-*isci* subvarieties are especially useful.

Ample complete intersections inherit many properties of a variety, but the strongest results are for general complete intersections; that is, when the $D_i \in |m_iH|$ are sufficiently general.

10.2.2 (Connectedness). Let Z be a scheme. Connectedness and irreducibility of Z depends only on the topological space |Z|, but geometric connectedness and geometric irreducibility can not be determined using |Z| only.

We frequently need to guarantee that certain schemes are geometrically connected. The next criterion can be proved by repeatedly using [**Har77**, II.7.8]; see also [**Har62**].

Claim 10.2.3. Let X be a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible variety and $Z \subset X$ a positive dimensional, ample-sci. Then Z is geometrically connected.

Note that a proper k-scheme Y is geometrically connected iff $H^0(Y, \mathbb{O}_Y)$ is a local, Artin k-algebra such that $H^0(Y, \mathbb{O}_Y)/\sqrt{0}$ is a purely inseparable field extension of k. We can thus restate (10.2.3) as follows.

Claim 10.2.4. Let X be a normal, projective, geometrically irreducible variety and $Z \subset X$ a positive dimensional, reduced, ample-sci. Then k[Z]/k[X] is purely inseparable.

We also use the following variant of the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, essentially due to [Nér52b]. For the Picard variety, this is proved in [Gro05]. For normal varieties in characteristic 0, the class group version is proved in [RS06, RS09]. For positive characteristic see [Ji21, Prop.3.1].

Theorem 10.2.5. Let X be a geometrically normal, projective variety and |H| an ample linear system on X. Let $Z \subset X$ be a normal, ≥ 2 dimensional, general complete *H*-intersection. Then the restriction map

$$\operatorname{Cl}(X) \to \operatorname{Cl}(Z)$$

is injective.

10.2.6 (Disjointness of conjugates). Let K/k be a finite separable field extension, let V_k be a k-vector space of dimension n and let W_k be a k-vector space of dimension r. Denote by V_K and W_K their scalar extensions to K. Fix also a an algebraic closure $k \hookrightarrow \overline{k}$ of k. The space

$$H := \operatorname{Hom}_{K}(V_{K}, W_{K})$$

has a natural structure of a k-scheme \mathbb{H} . Its functor of points sends a k-algebra R to the set of $K \otimes_k R$ -linear maps

$$V_K \otimes_k R \to W_K \otimes_k R.$$

In particular, since K/k is separable we have

(10.2.6.1)
$$\mathbb{H}(\bar{k}) = \prod_{\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \bar{k}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{k}}(V_{\bar{k}}, W_{\bar{k}}),$$

where the product is taken over embeddings $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \overline{k}$ over k. Let

$$A \in \mathbb{H}(k) = \operatorname{Hom}_{K}(V_{K}, W_{K})$$

be a map over K, and for an embedding $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \overline{k}$ let

$$A^{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{k}}(V_{\bar{k}}, W_{\bar{k}})$$

be the map induced by scalar extension along σ . So under the identification (10.2.6.1) the image of A under the map

$$\mathbb{H}(k) \to \mathbb{H}(\bar{k})$$

is the vector of maps $(A^{\sigma})_{\sigma:K \hookrightarrow \bar{k}}$. For $A \in \mathbb{H}(k)$ and $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \bar{k}$ let $L_{A,\sigma} \subset V_{\bar{k}}$ denote the kernel of A^{σ} . If A^{σ} is surjective then $L_{A,\bar{\sigma}}$ has codimension r in $V_{\bar{k}}$.

Claim 10.2.7. There exists a nonempty Zariski open subset $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ such that for any *k*-point $A \in U(k)$ and distinct embeddings

$$\sigma_1, \sigma_2: K \hookrightarrow \bar{k}$$

the codimension of $L_{A,\sigma_1} \cap L_{A,\sigma_2}$ in $V_{\bar{k}}$ is equal to $\min\{2r, n\}$.

Proof. We first reduce to the critical case when n = 2r. Consider an inclusion of k-vector spaces

 $(10.2.7.1) V_k \hookrightarrow V_{k'}$

and let \mathbb{H}' be the *k*-scheme of maps $V'_K \to W_K$. Restriction defines a smooth surjective morphism of *k*-schemes

$$\pi: \mathbb{H}' \to \mathbb{H}.$$

In fact, a splitting of (10.2.7.1) identifies \mathbb{H}' with a product of \mathbb{H} with a smooth affine scheme.

If n < 2r, choose an injection of V_k into $V_{k'}$ of dimension 2r. Assuming the case n = 2r we then get a dense open subset $U' \subset \mathbb{H}'$ such that for $A' \in U'(k)$ and two embeddings σ_1, σ_2 we have

$$0 = L_{A',\sigma_1} \cap L_{A',\sigma_2} \subset V'_{\bar{k}}.$$

It follows that if $U := \pi(U') \subset \mathbb{H}$ then for $A \in U(k)$ we also have $L_{A,\sigma_1} \cap L_{A,\sigma_2} = 0$ as asserted in the claim. This reduces the proof to the case $n \geq 2r$.

Next consider the case when n > 2r and choose a subspace $V'_k \subset V_k$ of dimension 2r. Then as in the preceding paragraph we get the scheme of maps \mathbb{H}' for V'_k and a smooth surjection

$$\pi:\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H}'$$

Again assuming the case n = 2r we then get an open subset $U' \subset \mathbb{H}'$ with the desired properties. Let $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ be the preimage of U'. Then for $A \in U(k)$ we have

$$L_{A,\sigma_1} \cap L_{A,\sigma_2} \cap V'_{\bar{k}} = 0,$$

which implies that the codimension of $L_{A,\sigma_1} \cap L_{A,\sigma_2}$ in V_k is at least 2r. Since this is also the maximum possible codimension this gives the claim for n > 2r.

So to complete the proof of the claim it suffices to consider the case n = 2r. Let $K' \subset \overline{k}$ be the Galois closure of $\sigma_1(K) \subset \overline{k}$ so that σ_2 and σ_1 differ by an automorphism σ of K'. Let \mathbb{H}' be the scheme of maps defined using K' instead of K. For a k-algebra R and R-point $A \in \mathbb{H}'(R)$ corresponding a $K' \otimes_k R$ -linear map

$$V_{K'} \otimes_k R \to W_{K'} \otimes_k R$$

we can consider the associated twist A^σ obtained by postcomposing with the automorphism

$$W_{K'} \otimes_k R \to W_{K'} \otimes_k R$$

obtained by applying σ to K'. Taking the determinant of the induced map

$$(A, A^{\sigma}): V_{K'} \otimes_k R \to (W_{K'} \oplus W_{K'}) \otimes_k R$$

we obtain an element of $K' \otimes_k R$, well-defined up to units. If R is furthermore a K'algebra then composing with the induced map $K' \otimes_k R \to R$ we obtain an element of R. The locus where this element is zero is an open subset $\widetilde{U}'_{K'} \subset \mathbb{H}'_{K'}$, where $\mathbb{H}_{K'}$ denotes the base change of \mathbb{H} from k to K'. Let $U'_{K'} \subset \mathbb{H}'_{K'}$ denote the intersection of the Galois conjugates of $\widetilde{U}'_{K'}$. Then $U'_{K'}$ is $G_{K'/k}$ -invariant and therefore descends to an open subset $U' \subset \mathbb{H}'$. A point $A \in \mathbb{H}'(k)$ lies in U' if and only if for every embedding $\lambda : K' \to \overline{k}$ we have (here we write L' instead of L to emphasize that we are working with K')

$$L'_{A,\lambda} \cap L'_{A,\lambda\sigma} = 0.$$

Scalar extension from K to K' defines an inclusion

$$i:\mathbb{H}\hookrightarrow\mathbb{H}'$$

To complete the proof of the claim it suffices to show that $U := i^{-1}(U')$ is nonempty.

Choose bases $V_k \simeq k^{2r}$ and $W_k \simeq k^r$, and let $A, C \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r \times r}(k)$ be matrices over kand set $B = \alpha C$ for $\alpha \in K$ a primitive element for K/k (which exists since K/k is separable). Then the map

$$(A,B): K^{2r} \to K^r$$

defines an element of $\mathbb{H}(k)$ which lies in U. Indeed if $\lambda : K \hookrightarrow \overline{k}$ is an embedding then the induced map

$$\bar{k}^{2r} \to \bar{k}^{2r}$$

given by the two embeddings λ and $\sigma\lambda$ is given by the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & \lambda(\alpha)C \\ A & \sigma\lambda(\alpha)C \end{array}\right).$$

By row reduction the determinant of this matrix is the same as the determinant of

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & \lambda(\alpha)C \\ 0 & \sigma\lambda(\alpha) - \lambda(\alpha))C \end{array}\right)$$

which is $(\sigma \lambda(\alpha)^{\sigma} - \lambda(\alpha))^r \det A \det C$. This is nonzero since α is a primitive element. \Box

The scheme \mathbb{H} can be viewed as the Weil restriction, denoted $\mathfrak{R}_k^K()$, from K to k of the scheme of maps $V_K \to W_K$ over K; see [**BLR90**, Sec. 7.6]. We can thus globalize (10.2.7) first to projective spaces and then to their subvarieties as follows.

