# A Tour of the Lanczos Algorithm and its Convergence Guarantees through the Decades

#### Qiaochu Yuan

Department of Mathematics UC Berkeley

Joint work with Prof. Ming Gu, Bo Li

April 17, 2018





Qiaochu Yuan

April 17, 2018 3 / 43



Developed by Lanczos in 1950 [Lan50].

Widely used iterative algorithm for computing the extremal eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of a large, sparse, symmetric matrix A.

#### Goal

Given a symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_n$ and associated eigenvectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_n$ , want to find approximations for

- $\lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the k largest eigenvalues of **A**
- $\mathbf{u}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \cdots, k$ , the associated eigenvectors

where  $k \ll n$ .

General idea:

- Select an initial vector v.
- **2** Construct Krylov subspace  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{v}, k) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{v}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}^{k-1}\mathbf{v}\}.$
- ${\color{black} {0 \!\!\! 0 \!\!\! 0 \!\!\! 0 \!\!\! 0 \!\!\! }}$  Restrict and project  ${\color{black} {A}}$  to the Krylov subspace,  ${\color{black} {T = {proj}_{\mathcal K} {A}}}|_{\mathcal K}$
- Use eigen values and vectors of T as approximations to those of A.

In matrices:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}_{k} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}^{k-1}\mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{k} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} & \mathbf{q}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_{k} \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \operatorname{qr}\left(\mathbf{K}_{k}\right) \\ \mathbf{T}_{k} &= \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \end{split}$$



At each step  $j = 1, \dots, k$  of Lanczos iteration:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_j = \mathbf{Q}_j\mathbf{T}_j + \beta_j\mathbf{q}_{j+1}\mathbf{e}_{j+1}^T$$

Use the three-term recurrence:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{q}_j = \beta_{j-1}\mathbf{q}_{j-1} + \alpha_j\mathbf{q}_j + \beta_j\mathbf{q}_{j+1}$$

Calculate the  $\alpha$ s,  $\beta$ s as:

$$\alpha_{j} = \mathbf{q}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{q}_{j}$$
(1)  
$$\mathbf{r}_{j} = (\mathbf{A} - \alpha_{j} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{q}_{j} - \beta_{j-1} \mathbf{q}_{j}$$
(2)  
$$\beta_{j} = \|\mathbf{r}_{j}\|_{2}, \ \mathbf{q}_{j+1} = \mathbf{r}_{j} / \beta_{j}$$
(3)



# Convergence of Lanczos

How well does  $\lambda_i^{(k)}$ , the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{T}_k$ , approximate  $\lambda_i$ , the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ?

First answered by Kaniel in 1966 [Kan66] and Paige in 1971 [Pai71].

#### Theorem (Kaniel-Paige Inequality)

If  ${\bf v}$  is chosen to be not orthogonal to the eigenspace associated with  $\lambda_1,$  then

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{(k)} \leq (\lambda_1 - \lambda_n) \frac{\tan^2 \theta \left( \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v} \right)}{T_{k-1}^2 \left( \gamma_1 \right)} \tag{4}$$

where  $T_i(x)$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree *i*,  $\theta(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the angle between two vectors, and

$$\gamma_1 = 1 + 2\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_n}$$

#### Convergence of Lanczos

Later generalized by Saad in 1980 [Saa80].

#### Theorem (Saad Inequality)

For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , if **v** is chosen such that  $\mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{v} \neq 0$ , then

$$0 \le \lambda_i - \lambda_i^{(k)} \le (\lambda_i - \lambda_n) \left( \frac{L_i^{(k)} \tan \theta \left( \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v} \right)}{T_{k-i} \left( \gamma_i \right)} \right)^2$$
(5)

where  $T_i(x)$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree *i*,  $\theta(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the angle between two vectors, and

$$\gamma_{i} = 1 + 2 \frac{\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_{n}}$$
$$L_{i}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{(k)} - \lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{j}^{(k)} - \lambda_{i}} & \text{if } i \neq 1\\ 1 & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

