

SUPERCONNECTIONS AND HIGHER INDEX THEORY

J. LOTT

Abstract

Let M be a smooth closed spin manifold. The higher index theorem, as given for example in Proposition 6.3 of [CM], computes the pairing between the group cohomology of $\pi_1(M)$ and the Chern character of the “higher” index of a Dirac-type operator on M . Using superconnections, we give a heat equation proof of this theorem on the level of differential forms on a noncommutative base space. As a consequence, we obtain a new proof of the Novikov conjecture for hyperbolic groups.

I. Introduction

Let M be a smooth closed connected spin manifold. Let V be a Hermitian vector bundle on M . If M is even-dimensional, the Atiyah-Singer index theorem identifies the topological expression $\int_M \widehat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V)$ with the index of the Dirac-type operator acting on L^2 -sections of the bundle $S(M) \otimes V$, where $S(M)$ is the spinor bundle on M [AS1].

When M is not simply-connected, one can refine the index theorem to take the fundamental group into account [Co3, Kas, Mo]. Let Γ denote the fundamental group of M . Let $\nu : M \rightarrow B\Gamma$ be the classifying map for the universal cover \widetilde{M} of M . For $[\eta] \in H^*(B\Gamma; \mathbf{C})$, higher index theory attempts to identify $\int_M \widehat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \nu^*[\eta]$ with an analytic expression. The main topological and geometric applications of higher index theory are to Novikov’s conjecture on homotopy-invariants of non-simply-connected manifolds [No], and to questions of the existence of positive-scalar-curvature metrics on M [Ro].

In order to motivate the statement of the higher index theorem, let us first recall how Lusztig used the index theorem for families of operators

to prove a higher index theorem in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}^k$ [Lu]. Let $T^k = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, U(1))$ be the dual group to Γ and let L_θ be the flat unitary line bundle over M whose holonomy is specified by $\theta \in T^k$. Consider the product fibration $M \rightarrow M \times T^k \rightarrow T^k$. Suppose for simplicity that M is even-dimensional; then there is a bundle \mathcal{H} over T^k of \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert spaces, where \mathcal{H}_θ , the fiber over $\theta \in T^k$, consists of the L^2 -sections of $S(M) \otimes V \otimes L_\theta$. There is also a family Q of vertical Dirac-type operators parametrized by T^k , where Q_θ acts on \mathcal{H}_θ . The analytic index $\text{Index}(Q)$ of the family of elliptic operators, as defined in [AS2], lies in $K^0(T^k)$. An element $[\eta]$ of the group cohomology $H^\ell(\mathbf{Z}^k; \mathbf{C})$ gives a homology class $\tau_\eta \in H_\ell(T^k; \mathbf{C})$, against which the Chern character $\text{Ch}(\text{Index}(Q)) \in H^*(T^k; \mathbf{C})$ can be paired. The families index theorem [AS2] then implies

$$\int_{\tau_\eta} \text{Ch}(\text{Index}(Q)) = \text{const.}(l) \int_M \widehat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \nu^*[\eta], \quad (*)$$

giving the desired analytic interpretation of the right-hand-side. The purpose of [Lu] was to apply (*) to the Novikov conjecture.

In order to extend these methods to nonabelian Γ , let us note some algebraic properties of the above construction. The algebra of continuous functions $C(T^k)$ acts on the vector space $C(\mathcal{H})$ of continuous sections of \mathcal{H} by multiplication. Upon performing Fourier transform over T^k , $C(\mathcal{H})$ maps to a certain subspace of the L^2 -sections of the pullback bundle $S(\widetilde{M}) \otimes \widetilde{V}$ on \widetilde{M} , this subspace thus being a $C(T^k)$ -Hilbert module in the sense of [Kas].