Claim 10.2.8. Let X be a k-variety of pure dimension n and $|M_1|, \ldots, |M_r|$ basepointfree linear systems. Let K/k be a finite, separable field extension. Then there is a dense, Zariski open subset

$$U \subset \mathfrak{R}_k^K |M_1|^{\operatorname{var}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{R}_k^K |M_r|^{\operatorname{var}}$$

such that, if $(D_1, \ldots, D_r) \in U$, then

$$\operatorname{codim}_X(D_1^{\sigma_1} \cap \dots \cap D_r^{\sigma_1} \cap D_1^{\sigma_2} \cap \dots \cap D_r^{\sigma_2}) = \min\{2r, n+1\},\$$

for all pairs of distinct d distinct k-embeddings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : K \hookrightarrow \overline{k}$.

Proof. For any variety V over K, R-points of $(\mathfrak{R}_k^K V)_{\overline{k}}$ for a ring R containing \overline{k} are the same thing as $R \otimes_k K$ -points of V. Because $R \otimes_k K$ is isomorphic to $R^{[K:k]}$, with the factors indexed by embeddings $\sigma : K \to \overline{k}$, $(\mathfrak{R}_k^K V)_{\overline{k}}$ is $V^{[K:k]}$, with the factors indexed by embeddings σ . The projection onto the σ 'th factor arises from the map of rings $R \otimes_k K \to R$ that is the identity on R and σ composed with the map $\overline{K} \to R$ on K.

Given a k-point of $\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{K}V$, base-changed to a \overline{k} -point of $(\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{K}V)_{\overline{k}}$, the projection onto the σ th factor is given by the embedding σ .

Applying this to $V = |M_i|^{\text{var}}$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\left(\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{K}|M_{1}|^{\operatorname{var}}\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{K}|M_{r}|^{\operatorname{var}}\right)_{\overline{k}}\to\left(|M_{1}|_{\overline{k}}^{\operatorname{var}}\times\cdots|M_{r}|^{\operatorname{var}}\right)_{\overline{k}}^{[K:k]}$$

Let U' be the open subset of $(|M_1|_{\overline{k}}^{\operatorname{var}} \times \cdots |M_r|^{\operatorname{var}})_{\overline{k}}^{[K:k]}$ consisting of tuples of divisors $D_{i,\sigma}$ indexed by i from 1 to r and $\sigma: K \to \overline{k}$ such that for all distinct pairs $\sigma_1, \sigma_2: K \to \overline{K}$ we have

$$\operatorname{codim}_X(D_{1,\sigma_1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{r,\sigma_1} \cap D_{1,\sigma_2} \cap \cdots \cap D_{r,\sigma_2}) = \min\{2r, n+1\},\$$

Then U' is a nonempty Zariski open set.

The action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ is by permuting the embeddings $\sigma : K \to \overline{k}$, and so U' is stable under $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$, hence descends to an open set U over k.

A k-point lies in U if and only if the associated k'-point lies in U', which happens if and only if the codimension condition holds. \Box

Lemma 10.2.9. Let k be an infinite field and X a normal, projective k-variety of dimension n > 2r. Let $p, q \in X$ be closed points such that there are embeddings $k \subset k(p) \subset k(q)^{\text{ins}} \subset \overline{k}$.

Then there is an irreducible, r-dimensional k-variety $W \subset X$ such that

- (1) $p, q \in W$ and
- (2) k(p)/k[W] is purely inseparable.

Furthermore, if p is a smooth, separable point of X then we can also assume that(3) p is a smooth point of W.

Proof. Let $k \subset K_p \subset k(p)$ and $k \subset K_q \subset k(q)$ be maximal separable subextensions. After base change to K_p , we have a degree 1 point \overline{p} lying over p and a degree = $\deg(K_q/K_p)$ point \overline{q} lying over q. Let $\{\sigma\}$ be the set of all k-embeddings $\sigma : K_p \hookrightarrow \overline{k}$. Thus \overline{p} and \overline{q} each have $\deg(K_p : k)$ conjugates over k and these are disjoint from each other.

Next take a general ample-ci variety $W_1 \subset X_{K_p}$ that contains \overline{p} and \overline{q} . By (10.2.8) the W_1^{σ} are disjoint from each other. Thus their union $W_{K_p} = \bigcup_{\sigma} W_1^{\sigma}$ descends to a k-subvariety $W \subset X$ with the required properties.

10.3. Picard group, class group and Albanese variety

Here we review various results on the Picard group, class group and Albanese variety that we need elsewhere. For the Picard group and Picard scheme, [**Gro62**, Lects.V-VI], [**Mum66**, Sec.19], [**Mum70**] or [**BLR90**] contain proofs; for these we just fix our notation. Modern references for the class group and Albanese variety are harder to find; about these we give longer explanations.

We start with a summary of the basic facts about Abelian varieties. A very good introduction is [**Mil08**], more detailed treatments and further results can be found in [**Mum70**] or [**BL04**]. The Abelian varieties that we encounter are either Picard varieties or Albanese varieties of normal, projective varieties.

10.3.1 (Abelian varieties). Let k be a field. An *abelian variety* over k is a smooth, proper algebraic group over k. We denote the identity element by e_A or 0_A . The group operation is usually written additively since A is commutative (10.3.1.4).

Historically the first examples were Jacobians of smooth, projective curves Jac(C). It turns out that every Abelian variety is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of Jac(C) for some C; this almost follows from (10.3.14). This is quite useful conceptually at the beginning, but C is not unique, and there does not seem to be any optimal way of choosing C, so it is not always helpful in proving theorems.

For the convenience of the reader we list a few basic facts about abelian varieties that we use.

In the following We say that a sequence of Abelian varieties

$$(10.3.1.1) 0 \to A_1 \xrightarrow{p} A_2 \xrightarrow{q} A_3 \to 0$$

is *exact modulo torsion* if p is a closed embedding, q is surjective and $p(A_1) = (\ker q)^\circ$.

Recall also the following terminology. A morphism $p: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ of Abelian varieties is called an *isogeny* if p is surjective and dim $A_1 = \dim A_2$. Isogeny is an equivalence relation on Abelian varieties and the dual of an isogeny is an isogeny. An abelian variety is called *simple* if it has no positive dimensional Abelian subvarieties (other than itself).

- (1) Every map $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to A$ from the projective line to an abelian variety is constant.
- (2) Let g : X → A be a rational map from a smooth variety X to an Abelian variety A. Then g is a morphism. More generally, this holds for any variety A that contains no rational curves; see [Kol96, VI.1.9]. A different proof is in [Mil08, 3.2].
- (3) Let $g: A_1 \dashrightarrow A_2$ be a rational map of Abelian varieties that sends the unit e_1 to e_2 . Then g is a morphism and a group homomorphism. In particular, (A, e_A) determines the group structure. This is the main reason why the multiplication $\mu: A \times A \to A$ is usually suppressed in the notation.
- (4) Every Abelian variety is commutative.
- (5) For Abelian varieties, A → Pic°(A) is a duality that preserves the dimension. The dual is frequently denoted by or A^t. Over C, this is a special case of the Appell-Humbert theorem [Mum70, pp.21-22]. In general see [Mil08, Sec.8] or [Mum70, Sec.13].

(6) Let A be an Abelian variety and $B \subset A$ a closed subgroup scheme. Then there is a unique Abelian variety A_3 and an exact sequence

$$B \xrightarrow{p} A \xrightarrow{q} A_3 \to 0.$$

We call $A_3 := A/B$ the *quotient* of A by B.

(7) $p: A_1 \to A_2$ is a closed embedding if and only if $\hat{p}: \hat{A}_2 \to \hat{A}_1$ is its own Stein factorization. (That is, $\hat{p}_* \mathbb{O}_{\hat{A}_2} = \mathbb{O}_{\hat{A}_1}$.) More generally, if (10.3.1.1) is exact (resp. exact modulo torsion) then so is its dual sequence

$$0 \to \hat{A}_3 \xrightarrow{\dot{q}} \hat{A}_2 \xrightarrow{p} \hat{A}_1 \to 0.$$

See [Mil08, Sec.9] or [Mum70, Sec.15].

- (8) (Poincaré reducibility theorem) Let A be an Abelian variety and $A_1 \hookrightarrow A$ an Abelian subvariety. Then there is an Abelian subvariety $A_3 \hookrightarrow A$ such that $A_1 + A_3 \to A$ is an isogeny.
- (9) (Poincaré reducibility theorem, dual version) Let A be an Abelian variety and $A \twoheadrightarrow A'_3$ an Abelian quotient. Then there is an Abelian quotient $A \twoheadrightarrow A'_1$ such that $A \to A'_1 + A'_3$ is an isogeny. It is worth noting that, unlike the previous results, Poincaré reducibility fails for compact, complex analytic groups.
- (10) Every Abelian variety is isogenous to a product of simple Abelian varieties. The simple factors are unique, up to isogeny.

10.3.2 (Picard group of a normal variety). The group of line bundles on a scheme X is the *Picard group* of X, denoted by $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$. If X is proper then $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \subset \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ denotes the subgroup of divisors that are algebraically equivalent to 0. The quotient $\operatorname{NS}(X) := \operatorname{Pic}(X) / \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$ is the *Néron-Severi* group of X. It is a finitely generated abelian group. Its \mathbb{Q} -rank is the *Picard number* of X, denoted by $\rho(X)$.

If X is proper over a field k with algebraic closure \overline{k} then $\operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ has a natural kscheme structure, denoted by $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$. The identity component is denoted by $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$, it is a commutative algebraic group. If X is geometrically normal and $\operatorname{char} k = 0$ then $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$ is an Abelian variety. If X is geometrically normal and k is perfect then $\operatorname{red}\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$ is an Abelian variety. The non-reduced structure of $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$ will pay no role in our questions.

There is a natural inclusion $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X)(k)$ which is an isomorphism if X has a k-point. In general the quotient $\operatorname{Pic}(X)(k)/\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is a torsion group.