### Aside - Chebyshev Polynomials

Recall

$$T_{j}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( x + \sqrt{x^{2} - 1} \right)^{j} + \left( x - \sqrt{x^{2} - 1} \right)^{j} \right)$$
(6)

When j is large,

$$T_j(x) pprox rac{1}{2} \left( x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} 
ight)^j$$

and when g is small,

$$T_j(1+g)pprox rac{1}{2}\left(1+g+\sqrt{2g}
ight)^j$$

determines the convergence of Lanczos with

$$g = \Theta\left(\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_n}\right)$$



April 17, 2018 11 / 43



Introduced by Golub and Underwood in 1977 [GU77] and Cullum and Donath in 1974 [CD74].

The block generalization of the Lanczos method uses, instead of a single initial vector  $\mathbf{v}$ , a block of b vectors  $\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_b \end{bmatrix}$ , and builds the Krylov subspace in q iterations as  $\mathcal{K}_q(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V}, q) = \operatorname{span} \{\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{AV}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}^{q-1}\mathbf{V}\}.$ 

 $k \leq b$ ,  $bq \ll n$ .

Compared to classical Lanczos, block Lanczos

- is more memory and cache efficient, using BLAS3 operations.
- has the ability to converge to eigenvalues with cluster size > 1.
- has faster convergence with respect to number of iterations.

#### Block Lanczos Algorithm - Details

$$\mathbf{A}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{Q}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{Q}_j\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{Q}_j & \mathbf{Q}_{j+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{B}_1^T & & \\ \mathcal{B}_1 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \mathcal{B}_{j-1}^T \\ & & \mathcal{B}_{j-1} & \mathcal{A}_j \\ \hline & & & \mathcal{B}_j \end{bmatrix}$$

At each step  $j = 1, \cdots, k$  of Lanczos iteration:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{T}_j + \mathbf{Q}_{j+1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{B}_j \end{bmatrix}$$

Use the three-term recurrence:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_{j} = \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}\mathcal{B}_{j-1}^{T} + \mathbf{Q}_{j}\mathcal{A}_{j} + \mathbf{Q}_{j+1}\mathcal{B}_{j}$$

Calculate the  $\mathcal{A}s$ ,  $\mathcal{B}s$  as:

$$\mathcal{A}_{j} = \mathbf{Q}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_{j}$$
(7)  
$$\mathbf{Q}_{j+1} \mathcal{B}_{j} \leftarrow \operatorname{qr} \left( \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_{j} - \mathbf{Q}_{j} \mathcal{A}_{j} - \mathbf{Q}_{j} \mathcal{B}_{j-1}^{T} \right)$$
(8)



April 17, 2018 15 / 43

First analyzed by Underwood in 1975 [Und75] with the introduction of the algorithm.

#### Theorem (Underwood Inequality)

Let  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  be the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of **A** respectively. Let  $\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{u}_b \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{V}$  is of full rank b, then for  $i = 1, \dots, b$ 

$$0 \leq \lambda_i - \lambda_i^{(q)} \leq (\lambda_1 - \lambda_n) \frac{\tan^2 \Theta(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})}{T_{q-1}^2(\rho_i)}$$

where  $T_i(x)$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree *i*,  $\cos \Theta(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) = \sigma_{\min}(\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{V})$ , and

$$\rho_i = 1 + 2\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_{b+1}}{\lambda_{b+1} - \lambda_n}$$

(9)

### Convergence of Block Lanczos

#### Theorem (Saad Inequality [Saa80])

Let  $\lambda_j$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  be the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of **A** respectively. Let  $\mathbf{U}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_i & \cdots & \mathbf{u}_{i+b-1} \end{bmatrix}$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, b$ , if  $\mathbf{U}_i^T \mathbf{V}$  is of full rank b, then

$$0 \le \lambda_i - \lambda_i^{(q)} \le (\lambda_i - \lambda_n) \left( \frac{L_i^{(q)} \tan \Theta (\mathbf{U}_i, \mathbf{V})}{T_{q-i} (\hat{\gamma}_i)} \right)^2$$
(10)

where  $T_i(x)$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree *i*,  $\cos \Theta(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) = \sigma_{\min}(\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{V})$ , and

$$\hat{\gamma}_{i} = 1 + 2 \frac{\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i+b}}{\lambda_{i+b} - \lambda_{n}}$$
$$L_{i}^{(q)} = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{(q)} - \lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{j}^{(q)} - \lambda_{i}} & \text{if } i \neq 1\\ 1 & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

