

**Math 261A: Lie Groups, Fall 2008**  
**Problems, Set 4**

1. Classify the 3-dimensional Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero by showing that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not a direct product of smaller Lie algebras, then either

(i)  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, k)$ ,

(ii)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to the nilpotent *Heisenberg Lie algebra*  $\mathfrak{h}$  with basis  $X, Y, Z$  such that  $Z$  is central and  $[X, Y] = Z$ , or

(iii)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to a solvable algebra  $\mathfrak{s}$  which is the semidirect product of the abelian algebra  $k^2$  by an invertible derivation. In particular  $\mathfrak{s}$  has basis  $X, Y, Z$  such that  $[Y, Z] = 0$ , and  $\text{ad } X$  acts on  $kY + kZ$  by an invertible matrix, which, up to change of basis in  $kY + kZ$  and rescaling  $X$ , can be taken to be either  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , or  $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for some nonzero  $\lambda \in k$ .

1'. Following problems 28–35 in Knapp, Chapter I, classify the 3-dimensional Lie algebras over  $k$  when  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  but  $k$  is not necessarily algebraically closed.

2. (a) Show that the Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  in Problem 1 has the property that  $Z$  acts nilpotently in every finite-dimensional module, and as zero in every simple finite-dimensional module.

(b) Construct a simple infinite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{h}$ -module in which  $Z$  acts as a non-zero scalar. [Hint: take  $X$  and  $Y$  to be the operators  $d/dt$  and  $t$  on  $k[t]$ .]

3. Construct a simple 2-dimensional module for the Heisenberg algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  over any field  $k$  of characteristic 2. In particular, if  $k = \bar{k}$ , this gives a counterexample to Lie's theorem in non-zero characteristic.

4. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over  $k$ .

(a) Show that the intersection  $\mathfrak{n}$  of the kernels of all finite-dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules can be characterized as the largest ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which acts nilpotently in every finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. It is called the *nilradical* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

(b) Show that the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{g}' \cap \text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

(c) Let  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  be a subalgebra and  $V$  a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Given a linear functional  $\lambda: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow k$ , define the associated *weight space* to be  $V_\lambda = \{v \in V : Hv = \lambda(H)v \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ . Assuming  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , adapt the proof of Lie's theorem to show that if  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal and  $V$  is finite-dimensional, then  $V_\lambda$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule of  $V$ .

(d) Show that if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  then the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is equal to  $\mathfrak{g}' \cap \text{rad} \mathfrak{g}$ . [Hint: assume without loss of generality that  $k = \bar{k}$  and obtain from Lie's theorem that any finite-dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$  has a non-zero weight space for some weight  $\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{g}' \cap \text{rad} \mathfrak{g}$ . Then use (c) to deduce that  $\lambda = 0$  if  $V$  is simple.]

5. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over  $k$ ,  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Prove that the largest nilpotent ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is equal to the set of elements of  $\mathfrak{r} = \text{rad} \mathfrak{g}$  which act nilpotently in the adjoint action on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , or equivalently on  $\mathfrak{r}$ . In particular, it is equal to the largest nilpotent ideal of  $\mathfrak{r}$ .

6. Prove that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, k)$  of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with trace zero is simple, over a field  $k$  of any characteristic  $\neq 2$ . In characteristic 2, show that it is nilpotent.

7. In this exercise, we'll deduce from the standard functorial properties of Ext groups and their associated long exact sequences that  $\text{Ext}^1(N, M)$  bijectively classifies extensions  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow V \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  up to isomorphism, for modules over any associative ring with unity.

(a) Let  $F$  be a free module with a surjective homomorphism onto  $N$ , so we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ . Use the long exact sequence to produce an isomorphism of  $\text{Ext}^1(N, M)$  with the cokernel of  $\text{Hom}(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K, M)$ .

(b) Given  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(K, M)$ , construct  $V$  as the quotient of  $F \oplus M$  by the graph of  $-\phi$  (note that this graph is a submodule of  $K \oplus M \subseteq F \oplus M$ ).