10.3.3 (Class group of a normal variety). For a normal *k*-variety X, let Cl(X) denote the group of Weil divisors modulo linear equivalence. It is also isomorphic to the group of rank 1 reflexive sheaves, where the product is the double dual of the tensor product.

Let $\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X) \subset \operatorname{Cl}(X)$ be the subgroup of divisors that are algebraically equivalent to 0. If X is proper, we call the quotient $\operatorname{NS}^{\operatorname{cl}}(X) := \operatorname{Cl}(X)/\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X)$ the *Néron-Severi* class group¹ of X, and its Q-rank the class rank of X, denoted by $\rho^{\operatorname{cl}}(X)$.

Note that we have natural inclusions

(10.3.3.1) $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \subset \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X)$ and $\operatorname{NS}(X) \subset \operatorname{NS}^{\operatorname{cl}}(X)$,

that are isomorphisms iff every Weil divisor is Cartier, for example when X is smooth. Basic results about these groups are the following.

¹The literature seems inconsistent. Frequently this is called the Néron-Severi group.

Lemma 10.3.4. Let $p: Y \to X$ be a birational morphism of normal, proper varieties over a perfect field k. Then

(a) $p_* : \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(Y) \to \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X)$ is an isomorphism and (b) $p_* : \operatorname{NS}^{\operatorname{cl}}(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{NS}^{\operatorname{cl}}(X)$ is onto.

Lemma 10.3.5. Let X be a normal, proper variety over a perfect field k. Then there is a normal, proper variety Y and a birational morphism $p: Y \to X$ such that $Cl^{\circ}(Y_K) = Pic^{\circ}(Y_K)$ for every $K \supset k$.

It is quickest to prove these by using the Albanese variety, see (10.3.9.5–6). As a consequence, we can define the scheme structure of Cl° by

(10.3.5.1) $\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X) \cong \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(Y) \cong \operatorname{red} \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Y).$

In the complex case these results go back to Picard [**Pic95**] and Severi [**Sev06**], but the most complete references may be the papers of Matsusaka [**Mat52**] and of Néron [**Nér52a**]; see also [**Kol18**, Sec.3] for some discussions.

More recent results on various aspects of the class group of singular varieties are discussed in [**BVS93**, **BVRS09**, **RS09**].

Definition 10.3.6. Let X be a normal, proper k-variety and $\Sigma \subset X$ a subset. Let $\operatorname{WDiv}(X, \Sigma) \subset \operatorname{WDiv}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Cl}(X, \Sigma) \subset \operatorname{Cl}(X)$ denote the subgroup of those Weil divisors that are Cartier at every point $x \in \Sigma$.

Note that $Cl(X, \Sigma)$ is isomorphic to the group of those rank 1 reflexive sheaves that are locally free at every point $x \in \Sigma$.

We see in (10.3.7) that $\operatorname{Cl}(X_{\overline{k}}, \Sigma_{\overline{k}})$ is naturally identified with a closed k-subgroup $\operatorname{Cl}(X, \Sigma) \subset \operatorname{Cl}(X)$. We denote its identity component by $\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X, \Sigma)$. Note that in general $\operatorname{Cl}(X, \Sigma) \cap \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X)$ may be disconnected.

The quotient $NS^{cl}(X, \Sigma) := \mathbf{Cl}(X, \Sigma) / \mathbf{Cl}^{\circ}(X, \Sigma)$ is finitely generated.

Lemma 10.3.7. Let X be a normal, proper variety over a perfect field k and $\Sigma \subset X$ an arbitrary subset. Then there is a closed, algebraic k-subgroup $\mathbf{Cl}(X, \Sigma) \subset \mathbf{Cl}(X)$ such that $\mathrm{Cl}(X_{\overline{k}}, \Sigma_{\overline{k}}) = \mathbf{Cl}(X, \Sigma)(\overline{k})$.

Proof. Assume first that $\Sigma = \{x\}$ is a closed point and there is a universal family L on $X \times \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X)$ that is flat over $\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X)$. The set of points $V \subset X \times \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X)$ where L is not locally free is closed. Since $\operatorname{Cl}(X, \{x\})$ is the complement of the image of $V \cap (\{x\} \times \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X))$, it is constructible. It is also a subgroup and a constructible subgroup is closed.

In general such an L does not exist, but we check in (10.3.8) that a flat universal family exists after a finite field extension and a constructible subdivision $\tau : \coprod_j W_j \to \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X)$. The argument above then shows that $\operatorname{Cl}(X, \{x\})$ is constructible, hence closed as before.

If Σ is any set of closed points then $\operatorname{Cl}(X, \Sigma) = \bigcap_{x \in \Sigma} \operatorname{Cl}(X, \{x\}).$

If η is a non-closed point, then $Cl(X, \{\eta\})$ is the union of all $Cl(X, \Sigma_U)$, where U runs through all open subsets of $\overline{\eta}$ and Σ_U denotes the set of closed points of U. By the Noetherian property, $Cl(X, \{\eta\}) = Cl(X, \Sigma_U)$ for some U.

Finally, $Cl(X, \Sigma) = \bigcap_{x \in \Sigma} Cl(X, \{x\})$ holds for any set of points Σ .

Lemma 10.3.8. Let X be a normal, proper variety over an algebraically closed field K. There is a locally closed decomposition $\tau : \coprod_{j} W_{j} \to \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X)$ such for every j there is

a universal family \mathbf{L}_j on $X \times W_j$ that is flat over W_j and whose fiber over $w \in W_j$ is the reflexive sheaf corresponding to $\tau(w) \in \mathbf{Cl}^{\circ}(X)$.

Proof. By (10.3.5), there is a proper, birational morphism from a normal variety $p : Y \to X$ such that $\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X) = \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Y)$. Let L be the universal line bundle over $Y \times \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Y)$. Pushing it forward we get a rank 1 sheaf

$$\mathbf{L}_X := \begin{pmatrix} \pi_* \mathbf{L} \end{pmatrix}^{[**]} \quad \text{over} \quad X \times \mathbf{Cl}^{\circ}(X).$$

In general L_X is not flat over $Cl^{\circ}(X)$. However, by generic flatness, L_X is flat with reflexive fibers over a dense, open subset $W_1 \subset Cl^{\circ}(X)$. Repeating this with $Cl^{\circ}(X) \setminus W_1$ we get the required locally closed decomposition.

Albanese variety

10.3.9 (Albanese variety). Let X be a proper, normal variety over a perfect field k. There are 2 different notions of the *Albanese variety* of X in the literature. In [**Gro62**, VI.3.3] it is the target of the universal *morphism* from X to an Abelian torsor; that is, a principal homogeneous space under an Abelian variety. We denote this by

(10.3.9.1)
$$\operatorname{alb}_X^{\operatorname{gr}}: X \to \operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(X).$$

Pull-back by alb_X^{gr} gives an isomorphism

(10.3.9.2)
$$\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(\operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(X)) \cong \operatorname{red}\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X).$$

If X has a k-point then $Alb^{gr}(X)$ an Abelian variety. $Alb^{gr}(X)$ is a birational invariant for smooth, proper varieties, but not a birational invariant for normal varieties.

In the pre-EGA literature, for example [Mat52, Ser59], the Albanese map is the universal *rational map* from X to an Abelian torsor, called the *classical Albanese* variety

$$(10.3.9.3) alb_X : X \dashrightarrow Alb(X).$$

More precisely, Alb(X) is the unique Abelian torsor A together with a rational map $alb_X : X \dashrightarrow A$ such that for any abelian torsor B and rational map $a : X \dashrightarrow B$, there exists a unique map $j : A \to B$ with $j \circ alb_X = a$.

If X has a smooth k-point then so does Alb(X) and then it is an Abelian variety.

Alb(X) is a birational invariant of X (for normal, proper varieties) and the two versions coincide if X is smooth. Therefore, if $X' \to X$ is a resolution then $Alb(X) = Alb(X') = Alb^{gr}(X')$. In any case, by (10.3.1(2)) we get a morphism over the smooth locus

(10.3.9.4)
$$alb_X : X^{sm} \to Alb(X).$$

Let X' be the normalization of the closure of the graph of alb_X . Then we have a commutative diagram

(10.3.9.5)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' \\ p\swarrow & \searrow \operatorname{alb}_{X'} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{alb}_X} & \operatorname{Alb}(X) = \operatorname{Alb}(X'), \end{array}$$

where $alb_{X'}$ is a morphism. In particular (10.3.9.2) gives that

(10.3.9.6)
$$\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X') = \operatorname{red}\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X') \cong \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(\operatorname{Alb}(X'))$$

Therefore

(10.3.9.7)
$$\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X) \cong \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(\operatorname{Alb}(X)).$$

Let $p: Y \dashrightarrow X$ be a map of normal varieties. As long as p(Y) is not contained in the singular locus of X, the composite $Alb_X \circ p: Y \dashrightarrow Alb(X)$ is defined, hence we get a morphism

(10.3.9.8)
$$alb_p : Alb(Y) \to Alb(X).$$

10.3.10. Let $p: Y \to X$ be a morphism of normal varieties. Let

(10.3.10.1)
$$alb_{Y\setminus X}^{gr}: X \to Alb^{gr}(Y\setminus X)$$

denote the universal morphism from X to an Abelian torsor that maps every irreducible component of Y to a point. Thus we get an exact sequence

(10.3.10.2)
$$\operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(Y) \to \operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(X) \to \operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(Y \setminus X) \to 0.$$

Claim 10.3.11. The induced sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{Pic}^{\circ}(\mathbf{Alb}^{\mathrm{gr}}(Y \setminus X)) \to \mathbf{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \mathbf{Pic}^{\circ}(Y)$$

is exact.