#### Aside - Block Size

Recall, when j is large and g is small

$$T_j(1+g) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + g + \sqrt{2g} \right)^j \tag{11}$$

classical: 
$$g = \Theta\left(\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_n}\right)$$
  
block:  $g_b = \Theta\left(\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+b}}{\lambda_{i+b} - \lambda_n}\right)$ 

Suppose eigenvalue distributed as  $\lambda_j > (1 + \epsilon)\lambda_{j+1}$  for all *j*:

$$egin{aligned} g_b &pprox rac{1-(1+\epsilon)^{-b}}{(1+\epsilon)^{-b}}\cdot\lambda_i \ &pprox b\cdot\lambda_i\epsilon \ &pprox b\cdot g \end{aligned}$$



Qiaochu Yuan

April 17, 2018 18 / 43



April 17, 2018 19 / 43

In recent years, there has been increased interest in algorithms to compute low-rank approximations of matrices, with

- high computational efficiency requirement, running on large matrices
- low approximation accuracy requirement, 2-3 digits of accuracy

Applications mostly in big-data computations

- compression of data matrices
- matrix processing techniques, e.g. PCA
- optimization of the nuclear norm objective function

Grew out of work done in randomized algorithms, in particular Randomized Subspace Iteration, by

- Rokhlin, Szlam, and Tygert in 2009 [RST09]
- Halko, Martinsson, and Tropp in 2011 [HMST11]
- Gu [Gu15], and Musco [MM15] in 2015

Idea: Instead of taking any initial set of vectors V, an unfortunate choice of which could result in poor convergence, choose  $V = A\Omega$ , a random projection of the columns of A, to better capture the range space.

Algorithm 1 Randomized Subspace Iteration (RSI) pseudocode

- **Input:**  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , target rank k, block size b, number of iter. q
- **Output:**  $\mathbf{B}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , a rank-k approximation
  - 1: Draw  $\mathbf{\Omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times b}$   $\omega_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
  - 2: Form  $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T)^{q-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Omega}$ .
  - 3: Orthogonalize  $qr(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ .
  - 4:  $\mathbf{B}_k = (\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{A})_k = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{A})_k.$

\*  $(\mathbf{M})_k$  indicates the *k*-truncated SVD of matrix **M**.

Algorithm 2 Randomized Block Lanczos (RBL) pseudocode

- **Input:**  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , target rank k, block size b, number of iter. q
- **Output:**  $\mathbf{B}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , a rank-*k* approximation
  - 1: Draw  $\mathbf{\Omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times b}$ .  $\omega_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
  - 2: Form

 $\mathbf{K} = [\mathbf{A}\Omega, (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}})\mathbf{A}\Omega, \cdots, (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}})^{q-1}\mathbf{A}\Omega].$ 

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

3: Orthogonalize 
$$qr(K) \rightarrow Q$$
.

4: 
$$\mathbf{B}_k = (\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{A})_k = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{A})_k.$$

```
* requires bq \ge k.
** numerically unstable.
```



April 17, 2018 23 / 43

A modification of the Lanczos algorithm can be used to find the extremal singular value pairs of a non-symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .

Utilizing the connections between the singular value decomposition of  $\mathbf{A}$  and the eigen decompositions of  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{T}$  and  $\mathbf{A}^{T}\mathbf{A}$ ,

- Select a block of initial vectors  $\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_b \end{bmatrix}$ .
- **2** Construct Krylov subspaces  $\mathcal{K}_V(\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V}, q)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_U(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}, q)$ .
- **③** Restrict and project **A** to form  $\mathbf{B} = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{K}_U} \mathbf{A}|_{\mathcal{K}_V}$
- Use singular values and vectors of B as approximations to those of A.

