

THE PICARD GROUP OF $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$

WILLIAM FULTON AND MARTIN OLSSON

ABSTRACT. We compute the Picard group of the moduli stack of elliptic curves and its canonical compactification over general base schemes

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ denote the moduli stack (over \mathbb{Z}) classifying elliptic curves, and for a scheme S let $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ denote the fiber product $S \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$. In his 1965 paper [7], Mumford computed the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S})$ when S is the spectrum of a field of characteristic not 2 or 3 and found it to be cyclic of order 12. Our aim in this paper is to compute the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S})$ for more general base schemes S , as well as to compute the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S})$ for the standard compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$.

Recall that on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ there is the Hodge bundle λ . For any morphism $t : T \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ corresponding to an elliptic curve $f : E \rightarrow T$ the pullback $t^*\lambda$ is the line bundle $f_*\Omega_{E/T}^1$. Equivalently, if $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ denotes the universal elliptic curve then $\lambda = f_*\Omega_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{M}_{1,1}}^1$. This bundle extends canonically to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$. Namely, let $\bar{f} : \overline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ denote the extension of \mathcal{E} provided by the Tate curve and let $\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}$ denote the relative dualizing sheaf. Then the sheaf $\bar{f}_*\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}$ is a line bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ extending λ . In what follows we will abuse notation and write also λ for this line bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$.

If Λ is a ring and $t : \mathrm{Spec}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ is a morphism corresponding to an elliptic curve E/Λ , then after replacing Λ by an étale extension the family E can be described by an equation

$$(1.0.1) \quad y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6.$$

Define

$$(1.0.2) \quad b_2 = a_1^2 + 4a_2, \quad b_4 = a_1a_3 + 2a_4, \quad b_6 = a_3^2 + 4a_6, \quad b_8 = -a_1a_3a_4 - a_4^2 + a_1^2a_6 + a_2a_3^2 + 4a_2a_6,$$

and the discriminant

$$(1.0.3) \quad \Delta = -b_2^2b_8 - 8b_4^3 - 27b_6^2 + 9b_2b_4b_6 \in \Lambda^*.$$

With these chosen coordinates a basis for $t^*\lambda$ is given by the *invariant differential*

$$(1.0.4) \quad \pi = dx/(2y + a_1x + a_3).$$

Any two choices of coordinates 1.0.1 differ by a transformation

$$(1.0.5) \quad x' = u^2x + r, \quad y' = u^3y + su^2x + t,$$

where $u \in \Lambda^*$ and $r, s, t \in \Lambda$. One can compute that the invariant differential π' obtained from the coordinates (x', y') is equal to $u^{-1}\pi$, and that the discriminant Δ' in the coordinates

(x', y') is equal to $u^{12}\Delta$. In particular, the element $\Delta\pi^{\otimes 12} \in t^*\lambda^{\otimes 12}$ is independent of the choice of coordinates, and therefore defines a trivialization of $\lambda^{\otimes 12}$ over $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$.

Let $p : \mathcal{M}_{1,1,S} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$ be the map defined by the j -invariant

$$(1.0.6) \quad j = (b_2^2 - 24b_4)^3/\Delta.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be a scheme. Then the map*

$$(1.1.1) \quad \mathbb{Z}/(12) \times \text{Pic}(\mathbb{A}_S^1) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}), \quad (i, \mathcal{L}) \mapsto \lambda^{\otimes i} \otimes p^*\mathcal{L}$$

is an isomorphism if either of the following hold:

- (i) S is a $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ -scheme.
- (ii) S is reduced.

Remark 1.2. As we observe in 6.4 the theorem fails for nonreduced schemes in characteristic 2.

Theorem 1.3. *The map*

$$(1.3.1) \quad \mathbb{Z} \times \text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S}) \quad (n, M) \mapsto \lambda^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} M$$

is an isomorphism for any scheme S .

Remark 1.4. By standard limit arguments it suffices to prove the above results in the case when S is noetherian. In what follows we will therefore restrict to the category of noetherian schemes unless otherwise stated.

1.5. Acknowledgements. Olsson partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0555827 and an Alfred P. Sloan fellowship.

2. WHEN 6 IS INVERTIBLE ON S

Though the case when 6 is invertible follows from the more technical work in subsequent sections, we include here a proof in the case of a $\mathbb{Z}[1/6]$ -scheme since it is much easier than the more general cases.

Let $\tilde{s}_4 : S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ be the section corresponding to the elliptic curve with automorphism group μ_4 ($y^2 = x^3 + x$ with $\Delta = -64$, $j = 1728$) and $\tilde{s}_6 : S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ the section corresponding to the elliptic curve with automorphism group μ_6 ($y^2 + y = x^3$ with $\Delta = -27$, $j = 0$). These sections define closed immersions $s_4 : B\mu_{4,S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ and $s_6 : B\mu_{6,S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$. For any line bundle \mathcal{L} on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ the pullback $s_4^*\mathcal{L}$ (resp. $s_6^*\mathcal{L}$) corresponds to a line bundle M_4 (resp. M_6) on S with action of the group μ_4 (resp. μ_6). We thus get maps

$$\rho_4 : \mu_4 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(M_4) = \mathbb{G}_m, \quad \rho_6 : \mu_6 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(M_6) \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$$

defining characters $\chi_4 \in \mathbb{Z}/(4)$ and $\chi_6 \in \mathbb{Z}/(6)$.

