

**Elliptic Curves**  
Cambridge Part III, Lent 2023  
Taught by Tom Fisher  
Notes taken by Leonard Tomczak

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Fermat's Method of Infinite Descent</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Some Remarks on Algebraic Curves</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Weierstraß Equations</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>The Group Law</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Isogenies</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>The Invariant Differential</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Elliptic Curves over Finite Fields</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Formal Groups</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Elliptic Curves over Local Fields</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Elliptic Curves over Number Fields - The Torsion Subgroup</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Kummer Theory</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Elliptic Curves over Number Fields - The Mordell-Weil Theorem</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Heights</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Dual Isogenies and the Weil Pairing</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>Galois Cohomology</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>16</b>	<b>Descent by Cyclic Isogeny</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>The Birch Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture</b>	<b>53</b>

# 1 Fermat's Method of Infinite Descent

We consider a right angle triangle  $\Delta$  with side lengths  $a, b, c > 0$  such that  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$  and area  $\frac{1}{2}ab$ .  $\Delta$  is *rational* if  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ .  $\Delta$  is *primitive* if  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  are coprime.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Every primitive triangle is of the form  $\{a, b\} = \{u^2 - v^2, 2uv\}, c = u^2 + v^2$  for some integers  $u > v > 0$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that exactly one of  $a, b$  (wlog say  $b$ ) is even. So  $(b/2)^2 = \frac{c+a}{2} \frac{c-a}{2}$ . The factors on the right are coprime positive integers. By unique factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}$  we get that  $\frac{c+a}{2} = u^2, \frac{c-a}{2} = v^2$  for suitable  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

**Definition.**  $D \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  is a congruent number if there exists a rational (right angled) triangle  $\Delta$  with area  $D$ .

N.B. It suffices to consider  $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  squarefree.

E.g.  $D = 5, 6$  are congruent.

**Lemma 1.2.**  $D \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  is congruent iff  $Dy^2 = x^3 - x$  for some rational numbers  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}, y \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* The first lemma shows that  $D$  is congruent iff  $Dw^2 = uv(u^2 - v^2)$  for some  $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Q}, w \neq 0$ . Then put  $x = u/v, y = w/v^2$ .  $\square$

Fermat showed that 1 is not a congruent number:

**Theorem 1.3.** *There is no solution to*

$$w^2 = uv(u+v)(u-v) \tag{*}$$

with  $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}, w \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Wlog  $u, v$  coprime,  $u > 0, w > 0$ . If  $v < 0$ , then replace  $(u, v, w)$  by  $(-v, u, w)$ . If  $u \equiv v \pmod{2}$ , then replace  $(u, v, w)$  by  $(\frac{u+v}{2}, \frac{u-v}{2}, \frac{w}{2})$ . Then  $u, v, u+v, u-v$  are pairwise positive integers with product a square. By unique factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}$   $u = a^2, v = b^2, u+v = c^2, u-v = d^2$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Since  $u \not\equiv v \pmod{2}$ , both  $c$  and  $d$  are odd. Hence

$$\left(\frac{c+d}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c-d}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{c^2+d^2}{2} = u = a^2$$

This is a primitive triangle. Its area is  $\frac{c^2-d^2}{8} = \frac{v}{4} = (b/2)^2$ . Let  $w_1 = b/2$ . By the first lemma we get again  $w_1^2 = u_1v_1(u_1 + v_1)(u_1 - v_1)$  for some  $u_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So we have a new solution to (\*). But  $4w_1^2 = b^2 = v \mid w^2$ , so  $w_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}w$ . So by Fermat's method of infinite descent, there is no solution to (\*)  $\square$

## 1.1 A Variant for Polynomials

Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic not equal to 2 with algebraic closure  $K^{\text{alg}}$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $u, v \in K[t]$  coprime. If  $\alpha u + \beta v$  is a square for four distinct  $(\alpha : \beta) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ , then  $u, v \in K$ .*

*Proof.* Wlog  $K = K^{\text{alg}}$ . Changing coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  we may assume the ratios  $(\alpha : \beta)$  are  $(1 : 0), (0 : 1), (1 : -1), (1 : -\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . We have  $u = a^2, v = b^2, u - v = (a+b)(a-b), u - \lambda v = (a + \mu b)(a - \mu b)$  where  $\mu = \sqrt{\lambda}$ . By unique factorization in  $K[t]$  we get that  $a+b, a-b, a+\mu b, a-\mu b$  are all squares. But  $\max(\deg a, \deg b) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max(\deg u, \deg v)$ . So by Fermat's method of infinite descent  $u, v \in K$ .  $\square$

### Definition.

(i) *(Preliminary definition) An elliptic curve  $E/K$  is the projective closure of the plane affine curve defined by*

$$y^2 = f(x)$$

*where  $f \in K[x]$  is a monic cubic separable polynomial.*

(ii) *For  $L/K$  any field extension we let*

$$E(L) = \{(x, y) \in L^2 \mid y^2 = f(x)\} \cup \{O\}$$

*where  $O = (0 : 1 : 0)$  is the point at infinity.*

The previous results show that for  $E : y^2 = x^3 - x$  we have  $E(\mathbb{Q}) = \{O, (0, 0), (\pm 1, 0)\}$ .

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve. Then  $E(K(t)) = E(K)$ .*

*Proof.* Wlog  $K = K^{\text{alg}}$ . By a change of coordinates we may assume  $E : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$ .

Suppose  $(x, y) \in E(K(t))$ . Write  $x = u/v$  where  $u, v \in K[t]$  are coprime. Then we get  $w^2 = uv(u-v)(u-\lambda v)$  for some  $w \in K[t]$ . By unique factorization in  $K[t]$ , the four polynomials  $u, v, u-v, u-\lambda v$  are squares. So by our previous result  $u, v \in K$ , so  $x, y \in K$ .  $\square$

This shows that elliptic curves are not rational.

## 2 Some Remarks on Algebraic Curves

For this section we assume  $K = K^{\text{alg}}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $C$  be a smooth projective curve and  $g(C)$  its genus.*

(i)  *$C$  is rational iff  $g(C) = 0$ .*

(ii)  *$C$  is an elliptic curve (in our sense) iff  $g(C) = 1$ .*

Recall that a *uniformizer* of a curve  $C$  at a smooth point  $P$  is a function  $t \in K(C)^*$  such that  $\text{ord}_P t = 1$ .

**Example.** Let  $C = \{g = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$  be a plane curve with  $g \in K[x, y]$  irreducible. Suppose  $P = (0, 0) \in C$  and write  $g = g_0 + g_1(x, y) + g_2(x, y) + \dots$  and where  $g_i$  is homogeneous of degree  $i$ . Write  $g_1(x, y) = \alpha x + \beta y$ . Assume that  $C$  is non-singular so that  $\alpha, \beta$  are not both zero. Then  $\gamma x + \delta y \in K(C)$  is a uniformizer at  $P$  iff  $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$ .

**Example.** Let  $\{y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$  where  $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ . The projective closure is

$$\{Y^2Z = X(X-Z)(X-\lambda Z)\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$$

where  $x = X/Z, y = Y/Z$ .  $P = (0 : 1 : 0)$ . Put  $t = X/Y, w = Z/Y$ . Then  $w = t(t-w)(t-\lambda w)$  (dehomogenize w.r.t.  $y$ ) Now  $P$  is the point  $(t, w) = (0, 0)$ . This is a smooth point with  $\text{ord}_P(t) = \text{ord}_P(t-w) = \text{ord}_P(t-\lambda w) = 1$ , so  $\text{ord}_P(w) = 3$ . Then  $\text{ord}_P(x) = \text{ord}_P(t/w) = -2, \text{ord}_P(y) = -3$ .

Recall the Riemann-Roch Theorem for smooth curves of genus 1. If  $D$  is a divisor, then:

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(D) = \begin{cases} \deg D & \text{if } \deg D > 0, \\ 0 \text{ or } 1 & \text{if } \deg D = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \deg D < 0. \end{cases}$$

Assume  $K = K^{\text{alg}}$  and  $\text{char } K \neq 2$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$  be a smooth plane cubic  $P \in C$  a point of inflection. Then we may change coordinates such that  $C : Y^2Z = X(X-Z)(X-\lambda Z)$  for some  $\lambda \neq 0, 1$  and  $P = (0 : 1 : 0)$ .*

*Proof.* We may change coordinates such that  $P = (0 : 1 : 0)$  and  $T_P C = \{Z = 0\}$ . Let  $C$  be defined by  $F(X, Y, Z)$ .  $P$  is a point of inflection, so  $F(t, 1, 0) = t^3$ , i.e.  $F$  has no terms

$X^2Y, XY^2, Y^3$ . Therefore  $F \in \langle Y^2Z, XYZ, YZ^2, X^3, X^2Z, XZ^2, Z^3 \rangle$ . The monomials  $Y^2Z, X^3$  must appear in  $F$ , as  $P$  is non-singular and  $\{Z = 0\} \not\subseteq C$ . We are free to rescale  $X, Y, Z$  and  $F$ . Wlog  $C$  is defined by

$$Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3. \quad \text{“Weierstraß equation”}$$

Substituting  $Y \mapsto Y - \frac{1}{2}a_1X - \frac{1}{2}a_3Z$  we may assume  $a_1 = a_3 = 0$ . Now  $C : Y^2Z = Z^3f(X/Z)$  for some monic cubic polynomial  $f$ . Since  $C$  is smooth,  $f$  has distinct roots, wlog  $0, 1, \lambda$ . Then  $C$  has the equation

$$Y^2Z = X(X - Z)(X - \lambda Z). \quad \text{“Legendre form”}$$

□

Remark: It can be shown that the points of inflection on a plane curve  $C = \{F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$  are given by

$$F = 0 = \det \left( \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial X_i \partial X_j} \right).$$

### 3 Weierstraß Equations

In this chapter,  $K$  is a perfect field with algebraic closure  $K^{\text{alg}}$ .

**Definition.** An elliptic curve  $E/K$  is a smooth projective curve of genus 1 defined over  $K$ , with a specified  $K$ -rational point  $O_E$ .

A morphism of elliptic curves is a morphism of algebraic curves preserving the base point  $O$ .

**Example.**  $\{X^3 + pY^3 + p^2Z^3 = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$  is a smooth projective curve of genus 1 defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but it is not an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , since it has no  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational points.

**Theorem 3.1.** Every elliptic curve  $E$  is isomorphic over  $K$  to a curve in Weierstraß form, via an isomorphism taking  $O_E$  to  $(0 : 1 : 0)$ .

Fact: If  $D \in \text{Div}(E)$  is defined over  $K$  (i.e. fixed by  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$ ), then  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  has a basis in  $K(E)$ .

*Proof.* Pick bases  $1, x$  resp.  $1, x, y$  of  $\mathcal{L}(2O_E) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(3O_E)$ . Note that  $\text{ord}_{O_E}(x) = -2$  and  $\text{ord}_{O_E}(y) = -3$ . The seven elements  $1, x, y, x^2, xy, x^3, y^2$  in the 6-dimensional vector space  $\mathcal{L}(6O_E)$  must satisfy a dependence relation. Leaving out  $x^3$  or  $y^2$  gives a basis for  $\mathcal{L}(6O_E)$  since each term has a different order pole at  $O_E$ . Therefore the coefficients of  $x^3$  and  $y^2$  are non-zero. Rescaling  $x, y$  and the whole equation we get

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$$

for some  $a_i \in K$ . Let  $\phi : E \rightarrow E' \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2, P \mapsto (x(P), y(P) : 1)$ . This is a morphism and  $\phi(P) = ((x/y)(P) : 1 : (1/y)(P))$ , hence  $\phi(O_E) = (0 : 1 : 0)$ . We have  $\deg \phi = [K(E) : \phi^*K(E')]$  and  $\phi^*K(E') = K(x, y)$ . Since  $x, y$  have degree 2 resp. 3, we see that  $\deg \phi = 1$ , so  $\phi$  is birational.

If  $E'$  is singular, then  $E, E'$  are rational, so  $E'$  is non-singular and  $\phi$  is thus an isomorphism. □

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $E, E'$  be elliptic curves over  $K$  in Weierstraß form. Then  $E \cong E'$  over  $K$  iff the equations are related by a change of variables of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x &= u^2x' + r \\ y &= u^3y' + u^2sx' + t \end{aligned}$$

for some  $u, r, s, t \in K, u \neq 0$ .

*Proof.*  $\langle 1, x \rangle = \mathcal{L}(2, O_E) = \langle 1, x' \rangle$ , so  $x = \lambda x' + r$  for some  $\lambda, r \in K, \lambda \neq 0$ . Similarly for  $y$ , we get that  $y = \mu y' + \sigma x' + t$  for some  $\mu, \sigma, t \in K, \mu \neq 0$ . Looking at the coefficients of  $x^3, y^2$  we see that  $\lambda^3 = \mu^2$ , so  $\lambda = u^2, \mu = u^3$  for some  $u \neq 0$ . Put  $s = \sigma/u^2$ .  $\square$

A Weierstraß equation defines an elliptic curve iff it defines a smooth curve which is the case iff

$$\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_6) \neq 0$$

where  $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6]$  is a certain polynomial.

If  $\text{char } K \neq 2, 3$  we can reduce to the case  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  with discriminant  $\Delta := -16(4a^3 + 27b^2)$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *Assume  $\text{char } K \neq 2, 3$ . Then two elliptic curves*

$$\begin{aligned} E : y^2 &= x^3 + ax + b \\ E' : y^2 &= x^3 + a'x + b' \end{aligned}$$

*are isomorphic over  $K$  iff  $a' = u^4a, b' = u^6b$  for some  $u \in K^*$ .*

*Proof.*  $E$  and  $E'$  are related by a substitution as in the proposition with  $r = s = t = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** *The  $j$ -invariant of  $E$  is  $j(E) = \frac{1728(4a^3)}{4a^3 + 27b^2}$ .*

**Corollary 3.4.**  $E \cong E' \implies j(E) = j(E')$ . *The converse holds if  $K = K^{\text{alg}}$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous corollary

$$\begin{aligned} E \cong E' &\Leftrightarrow a' = u^4a, b' = u^6b \text{ for some } u \in K^* \\ &\Rightarrow (a^3 : b^2) = ((a')^3 : (b')^2) \\ &\Leftrightarrow j(E) = j(E') \end{aligned}$$

and the converse holds if  $K = K^{\text{alg}}$ .  $\square$

## 4 The Group Law

Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$  be a smooth plane cubic.  $E$  meets any line in 3 points counted with multiplicity. Let  $O_E, P, Q \in E$ . Let  $S$  be the third point of intersection of  $E$  and  $PQ$ . Let  $R$  be the third point of intersection of  $E$  and  $O_E S$ . Define  $P \oplus Q := R$ . If  $P = Q$ , then take the tangent line  $T_P E$  at  $P$  instead of  $PQ$ , etc.

This is called “the cord and tangent process”.

**Theorem 4.1.**  $(E, \oplus)$  is an abelian group.

*Proof.*

- (i) commutativity of  $\oplus$  is clear.
- (ii)  $O_E$  is the identity.
- (iii) Inverses: Let  $S$  be the third point of  $\cap$  of  $E$  and  $T_{O_E} E$ . Let  $Q$  be the third point of  $\cap$  of  $E$  and  $PS$ . Then  $P \oplus Q = O_E$ .
- (iv) Associativity: Harder! □

Define  $\psi : E \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(E)$  by  $P \mapsto [(P) - (O_E)]$ .

**Proposition 4.2.**

- (i)  $\psi(P \oplus Q) = \psi(P) + \psi(Q)$ .
- (ii)  $\psi$  is a bijection.

*Proof.*

- (i) Let  $l$  resp.  $m$  be the linear forms whose zero sets are the lines  $PQ$  resp.  $O_E S$ . Then  $\text{div}(l/m) = (P) + (S) + (Q) - (O_E) - (S) - (R) = (P) + (Q) - (O_E) - (P \oplus Q)$ . Therefore  $(P \oplus Q) - (O_E) \sim (P) - (O_E) + (Q) - (O_E)$ , i.e.  $\psi(P \oplus Q) = \psi(P) + \psi(Q)$ .
- (ii) Injective: Suppose  $\psi(P) = \psi(Q)$ , for  $P \neq Q$ . So there exists  $f \in K^{\text{alg}}(E)$  such that  $\text{div } f = (P) - (Q)$ . Then the map  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  has degree 1, so  $E$  is rational, a contradiction.