# Golub-Kahan Bidiagonalization [GK65]

$$\mathbf{A} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{V}_{j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{U}_{j} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{1} & \mathcal{B}_{1}^{T} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \mathcal{B}_{j-1}^{T} \\ & & \mathcal{A}_{j} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{A}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{U}_{j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{V}_{j} \mid \mathbf{V}_{j+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{1} & & & \\ \mathcal{B}_{1} & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \mathcal{B}_{j-1} & \mathcal{A}_{j} \\ \hline & & & \mathcal{B}_{j} \end{bmatrix}$$

At each step  $j = 1, \cdots, k$  of the bidiagonalization, calculate As and Bs as:

$$\mathbf{U}_{j}\mathcal{A}_{j} \leftarrow \operatorname{qr}\left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}_{j} - \mathbf{U}_{j-1}\mathcal{B}_{j-1}^{\mathsf{T}}\right)$$
(12)

$$\mathbf{V}_{j+1}\mathcal{B}_j \leftarrow \operatorname{qr}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{U}_j - \mathbf{V}_j\mathcal{A}_j\right)$$
(13)



April 17, 2018 26 / 43

#### Analyzed by Musco and Musco in 2015 [MM15].

#### Theorem

For block size  $b \ge k$ , in  $q = \Theta\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon}\right)$  iterations of RSI and  $q = \Theta\left(\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\right)$  iterations of RBL, with constant probability 99/100, the following inequalities bounds are satisfied:

$$|\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_i^2(\mathbf{B}_k)| \le \epsilon \sigma_{k+1}^2, \text{ , for } i = 1, \cdots, k$$
 (14)

In the event that  $\sigma_{b+1} \leq c\sigma_k$  with c < 1, taking  $q = \Theta\left(\frac{\log(n/\epsilon)}{\min(1,\sigma_k/\sigma_{b+1}-1)}\right)$ and  $q = \Theta\left(\frac{\log(n/\epsilon)}{\sqrt{\min(1,\sigma_k/\sigma_{b+1}-1)}}\right)$  suffices for RSI and RBL respectively.



Many of our analysis techniques are similar to those used by Gu to analyze RSI [Gu15]. The bounds for RBL resulting from the current work will be similar in form to the bounds for RSI.

#### Theorem (RSI convergence)

Let  $\mathbf{B}_k$  be the approximation returned by the RSI algorithm on  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^T$ , with target rank k, block size  $b \ge k$ , and q iterations. If  $\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_1$  has full row rank in  $\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_1^T & \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_2^T \end{bmatrix}^T$ , then

$$\sigma_{j} \geq \sigma_{j} \left( \mathbf{B}_{k} \right) \geq \frac{\sigma_{j}}{\sqrt{1 + \|\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} \|\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_{1}^{\dagger}\|_{2}^{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_{b+1}}{\sigma_{j}}\right)^{4q+2}}}$$
(15)

The core pieces of our analysis are:

- the growth behavior of Chebyshev polynomials,
- 2 the choice of a clever orthonormal basis for Krylov subspace,
- Solution of a spectrum "gap", by separating the spectrum of A into those singular values that are "close" to σ<sub>k</sub>, and those that are sufficiently smaller in magnitude.

### Setup & Notation

For block size *b*, random Gaussian matrix  $\mathbf{\Omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times b}$ , we are interested in the column span of

$$\mathbf{K}_q = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Omega} & (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{\mathcal{T}}) \, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Omega} & \cdots & (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{\mathcal{T}})^{q-1} \, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Omega} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let the SVD of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be  $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^T$ . For any  $0 \le p \le q$ , define

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathsf{K}}_{p} &:= \mathsf{U} \, \mathcal{T}_{2p+1}(\mathbf{\Sigma}) \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\Omega} & \mathbf{\Sigma}^{2} \hat{\Omega} & \cdots & \mathbf{\Sigma}^{2(q-p-1)} \hat{\Omega} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathsf{U} \, \mathcal{T}_{2p+1}(\mathbf{\Sigma}) \mathsf{V}_{q-p} \end{split}$$

Note  $\mathbf{V}_{q-p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times b(q-p)}$ . We require  $b(q-p) \ge k$ , the target rank.