Proof. To see this, let K denote the kernel of $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(Y)$. It is clear that $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(\operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(Y \setminus X)) \subset K$. To see the converse, we may assume that X has a k-point. By (10.3.1(5)) and (10.3.1(8)) we get an exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(Y) \to \operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(K) \to 0.$$

The resulting $X \to \operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(K)$ maps every irreducible component of Y to a point, so it factors through $\operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(Y \setminus X)$. By duality (10.3.1(5)) we get $K \to \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(\operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(Y \setminus X))$.

We would like to know when alb_p is dominant. Lefschetz theory suggests that this should hold if p(Y) is ample-ci (10.2.1). This is, however, not always true. For example, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the cone over a smooth, cubic, plane curve C and $Y \subset X$ the line over an inflection point of C. Then Alb(Y) = 0 but $Alb(X) \cong Jac(C)$.

However, the next results show that alb_p is usually dominant.

Lemma 10.3.12. Let X, Y be normal, projective varieties and $p: Y \to X$ a morphism. Assume that p(Y) has nonempty intersection with every nonzero divisor in X and alb_X is a morphism along p(Y). Then $alb_p: Alb(Y) \to Alb(X)$ is surjective.

Proof. If alb_p is not surjective then the quotient $Alb(X)/alb_p(Alb(Y))$ is positive dimensional. Hence there is a nonzero, effective divisor $D \subset Alb(X)/alb_p(Alb(Y))$ whose pull-back to Alb(X) is disjoint from $alb_p(Alb(Y))$. Then its pull-back to X is a divisor which is disjoint from p(Y).

Corollary 10.3.13. Let X be a normal, projective variety and $C \subset X^{ns}$ an irreducible ample-sci curve (10.2.1). Then $Cl^{\circ}(X) \to Jac(\overline{C})$ has finite kernel.

Proof. Note that alb_X is a morphism along *C* by (10.3.9.4) and *C* has nonempty intersection with every nonzero divisor. Thus (10.3.12) applies.

Corollary 10.3.14. Let A be an Abelian variety and $C \subset \hat{A}$ an irreducible ample-sci curve (10.2.1). Then $A \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C})$ has finite kernel.

Lemma 10.3.15. Let X be a normal, proper variety. Then there is a finite subset $\Sigma \subset X$ such that the following holds.

Let $Y \subset X$ be an irreducible divisor that is disjoint from Σ . Assume that Y has nonempty intersection with every nonzero divisor in X. Let $\overline{Y} \to Y$ be the normalization. Then $Alb(\overline{Y}) \to Alb(X)$ is surjective.

Proof. Consider the normalization of the closure of the graph of alb_X

$$X \xleftarrow{\pi} X' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{alb}_{X'}} \operatorname{Alb}(X).$$

Let $E'_i \subset X'$ be the π -exceptional divisors. Choose Σ to contain the generic point of each $\pi(E'_i)$ and every non-Cartier center (10.3.19).

Then Y is a Cartier divisor and $\pi^{-1}(Y) = \pi^{-1}_*(Y)$. Therefore, if $D' \subset X'$ is a divisor then

$$\pi\big(\pi_*^{-1}(Y) \cap D'\big) = \pi\big(\pi^{-1}(Y) \cap D'\big) = Y \cap \pi(D') \neq \emptyset.$$

Let \overline{Y}' denote the normalization of $\pi_*^{-1}(Y)$. Then $\operatorname{Alb}(\overline{Y}') \to \operatorname{Alb}(X)$ is surjective by (10.3.12) and $\operatorname{Alb}(\overline{Y}') \cong \operatorname{Alb}(\overline{Y})$.

Definition 10.3.16 (Partial Albanese variety). Let *X* be a proper, normal variety over a perfect field *k* and $\Sigma \subset X$ a subset.

Define the *Albanese map* of (X, Σ) as the universal rational map from X to an Abelian torsor, that is a morphism along Σ

(10.3.16.1) $alb_{X,\Sigma}: X \dashrightarrow Alb(X,\Sigma).$

If $\Sigma \subset X^{sm}$ then $Alb(X, \Sigma) = Alb(X)$. In general $Alb(X, \Sigma)$ is a quotient of Alb(X).

Theorem 10.3.17. Let X be a normal, proper variety over a perfect field K and $\Sigma \subset X$ a subset. Then pull-back by $alb_{X,\Sigma}$ gives an isomorphism

(10.3.17.1)
$$\operatorname{alb}_{X,\Sigma}^* : \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ} (\operatorname{Alb}(X, \Sigma)) \cong \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X, \Sigma).$$

Proof. Consider $alb_{X,\Sigma} : X \dashrightarrow Alb(X, \Sigma)$. By assumption it is a morphism along Σ , thus the pull-back of a line bundle on $Alb(X, \Sigma)$ is locally free along Σ . That is,

(10.3.17.2)
$$\operatorname{alb}_{X,\Sigma}^*\operatorname{Pic}^\circ(\operatorname{Alb}(X,\Sigma)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}^\circ(X,\Sigma).$$

For the converse, assume first that $\Sigma = \{x\}$ is a closed point. As in (10.3.9.5) we have



where $alb_{X'}$ is a morphism. Let Y' be the normalization of $p^{-1}(x)$. Since $X' \to Alb^{gr}(Y' \setminus X')$ contracts every irreducible component of Y' to a point, the composite $X \dashrightarrow X' \to Alb^{gr}(Y' \setminus X')$ is a morphism at x by Zariski's main theorem. This gives $Alb(X, \{x\}) \to Alb^{gr}(Y' \setminus X')$. Thus we get a commutative diagram

(10.3.17.3)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \to & \mathbf{Alb}^{\mathrm{gr}}(Y' \setminus X') \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ X & \to & \mathbf{Alb}(X, \{x\}). \end{array}$$
If $L \in Cl^{\circ}(X, \{x\})(\overline{k})$ then its pull-back to X' is trivial along Y', hence it is obtained as the pull-back of a line bundle on $Alb^{gr}(Y' \setminus X')$ by (10.3.11). Factoring through $Alb(X, \{x\})$ shows that $Cl^{\circ}(X, \{x\}) \subset alb^{*}_{X, \{x\}} Pic^{\circ}(Alb(X, \{x\}))$.

The same argument works for any finite number of closed points. If Σ is an infinite set of closed point then, by the Noetherian property, $Cl^{\circ}(X, \Sigma) = Cl^{\circ}(X, \Sigma')$ for every large enough finite subset $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$.

Finally assume that y is a non-closed point. Then $\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X, \{y\})$ is the union of all $\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X, \Sigma_U)$ where Σ_U us the set of all closed points in some open subset $U \subset \overline{\{y\}}$. By the Noetherian property, we have equality $\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X, \{y\}) = \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X, \Sigma_U)$ for some fixed U.

Corollary 10.3.18. Let X be a normal, projective variety over a perfect field and $Z \subset X$ a closed, reduced subscheme with generic points g_Z . Then there is a normal, projective variety X', a birational morphism $p : X' \to X$ and a closed, reduced subscheme $Z' \subset X'$ with generic points $g_{Z'}$ such that

- (1) p is a local isomorphism at all generic points of Z',
- (2) Z = p(Z'),
- (3) $\operatorname{alb}_{X',g_{Z'}}$ is a morphism along Z' and
- (4) $\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X, g_Z) = \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X', g_{Z'}) = \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X', Z').$

If either dim Z = 1 or the characteristic is 0, we can also achieve that

(5) Z' is smooth,

Proof. We can take X' to be the normalization of the closure of the graph of alb_{X,g_Z} . Then we can resolve the singularities of Z' if desired.

Non-Cartier centers

Definition 10.3.19 (Non-Cartier centers). Let X be a reduced scheme and D an effective Weil divisor. There is a unique largest open subscheme $X_D^{car} \subset X$, called the *Cartier locus* of D, such that the restriction of D to X_D^{car} is Cartier. The complement $X \setminus X_D^{car}$ is the *non-Cartier locus* of D. A point $x \in X$ is a *non-Cartier center* of X if there is a Weil divisor D such that x is the generic point of an irreducible component of the non-Cartier locus of D.

For example, let $X = (xy = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{xyz}$ and set $D_c := (x = z - c = 0)$. Its non-Cartier locus is the point (x = y = z - c = 0). Thus every closed point of the *z*-axis is a non-Cartier center of *X*. The generic point of the *z*-axis is also a non-Cartier center of *X* for the divisor (x = y = 0).

In direct analogy one can define the notions of \mathbb{Q} -*Cartier locus* and *non*- \mathbb{Q} -*Cartier center*.

The next result of [**BGS11**, 6.7] shows that the situation is quite different for normal varieties. (Note that [**BGS11**] works over an algebraically closed field, but this is not necessary.)

Theorem 10.3.20. A geometrically normal variety has only finitely many non-Cartier or non-Q-Cartier centers.

Proof. We may assume that X is proper and irreducible. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset such that X has only finitely many non–(Q-)Cartier centers in U. We show that there

is a strictly larger open subset $U \subsetneq U' \subset X$ such that X has only finitely many non– (Q-)Cartier centers in U'. We can start with the smooth locus $U = X^{\text{sm}}$, since it is disjoint from every non–(Q-)Cartier center. Noetherian induction then gives that X has only finitely many non–(Q-)Cartier centers.