The generalization of Lüsztig’s method to nonabelian Γ is based on a “fibration” $M \rightarrow P \rightarrow B$ which exists only morally, where B is a noncommutative space whose “algebra of continuous functions” is taken to be the algebra $\Lambda = C^*_r\Gamma$, the reduced group C^* -algebra [Co3]. (When $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}^k$, $\Lambda \cong C(T^k)$.) Mishchenko and Kasparov define a Hilbert Λ -module of L^2 -sections of $S(\widetilde{M}) \otimes \widetilde{V}$, upon which a Dirac-type operator \widetilde{D} acts. The analytic index of \widetilde{D} lies in “ $K^0(B)$ ”, or more precisely in $K_0(\Lambda)$ [Mi, Kas]. The Mishchenko-Fomenko index theorem identifies the analytic index with a topological index [MF].

In order to pair these indices with the group cohomology of Γ , one needs additional structure on B . Let \mathfrak{B}^∞ be a dense subalgebra of Λ containing $\mathbf{C}\Gamma$ which is stable under the holomorphic functional calculus of Λ [Co1]. (For example, if $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}^k$, one can take \mathfrak{B}^∞ to be $C^\infty(T^k)$.) Then $K_0(\Lambda) \cong K_0(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$. One can think of the image of $\text{Index}(\widetilde{D})$ under this isomorphism as being a “smoothing” of $\text{Index}(\widetilde{D})$.

One can then use the fact that $K_0(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ pairs with the cyclic cohomology $HC^*(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ of \mathfrak{B}^∞ [Co1] to extract numbers from $\text{Index}(\tilde{D})$. In loose but more familiar terms, the Chern character $\text{Ch}(\text{Index}(\tilde{D}))$ lies in the “cohomology” of B . More precisely, it lies in the cyclic homology group $HC_*(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ [Co1, Ka]. One then wants to define a “homology class” of B which one can pair with $\text{Ch}(\text{Index}(\tilde{D}))$. The correct notion of homology for B is given by the (periodic) cyclic cohomology of \mathfrak{B}^∞ . In particular, given a group cocycle $\eta \in Z^l(\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$, one obtains an cyclic cocycle $\tau_\eta \in ZC^l(\mathbf{C}\Gamma)$ (eqn. (62)). If τ_η extends to an element of $ZC^l(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ then Proposition 6.3 of [CM] gives

$$\langle \text{Ch}(\text{Index}(\tilde{D})), \tau_\eta \rangle = \text{const.}(l) \int_M \hat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \nu^*[\eta]. \quad (**)$$

The special case when $l = 0$ is the L^2 -index theorem [At].

An equivalent and more concrete description of the above “fibration” is given by a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over M whose fibers are finitely-generated right projective \mathfrak{B} -modules for an appropriate algebra \mathfrak{B} [Mi]. We will use this latter description in making things precise, although we will move back and forth freely between the two pictures.

In another direction, using Quillen’s theory of superconnections [Q], Bismut gave a heat equation proof of the Atiyah-Singer families index theorem on the level of differential forms on the base space [Bi]. Equation (*) is a consequence.

Analogously, we wish to give a heat equation proof of (**). Our original purpose was to study higher versions of spectral invariants, such as the eta invariant [Lo1]. These higher eta invariants should enter into a higher index theorem for manifolds with boundary. However, it turned out to be necessary to first understand the case of closed manifolds, i.e. equation (**), in terms of superconnections. This is what we present here.

As in [Bi], we wish to produce an explicit differential form on B which represents $\text{Ch}(\text{Index}(\tilde{D}))$. First, one needs to know what a form on the noncommutative space B should mean. A differential complex $\bar{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B})$ was defined in [Ka], and its homology can be identified with a subspace of the cyclic homology of the relevant algebra \mathfrak{B} . In Section II we briefly review this theory. In this section we also consider integral operators on sections of \mathcal{E} and define their traces and supertraces.

In the case at hand, the relevant vector bundles \mathcal{E} come from a flat \mathfrak{B} -bundle over M . There is some choice in exactly which subalgebra \mathfrak{B} of Λ is

taken. In Section III we consider a subalgebra \mathfrak{B}^ω of Λ consisting of elements whose coefficients decay faster than any exponential in a word-length metric. If $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}$ then \mathfrak{B}^ω is isomorphic to the restrictions of holomorphic functions on $\mathbf{C} - 0$ to the unit circle, and so \mathfrak{B}^ω is like an algebra of “analytic” functions on B . (The technical reason for the appearance of this algebra is the existence of finite-propagation-speed estimates for heat kernels on \widetilde{M} .) The smooth sections $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$ of the corresponding vector bundle \mathcal{E}^ω are shown to correspond to smooth sections of $S(\widetilde{M}) \otimes \widetilde{V}$ with rapid decay. Using this description, we make the trace of Section II more explicit.