Lemma 2.1. *The pair (χ_4, χ_6) lies in $\mathbb{Z}/(12) \subset \mathbb{Z}/(4) \times \mathbb{Z}/(6)$.*

Proof. The construction of the pair (χ_4, χ_6) commutes with arbitrary base change on S , so it suffices to consider the case when S is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field, $S = \text{Spec}(k)$. We have to show that $\rho_4|_{\mu_2} = \rho_6|_{\mu_2}$. Write $k[[t]]$ for the completion of the local ring of \mathbb{A}_S^1 at $j = 1728$ and let $k[[z]]$ be the completion of the local ring of $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ at

the point corresponding to the curve $y^2 = x^3 + x$. Then the map $k[[t]] \rightarrow k[[z]]$ sends t to z^2 (with suitable choices of coordinates) and the action of μ_4 is given by $\zeta * z = \zeta^2 \cdot z$. Write $\mathcal{L}|_{k[[z]]} = k[[z]] \cdot e$ for some basis e . Then ρ_4 acts by $\zeta * e = \zeta^4 e$. From this we see that $\rho_4|_{\mu_2}$ is equal to the character defined by the action of μ_2 on the fiber of \mathcal{L} at the generic point of $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$. Similarly, $\rho_6|_{\mu_2}$ is equal to the action on the generic fiber. \square

We therefore obtain a map

$$(2.1.1) \quad \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(12), \quad \mathcal{L} \mapsto (\chi_4, \chi_6),$$

and it follows from the construction that this map is a homomorphism. Let K denote the kernel.

Recall that a Deligne-Mumford stack \mathcal{X} is called *tame* if for every algebraically closed field Ω and point $\bar{x} : \text{Spec}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ the order of the automorphism group of \bar{x} is relatively prime to the characteristic of Ω .

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{X} be a tame Deligne–Mumford stack with coarse moduli space $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on \mathcal{X} such that for every geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ the action of the stabilizer group $G_{\bar{x}}$ on $\mathcal{L}(\bar{x})$ is trivial. Then $\pi_*\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf on X and $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma after passing to the strict henselization of X at a geometric point \bar{x} . Let $A = \mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},\bar{x}}$. Then as explained in [8, 2.12] if Γ denotes the stabilizer group of \bar{x} then there is a natural action of Γ on B such that $\mathcal{X} = [\text{Spec}(B)/\Gamma]$. Let M be the free B -module with Γ -action of rank 1 defining \mathcal{L} . Since Γ has order invertible in $k(\bar{x})$ (since \mathcal{X} is tame) the representation category of Γ is semisimple. By our assumptions the reduction $M \otimes k(\bar{x})$ is generated by an invariant element and choosing a lifting to an invariant element of M we see that we can write $M = B \cdot e$ where Γ acts trivially on e . Then $\pi_*\mathcal{L}$ is just $A \cdot e$ and the lemma is immediate. \square

Corollary 2.3. *The homomorphism $\pi^* : \text{Pic}(\mathbb{A}_S^1) \rightarrow K$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We show that if \mathcal{L} is a line bundle with $(\chi_4, \chi_6) = (0, 0)$, then $\pi_*\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf on \mathbb{A}_S^1 and $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism. By 2.2 it suffices to show that for any geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ the action of the stabilizer group of \bar{x} on $\mathcal{L}(\bar{x})$ is trivial. For this we may assume that S is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field. By our assumptions the actions ρ_4 and ρ_6 are trivial. By the argument used in the proof of 2.1 this implies that the action of the generic stabilizer is also trivial. From this it follows that the action is trivial at every point since over $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0, 1728\}$ the stack $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ is a μ_2 -gerbe. \square

Lemma 2.4. *The image of λ in $\mathbb{Z}/(12)$ is a generator. In particular 2.1.1 is surjective.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case when S is the spectrum of a field in which case the above shows that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S})$ injects into $\mathbb{Z}/(12)$. We can in fact compute directly the image of λ in $\mathbb{Z}/(4) \times \mathbb{Z}/(6)$. The image in $\mathbb{Z}/(4)$ corresponds to the representation of μ_4 given by the action on the invariant differential $dx/2y$ of the curve $y^2 = x^3 + x$. An element $\zeta \in \mu_4$ acts by $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta^2 x, \zeta y)$ and therefore the action on $dx/2y$ is equal to multiplication by ζ . Therefore the image of λ in $\mathbb{Z}/(4)$ is equal to 1.

Similarly, the image of λ in $\mathbb{Z}/(6)$ corresponds to the character given by the invariant differential $dx/(2y+1)$ of the curve $y^2 + y = x^3$. Write $\mu_6 = \mu_2 \times \mu_3$. Then $(-1, 1)$ acts by $(x, y) \mapsto (x, -y - 1)$ and $(1, \zeta)$ acts by $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta x, y)$. Therefore $(-1, 1)$ acts on the invariant differential by multiplication by -1 and $(1, \zeta)$ acts by multiplication by ζ . It follows that λ maps to 1 in $\mathbb{Z}/(6)$ which implies that λ is a generator in $\mathbb{Z}/(12)$. \square

Corollary 2.5. *The map $\lambda \times \pi^* : (\mathbb{Z}/12) \times \text{Pic}(\mathbb{A}_S^1) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S})$ is an isomorphism.*

3. THE CASE OF A NORMAL AFFINE SCHEME S

Write $S = \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$ with Λ a normal ring. Let U be the scheme

$$(3.0.1) \quad U := \text{Spec}(\Lambda[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6][1/\Delta]),$$

where Δ is defined as in 1.0.3. The equation 1.0.1 defines a family of elliptic curves $E \rightarrow U$. Let G denote the group scheme with underlying scheme $\text{Spec}(\Lambda[u^\pm, r, s, t])$ with group law defined by

$$(3.0.2) \quad (u', r', s', t') \cdot (u, r, s, t) = (uu', u^2r' + r, us' + s, u^3t' + u^2r's + t).$$

Then $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ is isomorphic to the stack theoretic quotient $[U/G]$.