Surjective: Let  $[D] \in \text{Pic}^0(E)$ . Then  $D + (O_E)$  has degree 1, so by Riemann-Roch,  $\dim \mathcal{L}(D + (O_E)) = 1$ , so there exists  $f \in K^{\text{alg}}(E)^*$  such that  $\text{div } f + D + (O_E) \geq 0$ . The divisor on the left has degree 1, so  $\text{div } f + D + (O_E) = (P)$  for some  $P \in E$  and hence  $\psi(P) = [D]$ . □



So  $\psi$  identifies  $(E, \oplus)$  with  $(\text{Pic}^0(E), +)$ , hence  $\oplus$  is associative.

## 4.1 Formulae for $E$ in Weierstraß Form

Let

$$E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6. \quad (*)$$

Let  $P_1, P_2, P_3, P'$  be points such that  $P'$  is the third point of intersection of  $E$  with  $P_1P_2$  and  $P_3$  is the third point of intersection of  $E$  with  $P'O_E$ . Write  $P_i = (x_i, y_i), i = 1, 2, 3, P' = (x', y')$ .

The inverse  $\ominus P_1$  of  $P_1$  is the third point of intersection of  $P_1O_E$  with  $E$ . So  $\ominus P_1 = (x_1, -(a_1x_1 + a_3) - y_1)$ .

Suppose the line through  $P_1, P_2$  has equation  $y = \lambda x + \nu$ . Substituting this into  $(*)$  and looking at the coefficient of  $x^2$  gives

$$\lambda^2 + a_1\lambda - a_2 = x_1 + x_2 + x'.$$

Note that  $x' = x_3$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 &= \lambda^2 + a_1\lambda - a_2 - x_1 - x_2, \\ y_3 &= -(a_1x' + a_3) - y' = -(\lambda + a_1)x_3 - \nu - a_3. \end{aligned}$$

Formulae for  $\lambda, \nu$ :

- Case I:  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $P_1 \neq P_2$ , then  $P_1 \oplus P_2 = O_E$ .
- Case II:  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Then  $\lambda = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}$  and  $\nu = y_1 - \lambda x_1 = \frac{x_2 y_1 - x_1 y_2}{x_2 - x_1}$ .
- Case III:  $P_1 = P_2$ . See formula sheet.

**Corollary 4.3.**  $E(K)$  is an abelian group.

*Proof.* It is a subgroup  $(E, \oplus)$ . We need to check that it is closed under  $\oplus, \ominus$ . This follows from the explicit formulas (they only involve the coefficients of the Weierstraß equation which lie in  $K$ ).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** Elliptic curves are group varieties, i.e. the maps  $[-1] : E \rightarrow E, P \mapsto \ominus P$  and  $\oplus : E \times E \rightarrow E, (P, Q) \mapsto P \oplus Q$  are morphisms.

*Proof.* The above formula show that  $[-1] : E \rightarrow E$  is a rational map, hence extends to a morphism (and this extension still agrees with  $[-1]$ ).

The above formulae show that  $\oplus : E \times E \rightarrow E$  is a rational map, regular on

$$U = \{(P, Q) \in E \times E \mid P, Q, P \oplus Q, P \ominus Q \neq O_E\}.$$

For  $P \in E$  let  $\tau_P : E \rightarrow E$  be translation by  $P$ .  $\tau_P$  is rational map and thus extends to a morphism (which still agrees with  $\tau_P$ ). We factor  $\oplus$  as

$$E \times E \xrightarrow{\tau_{\oplus A} \times \tau_{\oplus B}} E \times E \xrightarrow{\oplus} E \xrightarrow{\tau_{A \oplus B}} E$$

This shows that  $\oplus$  is regular on  $(\tau_A \times \tau_B)(U)$  for all  $A, B \in E$ . Thus  $\oplus$  is regular on  $E \times E$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 Statement of Results on $E(K)$

- (i)  $K = \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  for a lattice  $\Lambda$ .
- (ii)  $K = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $E(\mathbb{R}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \Delta > 0, \\ \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \Delta < 0. \end{cases}$
- (iii)  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ . Then  $|\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) - (q + 1)| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ .
- (iv)  $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ . Then  $E(K)$  has a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$ .
- (v)  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ . Then  $E(K)$  is finitely generated.

In the subsequent chapters we will prove (iii), (iv) and (v).

## 5 Isogenies

Let  $E_1, E_2$  be elliptic curves.

**Definition.**

- (i) An isogeny  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is a nonconstant morphism with  $\phi(O_{E_1}) = \phi(O_{E_2})$ .
- (ii) We say  $E_1, E_2$  are isogenous if there is an isogeny  $E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ .

By basic theorems about curves,  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is nonconstant iff it is surjective on  $K^{\text{alg}}$ -points. Hence if  $E_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} E_2 \xrightarrow{\psi} E_3$  are isogenies, then so is  $\psi\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_3$ . Furthermore,  $\deg(\psi\phi) = \deg\psi \deg\phi$  which also holds if we allow  $\phi = 0$  and set  $\deg 0 = 0$ .

**Definition.**  $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2) = \{\text{isogenies } E_1 \rightarrow E_2\} \cup \{0\}$ . This is an abelian group with pointwise operations.

**Definition.** For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $[n] : E \rightarrow E$  be defined by  $P \mapsto P + \dots + P$  ( $n$  times) if  $n > 0$  and  $[-n] = [-1] \circ [n]$  for  $n < 0$ .

The  $n$ -torsion subgroup of  $E$  is  $E[n] = \ker(E \xrightarrow{[n]} E)$ .

If  $K = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , so (1)  $E[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$  and (2)  $\deg[n] = n^2$  in this case.

We will show that (2) holds over any field  $K$  and (1) holds if  $\text{char } K \nmid n$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** Assume  $\text{char } K \neq 2$  and let  $E$  be given by  $y^2 = f(x) = (x-e_1)(x-e_2)(x-e_3)$  with  $e_i \in K^{\text{alg}}$ . Then  $E[2] = \{O, (e_1, 0), (e_2, 0), (e_3, 0)\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P = (x, y) \in E \setminus \{O\}$ . Then  $[2]P = O$  iff  $P = -P$  iff  $(x, y) = (x, -y)$  iff  $y = 0$ . □

**Proposition 5.2.** If  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $[n] : E \rightarrow E$  is an isogeny.

*Proof.*  $[n]$  is a morphism since the group law is given by a morphism, so we must show  $[n] \neq [0]$ . Assume that  $\text{char } K \neq 2$ .

- Case  $n = 2$ : By the previous Lemma we have  $E[2] \neq E$ , so  $[2] \neq 0$ .
- Case  $n$  odd: By the Lemma there exists  $O \neq T \in E[2]$ . Then  $[n]T = T \neq 0$ , so  $[n] \neq [0]$ .
- General case: Write  $[n] = [2^k][m]$  with  $m$  odd.

If char  $K = 2$ , then we could replace the Lemma with an explicit lemma about 3-torsion points.  $\square$

**Corollary.**  $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$  is a torsion-free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be an isogeny. Then  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism.

*Proof.*  $\phi$  induces a map  $\phi_* : \text{Div}^0(E_1) \rightarrow \text{Div}^0(E_2)$ ,  $\sum_{P \in E_1} n_P P \mapsto \sum_{P \in E_1} n_P \phi(P)$ . Fact: If  $f \in K(E_1)^*$ , then  $\text{div } N_{K(E_1)/K(E_2)}(f) = \phi_*(\text{div } f)$ . So  $\phi_*$  sends principal divisors to principal divisors and hence descends to a map  $\text{Pic}^0(E_1) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(E_2)$ . Since  $\phi(O_{E_1}) = O_{E_2}$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E_2 \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \text{Pic}^0(E_1) & \xrightarrow{\phi_*} & \text{Pic}^0(E_2) \end{array}$$

Since  $\phi_*$  is clearly a group homomorphism,  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be an isogeny. Then there exists a morphism  $\xi$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E_2 \\ \downarrow x_1 & & \downarrow x_2 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

Here  $x_i$  is an  $x$ -coordinate of a Weierstraß equation for  $E_i$ .

Moreover if  $\xi(t) = \frac{r(t)}{s(t)}$  with  $r, s \in K[t]$  coprime, then  $\deg \phi = \deg \xi = \max(\deg r, \deg s)$ .

*Proof.* For  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $K(E_i)/K(x_i)$  is a degree 2 Galois extension with Galois group generated by  $[-1]^*$ . By the theorem  $\phi[-1] = [-1]\phi$ . So if  $f \in K(x_2)$ , then  $[-1]^* \phi^* f = \phi^* [-1]^* f = \phi^* f$ , so  $\phi^* f \in K(x_1)$ . Now under the field embedding  $K(x_2) \hookrightarrow K(x_1)$  induced by  $\phi^*$ ,  $x_2$  maps to some  $\xi(x_1)$ . This  $\xi$  defines a morphism  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  making the above diagram commute. Then  $2 \deg \phi = 2 \deg \xi$ , so  $\deg \phi = \deg \xi$ . Write  $\xi(x_1) = \frac{r(x_1)}{s(x_1)}$  with  $r, s \in K[t]$  coprime. We claim that the minimal polynomial of  $x_1$  over  $K(x_2)$  is  $f(t) = r(t) - s(t)x_2 \in K(x_2)[t]$ . Since  $r, s$  are coprime,  $f$  is irreducible in  $K[x_2, t]$ . By Gauss' Lemma it is irreducible in  $K(x_2)[t]$ , hence  $\deg \phi = \deg \xi = [K(x_1) : K(x_2)] = \deg_t f = \max(\deg r, \deg s)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.**  $\deg[2] = 4$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\text{char } K \neq 2, 3$ .  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b = f(x)$ . If  $P = (x, y)$ , then  $x(2P) = \left(\frac{3x^2+a}{2y}\right)^2 - 2x = \frac{(3x^2+a)^2 - 8xf(x)}{4f(x)} = \frac{x^4 + \dots}{4f(x)}$ . So we have to prove that numerator and denominator are coprime. Indeed, otherwise there would be  $\theta \in K^{\text{alg}}$  with  $f(\theta) = 0 = 3\theta^2 + a = f'(\theta)$  which is not possible, hence  $\deg[2] = \max(4, 3) = 4$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be an abelian group.  $q : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a quadratic form if

- (i)  $q(nx) = n^2q(x)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in A$ ,
- (ii)  $(x, y) \mapsto q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear.

**Lemma 5.6.**  $q : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a quadratic form iff it satisfies the parallelogram law, i.e.  $q(x+y) + q(x-y) = 2q(x) + 2q(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

*Proof.* “ $\Rightarrow$ ” Let  $\langle x, y \rangle = q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$ . Then  $\langle x, x \rangle = q(2x) - 2q(x) = 2q(x)$ . But by (ii),  $q(x+y) + q(x-y) = \frac{1}{2}\langle x+y, x+y \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle x-y, x-y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle = 2q(x) + 2q(y)$ .

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” On Example Sheet 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.7.**  $\deg : \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a quadratic form (N.B. we define  $\deg 0 = 0$ ).

*Proof.* We assume that  $\text{char } K \neq 2, 3$ , so that we can write  $E_2 : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ . Let  $P, Q \in E_2$  with  $P, Q, P+Q, P-Q \neq 0$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_4$  be their  $x$ -coordinates.

**Lemma 5.8.** There exist polynomials  $W_0, W_1, W_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[a, b][x_1, x_2]$  of degree  $\leq 2$  in  $x_1$  and degree  $\leq 2$  and  $x_2$  such that  $(1 : x_3 + x_4 : x_3x_4) = (W_0 : W_1 : W_2)$ .

*Proof.* Method 1: Direct calculation,  $W_0 = (x_1 - x_2)^2, W_1 = \dots, W_2 = \dots$ , see formula sheet.

Method 2: Let  $y = \lambda x + \nu$  be the equation of the line through  $P, Q$ . Then  $x^3 + ax + b - (\lambda x + \nu)^2 = (x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3) = x^3 - s_1x^2 + s_2x - s_3$ .

Comparing coefficients gives:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^2 &= s_1 \\ -2\lambda\nu &= s_2 - a \\ \nu^2 &= s_3 + b\end{aligned}$$

Eliminating  $\lambda, \nu$  gives  $(s_2 - a)^2 - 4s_1(s_3 + b) = 0$ . The left side is a polynomial in  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ . We denote it by  $F(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . It has degree at most 2 in each  $x_i$  (separately).  $x_3$  is a root of the quadratic  $W(t) = F(x_1, x_2, t)$ . Note that the same is true for  $x_4$  (as  $-Q$  has also  $x$ -coordinate  $x_2$ ).

So  $W_0(t - x_3)(t - x_4) = W(t) = W_0t^2 - W_1t + W_2$ . Then  $(1 : x_3 + x_4 : x_3x_4) = (W_0 : W_1 : W_2)$ .  $\square$

We show that if  $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ , then  $\deg(\phi + \psi) + \deg(\phi - \psi) \leq 2 \deg \phi + 2 \deg \psi$ . We may assume  $\phi, \psi, \phi + \psi, \phi - \psi \neq 0$ . Otherwise trivial (or use  $\deg[-1] = 1, \deg[2] = 4$ ).

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &: (x, y) \mapsto (\xi_1(x), \dots), \\ \psi &: (x, y) \mapsto (\xi_2(x), \dots), \\ \phi + \psi &: (x, y) \mapsto (\xi_3(x), \dots), \\ \phi - \psi &: (x, y) \mapsto (\xi_4(x), \dots).\end{aligned}$$

By the Lemma,  $(1 : \xi_3 + \xi_4 : \xi_3\xi_4) = ((\xi_1 - \xi_2)^2 : \dots)$ . Put  $\xi_i = r_i/s_i$  with  $r_i, s_i \in K[t]$  coprime. Then  $(s_3s_4 : r_3s_4 + r_4s_3 : r_3r_4) = ((r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)^2 : \dots)$ . The three polynomials on the left are (not necessarily pairwise) coprime.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\deg(\phi + \psi) + \deg(\phi - \psi) &= \max(\deg r_3, \deg s_3) + \max(\deg r_4, \deg s_4) \\ &= \max(\deg(s_3s_4), \deg(r_3s_4 + r_4s_3), \deg(r_3r_4)) \\ &\leq 2 \max(\deg r_1, \deg s_1) + 2 \max(\deg r_2, \deg s_1) \\ &= 2 \deg \phi + 2 \deg \psi\end{aligned}$$

Now replace  $\phi, \psi$  by  $\phi + \psi, \phi - \psi$ , so that  $\deg(2\phi) + \deg(2\psi) \leq 2 \deg(\phi + \psi) + 2 \deg(\phi - \psi)$ . Since  $\deg[2] = 4$ , we get the desired reversed inequality.

Hence  $\deg$  satisfies the parallelogram law and is thus a quadratic form.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.9.**  $\deg(n\phi) = n^2 \deg \phi$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, \phi \in \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ , in particular  $\deg[n] = n^2$ .

**Example** (2-isogeny). Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve. Suppose  $\text{char } K \neq 2$  and  $0 \neq T \in E(K)[2]$ . WLOG  $E : y^2 = x(x^2 + ax + b)$ , with  $a, b \in K, b(a^2 - 4b) \neq 0$  and  $T = (0, 0)$ . If  $P = (x, y)$ , then  $P' = P + T = (x', y')$  where  $x' = (y/x)^2 - a - x = \frac{x^2 + ax + b}{x} - a - x = \frac{b}{x}$ , and  $y' = -(y/x)x' = -\frac{by}{x^2}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= x + x' + a = (y/x)^2, \\ \eta &= y + y' = (y/x)(x - b/x).\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\eta^2 = (y/x)^2((x + b/x)^2 - 4b) = \xi((\xi - a)^2 - 4b) = \xi(\xi^2 - 2a\xi + a^2 - 4b)$ . Thus  $\phi = (\xi, \eta)$  is a map from  $E$  to  $E' : y^2 = x(x^2 + a'x + b')$  with  $a' = -2a, b' = a^2 - 4b$ . This is an isogeny:  $\phi(x, y) = ((y/x)^2 : (y(x^2 - b))/x^2 : 1)$ . The orders of these functions at  $O_E$  are  $-2, -3, 0$ , so by multiplying through by the cube of a uniformizer gives  $1, 0, 3$ , so  $\phi(O_E) = (0 : 1 : 0) = O'_{E'}$ . Note that  $(y/x)^2 = (x^2 + ax + b)/x$ , and  $x^2 + ax + b, x$  are coprime as  $b \neq 0$ . So  $\deg \phi = 2$  and we say that  $\phi$  is a 2-isogeny.