Let $Z \subset X \setminus U$ be an irreducible component. By (10.3.21) there is a dense, open subset $Z^0 \subset Z$ such that if a Weil divisor D is (Q-)Cartier at the generic point $g_Z \in Z$ then it is (Q-)Cartier along Z^0 . We may assume that Z^0 is disjoint from every other irreducible component of $X \setminus U$. Then $U' := U \cup Z^0$ is open in X and g_Z is the only possible new non-(Q-)Cartier center in U'.

Lemma 10.3.21. Let X be a normal, proper variety over an algebraically closed field and $Z \subset X$ an irreducible subvariety. Then there is a dense, open subset $Z^0 \subset Z$ such that the following holds.

Let D be a Weil divisor that is (Q-)Cartier at the generic point $g_Z \in Z$. Then it is (Q-)Cartier everywhere along Z^0 .

Proof. As in (10.3.6), let $Cl(X, g_Z) \subset Cl(X)$ be the subgroup of those divisors that are Cartier at the generic point of Z and $Cl^{\circ}(X, g_Z) \subset Cl^{\circ}(X)$ the identity component.

As we noted in (10.3.6), the quotient $\operatorname{Cl}(X, g_Z) / \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X, g_Z)$ is finitely generated; say by the divisors D_i . There is a dense, open subset $Z_1^0 \subset Z$ such that every D_i is Cartier along Z_1^0 , hence the same holds for every linear combination of the D_i .

Next we show that there is a dense, open subset $Z_2^0 \subset Z$ such that every divisor in $Cl^{\circ}(X, g_Z)$ is Cartier along Z_2^0 . Consider the Albanese map $alb_{X,Z} : X \dashrightarrow Alb(X, Z)$. By (10.3.16) it is defined at g_Z , hence on a dense, open subset $Z_2^0 \subset Z$. By (10.3.17), $Cl^{\circ}(X, g_Z)$ is the pull-back of $Pic^{\circ}(Alb(X, g_Z))$, hence every member of $Cl^{\circ}(X, g_Z)$ is locally free along Z_2^0 . Finally $Z^0 = Z_1^0 \cap Z_2^0$ is the dense, open subset that we need.

If D is Q-Cartier at g_Z then mD is Cartier at g_Z for some m > 0, hence D is Q-Cartier along Z^0 by the previous results.

Definition 10.3.22 (Maximal relative factorial open subset). Let X be a normal variety over a perfect field and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset. Let $\{w_i \in X : i \in I\}$ be those non-Cartier centers of X whose closure does not contain any irreducible component of Z. Then

(10.3.22.1)
$$\operatorname{Fact}(Z \subset X) := Z \setminus \bigcup_i \overline{w}_i$$

is the unique largest dense open subset $Z^{\circ} \subset Z$ such that if a Weil divisor D is Cartier at some point of each irreducible component of Z then it is Cartier everywhere along Z° . We call $Fact(Z \subset X)$ the maximal relative factorial open subset of X along Z. The maximal factorial open subset of X along X is the largest factorial open subset $X^{\circ} \subset X$ considered in (3.2.3).

10.4. Commutative algebraic groups

By an *algebraic group* we mean a finite type group scheme over a field. Such a group scheme is called a *linear algebraic group* if it is, in addition, affine.

10.4.1 (Structure of commutative algebraic groups). Let A denote a commutative algebraic group over a perfect field k, $A^{\circ} \subset A$ the identity component and $A^{\text{lin}} \subset A$ the largest connected linear algebraic subgroup. Then A/A° is a finite étale group scheme and A°/A^{lin} is an Abelian variety. This is a consequence of the Barsotti-Chevalley theorem [Mil17, Theorem 8.27].

Let $A^{\text{unip}} \subset A^{\text{lin}}$ be the largest unipotent subgroup and $A^{\text{tor}} \subset A^{\text{lin}}$ the largest subgroup of multiplicative type. By [Mil17, Theorem 16.13 (b)] we have

$$A^{\rm lin} = A^{\rm unip} \times A^{\rm tor}.$$

If A is furthermore assumed reduced, and hence smooth since k is perfect, then A^{tor} is a *torus* (that is, isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_m^r over k^{sep} for some r).

A reduced algebraic group A is called *semi-Abelian* if $A^{\text{unip}} = 0$.

Let $A^{\text{prop}} \subset A$ denote the largest proper, connected subgroup. Then $A^{\text{prop}} \cap A^{\text{lin}}$ is finite but usually $A^{\text{prop}} + A^{\text{lin}}$ does not equal A.

See [Bor91], [Mil17, Chap.8] or [Bri17b] for details and proofs.

10.4.2 (Q-rank). For Abelian varieties, the Q-rank of A(k) is a subtle invariant of A and k; see for example (7.5.3) and (7.5.5). By contrast the Q-rank of a linear algebraic group is easy to compute.

- (1) G(k) is torsion for every algebraic group G over a locally finite field k.
- (2) U(k) is p^{∞} -torsion for every unipotent algebraic group U over a field k of characteristic p > 0.
- (3) $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} U(k) = \dim U \cdot \operatorname{deg}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ for every unipotent algebraic group U over a field k of characteristic 0.
- (4) $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} T(k) = \infty$ for every positive dimensional torus over a field that is not locally finite.

Of these only the last claim is nontrivial. It was proved in (6.2.8).

10.4.3 (Jacobians of curves). Let C be a proper scheme of dimension 1 over a field k. Then $\text{Pic}^{\circ}(C)$ is a called the *Jacobian* or *generalized Jacobian* of C and denoted by Jac(C).

Let $C^{wn} \to C$ denote the *weak normalization* and $\overline{C} \to C^{wn} \to C$ the *normalization*. Pullback induces maps

$$\operatorname{Jac}(C) \to \operatorname{Jac}(C^{\operatorname{wn}}) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C}).$$

The kernel of $\operatorname{Jac}(C) \to \operatorname{Jac}(C^{\operatorname{wn}})$ is $\operatorname{Jac}(C)^{\operatorname{unip}}$ and the kernel of $\operatorname{Jac}(C) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{C})$ is $\operatorname{Jac}(C)^{\operatorname{lin}}$. Thus 10.4.2 gives the following.

- (1) If k is locally finite then Jac(C)(k) is torsion.
- (2) If char k > 0 but k is not locally finite and C is geometrically integral, then $\operatorname{Jac}(C)(\overline{k})$ is torsion if and only if C is rational and $C^{\operatorname{wn}} = \overline{C}$.
- (3) If char k = 0 then $\operatorname{Jac}(C)(\overline{k})$ is torsion if and only if $h^1(C, \mathbb{O}_C) = 0$. This implies that every irreducible component of $C_{\overline{k}}$ is smooth and rational.

10.4.4. Let *P* be a 0-cycle on a smooth algebraic group *A* and write $P_{\overline{k}} = \bigcup_i m_i[p_i]$. Set

(10.4.4.1) $\operatorname{tr}_A P := \sum_i m_i[p_i] \quad \text{(summation in } A\text{)}.$

Note that $\operatorname{tr}_A P \in A(k)$. (When the residue field is inseparable over k, this uses the fact that the multiplication by p map on A always factors through Frobenius.) If A is the additive group \mathbb{G}_a then this is the usual trace, but for the multiplicative group \mathbb{G}_m this is the norm. Since we usually use additive notation, trace seems a better choice. For $Z \subset A$ set

(10.4.4.2)
$$\operatorname{tr}_A Z := \{\operatorname{tr}_A P : P \text{ is a 0-cycle on } Z\}.$$

Let C be a smooth, projective curve. There is a natural embedding $j : C \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Jac}_1(C) \subset \operatorname{Pic}(C)$. If P is a 0-cycle on C then

(10.4.4.3)
$$\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Pic}}(C)}(j(P)) = [\mathfrak{G}_C(P)] \in \operatorname{Jac}_{\operatorname{deg} P}(C).$$

The following is a restatement of [Bri17a, 4.9].

Lemma 10.4.5. The association $A \mapsto A(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ defines an exact functor on the category of commutative algebraic groups.

Proof. The only nontrivial claim is that if $g : A \to B$ is a dominant morphism then $g(k) : A(k) \to B(k)$ is surjective modulo torsion. To see this pick $b \in B(k)$ and let P be a 0-cycle on the fiber A_b . Then $\operatorname{tr}_A P \in A(k)$ and $g(\operatorname{tr}_A P) = \deg P \cdot b$.

The multiplicative group of Artin algebras

10.4.6. Let A be an Artinian k-algebra. The group of units A^* is the k-points of a commutative algebraic group $\mathfrak{R}_k^A \mathbb{G}_m$ called the *Weil restriction* of \mathbb{G}_m from A to k. The algebraic group $\mathfrak{R}_k^A \mathbb{G}_m$ represents the pushforward (in the sense of big étale sheaves) $f_*\mathbb{G}_m$ of the multiplicative group along the morphism $f : \operatorname{Spec}(A) \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$. More concretely we have

$$(\mathfrak{R}_k^A \mathbb{G}_m)(B) = (A \otimes_k B)^{\times}$$
 for a k-algebra B.

Note that $\dim \mathfrak{R}_k^A \mathbb{G}_m = \dim_k A$.

For example, if K/k is a field extension of degree n, choose a basis $e_i \in K$. As a variety, $\Re_k^K \mathbb{G}_m$ is $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus (\operatorname{norm}_{K/k}(\sum x_i e_i) = 0)$.