Proposition 3.1. *The pullback map*

$$(3.1.1) \quad \text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The key point is the following result of Ischebeck [5, §4].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ be a polynomial satisfying*

- (i) *The greatest common divisor of the coefficients of its nonconstant monomials is 1.*
- (ii) *For any field k the image of Δ in $k[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is irreducible.*

Then for any noetherian normal ring Λ , the pullback homomorphism

$$(3.2.1) \quad \text{Pic}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_n][1/\Delta])$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The assumptions are used as follows:

- (1) Assumption (i) implies that the map $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n][1/\Delta]) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective and hence faithfully flat. It follows that the map

$$(3.2.2) \quad \text{Spec}(\Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_n][1/\Delta]) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$$

is also faithfully flat.

- (2) By the preceding observation the divisor $V(\Delta) \subset \text{Spec}(\Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_n])$ does not contain any fibers, and its generic fiber is nonempty and irreducible. From this it follows that $V(\Delta)$ is irreducible.

It follows that there is an exact sequence of Weil divisor class groups [3, 1.8]

$$(3.2.3) \quad \mathbb{Z}[V(\Delta)] \xrightarrow{0} \text{Cl}(\Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_n]) \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(\Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_n][1/\Delta]) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We conclude that

$$(3.2.4) \quad \text{Cl}(\Lambda) \simeq \text{Cl}(\Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_n]) \simeq \text{Cl}(\Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_n][1/\Delta]).$$

The normality of Λ implies that the natural maps from the Picard groups to the Weil divisor class groups are injective. Thus it suffices to show that if $D \in \text{Cl}(\Lambda)$ is a Weil divisor whose image in $\text{Cl}(\Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_n][1/\Delta])$ is in the image of $\text{Pic}(\Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_n][1/\Delta])$ then D is obtained from a line bundle on $\text{Spec}(\Lambda)$. This follows from the observation that $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_n][1/\Delta]$ is faithfully flat [5, §4, Satz 6]. \square

We apply the lemma to $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6]$. Then (i) is immediate and (ii) follows from the calculations in [2, §3, §4] (note that though these sections concern characteristics prime to 6 the same calculations give the irreducibility of Δ over arbitrary fields). \square

The isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S} \simeq [U/G]$ defines a morphism $\sigma : \mathcal{M}_{1,1,S} \rightarrow BG$. For a character $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ defining a line bundle on BG let L_χ be the line bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ obtained by pull back along σ .

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ such that the pullback L of \mathcal{L} to U is trivial. Then $\mathcal{L} \simeq L_\chi$ for some character $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$.*

Proof. Fix a basis $e \in L$.

Let \mathcal{F} be the sheaf on the category of affine S -schemes (with the étale topology) which to any morphism of affine schemes $S' \rightarrow S$ associates $\Gamma(U_{S'}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{S'}}^*)$. There is an inclusion of sheaves $\mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathcal{F}$ given by the inclusions $\Gamma(S', \mathcal{O}_{S'}^*) \subset \Gamma(U_{S'}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{S'}}^*)$. For any $S' \rightarrow S$ and $g \in G(S')$, we get an element $u_g \in \mathcal{F}(S')$ defined by the condition that $g(e) = u_g \cdot e \in L$. This defines a map of sheaves (not necessarily a homomorphism)

$$(3.3.1) \quad f : G \rightarrow \mathcal{F}.$$

To prove the lemma it suffices to show that f has image contained in $\mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathcal{F}$ (note that it is clear that if this holds then the map $G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is a homomorphism).

Since G is an affine scheme the map f is determined by a section $u_0 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. Since G is normal and connected, this section $u_0 \in \Gamma(U_G, \mathcal{O}_{U_G}^*)$ can be written uniquely as $\beta \Delta^m$, where $\beta \in \Gamma(G, \mathcal{O}_G^*)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We need to show that $m = 0$. For this note that the image of u_0 under the map $\mathcal{F}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(S)$ defined by the identity section $e : S \rightarrow G$ is equal to 1. It follows that $e^*(\beta) \cdot \Delta^m$ is equal to 1 in $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U^*)$ which implies that $m = 0$. \square

By 3.1, if \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ then the pullback of \mathcal{L} to U is isomorphic to the pullback of a line bundle M on S . It follows that any line bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ is isomorphic to $M \otimes L_\chi$ for some character $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. More such a line bundle $M \otimes L_\chi$ is trivial if and only if M is trivial and L_χ is trivial.

Lemma 3.4. *Any homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ factors through the projection*

$$(3.4.1) \quad \chi_0 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m, \quad (u, r, s, t) \mapsto u.$$

Proof. There are three injective homomorphisms

$$(3.4.2) \quad j_r, j_s, j_t : \mathbb{G}_a \hookrightarrow G$$

sending $x \in \mathbb{G}_a$ to $(1, x, 0, 0)$, $(1, 0, x, 0)$, and $(1, 0, 0, x)$ respectively. The formula

$$(3.4.3) \quad (1, r, 0, 0)(1, 0, s, 0)(1, 0, 0, t - rs) = (1, r, s, t)$$

shows that the subgroup of G generated by the images of these three inclusions is equal to the kernel of χ_0 . Since any homomorphism $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is trivial, it follows that any homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ has kernel containing $\text{Ker}(\chi_0)$. \square

The line bundle λ is trivialized over U by the invariant differential π defined in 1.0.4 and as mentioned in the introduction the action of $(u, r, s, t) \in G$ on π is through the character $G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ sending (u, r, s, t) to u^{-1} . Putting all this together we find that 1.1.1 is surjective.