By construction, the vector space of smooth sections of \mathcal{E}^ω is a right \mathfrak{B}^ω -module. Let $\nabla : \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \Omega_1(\mathfrak{B}^\omega))$ be a connection on \mathcal{E}^ω . This is, in a sense, a connection in the vertical direction of \mathcal{E}^ω , when thought of as a vector bundle over M . Let Q be the Dirac-type operator on $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$. Applying Quillen’s formalism [Q], for any $\beta, s > 0$, the Chern character of \mathcal{E}^ω is defined to be

$$\text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega) = \text{STR} \exp(-\beta(\nabla + sQ)^2) \in \overline{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\omega). \tag{***}$$

To make this expression useful, one needs an explicit description of a connection on \mathcal{E}^ω . In Section IV we show that the simplest such connection comes from a function $h \in C_0^\infty(\widetilde{M})$ with the property that the sum of the translates of h is 1. Then (***) is a well-defined closed element of $\overline{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)$, and its homology class is independent of s .

Given a group cocycle $\eta \in Z^l(\Gamma; \mathbf{C})$, if the corresponding cyclic cocycle $\tau_\eta \in ZC^l(\mathbf{C}\Gamma)$ extends to an element of $ZC^l(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)$ then the pairing

$$\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega), \tau_\eta \rangle \in \mathbf{C} \tag{****}$$

is well-defined and independent of s . As usual with heat equation approaches to index theory, the $s \rightarrow 0$ limit of (***) becomes the integral of a local expression on M . In Section V we compute this limit. (The local analysis is easier than in [Bi], as there is no need to use a Levi-Civita superconnection.) The limit must involve $\nu^*[\eta]$, and it may seem strange that this could become a local expression on M , but this is where the choice of h enters. In Proposition 12 we find

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega), \tau_\eta \rangle = \beta^{l/2}/(l!) \int_M \widehat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \omega ,$$

where ω is a closed l -form on M whose pullback to \widetilde{M} is given by

$$\pi^*\omega = \sum R_{g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_l}^* dh \quad \eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_l) \in \Lambda^l(\widetilde{M}) .$$

We then show that ω represents $\nu^*[\eta] \in H^l(M; \mathbb{C})$.

It remains to show that

$$\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega), \tau_\eta \rangle = \left\langle \text{Ch}_\beta(\text{Index}(\widetilde{D})), \tau_\eta \right\rangle . \quad (****)$$

For this, we find it necessary to work with the algebra \mathfrak{B}^∞ and assume that τ_η extends to a cyclic cocycle of \mathfrak{B}^∞ . In Section VI we sketch a proof of (****). We reduce to the case of invertible \widetilde{D} , and then use a trick of [Bi] to show the equality. This completes the proof of (**).

One application of (**) is to the Novikov conjecture. Taking \widetilde{D} to be the signature operator, the right-hand-side of (**) becomes $\text{const.}(l) \int_M L(M) \wedge \nu^*[\eta]$, where $L(M) \in H^*(M; \mathbb{C})$ is the Hirzebruch L -polynomial. The Novikov conjecture states that this “higher” signature is an (orientation-preserving) homotopy invariant of M . One can show that $\text{Index}(\widetilde{D}) \in K_0(\Lambda)$ is a homotopy invariant of M [Mi, Kas, HS]. If the group Γ is such that one can apply (**) then the validity of the Novikov conjecture follows. In particular, in [CM] it was shown that if Γ is hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov [GH] then (**) applies. Thus our proof of (**) gives a new proof of the validity of the Novikov conjecture for hyperbolic groups. One can also apply (**) to find obstructions to the existence of positive-scalar-curvature metrics on M [Ro]. If one takes \widetilde{D} to be the pure Dirac operator then if M has positive scalar curvature, $\text{Index}(\widetilde{D})$ vanishes. Thus if the group Γ is such that one can apply (**), $\int_M \widehat{A}(M) \wedge \nu^*[\eta]$ is an obstruction to the existence of a positive-scalar-curvature metric on M .