## 6 The Invariant Differential

Let  $C$  be an algebraic curve over  $K = K^{\text{alg}}$ .

**Definition.** The space of differentials  $\Omega_C$  is the  $K(C)$ -vector space generated by  $df$  for  $f \in K(C)$  subject to the relations

$$(i) \quad d(f + g) = df + dg,$$

$$(ii) \quad d(fg) = fdg + gdf,$$

$$(iii) \quad da = 0 \text{ for } a \in K.$$

Fact:  $\Omega_C$  is a 1-dimensional  $K(C)$ -vector space.

Let  $0 \neq \omega \in \Omega_C$ . Let  $P \in C$  be a smooth point and  $t \in K(C)$  a uniformizer at  $P$ . Then  $\omega = fdt$  for some  $f \in K(C)^*$ . We define  $\text{ord}_P(\omega) = \text{ord}_P(f)$ . It is independent of the choice of  $t$ .

Fact: Suppose  $f \in K(C)^*$ ,  $\text{ord}_P(f) = n \neq 0$ . If  $\text{char } K \nmid n$ , then  $\text{ord}_P(df) = n - 1$ .

We now assume that  $C$  is a smooth projective curve.

**Definition.**  $\text{div } \omega := \sum_{P \in C} \text{ord}_P(\omega)P \in \text{Div}(C)$  (using that  $\text{ord}_P(\omega) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $P \in C$ ). The genus is  $g(C) = \dim_K \{\omega \in \Omega_C \mid \text{div}(\omega) \geq 0\}$ .

Consequence of Riemann-Roch: If  $0 \neq \omega \in \Omega_C$ ,  $\deg \text{div}(\omega) = 2g - 2$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** Assume  $\text{char } K \neq 2$  and let  $E : y^2 = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$  with  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  distinct. Then  $\omega = \frac{dx}{y}$  is a differential on  $E$  with no poles or zeros. In particular the  $K$ -vector space of regular differentials on  $E$  is 1-dimensional, spanned by  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T_i = (e_i, 0)$ ,  $E[2] = \{0, T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ . Then  $\text{div}(y) = (T_1) + (T_2) + (T_3) - 3(O_E)$ . For  $O_E \neq P \in E$  we have  $\text{div}(x - x_P) = (P) + (-P) - 2(O_E)$ . If  $P \in E \setminus E[2]$ , then  $\text{ord}_P(x - x_P) = 1$ , so  $\text{ord}_P(dx) = 0$ . If  $P = T_i$ , then  $\text{ord}_P(x - x_P) = 2$ , so  $\text{ord}_P(dx) = 1$ . If  $P = O_E$ , then  $\text{ord}_P(x) = -2$ , so  $\text{ord}_P(dx) = -3$ . Therefore  $\text{div}(dx) = (T_1) + (T_2) + (T_3) - 3(O_E)$ . Thus  $\text{div}(dx/y) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** For a nonconstant morphism  $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  we define  $\phi^* : \Omega_{C_2} \rightarrow \Omega_{C_1}$  by  $fdg \mapsto \phi^*fd(\phi^*g)$

**Lemma 6.2.** Let  $P \in E$  and  $\tau_P : E \rightarrow E, X \mapsto P + X$ . Let  $\omega = dx/y$  as above. Then  $\tau_P^*\omega = \omega$ .

*Proof.*  $\tau_P^*\omega$  is a regular differential on  $E$ , so  $\tau_P^*\omega = \lambda_P\omega$  for some  $\lambda_P \in K^*$ . The map  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, P \mapsto \lambda_P$  is a morphism of smooth projective curves, but not surjective (misses 0 and  $\infty$ ). Hence this morphism is constant. Since  $\lambda_{O_E} = 1$ , we deduce that  $\lambda_P = 1$  for all  $P$ .  $\square$

**Remark:** If  $K = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda \cong E(\mathbb{C})$  via  $z \mapsto (\wp(z), \wp'(z))$ . Then  $dx/y = (\wp'(z)dz)/\wp'(z) = dz$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ ,  $\omega$  an invariant differential on  $E_2$ . Then  $(\phi + \psi)^*\omega = \phi^*\omega + \psi^*\omega$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $E = E_2$ . Define the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} E \times E &\longrightarrow E, \\ \mu : (P, Q) &\longmapsto P + Q, \\ \text{pr}_1 : (P, Q) &\longmapsto P, \\ \text{pr}_2 : (P, Q) &\longmapsto Q. \end{aligned}$$

**Fact:**  $\Omega_{E \times E}$  is a 2-dimensional  $K(E \times E)$ -vector space with basis  $\text{pr}_1^*\omega, \text{pr}_2^*\omega$ . Therefore  $\mu^*\omega = f \text{pr}_1^*\omega + g \text{pr}_2^*\omega$  for some  $f, g \in K(E \times E)$ . For fixed  $Q \in E$  let

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_Q : E &\longrightarrow E \times E \\ P &\longmapsto (P, Q) \end{aligned}$$

Applying  $\iota_Q^*$  to the above equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu\iota_Q)^*\omega &= (\iota_Q^*f)(\text{pr}_1 \iota_Q)^*\omega + (\iota_Q^*g)(\underbrace{\text{pr}_2 \iota_Q}_{\text{constant map}})^*\omega \\ &\Rightarrow \tau_Q^*\omega = (\iota_Q^*f)\omega + 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \omega = (\iota_Q^*f)\omega \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\iota_Q^*f = 1$  for all  $Q \in E$ , so  $f(P, Q) = 1$  for all  $P, Q \in E$ . Similarly  $g(P, Q) = 1$  for all  $P, Q \in E$ . Therefore  $\mu^*\omega = \text{pr}_1^*\omega + \text{pr}_2^*\omega$ . Now pullback by  $E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \times E_2, P \mapsto (\phi(P), \psi(P))$  to get  $(\phi + \psi)^*\omega = \phi^*\omega + \psi^*\omega$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  be a morphism. Then  $\phi$  is separable iff  $\phi^* : \Omega_{C_2} \rightarrow \Omega_{C_1}$  is non-zero.*

*Proof.* Omitted.  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$  be the multiplicative group. Consider the map  $\phi : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m, x \mapsto x^n$ . Then  $\phi^*(dx) = d(x^n) = nx^{n-1}dx$ . So if  $\text{char } K \nmid n$ , then  $\phi$  is separable, so  $\#\phi^{-1}(Q) = \text{deg } \phi$  for all but finitely many  $Q \in \mathbb{G}_m$ . Since  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism,  $\#\phi^{-1}(Q) = \#\ker \phi$  for all  $Q \in \mathbb{G}_m$ . Therefore  $\#\ker \phi = \text{deg } \phi = n$ . So  $K$  contains exactly  $n$   $n$ -th roots of unity (unsurprisingly).



**Theorem 6.5.** *If  $\text{char } K \nmid n$ , then  $E[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.3 and induction we get  $[n]^*\omega = n\omega$ . Since  $\text{char } K \nmid n$ , we get that  $[n]$  is separable, so  $\#[n]^{-1}(Q) = \deg[n]$  for all but finitely many  $Q \in E$ . As in the example above, since  $[n]$  is a group homomorphism,  $\#[n]^{-1}(Q) = \#E[n]$  for all  $Q \in E$ , so  $\#E[n] = \deg[n] = n^2$ . We know that  $E[n] = \mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/d_t\mathbb{Z}$  with  $d_1 \mid \dots \mid d_t \mid n$ . If  $p$  is a prime with  $p \mid d_1$ , then  $E[p] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^t$ . But what we just proved is also true for  $p$ , i.e.  $\#E[p] = p^2$ , hence  $t = 2$  and then  $d_1 = d_2 = n$ .  $\square$

Remark: If  $\text{char } K = p$ , then  $[p]$  is inseparable. It can be shown that either  $E[p^r] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $r \geq 1$  or  $E[p^r] = 0$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . In the first case  $E$  is “ordinary”, in the second “supersingular”.

## 7 Elliptic Curves over Finite Fields

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $A$  be an abelian group and  $q : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a positive definite quadratic form. Then  $|q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)| \leq 2\sqrt{q(x)q(y)}$ .*

*Proof.* We denote  $\langle x, y \rangle = q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$ . We may assume  $x \neq 0$ , so that  $q(x) > 0$ . Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $0 \leq q(mx + ny) = \frac{1}{2}\langle mx + ny, mx + ny \rangle = m^2q(x) + mn\langle x, y \rangle + n^2q(y) = q(x)(m + \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{2q(x)}n)^2 + (q(y) - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle^2}{4q(x)})n^2$ . Now take  $m = -\langle x, y \rangle, n = 2q(x)$  to deduce  $4q(x)q(y) \geq \langle x, y \rangle^2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  be an elliptic curve. Then  $|\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) - (q+1)| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q^{\text{alg}}/\mathbb{F}_q)$  is topologically generated by the Frobenius  $x \mapsto x^q$ . Define the Frobenius endomorphism  $\phi : E \rightarrow E, (x, y) \mapsto (x^q, y^q)$  (after fixing a Weierstraß equation). It is an isogeny of degree  $q$ . Then  $E(\mathbb{F}_q) = \{P \in E \mid \phi(P) = P\} = \ker(1 - \phi)$ . Note that  $\phi^*\omega = \phi^*(dx/y) = d(x^q)/y^q = qx^{q-1}dx/y^q = 0$ . By Lemma 6.3  $(1 - \phi)^*\omega = \omega - \phi^*\omega = \omega \neq 0$ , so  $1 - \phi$  is separable. Hence  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#\ker(1 - \phi) = \deg(1 - \phi)$ . Now  $\deg : \text{Hom}(E, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a positive definite quadratic form, so by the lemma we get

$$|\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) - (q+1)| = |\deg(1 - \phi) - 1 - \deg \phi| \leq 2\sqrt{\deg \phi} = 2\sqrt{q}.$$

$\square$

### 7.1 Zeta Functions

For  $K$  a function field, i.e.  $K = \mathbb{F}_q(C)$  where  $C/\mathbb{F}_q$  is a smooth projective curve, we define  $\zeta_K(s) = \prod_{x \in |C|} (1 - (Nx)^{-s})^{-1}$  where  $|C|$  is the set of closed points on  $C$  (i.e. orbits of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q^{\text{alg}}/\mathbb{F}_q)$  on  $C(\mathbb{F}_q^{\text{alg}})$ ) and  $Nx = q^{\deg x}$  where  $\deg x$  is the size of the orbit. We have  $\zeta_K(s) = F(q^{-s})$  for  $F(T) = \prod_{x \in |C|} (1 - T^{\deg x})^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log F(T) &= \sum_{x \in |C|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} T^{m \deg x}, \\ \Rightarrow T \frac{d}{dT} \log F(T) &= \sum_{x \in |C|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \deg x T^{m \deg x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\substack{x \in |C| \\ \deg x | n}} \deg x \right) T^n \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) T^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$F(T) = \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}{n} T^n \right).$$

**Definition.** The zeta function of a smooth projective curve  $C/\mathbb{F}_q$  is

$$Z_C(T) = \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}{n} T^n \right).$$

**Definition.** For  $\phi, \psi \in \text{End } E$  we put  $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \deg(\phi + \psi) - \deg \phi - \deg \psi$  and  $\text{tr}(\phi) = \langle \phi, 1 \rangle$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** If  $\psi \in \text{End}(E)$ , then  $\psi^2 - [\text{tr } \psi]\psi + [\deg \psi] = 0$ .

*Proof.* See Exercise Sheet 2. □

**Theorem 7.4.** Let  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  be an elliptic curve and  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - a$ . Then

$$Z_E(T) = \frac{1 - aT + qT^2}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : E \rightarrow E$  be the  $q$ -power Frobenius map. By the proof of Hasse's theorem  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = \deg(1 - \phi) = q + 1 - \text{tr}(\phi)$  and  $\text{tr}(\phi) = a$ ,  $\deg \phi = q$ . By the lemma we have  $\phi^2 - a\phi + q = 0$ , hence  $\text{tr}(\phi^{n+2}) - a \text{tr}(\phi^{n+1}) + q \text{tr}(\phi^n) = 0$ . This second order difference equation with initial conditions  $\text{tr}(1) = 2$ ,  $\text{tr}(\phi) = a$  has solution  $\text{tr}(\phi^n) = \alpha^n + \beta^n$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  are the roots of  $X^2 - aX + q = 0$ . Then  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = \deg(1 - \phi^n) = 1 + \deg(\phi^n) - \text{tr}(\phi^n) = 1 + q^n - \alpha^n - \beta^n$ . We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_E(T) &= \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{T^n}{n} + \frac{(qT)^n}{n} - \frac{(\alpha T)^n}{n} - \frac{(\beta T)^n}{n} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{(1 - \alpha T)(1 - \beta T)}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)} \\
&= \frac{1 - aT + qT^2}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 8 Formal Groups

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal. The  $I$ -adic topology on  $R$  has basis  $\{r + I^n \mid r \in R, n \geq 1\}$ .

A sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in  $R$  is Cauchy if for all  $k$  there exists  $N$  such that  $x_m - x_n \in I^k$  for all  $m, n \geq N$ .

$R$  is ( $I$ -adically) complete if

- (i)  $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n = \{0\}$ ,
- (ii) every Cauchy sequence converges.

Useful remark: If  $R$  is complete and  $x \in I$ , then  $\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots \in R$ , so  $1 - x \in R^\times$ .

**Examples.** The following rings are  $I$ -adically complete:

- $R = \mathbb{Z}_p, I = p\mathbb{Z}_p$ .
- $R = \mathbb{Z}[[t]], I = (t)$ .

**Lemma 8.1** (Hensel's Lemma). Let  $R$  be complete w.r.t. an ideal  $I$ . Let  $F \in R[X]$ ,  $s \geq 1$ . Suppose  $a \in R$  satisfies  $F(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{I^s}$  and  $F'(a) \in R^\times$ . Then there exists a unique  $b \in R$  such that  $F(b) = 0$  and  $a \equiv b \pmod{I^s}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in R^\times$  with  $F'(a) \equiv u \pmod{I}$ . Replacing  $F(X)$  by  $F(X+a)/u$  we may assume  $a = 0$  and  $F'(0) \equiv 1 \pmod{I}$ . We put  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_{n+1} = x_n - F(x_n)$ . An easy induction shows that  $x_n \equiv 0 \pmod{I^s}$  for all  $n$ . Also  $F(X) - F(Y) = (X - Y)(F'(0) + XG(X, Y) + YH(X, Y))$  for some polynomials  $G, H \in R[X, Y]$ .

Claim:  $x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \pmod{I^{n+s}}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Proof: By induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 0$  is clear. Suppose  $x_n \equiv x_{n-1} \pmod{I^{n+s-1}}$ . By the above we get  $F(x_n) - F(x_{n-1}) = (x_n - x_{n-1})(1 + c)$  for some  $c \in I$ . Hence  $F(x_n) - F(x_{n-1}) \equiv x_n - x_{n-1} \pmod{I^{n+s}}$ . Rearranging this gives the claim.

Hence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is Cauchy, so  $x_n \rightarrow b$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $b \in R$  since  $R$  is complete. Taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $x_{n+1} = x_n - F(x_n)$  shows  $f(b) = 0$ . Also we get  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{I^s}$ .

Uniqueness: Use  $F(X) - F(Y) = (X - Y)(F'(0) + XG(X, Y) + YH(X, Y))$  and the useful remark (need  $R$  domain for uniqueness?).  $\square$

Consider an elliptic curve with Weierstraß equation

$$E : Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3.$$

On the affine piece  $Y \neq 0$  we set  $t = -X/Y, w = -Z/Y$  and get the equation

$$w = t^3 + a_1tw + a_2t^2w + a_3w^2 + a_4tw^2 + a_6w^3 =: f(t, w)$$

We apply Hensel's Lemma with  $R = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t]], I = (t)$  and  $F(X) = X - f(t, X) \in R[X], s = 3, a = 0$ . We check  $F(0) = -f(t, 0) = -t^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{I^3}$  and  $F'(0) = 1 - a_1t - a_2t^2 \in R^\times$ . Therefore there exists a unique  $w(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t]]$  such that  $w(t) = f(t, w(t))$  and  $w(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^3}$ .

