

Math 55 Fall '12  
HW 7 Solutions

4.4 #26. To solve  $x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ ,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$ ,  $x \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$ , we'll rewrite these congruences modulo the pairwise relatively prime moduli 2, 3 and 5. By CRT,  $x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  is equivalent to  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . Similarly,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$  is equivalent to  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ , and  $x \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$  is equivalent to  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ . These congruences are consistent with each other (if they had not been, it would mean there is no solution), and reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\x &\equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\x &\equiv 3 \pmod{5}.\end{aligned}$$

Using either fundamental solutions or back-substitution we discover that the solution is

$$x \equiv 23 \pmod{30}.$$

4.4 #38 a) By Fermat,

$$3^{302} \equiv 3^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

$$3^{302} \equiv 3^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$$

$$3^{302} \equiv 3^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{11}$$

b) By CRT and part (a),  $3^{302} \equiv 9 \pmod{385}$

4.4 #46 we are to show that for all  $x$  relatively prime to 1729, we have  $x^{1728} \equiv 1 \pmod{1729}$ . Now,  $1729 = 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19$ , and by Fermat, we have  $x^{1728} = x^{6 \cdot 288} \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ ,  $x^{1728} = x^{12 \cdot 144} \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$ ,  $x^{1728} = x^{18 \cdot 96} \equiv 1 \pmod{19}$ . Hence, by CRT,  $x^{1728} \equiv 1 \pmod{1729}$ . This holds for all  $x$  not divisible by 7, 13, or 19, i.e. for all  $x$  rel. prime to 1729.

Now we'll apply Miller's test with base  $x=2$ . Since  $1728 = 2^6 \cdot 27$ , we should calculate  $2^{27}, 2^{54}, 2^{108}, \dots, 2^{1728} \pmod{1729}$ :  
 $2^{27} \equiv 645, 2^{54} \equiv 645^2 \equiv 1065, 2^{108} \equiv 1065^2 \equiv 1,$   
 $2^{216} \equiv 1, 2^{432} \equiv 1, 2^{864} \equiv 1, 2^{1728} \equiv 1.$

Since the sequence

$$645, 1065, 1, 1, 1, 1$$

does not end with  $-1, 1, \dots, 1 \pmod{1729}$  [note  $1065 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{1729}$ ], the number 1729 failed Miller's test, and we see from this that it is not prime, even though it passes Fermat's test (being a Carmichael number).

4.4 #54 "Primitive root" means that  $2^{18}$  is the smallest power  $\equiv 1 \pmod{19}$ . One way to verify this is calculate all powers  $2^0, 2^1, \dots, 2^{17} \pmod{19}$ . A more clever way is to observe that if  $2^m \equiv 1 \pmod{19}$  for some  $m < 18$ , the smallest such  $m$  divides 18, and it follows that we must have  $2^l \equiv 1$  for one of the 'maximal' divisors  $l=9$  or  $l=6$  of 18, which have no other divisors of 18 as multiples, except 18 itself. But  $2^6 \equiv 64 \equiv 7 \pmod{19}$ , and  $2^9 \equiv 8 \cdot 7 \equiv 56 \equiv 18 \pmod{19}$ . So 2 is a primitive root.

4.6 #26 Using Euclidean algorithm with back substitution, we find  $1 = -367 \cdot 17 + 2 \cdot (52 \cdot 60)$ , so  $d \equiv -367 \equiv 2753 \pmod{52 \cdot 60}$ .

Thus 2753 is our decryption exponent, and the decryption function is  $D(x) = x^{2753} \pmod{3233}$ , since  $n = 53 \cdot 61 = 3233$ .

Applying this to each block of the encrypted message, we decrypt to 1816 2008 1717 0411. Note that  $2753 =$

~~1717~~  $2^{11} + 2^9 + 2^7 + 2^6 + 1$ , for modular exponentiation. Or,

since we know the factorization of  $n$ , we could use the Chinese Remainder Theorem + Fermat to compute  $x^{2753} \pmod{53 \cdot 61}$  from

$x^{2753} \equiv x^{49} \pmod{53}$  and  $x^{2753} \equiv x^{53} \pmod{61}$ . In the

character encoding A=00, B=01, etc., 1816 2008 1717 0411 = SQUIRREL.

Additional Problem

Here is the table of  $x_i = f(x_{i-1})$ ,  $y_i = f(f(y_{i-1}))$ ,  $\gcd(y_i - x_i, n)$  where  $f(x) = x^2 + 2 \pmod{n}$ , starting with  $x_0 = y_0 = 2$ , for  $n = 43489$ :

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$\gcd(y_i - x_i, n)$
0	2	2	1
1	6	38	1
2	38	3446	1
3	1446	33717	1
4	3446	25740	157

We discovered the factor 157, and the resulting factorization

$$n = 157 \cdot 277$$