In fact, if $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is a character, a trivialization of L_χ is given by a unit $\theta \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U^*)$ such that for any $(u, r, s, t) \in G$ we have $(u, r, s, t) * \theta = \chi^{-1}(u, r, s, t)\theta$. Any unit θ on U can be written as $\beta\Delta^m$ for $\beta \in \Lambda^*$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$(3.4.4) \quad (u, r, s, t) * (\beta\Delta^m) = \beta u^{12m} \Delta^m.$$

It follows that L_χ is trivial if and only if $\chi = \chi_0^{12m}$, for some m .

This completes the proof of 1.1 in the case when S is affine and normal. \square

A very similar argument can be used to prove 1.3 in the case when the base scheme S is affine and normal. Let $c_4 = b_2^2 - 24b_4$. Then one can show (see for example [10, III.1.4]) that 1.0.1 is nodal precisely when $\Delta = 0$ and $c_4 \neq 0$. Let \tilde{U} denote

$$(3.4.5) \quad \text{Spec}(\Lambda[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]) - V(\Delta, c_4).$$

Again the group scheme G acts on \tilde{U} and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S} \simeq [\tilde{U}/G]$.

Lemma 3.5. (i) *The map*

$$(3.5.1) \quad \text{Pic}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{U})$$

is an isomorphism.

(ii) *The map $\Lambda^* \rightarrow \Gamma(\tilde{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}^*)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Statement (ii) is immediate. Statement (i) follows from a very similar argument to the proof of 3.1. The only new ingredient is that the polynomial c_4 is not irreducible over fields of characteristics 2 and 3 but it is a power of an irreducible polynomial (in characteristic 2 it is equal to a_1^4 and in characteristic 3 it is equal to $(a_1 + a_2)^2$). \square

Using this one sees as before that the map

$$(3.5.2) \quad \text{Pic}(\Lambda) \times \text{Pic}(BG) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S})$$

is an isomorphism with the character 3.4.1 mapping to λ^{-1} .

4. THE CASE WHEN S IS REDUCED

4.1. If S is an arbitrary scheme, and \mathcal{L} a line bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ then there is a unique function $s \mapsto l(s) \in \mathbb{Z}/(12)$ which associates to a point s the unique power $l(s)$ of λ such that $\mathcal{L}_s \otimes \lambda^{-l(s)}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k(s)}$ descends to $\mathbb{A}_{k(s)}^1$.

Lemma 4.2. *The function $s \mapsto l(s)$ is a locally constant function on S .*

Proof. The assertion is local on S so we may assume that S is affine. Furthermore, the assertion can be verified on each irreducible component so we may assume that S is integral. Finally if $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ is the normalization then it suffices to verify the assertion for \tilde{S} . In this case the result follows from section 3. \square

4.3. In particular if S is connected we obtain a homomorphism

$$(4.3.1) \quad \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(12)$$

sending λ to 1. Thus in general to prove 1.1 we need to show that the kernel of 4.3.1 is isomorphic to $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{A}_S^1)$.

Lemma 4.4. *For any locally noetherian scheme S , the map $\pi : \mathcal{M}_{1,1,S} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$ given by the j -invariant identifies \mathbb{A}_S^1 with the coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{M}_{1,1,S} \rightarrow X$ be the coarse moduli space (which exists by [6]). By the universal property of the coarse moduli space, there exists a unique morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_j^1$ such that $f \circ \tilde{\pi} = \pi$. Since π is proper and quasi-finite, the morphism f is also proper and quasi-finite and therefore f is finite. Furthermore, by [6] we have $\tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}} = \mathcal{O}_X$. It therefore suffices to show that the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_j^1} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}}$ is an isomorphism. It suffices to verify this locally in the flat topology on S , so we may further assume that S is the spectrum of a complete noetherian local ring A . In addition, since the morphism π is proper, the theorem on formal functions for stacks [9, §3] implies that it suffices to show the result over $\text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{m}_A^n)$ for all n . This reduces the proof to the case when S is the spectrum of an artinian local ring A . Let k be the residue field of A , and let $J \subset A$ be an ideal with J annihilated by the maximal ideal of A (so that J is a k -vector space). Set $A_0 := A/J$. Pushing forward the exact sequence

$$(4.4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow J \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,A_0}} \rightarrow 0$$

to \mathbb{A}_A^1 we obtain a commutative diagram

$$(4.4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}}) \otimes J & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,A}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,A_0}} \\ & & \uparrow a & & \uparrow b & & \uparrow c \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^1}) \otimes J & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_A^1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{A_0}^1} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By induction and the case when A is a field, we get that a and c are isomorphisms and therefore b is an isomorphism also. \square

4.5. To complete the proof of 1.1 in the case when S is reduced, we make some general observations about the relationship between line bundles on a stack and line bundles on the coarse moduli space.