#### Lemma

With  $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_p$  and  $\mathbf{K}_q$  as previously defined,

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{ \mathsf{K}_{q}\right\} \supseteq \operatorname{span}\left\{ \hat{\mathsf{K}}_{p}\right\}$$

Qiaochu Yuan

(16)

#### Theorem (Main Result)

Let  $\mathbf{B}_k$  be the approximation returned by the RBL algorithm. With notation as previously defined, if the random starting  $\Omega$  is initialized such that the block Vandermonde formed by the sub-blocks of  $\mathbf{V}_{q-p}$  is invertible, then for all  $1 \le j \le k$ , and all choices<sup>a</sup> of s, r,

$$\sigma_j \ge \sigma_j(\mathbf{B}_k) \ge \frac{\sigma_{j+s}}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{C}^2 T_{2p+1}^{-2} \left(1 + 2 \cdot \frac{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+s+r+1}}{\sigma_{j+s+r+1}}\right)}}$$
(17)

where  $p = q - \frac{k+r}{b}$ , and C is a constant independent of q.

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>a}s$  is chosen to be non-zero to handle multiple singular values, and can be set to zero otherwise.

#### Rewriting bounds in comparable forms:

citation	bound	req. on b
Saad [Saa80]	$\lambda_j^{(q)} \geq \frac{\lambda_j}{1 + L_j^{(q)^2} \tan^2 \Theta(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) T_{q-j}^{-2} \left(1 + 2 \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+b}}{\lambda_{j+b}}\right)}$	$b \ge k$
Musco [MM15] spec. indep.	$\sigma_j^{(q)} \geq rac{\sigma_j}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{C}_1^2\log^2(n)q^{-2}rac{\sigma_{k+1}^2}{\sigma_j^2}}}$	$b \ge k$
Musco [MM15] spec. dep.	$\sigma_j^{(q)} \geq \frac{\sigma_j}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{C}_2 n e^{-q\sqrt{\min(1,\sigma_k/\sigma_{b+1}-1)}\frac{\sigma_{k+1}^2}{\sigma_j^2}}}$	$b \ge k$
Current Work	$\sigma_{j}^{(q)} \geq \frac{\sigma_{j}}{\sqrt{1 + C_{3}^{2} T_{2q+1-2(k+r)/b}^{-2} \left(1 + 2 \frac{\sigma_{j} - \sigma_{j+r+1}}{\sigma_{j+r+1}}\right)}}$	$b \ge 1$ $bq \ge k+r$

# Typical Case - Superlinear Convergence

Recall:  $\{a_q\}$  convergence superlinearly to a if

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{|a_{q+1} - a|}{|a_q - a|} = 0$$
(18)

- In practice, Lanczos algorithms (classical, block, randomized) often exhibit superlinear convergence behavior.
- It has been shown that classical Lanczos iteration is theoretically superlinearly convergent under certain assumptions about the singular spectrum [saa94, Li10].
- We show this for block Lanczos algorithms, i.e., that under certain assumptions about the singular spectrum, block Lanczos produces rank k approximations  $\mathbf{B}_k$  such that  $\sigma_j(\mathbf{B}_k) \rightarrow \sigma_j$  superlinearly.

# Typical Case - Superlinear Convergence

A typical data matrix might have singular value spectrum decaying to 0, i.e.,  $\sigma_j \rightarrow 0$ . In this case our bound suggests that convergence is governed by

$$a_q := \left(\mathcal{C}(r)T_p^{-1}\left(1+g\right)\right)^2 \approx \left(\mathcal{C}(r)\cdot\frac{1}{2}\left(1+g+\sqrt{2g}\right)^{-p}\right)^2 \qquad \to 0$$

with

$$g = 2\frac{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+r+1}}{\sigma_{j+r+1}} = 2\left(\frac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_{j+r+1}} - 1\right) \to \infty$$
$$p = 2\left(q - \frac{k+r}{b}\right) + 1 = 2q + \left(1 - 2\frac{k+r}{b}\right)$$

We argue that  $a_{q+1}/a_q \to 0$  as follows: for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose<sup>1</sup> r so that  $1+g \ge \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then,



<sup>1</sup>Recall 1) our main result holds for all r; 2) k + r = (q - p)b, and so choosing r amounts to choosing q.