10.4.7. Let (A, m) be a local, Artinian k algebra with residue field K = A/m. There is an exact sequence

$$(10.4.7.1) 1 \to U \to A^{\times} \to K^{\times} \to 1,$$

where the map $a \mapsto 1 + a$ identifies m with U. Note that $a \mapsto 1 + a$ is a group isomorphism if $m^2 = 0$ but not otherwise. In characteristic 0 one can correct this by taking $a \mapsto \exp(a)$. We will think of (10.4.7.1) as the k-points of an exact sequence of algebraic k-groups

(10.4.7.2)
$$1 \to U \to \mathfrak{R}_k^A \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathfrak{R}_k^K \mathbb{G}_m \to 1,$$

where U is a unipotent group. In positive characteristic the algebraic groups (m, +) and (U, \cdot) need not be isomorphic.

We also use the following variant of Hensel's lemma.

Claim 10.4.8. Let $k \subset k' \subset K$ be a subfield that is separable over k. Then there is a unique lifting $k' \to A$.

Combining the above with the previous discussion on algebraic groups yield the following lemmas.

Lemma 10.4.9. Let k be a field and $A \to B$ a homomorphism of Artin k-algebras. Then $\operatorname{coker}[A^{\times} \to B^{\times}]$ is torsion if and only if one of the following holds.

- (1) $A \rightarrow B$ is surjective.
- (2) char k > 0 and $B/\sqrt{0}$ is purely inseparable over $A/\sqrt{0}$.
- (3) k is locally finite.

Moreover, $\operatorname{coker}[A^{\times} \to B^{\times}]$ has finite \mathbb{Q} -rank in one additional case:

(4) deg $(k/\mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ and $A/\sqrt{0} \rightarrow B/\sqrt{0}$ is surjective.

Lemma 10.4.10. Let k be a field and $A \to B$ a homomorphism of Artin k-algebras. Then ker $[A^{\times} \to B^{\times}]$ is torsion if and only if one of the following holds.

(1) $A \rightarrow B$ is injective.

- (2) char k > 0 and $A/\sqrt{0} \rightarrow B/\sqrt{0}$ is injective.
- (3) k is locally finite.

Moreover, ker $[A^{\times} \to B^{\times}]$ has finite Q-rank in one additional case:

(4) deg $(k/\mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ and $A/\sqrt{0} \to B/\sqrt{0}$ is injective.

Much of the following is proved in [CTGW96].

Lemma 10.4.11. Let k be a field that is not locally finite. Let $A \supset k$ be a finite, reduced k-algebra. Let $k \subset L_1, L_2 \subset A$ be subfields. The following are equivalent.

- (1) $A^{\times}/(L_1^{\times} \cdot L_2^{\times})$ is torsion.
- (2) $A^{\times}/(L_1^{\times} \cdot L_2^{\times})$ has finite Q-rank.
- (3) A is a field and A/L_i is purely inseparable for some i = 1, 2.

Proof. If A/L_i is purely inseparable then $A^q \subset L_i$ for some power q of char k, hence A^{\times}/L_i^{\times} is torsion. This proves (3) \Rightarrow (1) and (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear.

Assume (2). We may replace A by its maximal separable subalgebra. Thus assume that A/k is separable. If $A = L_i$ for some i then we are done. Otherwise $\dim_k A = \dim_{L_i} A \cdot \dim_k L_i \ge 2 \dim_k L_i$.

If B is a reduced, separable k-algebra, then B^{\times} is identified with the k-points of the k-torus $\mathcal{R}_k^B \mathbb{G}_m$. Thus $L_1^{\times} \cdot L_2^{\times} \to A^{\times}$ can be viewed as the k-points of a morphism of k-tori

$$\mu:\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{L_{1}}\mathbb{G}_{m}\times\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{L_{2}}\mathbb{G}_{m}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{A}\mathbb{G}_{m}$$

Both of the L_i contain k, thus

 $\dim_k \operatorname{Im}(\mu) \le \dim_k L_1 + \dim_k L_2 - 1 < \dim A.$

Thus $\operatorname{coker}(\mu)$ is a positive dimensional k-torus, hence $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\operatorname{coker}(\mu)(k)) = \infty$ by (10.4.2 (4)). Finally (10.4.5) shows that

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(A^{\times}/(L_{1}^{\times}\cdot L_{2}^{\times})\right) = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\operatorname{coker}(\mu)(k)\right) = \infty.$$

Index of Notation

- $(-)^{
 m lin}$, maximal connected linear algebraic subgroup, 183
- $(-)^{\mathrm{prop}}$, maximal proper connected subgroup, 183
- $(-)^{\mathrm{tor}}$, maximal subgroup of multiplicative type, 183
- $(-)^{\mathrm{unip}}$, maximal unipotent subgroup, 183
- $D_1 \sim_{
 m s} D_2$, linear similarity for Weil divisors, 130
- X_D^{car} , Cartier locus, 181
- \sim , linear equivalence of divisors, 123
- $\sim_{
 m sa}$, linear similarity, 123
- $\operatorname{Alb}^{\operatorname{gr}}(X)$, Albanese variety, 178
- $\mathbf{Alb}(X),$ classical Albanese variety, 178
- ${ {\rm Alb}(X,\Sigma), {\rm Albanese \ variety \ with \ respect \ to \ \Sigma, } \\ { 180 }$
- BH(k), Bertini-Hilber dimension of k, 135
- $\operatorname{Chow}_d^1(X/S)$, Chow variety, 84
- $Cl(X, \Sigma)$, Weil divisors Cartier along Σ , 177
- $Cl^{\circ}(X)$, divisors alg. eq. to 0, 176
- $\operatorname{Cl}^{\circ}(X,\Sigma)$, identity component of $\operatorname{Cl}(X_{\overline{k}},\Sigma_{\overline{k}})$, 177
- $\mathbf{CL}(k)$, set of curves with ample line bundle over k, 148
- Cox(X, M), Cox ring with respect to a monoid, 130
- $Cox(X, |\mathbf{Q}D|)$, Cox ring with respect to monoid defined by a divisor, 131
- $\mathscr{C}_{X,A}$, category of constructible étale A-modules, 155
- $\mathfrak{d}_{|D|}(C)$, max intersection number of C with member of pencil, 96
- DP, category of divisorially proper varieties, 50
- Div(X), divisors of X, 49
- $\operatorname{Fact}(Z \subset X)$, maximal relative factorial open subset, 182
- $\Gamma^{\subset B}(Y, \mathcal{L})$, sections with support in *B*, 126
- $\Gamma^{B}(Y, \mathcal{L})$, sections with support *B*, 126
- genmin(g), generic minimum of function g, 97

 $Gr(1, \mathbf{P}(V))$, lines in $\mathbf{P}(V)$, 25

- $H^0(C, \mathcal{L}, s_Z)$, sections restriction to multiple of s_Z , 148 $\mathscr{H}_n^{\mathrm{def}, B}$, set of lines which are definable or given
- $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathrm{def},B}$, set of lines which are definable or given by B, 75
- $|H_i, LC|$, linear system with local conditions, 168
- $|\mathcal{L}|^{\text{set}}$, linear system as set, 21
- $|\mathcal{L}|^{\mathrm{var}}$, linear system as projective variety, 21
- $|\mathcal{L}|$, discrete projective space, 21
- $ar{\pmb{\mu}}(\mathscr{P}),$ proportion in \mathscr{P} using sup, 72
- $\mu(\mathcal{P})$, proportion in \mathcal{P} , 72
- $NS^{cl}(X)$, Néron-Severi class group, 176
- $\mathrm{NS}^{\mathrm{cl}}(X,\Sigma),$ Néron-Severi class group Cartier along $\Sigma,\,177$
- $\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{K}()$, Weil restriction, 173
- $\mathscr{R}^W_V(D,\mathscr{L})$, monoids of sections with prescribed support, 127
- $\mathscr{R}_V^W(D,\mathscr{L},m)$, image of sections with prescribed support, 127
- $\mathfrak{R}_{k}^{A}\mathbb{G}_{m}$, Weil restriction, 184
- $\rho(X)$, Picard number, 176
- $\rho^{\rm cl}(X)$, class rank, 176
- S_d , homogeneous degree *d* polynomials, 71
- $\Sigma(Y)$, set of points of dimension 0, dimension 1 but not regular, or not S_2 , 126
- \mathcal{T} , category of divisorial structures, 51
- $\tau(X),$ divisorial structure associated to scheme $X,\, \mathbf{51}$
- $\mathfrak{T}_{n_1,n_2},$ sections giving definable lines, 75 $\mathrm{tr}_A\,Z,$ trace, 184
- V(Z), definable subspace associated to Z, 55
- $\operatorname{WDiv}(X, \Sigma)$, Weil divisors Cartier along Σ , 177
- $X^{(1)}$, codimension 1 points of X, 51
- $(Z \cdot |D|)$, intersection number of Z with member of pencil, 92

Index of Terminology

abelian variety, 175 absolutely scip with finite defect, 110 admissible collection of elements, 33 Albanese map, 180 Albanese variety, 178 algebraic group, 183 algebraic pencil of divisors, 86 ample degree function, 95 ample t-pencil, 94 ample-ci, 171 ample-sci, 171 anti–Mordell-Weil field, 118

base locus of local conditions, 168 base locus of pencil, 86 base locus of t-pencil, 94 Bertini-Hilbert dimension, 135

Cartier locus, 181 category of divisorially proper varieties, 50 Chow variety, 84 class rank, 176 classical Albanese variety, 178 compatible pencils, 97 complete *H*-intersection, 171 complete intersection, 171 complete intersection property, 160 composite pencil, 86