Let S be a noetherian scheme and $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ a Deligne-Mumford stack over S . Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ be the coarse moduli space, and assume that the formation of the coarse space X commutes with arbitrary base change on S and that X is reduced (we just saw that this holds for $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ over a reduced scheme). For a field valued point $x : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow S$ let $\pi_x : \mathcal{X}_x \rightarrow X_x$ denote the base change $\mathcal{X} \times_S x \rightarrow X \times_S x$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let L be a line bundle on \mathcal{X} such that for every field valued point $x : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow S$ the sheaf $\pi_{x*}(L|_{\mathcal{X}_x})$ is locally free of rank 1 and $\pi_x^*\pi_{x*}(L|_{\mathcal{X}_x}) \rightarrow L|_{\mathcal{X}_x}$ is an isomorphism. If $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is flat, then the sheaf π_*L is locally free of rank 1 on X and $\pi^*\pi_*L \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. One immediately reduces to the case when $X = \text{Spec}(R)$, $Y = \text{Spec}(B)$ is a finite flat R scheme, Γ is a finite group acting on Y over X such that $\mathcal{X} = [Y/\Gamma]$ (indeed étale locally on the coarse space every Deligne-Mumford stack can be presented in this way [8, 2.12]). Let M denote the B -module corresponding to L , so that M comes equipped with an action of Γ over the action on B . We can even assume that R is a local ring and that M is a free R -module (forgetting the B -module structure). We are then trying to compute the kernel of the map

$$M \rightarrow \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} M, \quad m \mapsto (\cdots, \gamma(m) - m, \cdots)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}.$$

We can also assume that $S = \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$ is affine. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let R be a reduced local Λ -algebra and let $A \in M_{n \times m}(R)$ be a matrix (which we view as a map $R^n \rightarrow R^m$) with the property that for every $x \in \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$ the matrix $A(x) \in M_{n \times m}(R \otimes_{\Lambda} k(x))$ has kernel a free $R \otimes_{\Lambda} k(x)$ -space of rank 1. Then $\text{Ker}(A)$ is a free rank 1 module over R and for every $x \in \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$ the natural map $\text{Ker}(A) \otimes_{\Lambda} k(x) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(A(x))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By induction on n . If $n = 1$, then the assertion is that A is a matrix with $A(x)$ the zero matrix for all $x \in \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$. Since R is reduced this implies that A is the zero matrix.

For the inductive step consider the system of m equations

$$\sum_i a_{ij} X_i = 0$$

that we are trying to solve in R . If $x \in \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$ is the image of the closed point of $\text{Spec}(R)$, then $A(x)$ is not zero since $n \geq 2$. Since R is local some a_{ij} is invertible and so we can solve for the variable X_i . This gives a system of $m - 1$ -equations in $n - 1$ variables which again has the property that for every point $x \in \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$ the image in $R \otimes k(x)$ has a unique line of solutions. By induction we obtain the result. □

This completes the proof of (1.1 (i)).

5. PROOF OF (1.1 (II))

Proposition 5.1. *For any scheme S over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ and any coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module M , the sheaf $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} M)$ is zero, where $\pi : \mathcal{M}_{1,1,S} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{j,S}^1$ is the projection.*

Proof. Using the theorem of formal functions one is reduced to the case when S is the spectrum of a field. Furthermore, if the characteristic is not 3 the result is immediate, so it suffices to consider $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ with $\text{char}(k) = 3$, and $M = k$. We may further assume that k is algebraically closed.

The coherent sheaf $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}})$ restrict to the zero sheaf on $\mathbb{A}_k^1 - \{0\}$, since over this open subset of \mathbb{A}_k^1 the stack $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}$ is tame (the automorphism groups are $\{\pm 1\}$). Let $\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}$ be a geometric point mapping to 0 in \mathbb{A}_k^1 , and let A denote the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k},\bar{x}}$ along the maximal ideal. Let $\Gamma_{\bar{x}}$ denote the stabilizer group scheme of \bar{x} , so that $\Gamma_{\bar{x}}$ acts on A . The ring of invariants $B := A^{\Gamma_{\bar{x}}}$ is equal to the completion of \mathbb{A}_k^1 at the origin. Let F denote the finite type B -module obtained by pulling back $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}})$ to $\text{Spec}(B)$. Then F is equal to the cohomology group $H^1(\Gamma_{\bar{x}}, A)$. We show that this group is zero. Since F is supported on the closed point of $\text{Spec}(B)$, there exists an integer n such that $j^n F = 0$ (where $j \in B$ is the uniformizer defined by the standard coordinate on \mathbb{A}^1). To prove the proposition it therefore suffices to show that F is j -torsion free.

For this we use an explicit description of A and $\Gamma_{\bar{x}}$ given by the Legendre family. Let

$$(5.1.1) \quad V = \text{Spec}(k[\lambda][1/\lambda(\lambda - 1)])$$

and let $E_V \rightarrow V$ be the elliptic curve

$$(5.1.2) \quad E_V : Y^2Z = X(X - Z)(X - \lambda Z).$$

If μ denotes $\lambda + 1$, then the j -invariant of E_V is equal to $\mu^6/(\mu^2 - 1)^2$ (recall that $\text{char}(k) = 3$). The map $V \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}$ defined by E_V is étale, so this defines an isomorphism $A \simeq k[[\mu]]$. The group $\Gamma_{\bar{x}}$ sits in an exact sequence

$$(5.1.3) \quad 1 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow 1,$$

and the action of $\Gamma_{\bar{x}}$ on $A \simeq k[[\mu]]$ factors through the action of S_3 on $k[[\mu]]$ given by the two automorphisms

$$(5.1.4) \quad \alpha : \mu \mapsto -\mu,$$

and

$$(5.1.5) \quad \beta : \mu \mapsto \mu/(1 - \mu) = \mu(1 + \mu + \mu^2 + \cdots).$$

Also note that the Leray spectral sequence

$$(5.1.6) \quad E_2^{pq} = H^p(S_3, H^q(\{\pm 1\}, A)) \implies H^{p+q}(\Gamma_{\bar{x}}, A)$$

and the fact that $H^q(\{\pm 1\}, A) = 0$ for $q > 0$ (since 2 is invertible in k) implies that $H^1(\Gamma_{\bar{x}}, A) = H^1(S_3, A)$.

