# Partially Magic Labelings

Labeling: of a graph G is an assignment  $L: E \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  of a nonnegative integer L(e) to each edge e of G

### Magic

#### Magic Labeling:

- each edge label  $\{0, 1, \dots, |E|\}$  is used exactly once
- the sums of the labels on all edges incident with a given node are equal to r

19)

#### Example of Magic labeling on $W_5$ of index 19

*k* – Labeling: each edge label is among  $\{0, 1, \cdots, k\}$ 

## Partially Magic

Antimagic

#### References

[1] R. Stanley. Linear homogeneous Diophantine equations and magic labelings of graphs. Duke Math. J., 40:607-632, 1973.

[2] J. Gallian. A dynamic survey of graph labeling. *Electron.* J. Combin., 5:Dynamic Survey 6, 43 pp. (electronic), 1998.

[3] Nora Hartsfield and Gerhard Ringel. *Pearls in Graph* Theory: A Comprehensive Introduction. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, revised edition, 2003.

[4] M. Beck and S. Robins. *Computing the Continuous* Discretely: Integer-point Enumeration in Polyhedra. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second edition, 2015.

#### Antimagic Labeling:

- each edge label  $\{0, 1, \dots, |E|\}$  is used exactly once
- the sum of the labels on all edges incident with a given node is unique





# The Antimagic Graph Conjecture



 $H_G(r)$ : the number of magic labelings of G of index r

Theorem (Stanley 1973): For a finite graph G, there exist polynomials  $P_G(r)$  and  $Q_G(r)$  such that  $H_G(r) = P_G(r) + (-1)^r Q_G(r)$ .

Theorem (Stanley 1973): If the graph G minus its loops is **bipartite**, then  $H_G(r)$  is a **polynomial** ofr.

### **Partially magic labeling** of *G* over *S*

 $(S \subseteq V(G))$ : is a labeling such that "magic occurs" just in S, that is, the sums of the labels on all edges incident with a given node in S are equal



 $M_{S}(k)$ : the number of partially magic k –labelings of G over S

**Theorem:**  $M_S(k)$  is a quasi- polynomial in k with period at most 2.

**Theorem**: If the graph G minus its loops is bipartite, then  $M_S(k)$  is a polynomial in k.

## Antimagic Graph Conjecture

Every connected graph except for k<sub>2</sub> admits an antimagic labeling.

Surprise: still open for trees !

#### Proved for:

 $\bullet$ 

- trees without vertices of degree 2 •
- connected graphs with minimum
- degree  $\geq c \log |V|$
- *k* –regular graphs







## Matthias Beck

## Motivation

Quasi-polynomial of period at most 2

Generalization

Birkhoff [1912] introduced the chromatic polynomial  $\chi_G(k)$ (the number of proper k-colorings of a graph) in an attempt to prove the Four Color Theorem:  $\chi_G(4) > 0$  if G is planar.

## Our idea:

Application

 $A_G(k)$ : the number of weakly antimagic k-labelings

- G has a weak antimagic labeling  $\langle \longrightarrow A_G(|E|) > 0$
- $A_G(k) = \sum_{S \subseteq V} c_S M_S(k)$  for some integers  $C_S$ *|S*|≥2
- Why weakly? The counting function of antimagic *k*-labelings is in general not a polynomial!

# **Example of** $A_{K_{2,1}}(k)$ :

Weakly antimagic 3-labelings, edge labels  $\in \{0,1,2,3\}$ 



 $A_{K_{3,1}}(k) = \binom{k+1}{3}$  Polynomial in k

: the number of **weakly** antimagic *k* –labelings

# Main Theorem

**Theorem:**  $A_G(k)$  is a quasi-polynomial in k of period at most 2.

**Theorem**: If the graph G minus its loops is bipartite, then  $A_G(k)$  is a polynomial in k.

Corollary Every **bipartite** graph without  $a K_2$ -component admits a weakly antimagic labeling.

## **Open Problems:**

 Directed Antimagic Graph Conjecture Distinct Antimagic Counting