Remarks:

(i) In fact  $w(t) = t^3(1 + A_1t + A_2t^2 + \dots)$  where

$$A_1 = a_1, \quad A_2 = a_1^2 + a_2, \quad A_3 = a_1^3 + 2a_1a_2 + 2a_3, \dots$$

(ii) Taking  $u = 1$  in the proof of Hensel's Lemma gives  $w(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n(t)$ , where  $w_0(t) = 0, w_{n+1}(t) = f(t, w_n(t))$ .

**Lemma 8.2.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain, complete with respect to an ideal  $I$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in R$  and  $K = \text{Frac } R$ . Then  $\widehat{E}(I) = \{(t, w) \in E(K) \mid t, w \in I\}$  is a subgroup of  $E(K)$ .*

N.B. By the uniqueness in Hensel's lemma this set is  $\{(t, w(t)) \mid t \in I\}$ .

*Proof.* Taking  $(t, w) = (0, 0)$  shows  $O_E \in \widehat{E}(I)$ . So it suffices to show that if  $P_1, P_2 \in \widehat{E}(I)$ , then  $-P_1 - P_2 \in \widehat{E}(I)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \begin{cases} \frac{w(t_2) - w(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1}, & t_1 \neq t_2 \\ w'(t_1), & t_1 = t_2 \end{cases} \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n-2} \frac{t_1^{n+1} - t_2^{n+1}}{t_1 - t_2} \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n-2} (t_1^n + t_1^{n-1}t_2 + \dots + t_2^n) \in I \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\nu = w - \lambda t \in I$ . Substituting  $w = \lambda t + \nu$  in  $w = f(t, w)$  gives

$$\lambda t + \nu = t^3 + a_1t(\lambda t + \nu) + a_2t^2(\lambda t + \nu) + a_3(\lambda t + \nu)^2 + a_4t(\lambda t + \nu)^2 + a_6(\lambda t + \nu)^3$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{Coef of } t^3 = 1 + a_2\lambda + a_4\lambda^2 + a_6\lambda^3, \\ B &= \text{Coef of } t^2 = a_1\lambda + a_2\nu + a_3\lambda^2 + 2a_4\lambda\nu + 3a_6\lambda^2\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $A \in R^\times, B \in R$ . Then  $t_3 = -\frac{B}{A} - t_1 - t_2 \in I$  and  $w_3(t_3) = \lambda t_3 + \nu \in I$ .  $\square$

We apply this:

- $R = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t]]$ ,  $I = (t)$ , then the Lemma shows that there exists  $\iota \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t]]$  with  $\iota(0) = 0$  and  $[-1](t, w(t)) = (\iota(t), w(\iota(t)))$ .
- $R = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t_1, t_2]]$ ,  $I = (t_1, t_2)$ , then the Lemma shows that there exists  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t_1, t_2]]$  with  $F(0, 0) = 0$  and  $(t_1, w(t_1)) + (t_2, w(t_2)) = (F(t_1, t_2), w(F(t_1, t_2)))$ .

In fact

$$\begin{aligned}\iota(X) &= -X - a_1X^2 - a_2X^3 - (a_1^3 + a_3)X^4 + \dots \\ F(X, Y) &= X + Y - a_1XY - a_2(X^2Y + XY^2) + \dots\end{aligned}$$

The group law implies the following properties:

- (i)  $F(X, Y) = F(Y, X)$
- (ii)  $F(X, 0) = X$  and  $F(0, Y) = Y$
- (iii)  $F(X, F(Y, Z)) = F(F(X, Y), Z)$
- (iv)  $F(X, \iota(X)) = 0$ .

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be a ring. A formal group over  $R$  is a power series  $F \in R[[X, Y]]$  satisfying (i), (ii), (iii) above.

N.B. One can show that property (iv) is automatically satisfied (see Example Sheet 2).

**Examples.**

- (i)  $F(X, Y) = X + Y$ , the additive group  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$ .
- (ii)  $F(X, Y) = (1 + X)(1 + Y) - 1 = X + Y + XY$ , the multiplicative group  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ .
- (iii) The power series  $F$  associated to an elliptic curve  $E$  as above.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  be formal groups over  $R$  given by power series  $F$  and  $G$ . A morphism  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a power series  $f \in R[[t]]$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfying  $f(F(X, Y)) = G(f(x), f(y))$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are isomorphic if there exist morphisms  $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{F}$  such that  $g(f(X)) = X = f(g(X))$ .

**Theorem 8.3.** If  $\text{char } R = 0$ , then any formal group  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $R$  is isomorphic to  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$  over  $R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely:

- (i) There is a unique power series

$$\log(T) = T + \frac{a_2}{2}T^2 + \frac{a_3}{3}T^3 + \dots$$

with  $a_i \in R$  such that  $\log(F(X, Y)) = \log X + \log Y$ .

(ii) There is a unique power series

$$\exp(T) = T + \frac{b_2}{2!}T^2 + \frac{b_3}{3!}T^3 + \dots$$

with  $b_i \in R$  such that  $\exp(\log T) = \log(\exp T) = T$ .

*Proof.* Notation:  $F_1(X, Y) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X}(X, Y)$ .

- (i) Uniqueness: Let  $p(T) = \frac{d}{dT} \log T = 1 + a_2T + a_3T^2 + \dots$ . Differentiating  $\log F(X, Y) = \log X + \log Y$  w.r.t.  $X$  gives  $p(F(X, Y)) \cdot F_1(X, Y) = p(X)$ . Then plug in  $X = 0$  to get  $p(Y)F_1(0, Y) = 1$ , so  $p(Y) = F_1(0, Y)^{-1}$ .

Existence: Let  $p(T) = F_1(0, T)^{-1} = 1 + a_2T + a_3T^2 + \dots$  for some  $a_i \in R$ . Then let  $\log(T) = \int p(T) = T + \frac{a_2}{2}T^2 + \frac{a_3}{3}T^3 + \dots$ . We know  $F(F(X, Y), Z) = F(X, F(Y, Z))$ . Differentiate w.r.t.  $X$  to get  $F_1(F(X, Y), Z)F_1(X, Y) = F_1(X, F(Y, Z))$  and put  $X = 0$ , so  $F_1(Y, Z)F_1(0, Y) = F_1(0, F(Y, Z))$ . So  $F_1(Y, Z)p(Y)^{-1} = p(F(Y, Z))^{-1}$ , so  $F_1(Y, Z)p(F(Y, Z)) = p(Y)$ . Integrate w.r.t.  $Y$  and get  $\log F(Y, Z) = \log Y + h(Z)$  for some power series  $h$ . By symmetry we see that  $h(Z) = \log Z$ .

- (ii) This part follows from Q12 on Example Sheet 2 and the following Lemma:

**Lemma.** Let  $f(T) = aT + \dots \in R[[T]]$  with  $a \in R^\times$ . Then there exists a unique  $g(T) = a^{-1}T + \dots \in R[[T]]$  such that  $f(g(T)) = g(f(T)) = T$ .

*Proof.* We construct polynomials  $g_n(T) \in R[T]$  such that  $f(g_n(T)) \equiv T \pmod{T^{n+1}}$  and  $g_{n+1}(T) \equiv g_n(T) \pmod{T^{n+1}}$ . Then set  $g(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(T)$  satisfies  $f(g(T)) = T$ . To start the induction set  $g_1(T) = a^{-1}T$ . Now suppose  $n \geq 2$  and  $g_{n-1}(T)$  exists, so  $f(g_{n-1}(T)) \equiv T + bT^n \pmod{T^{n+1}}$  for some  $b \in R$ . We put  $g_n(T) = g_{n-1}(T) + \lambda T^n$  for some  $\lambda \in R$  to be chosen later. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(g_n(T)) &= f(g_{n-1}(T) + \lambda T^n) \\ &\equiv f(g_{n-1}(T)) + \lambda a T^n \pmod{T^{n+1}} \\ &\equiv T + (b + \lambda a) T^n \pmod{T^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

So take  $\lambda = -b/a$  using  $a \in R^\times$ .

Hence we get  $g(T) = a^{-1}T + \dots \in R[[T]]$  with  $f(g(T)) = T$ . Applying the same construction to  $g$  gives  $h(T) = aT + \dots \in R[[T]]$  such that  $g(h(T)) = T$ , so  $f(T) = f(g(h(T))) = h(T)$ , hence  $g(f(T)) = T$ .  $\square$

$\square$

Notation: Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a formal group given by a power series  $F \in R[[X, Y]]$ . Suppose  $R$  is complete w.r.t. the ideal  $I$ . For  $x, y \in I$  put  $x \oplus_{\mathcal{F}} y = F(x, y) \in I$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(I) := (I, \oplus_{\mathcal{F}})$  is an abelian group.

**Examples.**

- $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a(I) = (I, +)$ ,
- $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m(I) \cong (1 + I, \times)$ ,
- $\widehat{E}(I) =$  subgroup of  $E(K)$  in Lemma 8.2.

**Corollary 8.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a formal group over  $R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $n \in R^\times$ . Then*

- (i)  $[n] : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism of formal groups.
- (ii) If  $R$  is complete w.r.t. an ideal  $I$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(I) \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathcal{F}(I)$  is an isomorphism of groups. In particular,  $\mathcal{F}(I)$  has no  $n$ -torsion.

*Proof.* We have  $[1](T) = T$  and  $[n](T) = F([n-1](T), T)$  for  $n \geq 2$ , for  $n < 0$  use  $[-1](T) = \iota(T)$ . A straightforward induction then shows that  $[n](T) = nT + \cdots \in R[[T]]$ , so the claim is immediate from the lemma above.  $\square$



## 9 Elliptic Curves over Local Fields

Let  $K$  be a field, complete w.r.t. a (normalized) discrete valuation  $v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $k$  denote its residue field and  $\pi$  a uniformizer. We assume  $\text{char } K = 0$  and  $\text{char } k = p > 0$  (e.g.  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ).

Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve.

**Definition.** A Weierstraß equation for  $E$  with coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in K$  is integral if  $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . An integral Weierstraß equation is minimal if  $v(\Delta)$  is minimal among all integral Weierstraß equations for  $E$ .

Remarks:

- (i) Putting  $x = u^2x', y = u^3y'$  gives  $a_i = u^i a'_i$ . Therefore integral Weierstraß equations exist.
- (ii) If  $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , so  $v(\Delta) \geq 0$ , so minimal Weierstraß equations exist.
- (iii) If  $\text{char } k \neq 2, 3$ , then there exist minimal Weierstraß equations of the form  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ .

**Lemma 9.1.** Let  $E/K$  have integral Weierstraß equation

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$$

Let  $O \neq P = (x, y) \in E(K)$ . Then either  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$  or  $v(x) = -2s, v(y) = -3s$  for some  $s \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that if  $v(x) \geq 0$ , then  $v(y) \geq 0$  and also conversely. So suppose  $v(x), v(y) < 0$ . Then on LHS and RHS the dominating terms w.r.t.  $v$  are  $y^2$  and  $x^3$ , so  $v(y^2) = v(x^3)$  and the result follows.  $\square$

Since  $K$  is complete,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is complete w.r.t. to the ideal  $\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K$  for any  $r \geq 1$ .

Fix a minimal Weierstraß equation for  $E/K$ , so we get a formal group  $\widehat{E}$  over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Taking  $I = \pi^r \mathcal{O}_K$  in Lemma 8.2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{E}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) &= \{(x, y) \in E(K) \mid -\frac{x}{y}, -\frac{1}{y} \in \pi^r \mathcal{O}_K\} \cup \{O\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \in E(K) \mid v(x/y) \geq r, -v(y) \geq r\} \cup \{O\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{(x, y) \in E(K) \mid v(x) = -2s, v(y) = -3s, s \geq r\} \cup \{O\} \\
&= \{(x, y) \in E(K) \mid v(x) \leq -2r, v(y) \leq -3r\} \cup \{O\}
\end{aligned}$$

is a subgroup of  $E(K)$ . We denote it by  $E_r(K)$ . This gives a filtration  $\dots \subseteq E_3(K) \subseteq E_2(K) \subseteq E_1(K)$ . More generally for any formal group  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  we have  $\dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\pi^3 \mathcal{O}_K) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\pi^2 \mathcal{O}_K) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\pi \mathcal{O}_K)$ .

We now show that the isomorphism  $\mathcal{F} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$  of formal groups induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) \cong (\mathcal{O}_K, +)$  of genuine groups for  $r$  sufficiently large.

**Theorem 9.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a formal group over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $e = v(p)$ . If  $r > \frac{e}{p-1}$ , then*

$$\log : \mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K)$$

is an isomorphism of groups with inverse

$$\exp : \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K).$$

Remark:  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) = (\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K, +) \cong (\mathcal{O}_K, +)$ .

*Proof.* For  $x \in \pi^r \mathcal{O}_K$  we must show that the power series  $\log x$  and  $\exp x$  converge. Recall  $\exp(T) = T + \frac{b_2}{2!}T^2 + \frac{b_3}{3!}T^3 + \dots$  with  $b_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Claim:  $v_p(n!) \leq \frac{n-1}{p-1}$ . Proof of claim:

$$v_p(n!) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^r} \right\rfloor \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p^r} = \frac{n}{p-1}$$

So  $(p-1)v_p(n!) < n$ , so  $(p-1)v_p(n!) \leq n-1$  since the LHS is  $\in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now

$$v\left(\frac{b_n x^n}{n!}\right) \geq nr - e \frac{n-1}{p-1} = (n-1) \underbrace{\left(r - \frac{e}{p-1}\right)}_{>0} + r$$

This is always  $\geq r$  and goes to  $\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $\exp x$  converges and belongs to  $\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K$ . A similar method works for  $\log$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.3.** *We have  $\mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K)/\mathcal{F}(\pi^{r+1} \mathcal{O}_K) \cong (k, +)$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of a formal group,  $F(X, Y) = X + Y + XY(\dots)$ . So if  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ ,

$$F(\pi^r x, \pi^r y) \equiv \pi^r(x + y) \pmod{\pi^{r+1}}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) &\longrightarrow (k, +) \\
\pi^r x &\longmapsto x \pmod{\pi}
\end{aligned}$$

is a surjective group homomorphism with kernel  $\mathcal{F}(\pi^{r+1} \mathcal{O}_K)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** If  $\#k < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(\pi\mathcal{O}_K)$  has a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$ .

We denote the reduction  $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/\pi\mathcal{O}_K = k$  by  $x \mapsto \tilde{x}$ .

**Proposition 9.4.** Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve. Then the reductions mod  $\pi$  of any two minimal Weierstraß equations for  $E$  define isomorphic curves over  $k$ .

*Proof.* Say the Weierstraß equations are related by the usual coordinate change with parameters  $u \in K^\times, r, s, t \in K$ . Then  $\Delta_1 = u^{12}\Delta_2$ . Since both equations are minimal, we get  $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ . From the transformation formulae for the  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ , one can also see that  $r, s, t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . So the coordinate change descends to a valid coordinate change mod  $\pi$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** The reduction  $\tilde{E}/k$  of  $E/K$  is defined by the reduction of a minimal Weierstraß equation.

$E$  has good reduction if  $\tilde{E}$  is nonsingular (and so an elliptic curve). Otherwise  $E$  has bad reduction.

For an integral Weierstraß equation

$$\begin{aligned} v(\Delta) = 0 &\implies \text{good reduction} \\ 0 < v(\Delta) < 12 &\implies \text{bad reduction} \end{aligned}$$

There is a well-defined map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^2(K) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(k) \\ (x : y : z) &\longmapsto (\tilde{x} : \tilde{y} : \tilde{z}) \end{aligned}$$

by choosing  $x, y, z$  such that  $\min\{v(x), v(y), v(z)\} = 0$ .

We restrict to get  $E(K) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(k), P \mapsto \tilde{P}$ .

If  $P = (x, y) \in E(K)$ , then by Lemma 9.1 either  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , so that  $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ , or  $v(x) = -2s, v(y) = -3s$  and  $P = (\pi^{3s}x : \pi^{3s}y : \pi^{3s}) \mapsto \tilde{P} = (0 : 1 : 0)$ .

Therefore  $\hat{E}(\pi\mathcal{O}_K) = E_1(K) = \{P \in E(K) \mid \tilde{P} = O\}$  is called the *kernel of reduction*.

$$\text{Let } \tilde{E}_{ns} = \begin{cases} \tilde{E} & \text{if } E \text{ has good reduction,} \\ \tilde{E} \setminus \{\text{singular point}\} & \text{if } E \text{ has bad reduction.} \end{cases}$$

The chord and tangent process still defines a group law on  $\tilde{E}_{ns}$ . In cases of bad reduction  $\tilde{E}_{ns} \cong \mathbb{G}_a$  (over  $k$ ) or  $\tilde{E}_{ns} \cong \mathbb{G}_m$  (over  $k$  or possibly a quadratic extension of  $k$ ).

