

1) Ross 3.3

Theorem 3.1

iv) By iii, and commutativity,

$$(-a)(-b) = - (a(-b)) = - (- (ab))$$

$$ab + (-ab) = 0 = - (-ab) + (-ab)$$

So by i,  $ab = -(-ab)$ .

v) Since  $c \neq 0$ ,  $c^{-1}$  exists.

$$(ac)c^{-1} = (bc)c^{-1} \quad \text{so}$$

$$a(cc^{-1}) = b(cc^{-1}) \quad \text{by associativity.}$$

$$a(1) = b(1) \quad \text{so}$$

$$a = b.$$

### Theorem 3.2

(v)  $l = l^3$ , so  $0 \leq l$  by iv.

If  $0 = l$ , then  $l + 0 = l + l$ , so

$l = l + l$ . But we have an  
axiom that  $l \neq n+l$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  
and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $0 \neq l$ , and  $0 < l$ .

vi) Since  $a > 0$ ,  $a^{-1} > 0$ , and  
so  $a < b$  implies  $a a^{-1} < b a^{-1}$ ,  
or  $1 < b a^{-1}$ . Similarly,  $0 < b^{-1}$   
and  $b^{-1} < b^{-1} b a^{-1} = a^{-1}$ .

2) Ross 3.5 a)

$$|b| \leq a \text{ implies } -a \leq b \leq a$$

Assume  $|b| \leq a$ .

Note  $0 \leq |b| \leq a$ , and so  $-a \leq 0$ .

Either  $b \geq 0$  or  $b < 0$ .

If  $b \geq 0$ , then  $-a \leq 0 \leq b = |b| \leq a$ .

If  $b < 0$   $-a \leq -|b| = b \leq 0 \leq a$ .

Either way,  $-a \leq b \leq a$ .

$$-a \leq b \leq a \text{ implies } |b| \leq a$$

Assume  $-a \leq b \leq a$ .

If  $b \geq 0$ ,  $|b| = b \leq a$ .

If  $b < 0$ ,  $|b| = -b \leq -(-a) = a$ .

Either way,  $|b| \leq a$ .

Ross 3, 5 b)

$$|a| = |a + b - b| \leq |a + b| + |b|$$

$$\text{so } |a| - |b| \leq |a + b|$$

$$|b| = |b + a - a| \leq |b + a| + |a|$$

$$\text{so } |b| - |a| \leq |a + b|$$

$$\text{since } ||a| - |b|| = |a| - |b| \text{ or } |b| - |a|,$$

$$||a| - |b|| \leq |a + b|.$$

## Ross 4.13

(iii) is the definition of (iii), so (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. We show that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii).

$$|a-b| < c \Rightarrow b - c < a < b + c$$

Assume  $|a-b| < c$ . Using Ross 3.5a, we know that  $-c < a-b < c$ .

$$\text{so } b - c < a < b + c.$$

If  $a = b + c$  then  $|a-b| = |c| = c$

If  $a = b - c$  then  $|a-b| = |-c| = c$

Since those equalities are false,

$$b - c < a < b + c$$

(here we use  $c > |a-b| \geq 0$ )

$$b - c < a < b + c \Rightarrow |a-b| < c$$

Assume  $b - c < a < b + c$ .

Then  $-c < a - b < c$ , and by Ross 3.5a)

$$|a-b| \leq c$$

If  $|a-b|=c$  then either  $a-b=c$

or  $-(a-b) = c$  so  $a-b = -c$ .  
Neither equality is true so  $|a-b| < c$ .

3) a) If  $n=1$ ,  $|a_1| = |g_1|$  is immediate.

Now assume  $|a_1 + \dots + a_n| \leq |a_1| + \dots + |a_n|$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by the triangle inequality

$$|(a_1 + \dots + a_n) + a_{n+1}| \leq |a_1 + \dots + a_n| + |a_{n+1}|$$

and using our assumption we get

$$|a_1 + \dots + a_n + a_{n+1}| \leq (|a_1| + \dots + |a_n|) + |a_{n+1}|.$$

b) By the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |a_1| &= |(g_1 + \dots + g_n) - (g_2 + \dots + g_n)| \\ &\leq |g_1 + \dots + g_n| + |g_2 + \dots + g_n| \end{aligned}$$

and by part a)

$$|g_2 + \dots + g_n| \leq |g_2| + \dots + |g_n| \quad \text{so}$$

$$|g_1 + \dots + g_n| \geq |g_1| - |g_2| - \dots - |g_n|.$$

4) Ross 3.8

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{If } b < a \text{ then } 2b < a+b < 2a \\ \text{so } b < \frac{a+b}{2} < a \end{array}$$

Thus setting  $b_1 = \frac{a+b}{2}$  we see

that  $b_1 > b$  but  $b_1 < a$ .

So if  $b_1 \geq a$  for all  $b_1 > b$  it must be  
the case that  $b \geq a$ .

5) Ross 9.7

a)  $\inf S$ ,  $\inf T_j$ ,  $\sup S$ ,  $\sup T$  all exist by the completeness axiom.

If  $r \in S$ , then  $r \in T_j$ , so  $\inf T \leq r$ . Thus  $\inf T$  is a lower bound for  $S_j$  and  $\inf T \leq \inf S$ .

Since  $S_j$  is nonempty, there exists  $r \in S_j$  and  $\inf S \leq r \leq \sup S_j$ , so  $\inf S \leq \sup S_j$ .

If  $r \in S$ ,  $r \in T_j$ , so  $r \in \sup T$ . Thus  $\sup T$  is an upper bound for  $S$  and  $\sup S \leq \sup T$ .

b) If  $r \in S \cup T_j$ , either  $r \in S$ , so  $r \leq \sup S \leq \max\{\sup S_j, \sup T\}$ , or  $r \in T_j$ , so  $r \leq \sup T \leq \max\{\sup S_j, \sup T\}$ . So  $\max\{\sup S_j, \sup T\}$  is an upper bound for  $S \cup T_j$ . If  $M$  is an upper bound for  $S \cup T_j$ , it is an upper bound for  $S_j$  and thus  $M \geq \sup S_j$ .

$m$  is also an upper bound for

$T_j$  so  $M \geq \sup T$ . Thus

Thus  $M \geq \max \{ \sup S_j, \sup T \}$ . This

proves  $\max \{ \sup S, \sup T \} = \sup (S \cup T)$ .

b) Ross 4.8

a) Any element of  $T$  is an upper bound for  $S$ .  
Since  $T$  is nonempty, it has elements, and  
 $S$  is bounded above.

Any element of  $S$  is a lower bound for  $T$ .  
Since  $S$  is nonempty, it has elements, and  
 $T$  is bounded below.

b) Since  $S$  is nonempty and bounded above,  
it has a supremum.  
Since  $T$  is nonempty and bounded below,  
it has an infimum.

If  $t \in T$ ,  $t$  is an upper bound  
for  $S$ , so  $\sup S \leq t$ . Thus  
 $\sup S$  is a lower bound for  $T$ , and  
 $\sup S \leq \inf T$ .

c)  $S = [0, 1]$  and  $T = [1, 2]$ ,

d)  $S = (0, 1)$  and  $T = (1, 2)$ .

7) By definition,  $a$  is an upper bound

for  $\{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r < a\}$ .

If  $b < a$ , since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

there exists  $r_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $b < r_0 < a$ .

Then  $r_0 \in \{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r < a\}$ , so  $b$

is not an upper bound for  $\{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r > a\}$ .

Thus  $a = \sup \{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r < a\}$ .