In [Lo1] a bivariant Chern character was proposed in the case of finitely-generated projective modules. The obstacle to defining a bivariant Chern character for more general projective modules was the lack of a good trace theory for Hilbert modules. In the present case there is such a trace. The smooth sections of $\mathcal{E}^\infty = \mathcal{E}^\omega \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \mathfrak{B}^\infty$ form a $(C^\infty(M), \mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ -bivariant module, and the pairing $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle$ of the bivariant Chern character with τ_η is a cocycle in the space $C_c^*(C^\infty(M))$ of entire cyclic cochains [Co2]. In Section VII we compute the $s \rightarrow 0$ limit of $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle$.

Heat equation methods were also used in the paper of Connes and Moscovici [CM] to attack the Novikov conjecture, and it is worth comparing

the two approaches. One difference is that we use heat kernels to form the Chern character of a superconnection as in (**), whereas in [CM] the heat kernels are used to form an idempotent matrix over an algebra of smoothing operators [CM, Section 2]. Theorem 5.4 of [CM] is similar to our Corollary 2, but is stronger in that it is a statement about $C\Gamma$, whereas Corollary 2 is a statement about \mathfrak{B}^ω . We believe that there is some point to taking a superconnection approach to these questions, as there should be interesting extensions.

This paper is an extension of [Lo1], in which the finite-dimensional analog was worked out. An exposition of the Mischenko-Fomenko theorem and related results appears in [Hi].

I wish to thank Dan Burghlea and Jeff Cheeger for useful suggestions, and Henri Moscovici for helpful discussions.

II. Algebraic Preliminaries

Let \mathfrak{B} be a Fréchet locally m -convex algebra with unit, i.e. the projective limit of a sequence of Banach algebras with unit [Mal]. We first define a graded differential algebra (GDA) $\widehat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B})$. This will be an appropriate completion of

$$\Omega_*(\mathfrak{B}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Omega_k(\mathfrak{B}) , \tag{1}$$

the universal GDA of \mathfrak{B} [Co1, Ka]. As a vector space, $\Omega_k(\mathfrak{B})$ is given by

$$\Omega_k(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{B} \otimes (\otimes^k (\mathfrak{B}/\mathbb{C})) . \tag{2}$$

As a GDA, $\Omega_*(\mathfrak{B})$ is generated by \mathfrak{B} and $d\mathfrak{B}$ with the relations

$$d1 = 0 , \quad d^2 = 0 , \quad d(\omega_k \omega_\ell) = (d\omega_k)\omega_\ell + (-1)^k \omega_k(d\omega_\ell) \tag{3}$$

for $\omega_k \in \Omega_k(\mathfrak{B})$, $\omega_\ell \in \Omega_\ell(\mathfrak{B})$. It will be convenient to write an element ω_k of $\Omega_k(\mathfrak{B})$ as a finite sum $\sum b_0 db_1 \dots db_k$. Recall that the homology of the differential complex $\overline{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}) = \Omega_*(\mathfrak{B})/[\Omega_*(\mathfrak{B}), \Omega_*(\mathfrak{B})]$ is isomorphic to a subspace of the reduced cyclic homology of \mathfrak{B} [Ka]. (This statement must be modified in degree zero, for which we refer to [Ka].)