For simplicity, suppose  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ . Then  $\tilde{E} : y^2 = f(x)$  with  $\deg f = 3$ . Then  $\tilde{E}$  is singular iff  $f$  has a repeated root.

If the singularity is a node (resp. a cusp), we get multiplicative (resp. additive) reduction.

Assume that the singularity is a cusp and that  $\tilde{E}$  is given by  $y^2 = x^3$ . Then consider the map  $\tilde{E}_{ns} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto x/y$  with inverse  $t \mapsto (t^{-2}, t^{-3})$ . Let  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  lie on the line  $ax + by = 1$ . Write  $P_i = (x_i, y_i)$ ,  $t_i = x_i/y_i$ . Then  $x_i^3 = y_i^2 = y_i^2(ax_i + by_i)$ . So  $t_i^3 - at_i - b = 0$ . Then  $t_1, t_2, t_3$  are the roots of  $T^3 - aT - b = 0$ , so  $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 0$ . Hence the map above is a group isomorphism.

The case of a node is an exercise.

**Definition.**  $E_0(K) = \{P \in E(K) \mid \tilde{P} \in \tilde{E}_{ns}(k)\}$ .

**Proposition 9.5.**  $E_0(K)$  is a subgroup of  $E(K)$ , and reduction mod  $\pi$  is a surjective group homomorphism  $E_0(K) \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{ns}(k)$ .

*Proof.* Group homomorphism: A line  $l$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  defined over  $K$  has equation  $l : aX + bY + cZ = 0$  with  $a, b, c \in K$ . We may assume that  $\min(v(a), v(b), v(c)) = 0$ . Then reducing mod  $\pi$  gives a line  $\tilde{l} : \tilde{a}X + \tilde{b}Y + \tilde{c}Z = 0$ . If  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in E(K)$  with  $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = O$ , then these points lie on a line  $l$ . Then  $\tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2, \tilde{P}_3$  lie on the line  $\tilde{l}$ . If  $\tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2 \in \tilde{E}_{ns}(K)$ , then  $\tilde{P}_3 \in \tilde{E}_{ns}(k)$ . So if  $P_1, P_2 \in E_0(K)$ , then  $P_3 \in E_0(K)$  and  $\tilde{P}_1 + \tilde{P}_2 + \tilde{P}_3 = 0$  (exercise: Show this also works if some of the points are repeated).

Surjective: Let  $f(x, y) = y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y - (x^3 + \dots)$ . Let  $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{E}_{ns}(k) \setminus \{O\}$ , say  $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0)$ . For some  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Since  $\tilde{P}$  is non-singular, either  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$  or  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ . In the first case we put  $g(t) = f(t, y_0) \in \mathcal{O}_K[t]$  and apply Hensel's lemma to the approximate root  $x_0$ , the second case is similar.  $\square$

It follows that  $E_0(K)/E_1(K) \cong \tilde{E}_{ns}(k)$ .

A compactness argument will show that if  $\#k < \infty$ , then  $E_0(K)$  is of finite index in  $E(K)$ .

We deduce:

**Theorem 9.6.** If  $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ , then  $E(K)$  contains a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$ .

In the following let  $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ . We denote the unique unramified extension of degree  $m$  of  $K$  by  $K_m$ . We also let  $K^{\text{ur}} = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} K_m$ .

**Theorem 9.7.** Let  $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ . Suppose  $E/K$  has good reduction and  $p \nmid n$ . If  $P \in E(K)$ , then  $K([n]^{-1}P)/K$  is unramified. Here  $[n]^{-1}P = \{Q \in E(K^{\text{alg}}) : nQ = P\}$ .

*Proof.* For each  $m \geq 1$  there is a SES

$$0 \rightarrow E_1(K_m) \rightarrow E(K_m) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(k_m) \rightarrow 0$$

Taking  $\bigcup_{m \geq 1}$  gives a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & E_1(K^{\text{ur}}) & \longrightarrow & E(K^{\text{ur}}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}(k^{\text{alg}}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \times n & & \downarrow \times n & & \downarrow \times n \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_1(K^{\text{ur}}) & \longrightarrow & E(K^{\text{ur}}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}(k^{\text{alg}}) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

The left vertical map is an isomorphism by Corollary 8.4 (ii) applied over each  $K_m$ . The right vertical map is surjective with kernel  $\cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ . By the Snake lemma we get  $E(K^{\text{ur}})[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$  and  $E(K^{\text{ur}})/nE(K^{\text{ur}}) = 0$ .

So if  $P \in E(K)$ , there exists  $Q \in E(K^{\text{ur}})$  such that  $nQ = P$ . Then  $[n]^{-1}(P) = \{Q + T : T \in E[n]\} \subseteq E(K^{\text{ur}})$ . Hence  $K([n]^{-1}P) \subseteq K^{\text{ur}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.8.** *If  $\#k < \infty$ , then  $E_0(K) \subseteq E(K)$  has finite index.*

*Proof.* Since  $\#k < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^r\mathcal{O}_K$  is finite for all  $r \geq 1$ . So  $\mathcal{O}_K \cong \varprojlim_r \mathcal{O}_K/\pi^r\mathcal{O}_K$  is a profinite group and hence compact.  $\mathbb{P}^n(K)$  is the union of sets  $\{(a_0 : \cdots : a_{i-1} : 1 : a_{i+1} : \cdots : a_n) \mid a_j \in \mathcal{O}_K\} \cong \mathcal{O}_K^n$ , hence compact.  $E(K) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2(K)$  is a closed subset, hence compact. The group operations are continuous. So  $E(K)$  is a compact topological group. If  $\tilde{E}$  has singular point  $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0)$ , then  $E(K) \setminus E_0(K) = \{(x, y) \in E(K) \mid v(x - x_0) \geq 1, v(y - y_0) \geq 1\}$  is a closed subset of  $E(K)$ . Therefore  $E_0(K)$  is an open subgroup of  $E(K)$ . As  $E(K)$  is compact, this implies that  $E_0(K)$  has finite index in  $E(K)$ .  $\square$

The index  $[E(K) : E_0(K)] =: c_K(E)$  is called the ‘‘Tamagawa number’’ (of  $E$ ).

Remarks:

- (i) If  $E$  has good reduction, then  $c_K(E) = 1$ , but the converse is false.
- (ii) It can be shown that either  $c_K(E) = v(\Delta)$  or  $c_K(E) \leq 4$  (essential that we work with a minimal Weierstraß equation).

# 10 Elliptic Curves over Number Fields - The Torsion Subgroup

Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $E/K$  an elliptic curve.

Notation:  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of  $K$  (i.e. of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ), write  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for the completion of  $K$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for its valuation ring and  $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$  for its residue field.

**Definition.**  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of good reduction for  $E/K$  if  $E/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has good reduction.

**Lemma 10.1.**  $E/K$  has only finitely many primes of bad reduction.

*Proof.* Take a Weierstraß equation for  $E$  with coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . As  $E$  is non-singular,  $\Delta \neq 0$ . Then  $E$  has good reduction at any prime not dividing  $\Delta$ .  $\square$

Remark: If  $K$  has class number 1 (e.g.  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ), then we can always find a Weierstraß equation for  $E$  with  $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{O}_K$  which is minimal at all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Lemma 10.2.**  $E(K)_{\text{tors}}$  is finite.

*Proof.* Take any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We saw that  $E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$  has a subgroup  $A$  of finite index with  $A \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, +)$ . In particular  $A$  is torsionfree. Then  $E(K)_{\text{tors}} \subseteq E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\text{tors}} \hookrightarrow E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of good reduction with  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid n$ . Then reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  gives an injective group homomorphism  $E(K)[n] \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $E(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is a group homomorphism with kernel  $E_1(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . By corollary 8.4 and  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid n$ ,  $E_1(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$  has no  $n$ -torsion.  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be defined by  $y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2$ . Then  $\Delta = -11$ . So  $E$  has good reduction at all  $p \neq 11$ . We calculate:

$p$	2	3	5	7	11	13
$\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$	5	5	5	10	-	10

Thus by the lemma  $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 5 \cdot 2^a$  for some  $a \geq 0$  and  $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 5 \cdot 3^b$  for some  $b \geq 0$ , hence  $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 5$ . Let  $T = (0, 0) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $5T = O$ , so  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = \langle T \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example.** Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be defined by  $y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2$ . Then  $\Delta = -43$ . Again we calculate:

$p$	2	3	5	7	11	13
$\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$	5	6	10	8	9	19

Therefore  $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 5 \cdot 2^a$  for some  $a \geq 0$  and  $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 9 \cdot 11^b$  for some  $b \geq 0$ . Hence  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = \{O\}$ . Therefore  $P = (0, 0)$  must have infinite order. In particular  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is infinite.

**Example.**  $E_D : y^2 = x^3 - D^2x$  with  $D \in \mathbb{Z}$  squarefree,  $\Delta = 2^6 D^6$ . Then  $E_D(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \supseteq \{0, (0, 0), (\pm D, 0)\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Let  $f(x) = x^3 - D^2x$ . If  $p \nmid 2D$ , then

$$\#\tilde{E}_D(\mathbb{F}_p) = 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left( \left( \frac{f(x)}{p} \right) + 1 \right).$$

If  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then since  $f$  is an odd function,  $\left( \frac{f(-x)}{p} \right) = -\left( \frac{f(x)}{p} \right)$ . Therefore  $\#\tilde{E}_D(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$ . Let  $m = \#E_D(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ . We have  $4 \mid m \mid p + 1$  for all sufficiently large (i.e.  $p \nmid 2Dm$ ) primes  $p$  with  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Therefore  $m = 4$  since otherwise this contradicts Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions. So  $E_D(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ . Hence  $\text{rank } E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 1$  iff there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $y \neq 0$  such that  $y^2 = x^3 - D^2x$  iff  $D$  is a congruent number.

**Lemma 10.4.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be given by a Weierstraß equation with  $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $0 \neq T = (x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ . Then*

(i)  $4x, 8y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(ii) If  $2 \mid a_1$  or  $2T \neq 0$ , then  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* The Weierstraß equation defines a formal group  $\hat{E}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For  $r \geq 1$  we have  $\hat{E}(p^r \mathbb{Z}_p) = \{(x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid v_p(x) \leq -2r, v_p(y) \leq -3r\} \cup \{O\}$ . By Theorem 9.2,  $\hat{E}(p^r \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$  if  $r > \frac{1}{p-1}$ . So  $\hat{E}(4\mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $\hat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$  for  $p$  odd are torsionfree. So if  $0 \neq T = (x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ , it follows that  $v_2(x) \geq -2, v_2(y) \geq -3$  and  $v_p(x) \geq 0, v_p(y) \geq 0$  for odd primes  $p$ . This proves (i).

For (ii) suppose  $T \in \hat{E}(2\mathbb{Z}_2) \setminus \hat{E}(4\mathbb{Z}_2)$ , i.e.  $v_2(x) = -2, v_2(y) = -3$ . Since  $\hat{E}(2\mathbb{Z}_2)/\hat{E}(4\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2, +)$  and  $\hat{E}(4\mathbb{Z}_2)$  is torsionfree, we get  $2T = 0$ . So  $(x, y) = T = -T = (x, -y - a_1x - a_3)$ , so  $2y + a_1x + a_3 = 0$ . From this it follows easily that  $2 \nmid a_1$ .

So if  $2T \neq O$  or  $a_1$  is even, then  $T \notin \hat{E}(2\mathbb{Z}_2)$ . □

**Example.**  $E : y^2 + y = x^3 + 4x + 1$ . Then  $(-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}) \in E(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ .

**Theorem 10.5** (Lutz-Nagell). *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve with Weierstraß equation  $y^2 = f(x) = x^3 + ax + b$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $0 \neq T = (x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ . Then  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and either  $y = 0$  or  $y^2 \mid (4a^3 + 27b^2)$ .*

*Proof.* The previous lemma shows that  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $2T = O$ , then  $y = 0$ , so suppose that  $2T \neq O$ . Write  $2T = (x_2, y_2)$ . Then by the lemma again  $x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But  $x_2 = \left(\frac{f'(x)}{2y}\right)^2 - 2x$ , so  $y \mid f'(x)$ . As  $E$  is non-singular,  $f$  and  $f'$  are coprime, so there exist  $g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  such that  $g(X)f(X) + h(X)f'(X)^2 = 1$ . Doing this calculation and clearing denominators gives

$$(3X^2 + 4a)f'(X)^2 - 27(X^3 + aX - b)f(X) = 4a^3 + 27b^2$$

Since  $y \mid f'(x)$  and  $y^2 = f(x)$ , we get  $y^2 \mid (4a^3 + 27b^2)$ . □

Remark: Mazur showed that if  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is an elliptic curve, then

$$E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & 1 \leq n \leq 12, n \neq 11, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z} & 1 \leq n \leq 4. \end{cases}$$



# 11 Kummer Theory

Let  $K$  be a field,  $\text{char } K \nmid n$ . Assume  $\mu_n \subseteq K$ .

**Lemma 11.1.** *Let  $\Delta \subseteq K^*/(K^*)^n$  be a finite subgroup. Let  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$ . Then  $L/K$  is Galois and*

$$\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \text{Hom}(\Delta, \mu_n).$$

*Proof.*  $L/K$  is Galois since  $\mu_n \subseteq K$  and  $\text{char } K \nmid n$ . Define the Kummer pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \text{Gal}(L/K) \times \Delta \rightarrow \mu_n$ ,  $(\sigma, x) \mapsto \frac{\sigma(\sqrt[n]{x})}{\sqrt[n]{x}}$ . Note that this is well-defined and bilinear. It is also non-degenerate: If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  such that  $\langle \sigma, x \rangle = 1$  for all  $x \in \Delta$ , then clearly  $\sigma = 1$ . If  $x \in \Delta$  such that  $\langle \sigma, x \rangle = 1$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , so  $\sigma(\sqrt[n]{x}) = \sqrt[n]{x}$  for all  $\sigma$  and so  $\sqrt[n]{x} \in K$ , i.e.  $x \in (K^*)^n$ .

Thus we get injective group homomorphisms

- (i)  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\Delta, \mu_n)$ ,
- (ii)  $\Delta \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(L/K), \mu_n)$ .

By (i)  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  is abelian of exponent dividing  $n$ .

N.B. If  $G$  is a finite abelian group of exponent dividing  $n$ , then  $\text{Hom}(G, \mu_n) \cong G$  (non-canonically). So  $\#\text{Gal}(L/K) \leq \#\Delta \leq \#\text{Gal}(L/K)$ , hence the injections above are isomorphisms.  $\square$

**Example.**  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ .

**Theorem 11.2.** *There is a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite subgroups} \\ \Delta \subseteq K^*/(K^*)^n \end{array} \right\} &\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite abelian extensions } L/K \\ \text{of exponent dividing } n \end{array} \right\} \\ \Delta &\longmapsto K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta}) \\ (L^*)^n \cap K^*/(K^*)^n &\longleftarrow L. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\Delta \subseteq K^*/(K^*)^n$  be a finite subgroup. Let  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$  and  $\Delta' = (L^*)^n \cap K^*/(K^*)^n$ . We must show  $\Delta = \Delta'$ . Clearly  $\Delta \subseteq \Delta'$ . So  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta}) \subseteq K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta'}) \subseteq L$ . In particular  $K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta}) = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta'})$ , so by Lemma 11.1  $\#\Delta = \#\Delta'$ . It follows that  $\Delta = \Delta'$ .

(ii) Let  $L/K$  be a finite abelian extension of exponent dividing  $n$ . Let  $\Delta = (L^*)^n \cap K^*/(K^*)^n$ . Then  $K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta}) \subseteq L$  and we aim to prove this is an equality. Let  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ .

The Kummer pairing gives an injection  $\Delta \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mu_n)$ . **Claim:** This is a surjection. From this we would get  $[K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta}) : K] = \#\Delta = \#G$ , so  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$ . Proof of claim: Let  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mu_n$  be a homomorphism. Distinct automorphisms are linearly independent, so there exists  $a \in L$  such that  $y := \sum_{\tau \in G} \chi(\tau)^{-1} \tau(a) \neq 0$ . Let  $\sigma \in G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(y) &= \sum_{\tau \in G} \chi(\tau)^{-1} \sigma \tau(a) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in G} \chi(\sigma^{-1} \tau)^{-1} \tau(a) \\ &= \chi(\sigma) y \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\sigma(y^n) = y^n$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ , so  $y^n \in K^*$ . Let  $x = y^n$ . Then  $x \in K^* \cap (L^*)^n$ . Note that  $\chi : \sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma(y)}{y} = \frac{\sigma(\sqrt[n]{x})}{\sqrt[n]{x}}$ . So the map  $\Delta \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mu_n)$  sends  $x$  to  $\chi$  which proves the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 11.3.** *Let  $K$  be a number field,  $\mu_n \subseteq K$ . Let  $S$  be a finite set of primes of  $K$ . There are only finitely many extensions  $L/K$  such that*

- (i)  $L/K$  is finite abelian of exponent dividing  $n$ .
- (ii)  $L/K$  is unramified at all  $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be such an extension. By Theorem 11.2,  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$  for some finite subgroup  $\Delta \subseteq K^*/(K^*)^n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $K$ . Write  $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{P}_r^{e_r}$ . If  $x \in K^*$  represents an element of  $\Delta$ . Then  $n v_{\mathfrak{P}_i}(x) = v_{\mathfrak{P}_i}(x) = e_i v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ , then all  $e_i = 1$ , so  $n \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ . So  $\Delta \subseteq K(S, n)$  where  $K(S, n) = \{x \in K^*/(K^*)^n \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \forall \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}$ . The claim then follows from the following Lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.4.**  *$K(S, n)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* The map  $K(S, n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\#S}$ ,  $x \mapsto (v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \pmod{n})_{\mathfrak{p} \in S}$  is a group homomorphism with kernel  $K(\emptyset, n)$ . So it suffices to prove that  $K(\emptyset, n)$  is finite, i.e. we may assume  $S = \emptyset$ .

If  $x \in K^*$  represents an element of  $K(\emptyset, n)$ , then  $(x) = \mathfrak{a}^n$  for some fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ . There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^*/(\mathcal{O}_K^*)^n \rightarrow K(\emptyset, n) \rightarrow \text{Cl}_K[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

We know that  $\text{Cl}_K$  is finite and  $\mathcal{O}_K^*$  is finitely generated, so it follows that  $K(\emptyset, n)$  is finite.  $\square$

## 12 Elliptic Curves over Number Fields - The Mordell-Weil Theorem

Let  $K$  be a field.

**Lemma 12.1.** *Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve,  $L/K$  a finite Galois extension. The natural map  $E(K)/nE(K) \rightarrow E(L)/nE(L)$  has finite kernel.*

*Proof.* For each element in the kernel we pick a coset representative  $P \in E(K)$ , and then  $Q \in E(L)$  with  $nQ = P$ . For any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  we have  $n(\sigma Q - Q) = \sigma P - P = O$ , so  $\sigma Q - Q \in E[n]$ . Since  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $E[n]$  are finite, there are only finitely many possibilities for the map  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow E[n]$ ,  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma Q - Q$ . But if  $P_1, P_2 \in E(K)$ ,  $P_i = nQ_i$ ,  $Q_i \in E(L)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\sigma Q_1 - Q_1 = \sigma Q_2 - Q_2$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , then  $\sigma(Q_1 - Q_2) = Q_1 - Q_2$ , so  $Q_1 - Q_2 \in E(K)$ , hence  $P_1 - P_2 = n(Q_1 - Q_2) \in nE(K)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 12.2.** *Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve. If  $P \in E(K)$ , then  $K([n]^{-1}P)/K$  is a Galois extension, and moreover if  $E[n] \subseteq E(K)$ , the Galois group is abelian of exponent dividing  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$  acts on  $[n]^{-1}(P)$ , we see that  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K([n]^{-1}P))$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$ , so the extension  $K([n]^{-1}P)/K$  is Galois.

Suppose that  $E[n] \subseteq E(K)$ . Pick  $Q \in [n]^{-1}P$ . Then  $[n]^{-1}P = \{Q + T \mid T \in E[n]\}$ . So  $K([n]^{-1}P) = K(Q)$ . There is a map  $\text{Gal}(K(Q)/K) \rightarrow E[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ ,  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma Q - Q$ . This is a group homomorphism as  $\sigma\tau Q - Q = \sigma(\tau Q - Q) + \sigma Q - Q = (\tau\sigma - Q) + \sigma Q - Q$  and injective: If  $\sigma Q - Q = O$ , then  $\sigma Q = Q$ , so  $\sigma$  fixes  $K(Q)$ , so  $\sigma = 1$ . Hence  $\text{Gal}(K(Q)/K) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 12.3** (Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem). *Let  $K$  be a number field,  $E/K$  an elliptic curve,  $n \geq 2$  an integer. Then  $E(K)/nE(K)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 12.1 we may replace  $K$  by a finite Galois extension, and thus wlog assume  $\mu_n \subseteq K$  and  $E[n] \subseteq E(K)$ . The field extensions  $K([n]^{-1}P)/K$  as  $P$  runs over  $E(K)$  are abelian of exponent dividing  $n$  and unramified outside the finite set of primes

$$S = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid n\} \cup \{\text{primes of bad reduction}\}$$

by Theorem 9.7. By Proposition 11.3 there are only finitely many such extensions. The composite ( $L$  say) of all these field extensions is therefore a finite Galois extension of  $K$ .

By construction of  $L$  the map  $E(K)/nE(K) \rightarrow E(L)/nE(L)$  is the zero map. By Lemma 12.1 again its kernel, which is  $E(K)/nE(K)$ , is finite.  $\square$

Remark: If  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ , then  $\#(E(K)/nE(K)) < \infty$ , yet  $E(K)$  is uncountable and so not finitely generated. For an example of a field  $K$  and an elliptic curve  $E/K$  for which  $E(K)/2E(K)$  is not finitely generated, see Example Sheet 4.

Fact: If  $K$  is a number field, there exists a quadratic form (= canonical height),  $\widehat{h} : E(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  with the property that for any  $B \geq 0$ , the set  $\{P \in E(K) \mid \widehat{h}(P) \leq B\}$  is finite. We will show this in the next chapter.

**Theorem 12.4** (The Mordell-Weil Theorem). *Let  $K$  be a number field,  $E/K$  an elliptic curve. Then  $E(K)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.*

*Proof.* Fix an integer  $n \geq 2$ . By Weak Mordell-Weil  $E(K)/nE(K)$  is finite, so let  $P_1, \dots, P_m \in E(K)$  be a finite list of coset representatives. Let  $\Sigma = \{P \in E(K) \mid \widehat{h}(P) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \widehat{h}(P_i)\}$ . Claim:  $\Sigma$  generates  $E(K)$ . If not, there exists  $P \in E(K)$  of minimal height which is not in the subgroup  $A$  generated by  $\Sigma$ . Then  $P = P_i + nQ$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $Q \in E(K)$ . Note that  $Q \notin A$ , so by minimality of  $\widehat{h}(P)$ , we get  $\widehat{h}(P) \leq \widehat{h}(Q)$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} 4\widehat{h}(P) &\leq 4\widehat{h}(Q) \leq n^2\widehat{h}(Q) \\ &= \widehat{h}(P - P_i) \\ &\leq \widehat{h}(P - P_i) + \widehat{h}(P + P_i) = 2\widehat{h}(P) + 2\widehat{h}(P_i). \end{aligned}$$

So  $\widehat{h}(P) \leq \widehat{h}(P_i)$ . Then  $P \in \Sigma \subseteq A$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $\Sigma$  generates  $E(K)$  and thus  $E(K)$  is finitely generated.  $\square$

# 13 Heights

For simplicity take  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ . Write  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q})$  as  $P = (a_0 : a_1 : \dots : a_n)$  where  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\gcd(a_0, \dots, a_n) = 1$ . The *height* of  $P$  is  $H(P) := \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|$ .

**Lemma 13.1.** *Let  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2]$  be coprime homogeneous polynomials of the same degree  $d$ . Let*

$$F : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \\ (x_1 : x_2) \mapsto (f_1(x_1, x_2) : f_2(x_1, x_2)).$$

*Then there exist  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that  $c_1 H(P)^d \leq H(F(P)) \leq c_2 H(P)^d$ .*

*Proof.* WLOG  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$ . For the upper bound write  $P = (a : b)$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  coprime. Then  $H(F(P)) \leq \max(|f_1(a, b)|, |f_2(a, b)|) \leq c_2 \max\{|a|^d, |b|^d\}$  where  $c_2 = \max_{i=1,2}(\text{sum of absolute values of coeffs. of } f_i)$ . Hence  $H(F(P)) \leq c_2 H(P)^d$ .

Lower bound: We claim there exist homogeneous polynomials  $g_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$  of degree  $d - 1$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^2 g_{ij} f_j = \kappa X_i^{2d-1}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Indeed, running Euclid's algorithm on  $f_1(X, 1)$  and  $f_2(X, 1)$  gives  $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  of degree  $< d$  such that  $r(X)f_1(X, 1) + s(X)f_2(X, 1) = 1$ . Homogenizing and clearing denominators gives the claim with  $i = 2$ . Likewise with  $i = 1$ . Write  $P = (a_1 : a_2)$  with  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  coprime. Then  $\sum_{j=1}^2 g_{ij}(a_1, a_2)f_j(a_1, a_2) = \kappa a_i^{2d-1}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore  $\gcd(f_1(a_1, a_2), f_2(a_1, a_2))$  divides  $\gcd(\kappa a_1^{2d-1}, \kappa a_2^{2d-1}) = \kappa$ . Also

$$|\kappa a_i^{2d-1}| \leq \max_{j=1,2} |f_j(a_1, a_2)| \sum_{j=1}^2 |g_{ij}(a_1, a_2)| \\ \leq \kappa H(F(P)) \gamma_i H(P)^{d-1}$$

where  $\gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^2 (\text{sum of absolute values of coeffs. of } g_{ij})$ . Therefore  $H(P)^{2d-1} \leq \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) H(F(P)) H(P)^{d-1}$  and so  $c_1 H(P)^d \leq H(F(P))$  where  $c_1 = \frac{1}{\max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$ .  $\square$

Notation: For  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , let  $H(x) = H((x : 1)) = \max(|a|, |b|)$  where  $x = \frac{a}{b}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  coprime.

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve given by  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ .

**Definition.** *The height on  $E$  is*

$$H : E(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$$

$$P \mapsto \begin{cases} H(x) & \text{if } P = (x, y), \\ 1 & \text{if } P = O_E. \end{cases}$$

The logarithmic height is

$$\begin{aligned} h : E(\mathbb{Q}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \\ P &\longmapsto \log H(P). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 13.2.** *Let  $E, E'$  be elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  an isogeny defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $|h(\phi(P)) - (\deg \phi)h(P)| \leq c$  for all  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that by Lemma 5.4 there is a map  $\xi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E_2 \\ \downarrow x_1 & & \downarrow x_2 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

commutes. By Lemma 13.1 there exist  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that  $c_1 H(P)^d \leq H(\phi(P)) \leq c_2 H(P)^d$  for all  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$  where  $d = \deg \xi = \deg \phi$ . Taking log gives

$$|h(\phi(P)) - dH(P)| \leq \max(\log c_2, -\log c_1).$$

□

Let  $\phi = [2] : E \rightarrow E$ . Then there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $|h(2P) - 4h(P)| \leq C$  for all  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ .

The canonical height is

$$\widehat{h}(P) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} h(2^n P).$$

We check that this converges: Let  $m \geq n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |4^{-m} h(2^m P) - 4^{-n} h(2^n P)| &\leq \sum_{r=n}^{m-1} |4^{-(r+1)} h(2^{r+1} P) - 4^{-r} h(2^r P)| \\ &= \sum_{r=n}^{m-1} 4^{-(r+1)} |h(2(2^r P)) - 4h(2^r P)| \\ &\leq C \sum_{r=n}^{\infty} 4^{-(r+1)} \\ &= \frac{C}{3 \cdot 4^n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

So the sequence is Cauchy and  $\widehat{h}(P)$  exists.

**Lemma 13.3.**  $|h(P) - \widehat{h}(P)|$  is bounded for  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $n = 0$  in the above calculation gives  $|4^{-m}h(2^m P) - h(P)| \leq \frac{C}{3}$ , hence  $|\widehat{h}(P) - h(P)| \leq \frac{C}{3}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 13.4.** For any  $B > 0$ , the set  $\{P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \mid \widehat{h}(P) \leq B\}$  is finite.

*Proof.* By the previous lemma we can replace  $\widehat{h}$  by  $h$ . It is clear that there are only finitely many  $x$  with  $H(x) \leq B$ , each such  $x$  leaves at most 2 choices for  $y$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 13.5.** Let  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  be an isogeny defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\widehat{h}(\phi P) = (\deg \phi)\widehat{h}(P)$  for all  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 13.2 there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $|h(\phi P) - (\deg \phi)h(P)| \leq c$  for all  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Replace  $P$  by  $2^n P$ , divide by  $4^n$  and then take the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to get the claim.  $\square$

Remark: This shows that  $\widehat{h}$  (unlike  $h$ ) does not depend on the choice of Weierstraß equation for  $E$ .

Taking  $\phi = [n]$  shows  $\widehat{h}(nP) = n^2\widehat{h}(P)$  for all  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 13.6.** Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve, say with Weierstraß equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$H(P+Q)H(P-Q) \leq cH(P)^2H(Q)^2$$

for all  $P, Q \in E(\mathbb{Q})$  with  $P, Q, P+Q, P-Q \neq O$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P, Q, P+Q, P-Q$  have  $x$  coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_4$ . Write  $x_i = \frac{r_i}{s_i}$  with  $r_i, s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  coprime. As in the proof of Theorem 5.7 there are polynomials  $W_0, W_1, W_2$  such that  $(s_3s_4 : r_3s_4 + r_4s_3 : r_3r_4) = (W_0 : W_1 : W_2)$ . The  $W_0, W_1, W_2$  have degree 2 in  $r_1, s_1$  and degree 2 in  $r_2, s_2$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} H(P+Q)H(P-Q) &= \max(|r_3|, |s_3|) \max(|r_4|, |s_4|) \\ &\leq 2 \max(|s_3s_4|, |r_3s_4 + r_4s_3|, |r_3r_4|) \\ &\leq 2 \max(|W_0|, |W_1|, |W_2|) \\ &\leq (\text{const.}) \max(|r_1|, |s_1|)^2 \max(|r_2|, |s_2|)^2 \\ &= (\text{const.})H(P)^2H(Q)^2. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 13.7.**  $\widehat{h} : E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a quadratic form.

*Proof.* Using Lemma 13.6 and that  $|h(2P) - 4h(P)|$  is bounded (in one of the exceptional cases where the lemma does not apply) we get  $h(P+Q) + h(P-Q) \leq 2h(P) + 2h(Q) + C$  for some constant  $C$  for all  $P, Q \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then replace  $P, Q$  by  $2^n P, 2^n Q$ , divide by  $4^n$  and take the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We get  $\widehat{h}(P+Q) + \widehat{h}(P-Q) \leq 2\widehat{h}(P) + 2\widehat{h}(Q)$ . Replacing  $P, Q$  by  $P+Q, P-Q$  and using  $\widehat{h}(2P) = 4\widehat{h}(P)$  we get the reverse inequality.

So  $\widehat{h}$  satisfies the parallelogram law and is thus a quadratic form. □

Remark: Over a general number field  $K$ , define the height  $H(P)$  of  $P = (a_0 : a_1 : \cdots : a_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$  by

$$H(P) = \prod_v \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|_v$$

where the product ranges over the places  $v$  of  $K$  (using a suitable normalization of  $|\cdot|_v$ ). This is well-defined by the product formula. All results in this section generalize from  $\mathbb{Q}$  to  $K$ .



## 14 Dual Isogenies and the Weil Pairing

Let  $K$  be a perfect field,  $E/K$  an elliptic curve.