An element in $H^1(S_3, A)$ can be represented by a set map $\xi : S_3 \rightarrow k[[\mu]]$ (written $\sigma \mapsto \xi_\sigma$) such that for $\sigma, \tau \in S_3$ we have (recall the action is a right action)

$$(5.1.7) \quad \xi_{\sigma\tau} = \xi_\sigma^\tau + \xi_\tau.$$

The class of ξ is trivial if there exists an element $g \in k[[\mu]]$ such that $\xi_\sigma = g^\sigma - g$ for all $\sigma \in S_3$. Note that 5.1.7 implies that it suffices to check the equalities $\xi_\sigma = g^\sigma - g$ for a set of generators $\sigma \in S_3$.

If ξ represents a class in $H^1(S_3, A)$ annihilated by j , there exists an element $g \in k[[\mu]]$ such that

$$(5.1.8) \quad \frac{\mu^6}{(\mu^2 - 1)^2} \xi_\sigma = g^\sigma - g$$

for all $\sigma \in S_3$. To prove that $H^1(S_3, A)$ is j -torsion free, it therefore suffices to show that for such a ξ we can choose g to have μ -adic valuation ≥ 6 (since A is j -torsion free).

For this note that we can without loss of generality assume that g has no constant term, and then write

$$(5.1.9) \quad g = a_1\mu + a_2\mu^2 + a_3\mu^3 + a_4\mu^4 + a_5\mu^5 + g_{\geq 6},$$

where $g_{\geq 6}$ has μ -adic valuation ≥ 6 . We have

$$(5.1.10) \quad \frac{\mu^6}{(\mu^2 - 1)^2} \xi_\alpha = 2a_1\mu + 2a_3\mu^3 + 2a_5\mu^5 + (g_{\geq 6}^\alpha - g_{\geq 6})$$

which implies that $a_1 = a_3 = a_5 = 0$. Then

$$(5.1.11) \quad \frac{\mu^6}{(\mu^2 - 1)^2} \xi_\beta = 2a_2\mu^3 + (\text{higher order terms})$$

which gives $a_2 = 0$. Finally using this we see that

$$(5.1.12) \quad \frac{\mu^6}{(\mu^2 - 1)^2} \xi_\beta = a_4\mu^5 + (\text{higher order terms})$$

which implies that $a_4 = 0$ as desired. This completes the proof of 5.1. \square

5.2. Now let us prove 1.1 for a connected $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ -scheme S . We need to show that if L is a line bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,S}$ such that for any field valued point $s \in S$ the fiber L_s on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,s}$ descends to $\mathbb{A}_{j,s}^1$ then L descends to $\mathbb{A}_{j,S}^1$. By a standard limit argument it suffices to consider the case when S is noetherian and even affine, say $S = \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$. Let $J \subset \Lambda$ denote the nilradical. We would like to inductively show that if the result holds for over Λ/J^r then it also holds for Λ/J^{r+1} . In other words, let L_0 denote a line bundle on $\mathbb{A}_{j,\Lambda/J^r}^1$ and \tilde{L} a lifting of π^*L_0 to $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,\Lambda/J^{r+1}}$. Then we want to show that \tilde{L} is pulled back from a lifting of L_0 to $\mathbb{A}_{j,\Lambda/J^{r+1}}^1$. By standard deformation theory this is equivalent to showing that the map

$$0 = H^1(\mathbb{A}_{j,\Lambda}^1, J^r/J^{r+1}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,\Lambda}, J^r/J^{r+1})$$

is an isomorphism. Equivalently that $H^1(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,\Lambda}, J^r/J^{r+1})$ is zero. Since $\mathbb{A}_{j,\Lambda}^1$ is affine, the group $H^1(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,\Lambda}, J^r/J^{r+1})$ is zero if and only if the sheaf $R^1\pi_*(J^r/J^{r+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,\Lambda}})$ is zero on $\mathbb{A}_{j,\Lambda}^1$ which follows from 5.1. This completes the proof of 1.1. \square

6. COMPUTATIONS IN CHARACTERISTIC 2

Proposition 6.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic 2, and let $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be the morphism defined by the j -invariant. Then $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}}$ is a line bundle on \mathbb{P}_k^1 of negative degree.*

Proof. We may without loss of generality assume that k is algebraically closed.

Let $\mathcal{U}_\infty \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}$ denote the open substack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k} \times_{\mathbb{P}_j^1} \mathbb{A}_{1/j}^1$ (the complement of $j = 0$), and let $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{M}_{1,1,k} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}$ denote the complement of $j = \infty$. Let $U_\infty, U_0 \subset \mathbb{P}_j^1$ be the coarse moduli spaces (the standard open cover of \mathbb{P}_j^1).

