



Recall We showed E not an interval $\Rightarrow E$ not connected

Step 2 Assume E is an interval and E is not connected (to be contradicted)

Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be open sets as in def.; $a \in A \cap E$, $b \in B \cap E$.

Then $[a, b] \subseteq E$

$[a, b] \cap A$ is a nonempty set with lower bound a and upper bound b .

Let $t = \sup([a, b] \cap A)$. Then $a \leq t \leq b$.

- There is a sequence (s_n) in $[a, b] \cap A$ converging to t .
 - Since $s_n \in E \cap A$, $s_n \notin B$, and (s_n) is a sequence in $\mathbb{R} \setminus B$, a closed set. Since $s_n \rightarrow t$, $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus B$.

- But $t \neq b$, so $t < b$. Define $x_n = t + \frac{b-t}{n}$.

Then $a \leq t < x_n \leq b$, and $x_n \rightarrow t$.

Since $b \in x_n$, $x_n \notin [a, b] \cap A$, so $x_n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A$, a closed set.

Thus $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A$. So $t \notin A \cup B$, but $t \in E$, a contradiction. $\square$

Note The proof is identical if we use $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ as the ambient space, rather than $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Note $\mathbb{R}$ is connected. Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is open and closed.

Then $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus A$ is open; $\mathbb{R} = A \cup B$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

So either $A \cap \mathbb{R} = A$ or $B \cap \mathbb{R} = B$ is empty.

i.e. $A = \emptyset$ or $A = \mathbb{R}$.

Thm Let $f: S \rightarrow S^*$ be continuous. If $E \subseteq S$ is connected, then $f(E)$ is connected.

Proof Assume $f(E)$ is not connected. Let A, B be disjoint open sets as in the definition. Then $f^{-1}(A)$, $f^{-1}(B)$ are open sets in S .

$E \subseteq f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ ($x \in E$, $f(x) \in A \cup B$, $f(x) \in A$ or $f(x) \in B$)

$E \cap f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B) = \emptyset$ (if $x \in E$, $f(x) \in f(A)$, $f(x) \notin f(A) \cap f(B)$)

$E \cap f^{-1}(A) \neq \emptyset$ ($f(E) \cap A \neq \emptyset$)

$E \cap f^{-1}(B) \neq \emptyset$

Cor 1 Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, $E \subseteq S$ connected.
 Then $f(E)$ is an interval. In particular,
 if $a, b \in E$, y b/w $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ then there exists
 $x \in E$ such that $f(x) = y$.

Proof ~~Sketch~~ as $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are intervals; if $f(a) < y < f(b)$,
 $f(a), f(b) \in f(E)$ so $y \in f(E)$.

Lemma (IVT) $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an interval, $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ contin, $a, b \in E$ and
 y b/w $f(a)$ and $f(b)$, then there is $x \in (a, b)$ such that $f(x) = y$.

Proof Let $a, b \in E$, $[a, b] \subseteq E$; and note $x \in [a, b]$.
 $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is contin, and $[a, b]$ is connected, so $f([a, b])$
 is an interval, and $y \in f([a, b])$. $\Rightarrow y = f(x) = f(x \in [a, b])$.

Compactness let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

An open cover of E is a collection $\mathcal{C}$ of open sets
 such that for each $x \in E$, $x \in U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{C}$.
 i.e. $E \subseteq \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} U$

A finite subcover of $\mathcal{C}$ is a subset $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}' = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$
 such that which is still a cover of E ;
 i.e. if $x \in E$, $x \in U_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$.
 i.e. $E \subseteq U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$

Defn $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact if every open cover $\mathcal{C}$ of E
 has a finite subcover.

Example $\mathcal{C} = \{(1, 2), (\frac{1}{2}, 2), (\frac{1}{3}, 2), \dots, (\frac{1}{n}, 2), \dots\}$
 is an open cover of $E = (0, 2)$.

But a finite subcover of $\mathcal{C}$ is of the form $\mathcal{C}' = \{(\frac{1}{n_1}, 2), \dots, (\frac{1}{n_k}, 2)\}$
 for $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$. Then $\frac{1}{n_k} \in (0, 2)$, but not
 in any of the sets in $\mathcal{C}'$, so $\mathcal{C}$ has no finite subcover.
 E is not compact.

(Note (0,2) has finite cover, ~~finite~~ on $\{(0,2)\}$,
 or $\{(-\infty,1) \cup (0,\infty)\}$
 or $\{\mathbb{R}\}$.

(But the space on C is not finite subcover.)

C is not an open cover of $[0,2]$; 0,2 are not elem of an $U \in C$. We have to add open sets!

$$D = C \cup \{(-1,1), (1,3)\}.$$

Then $\{(-1,1), (1,2), (1,3)\} \subseteq D$ is a finite subcover of $[0,2]$.

We can easily prove that $\mathbb{R}$ is not S.C. with this def.

Th Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be cont, $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ compact (Prop 21.4)

Th ~~Th~~

- 1) $f(E)$ is compact
- 2) f is uniformly continuous.

Prop 13.12
 13.13

Th If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact, it is closed and bounded (easy)
Th If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and bounded, it is compact (Heine)

But also, it turns out (in a more general setting)

Th E is compact $\iff$ E is closed and E is sequentially compact
 (sequ $\Rightarrow$ S.C. is reasonable
 S.C. $\Rightarrow$ compact is harder)