(c) Use the functoriality of Ext and the long exact sequences to show that the characteristic class in  $\text{Ext}^1(N, M)$  of the extension constructed in (b) is the element represented by the chosen  $\phi$ , and conversely, that if  $\phi$  represents the characteristic class of a given extension, then the extension constructed in (b) is isomorphic to the given one.

8. Calculate  $\text{Ext}^i(k, k)$  for all  $i$  for the trivial representation  $k$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, k)$ , where  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Conclude that the theorem that  $\text{Ext}^i(M, N) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and all finite-dimensional representations  $M, N$  of a semi-simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  does not extend to  $i > 2$ .

9. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Show that  $\text{Ext}^1(k, k)$  can be canonically identified with the dual space of  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}'$ , and therefore also with the set of 1-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, up to isomorphism.

10. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Show that  $\text{Ext}^1(k, \mathfrak{g})$  can be canonically identified with the quotient  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})/\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the space of derivations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the subspace of inner derivations, that is, those of the form  $d(x) = [y, x]$  for some  $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Show that this also classifies Lie algebra extensions  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  containing  $\mathfrak{g}$  as an ideal of codimension 1.

11. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Show that there is a canonical isomorphism  $\text{Ext}^1(\mathfrak{g}, k) \cong \text{Ext}^2(k, k) \oplus S^2((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')^*)$  where  $S^2$  denotes the second symmetric power. The first term classifies those  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module extensions  $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$  that are (one-dimensional, central) Lie algebra extensions.

Addendum: This problem turned out to be harder than I thought, and I'm not even sure that it's true.

Let's assume the ground field has  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ , so we can distinguish between symmetric and antisymmetric forms.

The weaker result that there is a canonical injection  $\text{Ext}^2(k, k) \oplus S^2((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')^*) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathfrak{g}, k)$  can be proven by representing a 1-cocycle as a bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and considering the cases where the form is antisymmetric or symmetric.

For the stronger result, note that the identity  $([x, z], z) = 0$  holds for the symmetrization of the form representing a 1-cocycle. Then  $([x, y], z) + (y, [x, z]) = ([x, y + z], y + z) - ([x, y], y) - ([x, z], z) = 0$ , so the symmetrized form is invariant. Among the invariant symmetric forms are those whose radical contains  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . These represent 1-cocycles. But some further argument is needed to show that no other invariant form can arise by symmetrizing a 1-cocycle.

12. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over  $k$ ,  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . The *Malcev-Harish-Chandra* theorem says that all Levi subalgebras  $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  are conjugate under the action of the group  $\exp \text{ad } \mathfrak{n}$ , where  $\mathfrak{n}$  is the largest nilpotent ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (note that  $\mathfrak{n}$  acts nilpotently on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , so the power series expression for  $\exp \text{ad } X$  reduces to a finite sum when  $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ ).

(a) Show that the reduction we used to prove Levi's theorem by induction in the case where the radical  $\mathfrak{r} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{g}$  is not a minimal ideal also works for the Malcev-Harish-Chandra theorem. More precisely, show that if  $\mathfrak{r}$  is nilpotent, the reduction can be done using any nonzero ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  properly contained in  $\mathfrak{r}$ . If  $\mathfrak{r}$  is not nilpotent, use Problem 4 to show that  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}] \neq \mathfrak{r}$ , then make the reduction by taking  $\mathfrak{m}$  to contain  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}]$ .

(b) In general, given a semidirect product  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an abelian ideal, show that  $\text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}^1(k, \mathfrak{m})$  classifies subalgebras complementary to  $\mathfrak{m}$ , up to conjugacy by the action of  $\exp \text{ad } \mathfrak{m}$ . Then use the vanishing of  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N)$  for finite-dimensional modules over a semi-simple Lie algebra to complete the proof of the Malcev-Harish-Chandra theorem.