D-good, 33 definable projective space, 25 definable subspace, 55 degree function, 95 detects linear similarity, 131 dimension of definable projective space, 25 divisorial structure, 50 divisorially proper variety, 49

essential open subscheme, 54 exact modulo torsion, 175

fiber of pencil, 86 field-locally thin subset, 128

general position, t-pencil, 97 generalized Jacobian, 183 generically scip, 104, 111 geometric members of pencil, 86 H-isci, 171 H-ci, 171 Hilbertian field, 119 inherited by general complete $|H_i|$ -intersections, 167 irrational pencil, 86 irreducible-sci (isci), 171 isogeny, 175 Jacobian, 183 Leinked, 124, 136 \mathcal{L} -linking is free, 137 \mathscr{L} -linking is minimally restrictive, 140 \mathscr{L} -linking on W_2 determines \mathscr{L} -linking on W_1 , 140 linear algebraic group, 183 linear pencil, 86 linearly similar, 87, 130 linked (topologically, directly), 136 local conditions, 168 locally finite field, 118 maximal factorial open subset, 53 maximal relative factorial open subset, 182

maximal relative factorial open subset, 182 members of pencil, 86 mobile linear system, 87 mobile pencil, 87 Mordell-Weil field, 118

Néron-Severi class group, 176 Néron-Severi group, 176 Noetherian topology, 160 non-Cartier center, 181 non-Cartier locus, 181 non-composite pencil, 86 non-Q-Cartier center, 181 numerically equivalent Q-Cartier divisors, 96 numerically equivalent 1-cycles, 96 numerically similar, 87

pencil of divisors, 86

Picard group, 176 Picard number, 176 positive complete intersection property, 160

 $\mathbb{Q}\mbox{-}Cartier$ locus, 181 $\mathbb{Q}\mbox{-}Mordell\mbox{-}Weil field, 118$

rational pencil, 86 recognizable scheme, 155

scip, 104, 110 scip with defect, 108 scip with finite defect, 108 semi-Abelian, 183 set-theoretic complete intersection, 171 simple abelian variety, 175 stably dense, 130 sweep, 25

t-pencil, 93 thin, 128 true member, 98

variety over a field K, 20

weakly Hilbertian field, 148 Weil restriction, 184

190

Bibliography

- [AGV73] Michael Artin, Alexandre Grothendieck, and Jean-Louis Verdier (eds.), Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 305, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963– 1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat. [Amb18] Emiliano Ambrosi, Specialization of Néron-Severi groups in positive characteristic, arXiv e-prints (2018), https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.06481v2. [And96] Yves André, Pour une thèorie inconditionnelle des motifs, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 83 (1996), 5-49. [Art62] Michael Artin, Some numerical criteria for contractability of curves on algebraic surfaces, Amer. J. Math. 84 (1962), 485-496. [Bal05] Paul Balmer, The spectrum of prime ideals in tensor triangulated categories, J. Reine Angew. Math. 588 (2005), 149-168.
- [BGH18] Clark Barwick, Saul Glasman, and Peter Haine, *Exodromy*, https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.03281v7, 2018.
- [BGS11] Samuel Boissière, Ofer Gabber, and Olivier Serman, Sur le produit de variétés localement factorielles ou Q-factorielles, https://arxiv.org/abs/1104.1861v4, April 2011.
- [BK12] Alina Bucur and Kiran S. Kedlaya, *The probability that a complete intersection is smooth*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **24** (2012), no. 3, 541–556.
- [BKT10] Fedor Bogomolov, Mikhail Korotiaev, and Yuri Tschinkel, A Torelli theorem for curves over finite fields, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 6 (2010), no. 1, Special Issue: In honor of John Tate. Part 2, 245–294.
- [BL04] Christina Birkenhake and Herbert Lange, Complex abelian varieties, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 302, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [BLR90] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud, Néron models, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [BLR93] Manuel Blum, Michael Luby, and Ronitt Rubinfeld, Self-testing/correcting with applications to numerical problems, JCSS 47 (1993), 549–595.
- [Bor91] Armand Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [BPS16] Fedor A. Bogomolov, Alena Pirutka, and Aaron Michael Silberstein, Families of disjoint divisors on varieties, Eur. J. Math. 2 (2016), no. 4, 917–928. MR 3572551
- [Bri17a] Michel Brion, Commutative algebraic groups up to isogeny, Doc. Math. 22 (2017), 679–725.
- [Bri17b] _____, Some structure theorems for algebraic groups, Algebraic groups: structure and actions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 94, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017, pp. 53– 126.
- [BRT19] F. Bogomolov, M. Rovinsky, and Y. Tschinkel, Homomorphisms of multiplicative groups of fields preserving algebraic dependence, Eur. J. Math. 5 (2019), no. 3, 656–685. MR 3993257
- [BT65] Armand Borel and Jacques Tits, *Reductive groups*, IH 'ES Mathematics Publications **27** (1965), 55–151 (fr). MR 34 # 7527
- [BT73] _____, Homomorphismes "abstraits" de groupes algébriques simples, Ann. of Math. (2) **97** (1973), 499–571. MR 316587

192	BIBLIOGRAPHY
[BT09]	Fedor Bogomolov and Yuri Tschinkel, <i>Milnor</i> K_2 and field homomorphisms, Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XIII. Geometry, analysis, and algebraic geometry: forty years of the Journal of Differential Geometry, Surv. Differ. Geom., vol. 13, Int. Press, Somerville, MA 2009 np 223–244 MR 2537087
[BT11]	<i>Reconstruction of higher-dimensional function fields</i> , Mosc. Math. J. 11 (2011), no. 2, 185–204, 406, MR 2859233
[BT12]	, Introduction to birational anabelian geometry, Current developments in algebraic geometry, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 59, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2012,
[BT13]	pp. 17-63. MR 2931864 , Galois theory and projective geometry, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 66 (2013), no. 9, 1335–1359. MR 2078602
[BVRS09]	Luca Barbieri-Viale, Andreas Rosenschon, and Vasudevan Srinivas, <i>The Néron-Severi</i> group of a proper seminormal complex variety. Math. 7 , 261 (2009), pp. 2, 261–276
[BVS93]	Luca Barbieri Viale and Vasudevan Srinivas, On the Néron-Severi group of a singular va- riety, J. Reine Angew. Math. 435 (1993), 65–82.
[Č21]	Kestutis Česnavičius, Reconstructing a variety from its topology [after Kollár, building on earlier work of Lieblich and Olsson], 2021, Séminaire Bourbaki, 73ème année, no 1175.
[Chr18]	Atticus Christensen, Specialization of Néron-Severi groups in characteristic p, arXiv e-prints (2018), https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.06550v2.
[CP16]	François Charles and Bjorn Poonen, <i>Bertini irreducibility theorems over finite fields</i> , J. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (2016), no. 1, 81–94.
[CP18]	Anna Cadoret and Alena Pirutka, <i>Reconstructing function fields from Milnor K-theory</i> , 2018.
[CTGW96]	Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Robert M. Guralnick, and Roger Wiegand, <i>Multiplicative groups of fields modulo products of subfields</i> , Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 106 (1996), no. 3, 233–262.
[DS18]	Rankeya Datta and Karen E. Smith, <i>Excellence in prime characteristic</i> , Local and global methods in algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 712, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2018 np 105–116
[Eis95]	David Eisenbud, <i>Commutative algebra</i> , Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer- Verlag, New York, 1995, With a view toward algebraic geometry. MR 1322960 (97a:13001)
[Fal94]	Gerd Faltings, <i>The general case of S. Lang's conjecture</i> , Barsotti Symposium in Algebraic Geometry (Abano Terme, 1991), Perspect. Math., vol. 15, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994, pp. 175–182.
[Fan92]	Gino Fano, <i>Sui postulati fondamentali della geometria proiettiva</i> , Giornale di Matematiche 30 (1892), 106–132.
[FJ08]	Michael D. Fried and Moshe Jarden, <i>Field arithmetic</i> , third ed., Ergebnisse der Mathe- matik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Re- sults in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Math- ematics], vol. 11, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, Revised by Jarden.
[FKL16]	Mihai Fulger, János Kollár, and Brian Lehmann, <i>Volume and Hilbert function of</i> ℝ- <i>divisors</i> , Michigan Math. J. 65 (2016), no. 2, 371–387. MR 3510912
[FOV99]	Hubert Flenner, Liam O'Carroll, and Wolfgang Vogel, <i>Joins and intersections</i> , Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
[FP10]	Arno Fehm and Sebastian Petersen, On the rank of abelian varieties over ample fields, Int. J. Number Theory 6 (2010), no. 3, 579–586.
[FP19]	, Ranks of abelian varieties and the full Mordell-Lang conjecture in dimension one, arXiv e-prints (2019), https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.10710v1.
[Ful98a]	William Fulton, <i>Intersection theory</i> , second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