The stack \mathcal{U}_∞ is a $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ -gerbe over U_∞ . Now in general, if $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow X$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ -gerbe in characteristic 2, the sheaf $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is locally free of rank 1 and in fact canonically trivialized. This can be seen as follows. Etale locally on X , we have $\mathcal{G} = X \times B(\mathbb{Z}/(2))$. Computing in this local situation, one sees that $R^1 f_*(\mathbb{Z}/(2))$ is a locally constant sheaf of groups étale locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$, and the natural map $R^1 f_*(\mathbb{Z}/(2)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/(2)} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ (which exists since we are in characteristic 2) is an isomorphism. Since a group of order 2 admits no nontrivial automorphisms there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/(2) \simeq R^1 f_*(\mathbb{Z}/(2))$ which induces a canonical trivialization of $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$. In the case of $\mathcal{G} = X \times B(\mathbb{Z}/(2))$ and $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ we have

$$H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Gp}}(\mathbb{Z}/(2), A)$$

and the trivialization is given by the homomorphism sending $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/(2)$ to $1 \in A$.

Lemma 6.2. *The sheaf $R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}}$ is locally free of rank 1 on \mathbb{P}_j^1 .*

Proof. By finiteness of coherent cohomology for stacks the sheaf is in any case coherent. Since \mathbb{P}_j^1 is a smooth curve it therefore suffices to show that it is torsion free. Furthermore, the only issue is at the point $j = 0$. Since the formation of cohomology commutes with flat base change, it suffices to show that

$$(6.2.1) \quad H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k} \times_{\mathbb{P}_j^1} \text{Spec}(k[[j]]), \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k} \times_{\mathbb{P}_j^1} \text{Spec}(k[[j]])})$$

is j -torsion free.

For this we use the so-called Hesse presentation of $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}$. Let

$$(6.2.2) \quad V = \text{Spec}(k[\mu, \omega][1/(\mu^3 - 1)]/(\omega^2 + \omega + 1)),$$

and let $E_V \rightarrow V$ be the elliptic curve given by the equation

$$(6.2.3) \quad X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3 = \mu XYZ.$$

This elliptic curve has a basis for its three-torsion group given by the points $[1 : 0 : -1]$ and $[-1 : \omega : 0]$. In fact, this is the universal elliptic curve with full level three structure. The j -invariant of E_V is $\mu^{12}/(\mu^3 - 1)^3$ (since we are in characteristic 2). In particular, the fiber over $j = 0$ is the curve $X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3 = 0$.

Changing the choice of basis for the 3-torsion subgroup defined an action of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ on V such that $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k} \simeq [V/GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)]$. A calculation shows that this action is described as follows:

- (1) $(\mu, \omega) * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\omega\mu, \omega).$
- (2) $(\mu, \omega) * \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\mu/(\mu - 1), \omega).$
- (3) $(\mu, \omega) * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = (\mu, \omega^2).$

Putting this together one finds that

$$(6.2.4) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathrm{Spec}(k[[j]]) \simeq [\mathrm{Spec}(k[[\mu]])/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)],$$

where $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts by $\mu \mapsto \zeta\mu$ (for some fixed primitive cube root of unity ζ) and $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ acts by $\mu \mapsto \mu/(\mu - 1)$.

As in the proof of 5.1, an element of 6.2.1 is given by a set map $\xi : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \rightarrow k[[\mu]]$ (written $\sigma \mapsto \xi_\sigma$) such that for any two elements $\sigma, \tau \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ we have

$$\xi_{\sigma\tau} = \xi_\sigma^\tau + \xi_\tau,$$

and the class of ξ is trivial if there exists an element $g \in k[[\mu]]$ such that for every σ we have $\xi_\sigma = g^\sigma - g$.

Now if 6.2.1 has j -torsion there exists a set map ξ as above and an element $g \in k[[\mu]]$ such that for all σ we have

$$\frac{\mu^{12}}{\mu^3 - 1} \xi_\sigma = g^\sigma - g.$$

To prove that 6.2.1 is torsion free it suffices to show that we can choose g to be divisible by μ^{12} . For since $k[[\mu]]$ is an integral domain we then have

$$\xi_\sigma = \left(\frac{\mu^3 - 1}{\mu^{12}} g\right)^\sigma - \left(\frac{\mu^3 - 1}{\mu^{12}} g\right).$$

We can without loss of generality assume that g has no constant term. Write

$$g = a_1\mu + a_2\mu^2 + \cdots + a_{11}\mu^{11} + g_{\geq 12}.$$

Then $g^\alpha - g$ has μ -adic valuation ≥ 12 (recall that $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$). Looking at the coefficients a_i this implies that all but a_3 , a_6 , and a_9 are zero, so

$$g = a_3\mu^3 + a_6\mu^6 + a_9\mu^9 + g_{\geq 12}.$$

Similarly $g^\beta - g$ has μ -adic valuation ≥ 12 . Looking at the coefficient of μ^4 in $g^\beta - g$ one sees that $a_3 = 0$. Then looking at the coefficient of μ^8 one sees that $a_6 = 0$, and finally looking at the coefficient of μ^{10} one sees that $a_9 = 0$. \square

Let M denote the cohomology group 6.2.1 (a $k[[j]]$ -module) and let M_η denote $M \otimes_{k[[j]]} k[[j]][1/j]$. Let $e_\infty \in M_\eta$ denote the basis element defined by the canonical trivialization of $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}}$ over \mathcal{U}_∞ . The lattice $M \subset M_\eta$ defines a valuation ν on M_η and it suffices to show that $\nu(e_\infty) < 0$. Equivalently we have to show that for any element $m \in M$ if we write $m = he_\infty$ in M_η then the j -adic valuation of h is positive.