**Proposition 14.1.** *Let  $\Phi \subseteq E(K^{\text{alg}})$  be a finite  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$ -stable subgroup. Then there exists an elliptic curve  $E'/K$  and a separable isogeny  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  defined over  $K$ , with kernel  $\Phi$ . Moreover, every isogeny  $\psi : E \rightarrow E''$  with  $\Phi \subseteq \ker \psi$  uniquely factors through  $\phi$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E'' \\ & \searrow \phi & \nearrow \exists! \\ & & E' \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Omitted, see Silverman AEC, Chapter III, Corollary 11 and Proposition 4.12.  $\square$

**Proposition 14.2.** *Let  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  be an isogeny of degree  $n$ . Then there exists a unique isogeny  $\widehat{\phi} : E' \rightarrow E$  such that  $\widehat{\phi}\phi = [n]$ .  $\widehat{\phi}$  is called the dual isogeny of  $\phi$ .*

*Proof.* Uniqueness:  $\psi_1\phi = \psi_2\phi = [n]$ , so  $(\psi_1 - \psi_2)\phi = 0$ , so  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$  as  $\phi$  is surjective. Case  $\phi$  is separable:  $\#\ker \phi = n$ , so  $\ker \phi \subseteq E[n]$ . Apply the proposition to  $\psi = [n]$ .

Case  $\phi$  inseparable: Omitted (Silverman AEC, Chapter III, Theorem 6).  $\square$

Remarks:

- (i) Write  $E_1 \sim E_2$  if  $E_1, E_2$  are isogenous. Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.
- (ii)  $\deg[n] = n^2$ , so  $\deg \phi = \deg \widehat{\phi}$  and  $[\widehat{n}] = [n]$ .
- (iii) If  $E_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} E_2 \xrightarrow{\phi} E_3$ , then  $\widehat{\phi\psi} = \widehat{\psi}\widehat{\phi}$ .
- (iv)  $\phi\widehat{\phi}\phi = \phi[n]_E = [n]_{E'}\phi$ , hence  $\phi\widehat{\phi} = [n]_{E'}$ . In particular  $\widehat{\widehat{\phi}} = \phi$ . If  $\phi \in \text{End}(E)$ , then  $\phi^2 - [\text{tr } \phi]\phi + [\deg \phi] = 0$ , so  $([\text{tr } \phi] - \phi)\phi = [\deg \phi]$ . So  $\widehat{\phi} = [\text{tr } \phi] - \phi$  and so  $[\text{tr } \phi] = \phi + \widehat{\phi}$ .

**Lemma 14.3.** *If  $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(E, E')$ , then*

$$\widehat{\phi + \psi} = \widehat{\phi} + \widehat{\psi}.$$

*Proof.*

- (i) If  $E = E'$ , this follows from  $\text{tr}(\phi + \psi) = \text{tr } \phi + \text{tr } \psi$ .

(ii) In general, let  $\alpha : E' \rightarrow E$  be any isogeny (e.g.  $\alpha = \widehat{\phi}$ ). Then by (i),  $\widehat{\alpha(\phi + \psi)} = \widehat{\alpha\phi + \alpha\psi} = \widehat{\alpha}\widehat{\phi} + \widehat{\alpha}\widehat{\psi}$ . So  $\widehat{\phi + \psi}\widehat{\alpha} = (\widehat{\phi} + \widehat{\psi})\widehat{\alpha}$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

Remark: In Silverman's AEC, the lemma is used to show that  $\deg : \text{Hom}(E, E')$  is a quadratic form.

Let  $\text{sum} : \text{Div}(E) \rightarrow E$ ,  $\sum n_P(P) \mapsto \sum n_P P$ . Recall  $E \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}^0(E)$  via  $P \mapsto [(P) - (O_E)]$  and  $\text{sum}(D) \mapsto [D]$  if  $\deg D = 0$ .

We deduce:

**Lemma 14.4.** *Let  $D \in \text{Div}(E)$ . Then  $D \sim 0$  iff  $\deg D = 0$  and  $\text{sum } D = O_E$ .*

Let  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  be an isogeny of degree  $n$  with dual isogeny  $\widehat{\phi} : E' \rightarrow E$ . Assume  $\text{char } K \nmid n$  (so both  $\phi$  and  $\widehat{\phi}$  are separable). We define the Weil pairing

$$E[\phi] \times E'[\widehat{\phi}] \rightarrow \mu_n.$$

Let  $T \in E'[\widehat{\phi}]$ . Then  $nT = 0$ , so there exists  $f \in K^{\text{alg}}(E')^*$  such that  $\text{div}(f) = n(T) - n(O)$ . Pick  $T_0 \in E(K^{\text{alg}})$  such that  $\phi T_0 = T$ . Then  $\phi^*(T) - \phi^*(O) = \sum_{P \in E[\phi]} (P + T_0) - \sum_{P \in E[\phi]} (P)$  has  $\text{sum } nT_0 = \widehat{\phi}\phi T_0 = \widehat{\phi}T = 0$ . So there is  $g \in K^{\text{alg}}(E)^*$  such that

$$\text{div}(g) = \phi^*(T) - \phi^*(O).$$

Now  $\text{div}(\phi^* f) = \phi^*(\text{div } f) = \phi^*(n(T) - n(O)) = n(\phi^*(T) - \phi^*(O)) = \text{div}(g^n)$ . So  $\phi^* f = cg^n$  for some  $c \in K^{\text{alg}*}$ . Rescaling  $f$  we may assume  $c = 1$ , so  $\phi^* f = g^n$ .

If  $S \in E[\phi]$ , then  $\phi \circ \tau_S = \phi$ , so  $\tau_S^*(\text{div } g) = \text{div } g$ . Then  $\text{div}(\tau_S^* g) = \text{div}(g)$  and so  $\tau_S^* g = \zeta g$  for some  $\zeta \in K^{\text{alg}*}$ . Therefore

$$\zeta = \frac{g(X + S)}{g(X)} \text{ for all } X \in E(K^{\text{alg}}) \text{ where this is defined.}$$

Now  $\zeta^n = \frac{g(X+S)^n}{g(X)^n} = \frac{f(\phi(X+S))}{f(\phi(X))} = 1$  since  $S \in E[\phi]$ . So  $\zeta \in \mu_n$ . We define  $e_\phi(S, T) = \zeta = \frac{g(X+S)}{g(X)}$ .

**Proposition 14.5.**  *$e_\phi$  is bilinear and non-degenerate.*

*Proof.* (i) Linearity in first argument:

$$e_\phi(S_1 + S_2, T) = \frac{g(X + S_1 + S_2)}{g(X + S_2)} \frac{g(X + S_2)}{g(X)} = e_\phi(S_1, T) e_\phi(S_2, T).$$

(ii) Linearity in second argument: Let  $T_1, T_2 \in E'[\widehat{\phi}]$ , we get  $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2$  with  $\text{div}(f_i) = n(T_i) - n(O)$  and  $\phi^* f_i = g_i^n$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . There exists  $h \in K^{\text{alg}}(E')^*$  such that  $\text{div}(h) =$

$(T_1) + (T_2) - (T_1 + T_2) - (O)$ . Then put  $f = \frac{f_1 f_2}{h^n}$ . Then  $\text{div}(f) = \text{div}(f_1) + \text{div}(f_2) - n \text{div}(h) = n(T_1 + T_2) - n(O)$  and  $\phi^* f = \frac{g_1^n g_2^n}{(\phi^* h)^n}$ , so set  $g = \frac{g_1 g_2}{\phi^* h}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_\phi(S, T_1 + T_2) &= \frac{g(X + S)}{g(X)} = \frac{g_1(X + S)}{g_1(X)} \frac{g_2(X + S)}{g_2(X)} \frac{h(\phi(X))}{h(\phi(X + S))} \\ &= \frac{g_1(X + S)}{g_1(X)} \frac{g_2(X + S)}{g_2(X)} = e_\phi(S, T_1) e_\phi(S, T_2) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Nondegeneracy: For  $T \in E'[\widehat{\phi}]$  suppose  $e_\phi(S, T) = 1$  for all  $S \in E[\phi]$ . Then  $\tau_S^* g = g$  for all  $S \in E[\phi]$ . Note that  $K^{\text{alg}}(E)/\phi^* K^{\text{alg}}(E')$  is Galois with Galois group  $E[\phi]$  (where  $S \in E[\phi]$  acts as  $\tau_S^*$ ). Then  $g = \phi^* h$  for some  $h \in K^{\text{alg}}(E')$  (see also Proposition 14.1). Then  $\phi^* f = g^n = \phi^*(h^n)$ , so  $f = h^n$  and thus  $\text{div}(h) = (T) - (O)$ . Then  $T = O$ . Hence  $E'[\widehat{\phi}] \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E[\phi], \mu_n)$  is injective. Since  $\#E[\phi] = \#E'[\widehat{\phi}] = n$ , this map is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Remarks:

- (i) If  $E, E', \phi$  are defined over  $K$ , then  $e_\phi$  is Galois equivariant, i.e.  $e_\phi(\sigma S, \sigma T) = \sigma(e_\phi(S, T))$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), S \in E[\phi], T \in E'[\widehat{\phi}]$ .
- (ii) Taking  $\phi = [n] : E \rightarrow E$  (so  $\widehat{\phi} = n$ ) gives  $e_n : E[n] \times E[n] \rightarrow \mu_n$  (note that since  $E[n]$  is  $n$ -torsion the image is actually in  $\mu_n \subseteq \mu_{n^2}$ ).

**Corollary 14.6.** *If  $E[n] \subseteq E(K)$ , then  $\mu_n \subseteq K$ .*

*Proof.*  $e_n$  is nondegenerate, so there exists  $S, T \in E[n]$  such that  $e_n(S, T)$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity  $\zeta_n$ . Then  $\sigma(\zeta_n) = \sigma(e_n(S, T)) = e_n(\sigma S, \sigma T) = e_n(S, T)$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$ , hence  $\zeta_n \in K$ .  $\square$

Remark: In fact the Weil pairing  $e_n$  is alternating, i.e.  $e_n(T, T) = 1$  for all  $T \in E[n]$ .

# 15 Galois Cohomology

Let  $G$  be a group,  $A$  a  $G$ -module.

**Definition.**

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(G, A) &= A^G = \{a \in A \mid \sigma a = a \forall \sigma \in G\} \\ C^1(G, A) &= \{\text{maps } G \rightarrow A\} \\ Z^1(G, A) &= \{(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \in C^1(G, A) \mid a_{\sigma\tau} = \sigma(a_\tau) + a_\sigma\} \\ B^1(G, A) &= \{(\sigma b - b)_{\sigma \in G} \mid b \in A\} \\ H^1(G, A) &= Z^1(G, A)/B^1(G, A) \end{aligned}$$

Elements in  $C^1(G, A)$  (resp.  $Z^1(G, A)$ ,  $B^1(G, A)$ ) are called cochains (resp. cocycles, coboundaries).

Remark: If  $G$  acts trivially on  $A$ , then  $H^1(G, A) = \text{Hom}(G, A)$ .

**Theorem 15.1.** A short exact sequence of  $G$  modules

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$0 \rightarrow A^G \xrightarrow{\phi} B^G \xrightarrow{\psi} C^G \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} H^1(G, B) \xrightarrow{\psi_*} H^1(G, C).$$

*Proof.* Omitted (straightforward, Snake lemma). □

Definition of  $\delta$ : Let  $c \in C^G$ . Then there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $\psi(b) = c$ . Then  $\psi(\sigma b - b) = \sigma c - c$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ , so  $\sigma b - b = \phi(a_\sigma)$  for some  $a_\sigma \in A$ . Then  $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \in Z^1(G, A)$ . We define  $\delta(c) = (a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} + B^1(G, A) \in H^1(G, A)$ .

**Theorem 15.2.** Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -module,  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ . There is an inflation-restriction exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G/H, A^H) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^1(H, A).$$

*Proof.* Omitted (straightforward). □

Let  $K$  be a perfect field. Then  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$  is a topological group. If  $G = \text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$ , we modify the definition of  $H^1(G, A)$  by insisting:

- (1) The stabilizer of each  $a \in A$  is an open subgroup of  $G$ .
- (2) All cochains  $G \rightarrow A$  are continuous where  $A$  carries the discrete topology.

**Theorem** (Hilbert's Theorem 90). *Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension. Then*

$$H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), L^*) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . Let  $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \in Z^1(G, L^\times)$ . Distinct automorphisms are linearly independent, so there exists  $y \in L$  such that  $x := \sum_{\tau \in G} a_\tau^{-1} \tau(y) \neq 0$ . For  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\sigma(x) = \sum_{\tau \in G} \sigma(a_\tau)^{-1} \sigma \tau(y) = a_\sigma \sum_{\tau \in G} a_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} \sigma \tau(y) = a_\sigma x$ . Hence  $a_\sigma = \frac{\sigma(x)}{x}$ , so  $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \in B^1(G, L^\times)$ , so  $H^1(G, L^\times) = 0$ .  $\square$

We have

$$H^1(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), A) = \varinjlim_{L/K \text{ finite Galois}} H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), A^{\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/L)})$$

where the direct limit is taken with respect to the inflation maps.

**Corollary.**  $H^1(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), K^{\text{alg}\times}) = 0$ .

**Example.** Assume  $\text{char } K \nmid n$ . There is a short exact sequence of  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow K^{\text{alg}\times} \xrightarrow{n} K^{\text{alg}\times} \rightarrow 0$$

This gives a long exact sequence

$$K^\times \xrightarrow{n} K^\times \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), K^{\text{alg}\times}) = 0.$$

Hence  $H^1(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), \mu_n) \cong K^\times / (K^\times)^n$ .

If  $\mu_n \subseteq K$ , then  $\text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), \mu_n) = H^1(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), \mu_n) \cong K^\times / (K^\times)^n$ .

And there is a bijection:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite abelian extensions } L/K \\ \text{of exponent dividing } n \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite subgroups of} \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), \mu_n) \end{array} \right\},$$

$$L \longmapsto \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(L/K), \mu_n)$$

This gives another proof of Theorem 11.2.<sup>1</sup>

Notation:  $H^1(K, -)$  means  $H^1(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), -)$ .

Let  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  be an isogeny of elliptic curves over  $K$ . There is a short exact sequence of  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow E[\phi] \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\phi} E' \rightarrow 0.$$

<sup>1</sup>Remark by L.T.: To get the precise statement of Theorem 11.2 we probably need something like Pontryagin duality so that we have a canonical bijection between open subgroups of  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$  and finite subgroups of  $\text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K), \mu_n)$ ...

This gives the long exact sequence:

$$E(K) \xrightarrow{\phi} E'(K) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(K, E[\phi]) \rightarrow H^1(K, E) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} H^1(K, E').$$

So we get a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{E'(K)}{\phi E(K)} \rightarrow H^1(K, E[\phi]) \rightarrow H^1(K, E)[\phi_*] \rightarrow 0.$$

Now take  $K$  to be a number field. For each place  $v$  of  $K$  fix an embedding  $K^{\text{alg}} \hookrightarrow K_v^{\text{alg}}$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(K_v^{\text{alg}}/K_v) \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$ . Then we get:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{E'(K)}{\phi E(K)} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(K, E[\phi]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E)[\phi_*] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_v \text{ res}_v & \searrow & \downarrow \Pi_v \text{ res}_v \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_v \frac{E'(K_v)}{\phi E(K_v)} & \xrightarrow{\prod_v \delta_v} & \prod_v H^1(K_v, E[\phi]) & \longrightarrow & \prod_v H^1(K_v, E)[\phi_*] \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

**Definition.** The  $\phi$ -Selmer group is

$$S^{(\phi)}(E/K) = \ker \searrow = \ker \left( H^1(K, E[\phi]) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(K_v, E) \right).$$

The Tate-Shafarevich group is

$$\text{III}(E/K) = \ker \left( H^1(K, E) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(K_v, E) \right).$$

We get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{E'(K)}{\phi E(K)} \xrightarrow{\delta} S^{(\phi)}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[\phi_*] \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking  $\phi = [n]$  gives

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{E(K)}{nE(K)} \xrightarrow{\delta} S^{(n)}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

Rearranging the proof of the weak Mordell Weil Theorem gives:

**Theorem 15.3.**  $S^{(n)}(E/K)$  is finite.

*Proof.* For a finite Galois extension  $L/K$  there is an exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), E(L)[n]) & \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} & H^1(K, E[n]) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & H^1(L, E[n]) \\
& & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
& & & & S^{(n)}(E/K) & \longrightarrow & S^{(n)}(E/L)
\end{array}$$

By extending our field we may thus assume  $E[n] \subseteq E(K)$  (and hence  $\mu_n \subseteq K$ ). So  $E[n] \cong \mu_n \times \mu_n$  as Galois modules. Then  $H^1(K, E[n]) \cong H^1(K, \mu_n) \times H^1(K, \mu_n) \cong K^\times / (K^\times)^n \times K^\times / (K^\times)^n$ .