- [Ful98b] _____, Intersection theory, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [Gab62] Pierre Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 323–448. MR 232821
- [Gro60] Alexander Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I–IV., Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., no. 4,8,11,17,20,24,28,32, IHES, 1960.
- [Gro62] _____, Fondements de la géométrie algébrique. [Extraits du Séminaire Bourbaki, 1957– 1962.], Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1962.
- [Gro77] _____, Cohomologie l-adic et Fonctions L., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 589, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1977.
- [Gro05] _____, Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2), Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)], vol. 4, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2005, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie, 1962, Augmenté d'un exposé de Michèle Raynaud. [With an exposé by Michèle Raynaud], With a preface and edited by Yves Laszlo, Revised reprint of the 1968 French original.
- [Har62] Robin Hartshorne, Complete intersections and connectedness, Amer. J. Math. 84 (1962), 497–508.
- [Har77] _____, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [Hoc69] Mel Hochster, Prime ideal structure in commutative rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (1969), 43–60. MR 251026
- [IL19] Bo-Hae Im and Michael Larsen, *Abelian Varieties and Finitely Generated Galois Groups*, arXiv e-prints (2019), https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.04011v1.
- [Jac85] Nathan Jacobson, *Basic algebra*. *I*, second ed., W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1985.
- [Ji21] Lena Ji, The Noether–Lefschetz theorem, 2021.
- [Jou83] Jean-Pierre Jouanolou, *Théorèmes de Bertini et applications*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 42, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1983.
- [Kle66] Steven L. Kleiman, *Toward a numerical theory of ampleness*, Ann. of Math. (2) **84** (1966), 293–344. MR 206009
- [KLOS20] János Kollár, Max Lieblich, Martin Olsson, and Will Sawin, Topological reconstruction theorems for varieties, https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.04847v1, 2020.
- [KM09] János Kollár and Frédéric Mangolte, Cremona transformations and diffeomorphisms of surfaces, Adv. Math. 222 (2009), no. 1, 44–61.
- [Kob06] Emi Kobayashi, A remark on the Mordell-Weil rank of elliptic curves over the maximal abelian extension of the rational number field, Tokyo J. Math. **29** (2006), no. 2, 295–300.
- [Koc18] Fabian Koch, Jacobians of curves on surfaces, http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/ people/huybrech/KochMaster.pdf, 2018.
- [Kol96] János Kollár, Rational curves on algebraic varieties, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge., vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [Kol13] _____, Singularities of the minimal model program, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 200, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013, With the collaboration of Sándor Kovács.
- [Kol18] _____, *Mumford divisors*, https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.07596v2, March 2018.
- [Kol20] _____, What determines a variety?, https://arxiv.org/abs2002.12424v2, 2020.
- [Kra96] Hanspeter Kraft, Challenging problems on affine n-space, Séminaire Bourbaki : volume 1994/95, exposés 790-804, Astérisque, no. 237, Société mathématique de France, 1996. MR 1423629
- [KSC04] János Kollár, Karen E. Smith, and Alessio Corti, Rational and nearly rational varieties, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 92, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[Lan62]	Serge Lang, <i>Diophantine geometry</i> , Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 11, Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons), New York-London, 1962.
[Lan02]	, <i>Algebra</i> , third ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. MR 1878556
[Laz04]	Robert Lazarsfeld, <i>Positivity in algebraic geometry</i> . <i>I-II</i> , Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge., vol. 48–49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
[LN59]	Serge Lang and André Néron, Rational points of abelian varieties over function fields, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959), 95–118.
[LO21]	Max Lieblich and Martin Olsson, Derived categories and birationality, https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.05995v2, 2021, preprint.
[Lur17]	Jacob Lurie, Higher algebra, preprint dated September 18, 2017.
[Mat52]	Teruhisa Matsusaka, On the algebraic construction of the Picard variety. II, Jap. J. Math. 22 (1952), 51–62.
[Mil17]	J. S. Milne, <i>Algebraic groups</i> , Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 170, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017, The theory of group schemes of finite type over a field
[Mil08]	James S Milne Abelian varieties (v2 00) 208 Available at www.imilne.org/math/ n 172
[MP12]	Davesh Maulik and Bjorn Poonen, <i>Néron-Severi groups under specialization</i> , Duke Math. J. 161 (2012), 2167–2206.
[Mum66]	David Mumford, <i>Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface</i> , With a section by G. M. Bergman. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 59, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1966.
[Mum70]	, <i>Abelian varieties</i> , Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathemat- ics, No. 5, Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; Oxford University Press London 1970
[Nér52a]	André Néron, <i>La théorie de la base pour les diviseurs sur les variétés algébriques</i> , Deuxième Colloque de Géométrie Algébrique, Liège, 1952, Georges Thone, Liège; Masson & Cie, Paris,
[Nér52b]	1952, pp. 119–126. , Problèmes arithmétiques et géométriques rattachés à la notion de rang d'une courbe
[Neu54]	algebrique dans un corps, Bull. Soc. Math. France 80 (1952), 101–166. Bernhard H. Neumann, <i>Groups covered by finitely many cosets</i> , Publ. Math. Debrecen 3 (1954), 227–242
[Per06]	Jorge Vitório Pereira, <i>Fibrations, divisors and transcendental leaves</i> , J. Algebraic Geom. 15 (2006) no 1 87–110 With an appendix by Laurent Meersseman MR 2177196
[Pic95]	Émile Picard, Sur la théorie des groupes et des surfaces algébriques, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo 9 (1895) 244–255
[Poo01]	Bjorn Poonen, Points having the same residue field as their image under a morphism, J. Algebra 243 (2001), no. 1, 224–227.
[Poo04] [Poo08]	, Bertini theorems over finite fields, Ann. of Math. (2) 160 (2004), no. 3, 1099–1127. , Smooth hypersurface sections containing a given subscheme over a finite field, Math. Res. Lett. 15 (2008), no. 2, 265–271.
[Pop94]	Florian Pop, On Grothendieck's conjecture of birational anabelian geometry, Ann. of Math. (2) 139 (1994), no. 1, 145–182. MR 1259367
[Ros98]	Alexander L. Rosenberg, <i>The spectrum of abelian categories and reconstruction of schemes</i> , Rings, Hopf algebras, and Brauer groups (Antwerp/Brussels, 1996), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 197, Dekker, New York, 1998, pp. 257–274, MR 1615928
[RS06]	Girivaru V. Ravindra and Vasudevan Srinivas, <i>The Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem for nor-</i> mal projective varieties, J. Algebraic Geom. 15 (2006), no. 3, 563–590.
[RS09]	, The Noether-Lefschetz theorem for the divisor class group, J. Algebra 322 (2009), no. 9, 3373–3391.
[Sch03]	Stefan Schröer, The strong Franchetta conjecture in arbitrary characteristics, Internat. J. Math. 14 (2003), no. 4, 371–396.
[Ser59]	Jean-Pierre Serre, <i>Morphismes universels et variété d'albanese</i> , Séminaire Claude Chevalley 4 (1958-1959), 1–22 (fr), talk:10.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

194

[Ser89]	, Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem, Aspects of Mathematics, E15, Friedr. Vieweg
	& Sohn, Braunschweig, 1989, Translated from the French and edited by Martin Brown
	from notes by Michel Waldschmidt.
[Sev06]	Francesco Severi, Sulla totalità delle curve algebriche tracciate sopra una superficie alge-
	<i>brica</i> , Math. Ann. 62 (1906), no. 2, 194–225.
[Sha66]	Igor R. Shafarevich, Lectures on minimal models and birational transformations of two
	dimensional schemes, Notes by C. P. Ramanujam. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
	Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, No. 37, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
	Bombay, 1966.
[Sna74]	, Basic algebraic geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974, Die Grundlehren der
[0:109]	mathematischen wissenschaften, Band 213.
[91193]	Joseph H. Shverman, Heights and the specialization map for families of abelian varieties,
[Sta 15]	J. Reine Angew. Math. 342 (1903), 197–211. The Steeles Project Authors, Stacks Project, http://steeles.moth.eolumbia.edu, 2015
[Sta15] [Tor85]	Tomobide Toresome Complete intersections with middle Picard number 1 defined over \square
[16100]	Math Z 189 (1985) 289–296
[Top16]	Adam Topaz, Reconstructing function fields from rational quotients of mod-l Galois groups.
rb1	Math. Ann. 366 (2016). no. 1-2, 337–385.
[Top17]	Adam Topaz, A Torelli theorem for higher-dimensional function fields, 2017.
[Tot00]	Burt Totaro, The topology of smooth divisors and the arithmetic of abelian varieties, Michi-
	gan Math. J. 48 (2000), 611-624, Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th
	birthday. MR 1786508
[vdW37]	Bartel Leendert van der Waerden, Zur algebraischen Geometrie. X; Über lineare Scharen
	von reduziblen Mannigfaltigkeiten, Math. Ann. 113 (1937), no. 1, 705–712.
[Veb06]	Oswald Veblen, <i>Finite projective geometries</i> , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1906), 241–259.
[Voe90]	Vladimir A. Voevodskii, Etale topologies of schemes over fields of finite type over Q, Izv.
	Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 54 (1990), no. 6, 1155–1167.
[vS57]	Karl Georg Christian von Staudt, Beiträge zur Geometrie der Lage, Heft 2, Kornschen Buch-
NNZ 001	handlung, Nürnberg, 1857.
[VY08]	Uswald Veblen and John Wesley Young, A Set of Assumptions for Projective Geometry,
[Wei69]	Amer. J. Math. 30 (1908), no. 4, 347–380.
[weio2]	doneo R I 1962 MR 0144808
[Wei82]	Rainer Weissauer Der Hilbertsche Irreduzibilitätssatz J Reine Angew Math 334 (1982)
[[[[[02]]	203–220
[Whi06]	Alfred North Whitehead. The axioms of projective geometry. Cambridge University Press.
[,,,,,,,,,,]	1906.
[WK81]	Roger Wiegand and William Krauter, Projective surfaces over a finite field, Proc. Amer.
	Math. Soc. 83 (1981), no. 2, 233–237.
[Zar41]	Oscar Zariski, Pencils on an algebraic variety and a new proof of a theorem of Bertini,
	Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1941), 48–70.
[Zha98]	Shou-Wu Zhang, Equidistribution of small points on abelian varieties, Ann. of Math. (2)
	147 (1998), no. 1, 159–165.
[Zil14]	Boris Zilber, A curve and its abstract Jacobian, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2014 (2014),
	no. 5, 1425–1439.