Qiaochu Yuan

# Effect of r

There is some optimal value of r, typically non-zero, which achieves the best convergence factor. The balance is between larger (smaller) values of r, which implies lower (higher) Chebyshev degree but bigger (smaller) gap.

Figure: Value of reciprocal convergence factor  $T_{2q+1-2((k+r)/b)}^{-1}\left(1+2\frac{\sigma_j-\sigma_{j+r+1}}{\sigma_{j+r+1}}\right)$  as *r* varies, for Daily Activities and Sports Dataset, k = j = 100, b = 10, q = 20.



36 / 43

Qiaochu Yuan

#### Numerical Example

Experimentally, choices of smaller block sizes  $1 \le b < k$  appear favorable with superlinear convergence for all block sizes.





Figure: Eigenfaces Dataset -  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{10304 \times 400}$ .

April 17, 2018 38 / 43

- Both the theoretical analysis and numerical evidence suggest that, holding the number of matrix vector operations constant, RBL with smaller block size *b* is better.
- For matrices with decaying spectrum, RBL achieves superlinear convergence.
- However the preference for smaller *b* must be balanced with the advantages of a larger *b* for computational efficiency and numerical stability reasons in a practical implementation, and should be further investigated.

- Jane Cullum and William E Donath, A block lanczos algorithm for computing the q algebraically largest eigenvalues and a corresponding eigenspace of large, sparse, real symmetric matrices, Decision and Control including the 13th Symposium on Adaptive Processes, 1974 IEEE Conference on, vol. 13, IEEE, 1974, pp. 505–509.
- Gene Golub and William Kahan, *Calculating the singular values and pseudo-inverse of a matrix*, Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Series B: Numerical Analysis **2** (1965), no. 2, 205–224.
- Gene Howard Golub and Richard Underwood, *The block lanczos method for computing eigenvalues*, Mathematical software, Elsevier, 1977, pp. 361–377.

- Ming Gu, Subspace iteration randomization and singular value problems, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 37 (2015), no. 3, A1139–A1173.
- Nathan Halko, Per-Gunnar Martinsson, Yoel Shkolnisky, and Mark Tygert, An algorithm for the principal component analysis of large data sets, SIAM Journal on Scientific computing 33 (2011), no. 5, 2580–2594.
- Shmuel Kaniel, *Estimates for some computational techniques in linear algebra*, Mathematics of Computation **20** (1966), no. 95, 369–378.
- Cornelius Lanczos, *An iteration method for the solution of the eigenvalue problem of linear differential and integral operators*, United States Governm. Press Office Los Angeles, CA, 1950.

- Ren-Cang Li, Sharpness in rates of convergence for the symmetric lanczos method, Mathematics of Computation 79 (2010), no. 269, 419–435.
- Cameron Musco and Christopher Musco, *Randomized block krylov methods for stronger and faster approximate singular value decomposition*, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2015, pp. 1396–1404.
- Christopher Conway Paige, *The computation of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of very large sparse matrices.*, Ph.D. thesis, University of London, 1971.
- Vladimir Rokhlin, Arthur Szlam, and Mark Tygert, *A randomized algorithm for principal component analysis*, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications **31** (2009), no. 3, 1100–1124.

- Yousef Saad, On the rates of convergence of the lanczos and the block-lanczos methods, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis **17** (1980), no. 5, 687–706.
- Theoretical error bounds and general analysis of a few lanczos-type algorithms, 1994.
- Richard Underwood, An iterative block lanczos method for the solution of large sparse symmetric eigenproblems, Tech. report, 1975.