Let  $S = \{\text{primes of bad reduction for } E\} \cup \{v \mid n\infty\}$ .

**Definition.** The subgroup of  $H^1(K, A)$  unramified outside  $S$  is

$$H^1(K, A; S) = \ker \left( H^1(K, A) \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} H^1(K_v^{\text{ur}}, A) \right).$$

There is a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
E(K_v) & \xrightarrow{\times n} & E(K_v) & \xrightarrow{\delta_v} & H^1(K_v, E[n]) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res} \\
E(K_v^{\text{ur}}) & \xrightarrow{\times n} & E(K_v^{\text{ur}}) & \xrightarrow{0} & H^1(K_v^{\text{ur}}, E[n])
\end{array}$$

The map  $E(K_v^{\text{ur}}) \xrightarrow{\times n} E(K_v^{\text{ur}})$  is surjective for  $v \notin S$ . So  $E(K_v^{\text{ur}}) \xrightarrow{\delta_v} H^1(K_v^{\text{ur}}, E[n])$  is the zero map. Then  $\text{Im}(\delta_v) \subseteq \ker(\downarrow)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{(n)}(E/K) &= \{\alpha \in H^1(K, E[n]) \mid \text{res}_v(\alpha) \in \text{im}(\delta_v) \forall v\} \\
&\subseteq H^1(K, E[n]; S) \\
&= H^1(K, \mu_n; S) \times H^1(K, \mu_n; S) \\
&\subseteq K(S, n) \times K(S, n).
\end{aligned}$$

We know that  $K(S, n)$  is finite by Lemma 11.4. Hence  $S^{(n)}(E/K)$  is finite.  $\square$

# 16 Descent by Cyclic Isogeny

Let  $E, E'$  be elliptic curves over a number field  $K$  and  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  an isogeny of degree  $n$ . Suppose  $E'[\widehat{\phi}] \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is cyclic and generated by  $T \in E'(K)$ . Then  $E[\phi] \cong \mu_n$  as  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$ -modules via  $S \mapsto e_\phi(S, T)$ . There is a short exact sequence of  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{alg}}/K)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\phi} E' \rightarrow 0$$

This gives a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E(K) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E'(K) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(K, \mu_n) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E) \\ & & & \searrow \alpha & \downarrow \simeq & & \\ & & & & K^\times / (K^\times)^n & & \end{array}$$

**Theorem 16.1.** *Let  $f \in K(E')$  and  $g \in K(E)$  with  $\text{div}(f) = n(T) - n(O)$  and  $\phi^*f = g^n$ . Then  $\alpha(P) = f(P) \bmod (K^\times)^n$  for all  $P \in E'(K) \setminus \{O_{E'}, T\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q \in \phi^{-1}P$ . Then  $\delta(P)$  is represented by the cocycle  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma Q - Q \in E[\phi]$ . And  $E[\phi] \cong \mu_n$  via  $S \mapsto e_\phi(S, T)$ . Then  $e_\phi(\sigma Q - Q, T) = \frac{g(X+\sigma Q-Q)}{g(X)}$  for any  $X \in E \setminus \{\text{zeros, poles of } g\}$ . Take  $X = Q$ , so  $e_\phi(\sigma Q - Q, T) = \frac{g(\sigma Q)}{g(Q)} = \frac{\sigma(g(Q))}{g(Q)} = \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{f(P)}}{\sqrt[n]{f(P)}}$ . So  $\delta(P)$  is represented by the cocycle  $\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{f(P)}}{\sqrt[n]{f(P)}}$ . But  $H^1(K, \mu_n) \cong K^\times / (K^\times)^n$  where  $x \in K^\times$  corresponds to  $\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{x}}{\sqrt[n]{x}}$ . Therefore

$$\alpha(P) = f(P) \bmod (K^\times)^n.$$

□

## 16.1 Descent by 2-Isogeny

Let

$$\begin{aligned} E : y^2 &= x(x^2 + ax + b) \\ E' : y^2 &= x(x^2 + a'x + b') \end{aligned}$$



where  $b(a^2 - 4b) \neq 0$  and  $a' = -2a$ ,  $b' = a^2 - 4b$ . Consider the isogenies:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : E &\rightarrow E', & (x, y) &\mapsto \left( \left( \frac{y}{x} \right)^2, \frac{y(x^2 - b)}{x^2} \right) \\ \widehat{\phi} : E' &\rightarrow E, & (x, y) &\mapsto \left( \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right)^2, \frac{y(x^2 - b')}{8x^2} \right)\end{aligned}$$

The kernels are:

$$\begin{aligned}E[\phi] &= \{O_E, T\} \quad T = (0, 0) \in E(K), \\ E'[\widehat{\phi}] &= \{O_{E'}, T'\} \quad T' = (0, 0) \in E'(K).\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 16.2.** *There is a group homomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned}E'(K) &\longrightarrow K^\times / (K^\times)^2 \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} x \bmod (K^\times)^2 & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ b' \bmod (K^\times)^2 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

with kernel  $\phi(E(K))$ .

*Proof.* Either apply the theorem with  $f = x \in K(E')$ ,  $g = \frac{x}{y} \in K(E)$ , or use direct calculation (see Example Sheet 4).  $\square$

We get maps

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_E &: \frac{E(K)}{\widehat{\phi}E'(K)} \hookrightarrow K^\times / (K^\times)^2 \\ \alpha_{E'} &: \frac{E'(K)}{\phi E(K)} \hookrightarrow K^\times / (K^\times)^2\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 16.3.**  $2^{\text{rank } E(K)} = \frac{\#\text{Im}(\alpha_E) \cdot \#\text{Im}(\alpha_{E'})}{4}$ .

*Proof.* If  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  homomorphisms of abelian groups, then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow \ker(gf) \xrightarrow{f} \ker g \rightarrow \text{coker } f \xrightarrow{g} \text{coker}(gf) \rightarrow \text{coker } g \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\widehat{\phi}\phi = [2]_E$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \underbrace{E(K)[\phi]}_{\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow E(K)[2] \xrightarrow{\phi} \underbrace{E'(K)[\widehat{\phi}]}_{\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\frac{E'(K)}{\phi E(K)}}_{\cong \text{Im}(\alpha_{E'})} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\phi}} \frac{E(K)}{2E(K)} \rightarrow \underbrace{\frac{E(K)}{\widehat{\phi}E'(K)}}_{\cong \text{Im}(\alpha_E)} \rightarrow 0$$

Counting orders gives

$$\frac{\#(E(K)/2E(K))}{\#E(K)[2]} = \frac{\#\text{Im}(\alpha_E) \#\text{Im}(\alpha_{E'})}{4}.$$

By the Mordell-Weil Theorem,  $E(K) \cong \Delta \times \mathbb{Z}^r$  where  $r$  is the rank and  $\Delta$  is a finite group. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(K)/2E(K) &= \Delta/2\Delta \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r, \\ E(K)[2] &= \Delta[2]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta$  is finite,  $\Delta[2]$  and  $\Delta/2\Delta$  have the same order, so  $\frac{\#(E(K)/2E(K))}{\#E(K)[2]} = 2^r$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 16.4.** *If  $K$  is a number field and  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\text{Im}(\alpha_E) \subseteq K(S, 2)$  where  $S = \{\text{primes dividing } b\}$ .*

*Proof.* We must show that if  $x, y \in K$  with  $y^2 = x(x^2 + ax + b)$  and  $v_p(b) = 0$ , then  $v_p(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

- Case  $v_p(x) < 0$ : then  $v_p(x)$  is even by Lemma 9.1.
- Case  $v_p(x) > 0$ : then  $v_p(x^2 + ax + b) = 0$ , so  $v_p(x) = v_p(y^2) = 2v_p(y)$  is even.  $\square$

**Lemma 16.5.** *If  $b_1 b_2 = b$ , then  $b_1(K^\times)^2 \in \text{Im}(\alpha_E)$  iff*

$$w^2 = b_1 u^4 + a u^2 v^2 + b_2 v^4 \quad (*)$$

*is solvable for  $u, v, w \in K$ , not all zero.*

*Proof.* If  $b_1 \in (K^\times)^2$  or  $b_2 \in (K^\times)^2$ , then both conditions are satisfied. So we may assume  $b_1, b_2 \notin (K^\times)^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} b_1(K^\times)^2 \in \text{Im}(\alpha_E) &\iff \exists(x, y) \in E(K) \text{ such that } x = b_1 t^2 \text{ for some } t \in K^\times \\ &\implies y^2 = b_1 t^2((b_1 t^2)^2 + a b_1 t^2 + b) \\ &\implies \left(\frac{y}{b_1 t}\right)^2 = b_1 t^4 + a t^2 + \frac{b}{b_1} \end{aligned}$$

So (\*) has a solution  $u = t, v = 1, w = \frac{y}{b_1 t}$ . Conversely, if  $(u, v, w)$  is a solution to (\*), then  $uv \neq 0$  and  $\left(b_1 \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^2, b_1 \frac{uw}{v^3}\right) \in E(K)$ .  $\square$

Take  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Examples.**

- (1)  $E : y^2 = x^3 - x$ , so  $a = 0, b = -1$ . Then  $\text{Im}(\alpha_E) = \langle -1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^\times/(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ . We have  $E' : y^2 = x^3 + 4x$ ,  $\text{Im}(\alpha_{E'}) \subseteq \langle -1, 2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^\times/(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ . We get the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 = -1 &\rightarrow w^2 = -u^4 - 4v^4 \\ b_1 = 2 &\rightarrow w^2 = 2u^4 + 2v^4 \\ b_1 = -2 &\rightarrow w^2 = -2u^4 - 2v^4 \end{aligned}$$

The first and last equation are insoluble over  $\mathbb{R}$ , the second has solution  $(u, v, w) = (1, 1, 2)$ .

Hence  $\text{Im}(\alpha_{E'}) = \langle 2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ . Therefore  $2^{\text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q})} = \frac{2 \cdot 2}{4} = 1$ , so  $\text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , so 1 is not a congruent number as we have already seen in Theorem 1.3.

(2)  $E : y^2 = x^3 + px$  where  $p$  is a prime,  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . For  $b_1 = -1$  we get  $w^2 = -u^4 - pv^4$  which is insoluble over  $\mathbb{R}$ , hence  $\text{Im}(\alpha_E) = \langle p \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ .

$E' : y^2 = x^3 - 4px$ . Then  $\text{Im}(\alpha_{E'}) \subseteq \langle -1, 2, p \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ .

N.B.  $\alpha_{E'}(T') = (-4p)(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2 = (-p)(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ . We get

$$b_1 = 2 \quad \rightarrow \quad w^2 = 2u^4 - 2pv^4 \quad (1)$$

$$b_1 = -2 \quad \rightarrow \quad w^2 = -2u^4 + 2pv^4 \quad (2)$$

$$b_1 = p \quad \rightarrow \quad w^2 = pu^4 - 4v^4 \quad (3)$$

We continue it below.

We have the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E'(\mathbb{Q})/\phi E(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi_*] \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & \searrow^{\alpha_{E'}} & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2 & & \end{array}$$

Consider the equation

$$w^2 = b_1u^4 + a'u^2v^2 + (b'/b_1)v^4. \quad (*)$$

Then:

$$\text{Im}(\alpha_{E'}) = \{b_1(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2 \mid (*) \text{ is soluble over } \mathbb{Q}\},$$

$$S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \{b_1(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2 \mid (*) \text{ is soluble over } \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ for all primes } p \text{ and over } \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Fact (use Exercise Sheet 3, Question 9 and Hensel's lemma): If  $a', b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \nmid 2b(a^2 - 4b)$ , then  $(*)$  is soluble over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Continuation of Example (2) above: Suppose (1) is soluble, WLOG  $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{gcd}(u, v) = 1$ . If  $p \mid u$ , then  $p \mid w$  and then  $p \mid v$ , so we get  $p \nmid u$ . So  $w^2 \equiv 2u^4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , so  $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1$ , contradicting  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . Likewise (2) is insoluble over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since  $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = -1$ . The same arguments show that (1) and (2) are insoluble over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Therefore  $\text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if (3) is insoluble over } \mathbb{Q}, \\ 1 & \text{if (3) is soluble over } \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$

- (3) is soluble over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  since  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ , so by  $-1 \in (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^2$  by Hensel's Lemma.
- (3) is soluble over  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  since  $p - 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , so  $p - 4 \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^\times)^2$ .
- (3) is soluble over  $\mathbb{R}$ , since  $\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

So we see that (3) is soluble in  $\mathbb{Q}_q$  for all primes  $q$  and also  $q = \infty$ . Over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?

$p$	$u$	$v$	$w$
5	1	1	1
13	1	1	3
29	1	1	5
37	5	3	151
53	1	1	7

Conjecture:  $\text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$  for all primes  $p$  with  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .

Example 3 (Lind):  $E : y^2 = x^3 + 17x$ . Then  $\text{Im}(\alpha_E) = \langle 17 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ .  $E'$  is defined by  $y^2 = x^3 - 68x$ . Then:

$$b_1 = 2 \rightarrow w^2 = 2u^4 - 38v^4$$

Replace  $w$  by  $2w$  and divide by 2 to get

$$C : 2w^2 = u^4 - 17v^4$$

Notation:  $C(K) = \{(u, v, w) \in K^3 \setminus \{0\} \text{ satisfying the equation}\} / \sim$  where  $(u, v, w) \sim (\lambda u, \lambda v, \lambda^2 w)$  for all  $\lambda \in K^\times$ , (i.e. consider the equation in a weighed projective space).

Then:

- $C(\mathbb{Q}_2) \neq \emptyset$  since  $17 \in (\mathbb{Q}_2^\times)^4$ .
- $C(\mathbb{Q}_{17}) \neq \emptyset$  since  $2 \in (\mathbb{Q}_{17}^\times)^2$ .
- $C(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$  since  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

But we claim that  $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ . Suppose  $(u, v, w) \in C(\mathbb{Q})$ , wlog  $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ ,  $w > 0$ . Note that  $17 \nmid w$ . So if  $p \mid w$ , then  $p \neq 17$  and  $\left(\frac{17}{p}\right) = 1$ , so  $\left(\frac{p}{17}\right) = 1$  by Quadratic Reciprocity if  $p \neq 2$ . If  $p = 2$ , then also  $\left(\frac{2}{17}\right) = 1$ . Therefore  $\left(\frac{w}{17}\right) = 1$ . But  $2w^2 \equiv u^4 \pmod{17}$ , so  $2 \in (\mathbb{F}_{17}^\times)^4 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4\}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ .

So  $C$  is a counterexample to the Hasse principle. It represents a nontrivial element of  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ .

# 17 The Birch Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve.

**Definition.**

$$L(E, s) := \prod_p L_p(E, s)$$

where

$$L_p(E, s) = \begin{cases} (1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1} & \text{if } E \text{ has good reduction at } p, \\ (1 \pm p^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if } E \text{ has multiplicative reduction,} \\ 1 & \text{if } E \text{ has additive reduction.} \end{cases}$$

By Hasse's Theorem, we have  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . This implies that  $L(E, s)$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{3}{2}$ .

**Theorem** (Wiles, Breuil, Conrad, Diamond, Taylor).  $L(E, s)$  is the  $L$ -function of a weight 2 modular form and hence has an analytic continuation to all of  $\mathbb{C}$  and a functional equation relating  $L(E, s)$  and  $L(E, 2 - s)$ .

**Weak BSD:**  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = \operatorname{rank} E(\mathbb{Q})$  ( $= r$  say).

**Strong BSD:**

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{(s-1)^r} L(E, s) = \frac{\Omega_E \operatorname{Reg} E(\mathbb{Q}) \# \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \prod_p c_p(E)}{(\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}})^2}$$

where

- $c_p(E)$  is the Tamagawa number of  $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ , i.e.  $[E(\mathbb{Q}_p) : E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$ .
- $\operatorname{Reg} E(\mathbb{Q}) = \det([P_i, P_j])$  where  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  form a basis for  $E(\mathbb{Q})/E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  and  $[P, Q] = \hat{h}(P+Q) - \hat{h}(P) - \hat{h}(Q)$ .
- $\Omega_E = \int_{E(\mathbb{R})} \frac{dx}{2y+a_1x+a_3}$  using a globally minimal Weierstraß minimal equation.