For this we again use the presentation 6.2.4. An element $m \in M$ is then represented by a map $\xi : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \rightarrow k[[\mu]]$. The corresponding element in M_η can in terms of the basis e_∞ be described as follows. First of all the element $\xi_{\beta^2} \in k[[\mu]]$ is then $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ -invariant since for any other element σ we have

$$\xi_{\beta^2}^\sigma + \xi_\sigma = \xi_{\beta^2\sigma} = \xi_{\sigma\beta^2} = \xi_\sigma^{\beta^2} + \xi_{\beta^2}$$

and β^2 acts trivially on $k[[\mu]]$. Therefore ξ_{β^2} is actually an element in $k[[j]]$. The image of ξ in $M_\eta \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/(2), k[[j]][1/j])$ is then equal to the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/(2) \rightarrow k[[j]][1/j], \quad 1 \mapsto \xi_{\beta^2}.$$

The class e_∞ corresponds to the homomorphism sending 1 to 1 so we have to show that the j -adic valuation of ξ_{β^2} is positive. For this let $f = \xi_\beta$. Then

$$\xi_{\beta^2} = f^\beta + f = f(\mu(1 + \mu + \mu^2 + \cdots)) + f(\mu).$$

Since we are in characteristic 2 it follows that the μ -adic valuation of ξ_{β^2} is at least 2, and therefore the j -adic valuation of ξ_{β^2} is also positive. \square

Corollary 6.3. *For any field k , we have $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}}) = 0$.*

Proof. We have $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}} = 0$ when $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ (when $\text{char}(k) = 3$ this follows from section 5). It follows that

$$(6.3.1) \quad H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^1, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}}) = 0$$

in all characteristics. From the Leray spectral sequence we obtain

$$(6.3.2) \quad H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}}) = H^1(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}) = 0.$$

\square

Remark 6.4. Note that if $\text{char}(k) = 2$, then the restriction of $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}}$ to $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is non-zero. From the Leray spectral sequence it follows that the map

$$(6.4.1) \quad 0 = H^1(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^1}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}})$$

is *not* an isomorphism. Since the group $H^1(\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k}})$ classifies deformations of the structure sheaf to $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)}$ this implies that there are line bundles on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1,k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)}$ which are in the kernel of 4.3.1 but are nontrivial. This implies that 1.1 fails for $S = \text{Spec}(k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2))$. More generally, 1.1 fails for any nonreduced affine scheme over \mathbb{F}_2 .

7. PROOF OF 1.3

7.1. In order to prove 1.3 it is easiest to prove a stronger statement that implies it. Let $\mathcal{P}ic(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S})$ denote the Picard stack over S which to any S -scheme T associates the group of line bundles on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,T}$. By [1, 5.1], the stack $\mathcal{P}ic(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S})$ is an algebraic stack (an Artin stack) over S . There is a morphism of stacks

$$(7.1.1) \quad \mathbb{Z} \times BG_{m,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S})$$

sending a pair (n, L) consisting of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and L a line bundle on S to $\lambda^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} L$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S}$. The following theorem implies 1.3 by passing evaluating both sides of 7.1.1 on S and passing to isomorphism classes.

Theorem 7.2. *The morphism 7.1.1 is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Note first that if n and n' are integers and L and L' are line bundles on S , then $\lambda^n \otimes L$ and $\lambda^{n'} \otimes L'$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S}$ are isomorphic if and only if $n = n'$ and $L \simeq L'$. Indeed, if these two sheaves are isomorphic, then this implies that $\lambda^{n-n'}$ descends to \mathbb{P}_j^1 . By the case of a field this implies that $n = n'$. In this case we recover L and L' from their pullbacks to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S}$ by pushing back down to S . Therefore, the functor 7.1.1 is fully faithful. It therefore suffices to show that for any cartesian diagram

$$(7.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow L \\ \mathbb{Z} \times BG_m & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}ic_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S}} \end{array}$$

the morphism of algebraic spaces $P \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism. For this it suffices to consider the case when S is artinian local. Furthermore, we know the result in the case when S is the spectrum of a field by section 3. Since a line bundle on the spectrum of an artinian local ring is trivial, what we therefore need to show is that if S is an artinian local ring then any line bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,S}$ is isomorphic to λ^n for some n . Proceeding by induction on the length of S , it further suffices to consider the following. Let $S = \text{Spec}(A)$, k the residue field of A , and let $J \subset A$ be a square-zero ideal annihilated by the maximal ideal of A , and set $A_0 = A/J$. Then any deformation of λ^n over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,A_0}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,A}$ is isomorphic to λ^n . Using the exponential sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,A}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,A_0}}^* \rightarrow 0$$

one sees that this amounts exactly to $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1,k}}) = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aoki, *Hom stacks*, preprint (2005), available from Arxiv.
- [2] P. Deligne, *Courbes elliptiques: formulaire d'après J. Tate*, in *Modular functions of one variable, IV* (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), Lecture Notes in Math **476** (1975), 53-75.
- [3] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second edition, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [4] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik **39**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2000).
- [5] F. Ischebeck, *Über die Abbildung $\text{Pic}A \rightarrow \text{Pic}A_f$* , Math. Ann. **243** (1979), 237-245.
- [6] S. Keel and S. Mori, *Quotients by groupoids*, Ann. of Math. **145** (1997), 193-213.
- [7] D. Mumford, *Picard groups of moduli problems*, in *Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry* (Proc. Conf. Purdue Univ., 1963), Harper and Row, 33-81.
- [8] M. Olsson, *Hom-stacks and restriction of scalars*, Duke Math. J. **134** (2006), 139-164.
- [9] M. Olsson, *On proper coverings of Artin stacks*, Advances in Mathematics **198** (2005), 93-106
- [10] J. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106**, Springer-Verlag, 1985.