Let $\Theta_*(\mathfrak{B})$ denote the GDA

$$\Theta_*(\mathfrak{B}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} (\otimes^{k+1} \mathfrak{B}) , \tag{4}$$

with the product given by

$$(b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_k)(c_0 \otimes c_1 \otimes \dots \otimes c_\ell) = b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_k c_0 \otimes c_1 \otimes \dots \otimes c_\ell \quad (5)$$

and the differential given by

$$d(b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_k) = 1 \otimes b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_k - b_0 \otimes 1 \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_k + \dots + (-1)^{k+1} b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_k \otimes 1. \quad (6)$$

Give $\Theta_k(\mathfrak{B})$ the projective tensor product topology, with closure $\widehat{\Theta}_k(\mathfrak{B})$. Let

$$\widehat{\Theta}_*(\mathfrak{B}) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\Theta}_k(\mathfrak{B}) \quad (7)$$

denote the completion of $\Theta_*(\mathfrak{B})$ in the product topology.

PROPOSITION 1. $\widehat{\Theta}_*(\mathfrak{B})$ is a Fréchet GDA.

There is a natural embedding ϵ of $\Omega_*(\mathfrak{B})$, as a graded differential algebra, in $\widehat{\Theta}_*(\mathfrak{B})$, with

$$\epsilon(b) = b, \quad \epsilon(db) = 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1. \quad (8)$$

Let $\widehat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B})$ denote the closure of $\epsilon(\Omega_*(\mathfrak{B}))$ in $\widehat{\Theta}_*(\mathfrak{B})$.

COROLLARY 1. $\widehat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B})$ is a Fréchet GDA.

Define $\overline{\widehat{\Omega}}_*(\mathfrak{B})$ to be $\widehat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B})/[\widehat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}), \widehat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B})]$. Let $\overline{H}_*(\mathfrak{B})$ denote the homology of the differential complex $\overline{\widehat{\Omega}}_*(\mathfrak{B})$.

Let \mathfrak{E} be a Fréchet space which is a (continuous) right \mathfrak{B} -module. If \mathfrak{F} is a Fréchet space which is a (continuous) left \mathfrak{B} -module, let $\mathfrak{E} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}$ be the projective topological tensor product of \mathfrak{E} and \mathfrak{F} . Let \mathfrak{H} be the closure in $\mathfrak{E} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}$ of

$$\text{span}\{eb \otimes f - e \otimes bf : e \in \mathfrak{E}, f \in \mathfrak{F}, b \in \mathfrak{B}\}. \quad (9)$$

We put $\mathfrak{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}$ to be the Fréchet space $(\mathfrak{E} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{F})/\mathfrak{H}$.

With this definition, $\mathfrak{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \widehat{\Omega}_k(\mathfrak{B})$ is isomorphic to the closure of the algebraic tensor product $\mathfrak{E} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} \Omega_k(\mathfrak{B}) \subset \mathfrak{E} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} (\otimes^{k+1} \mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{E} \otimes (\otimes^k \mathfrak{B})$ in $\mathfrak{E} \widehat{\otimes} (\widehat{\otimes}^k(\mathfrak{B}))$, where the latter has the projective tensor product topology.

For the rest of this section, we assume that \mathcal{E} is a finitely generated right projective \mathfrak{B} -module. Let \mathfrak{F} be a Fréchet \mathfrak{B} -bimodule. Then there is a trace

$$\text{Tr} : \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}/\overline{[\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{F}]} . \tag{10}$$

To define Tr , write \mathcal{E} as $e\mathfrak{B}^n$, with e a projector in $M_n(\mathfrak{B})$. Then an operator $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}(e\mathfrak{B}^n, e\mathfrak{F}^n)$ can be represented by a matrix $T \in M_n(\mathfrak{F})$ satisfying $eT = Te = T$. Put

$$\text{Tr}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_{ii} \pmod{\overline{[\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{F}]}} . \tag{11}$$

This is independent of the choices made. (We quotient by the closure of $[\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{F}]$ to ensure that the trace takes value in a Fréchet space.)

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' are finitely generated right projective \mathfrak{B} -modules and \mathfrak{F} is a Fréchet algebra containing \mathfrak{B} . Given $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}' \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})$ and $T' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})$, let $T'T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})$ and $TT' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}' \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})$ be the induced products. Then $\text{Tr}(T'T) = \text{Tr}(TT') \in \mathfrak{F}/\overline{[\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}]}$.*

We omit the proof.

In the case that \mathcal{E} is \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded by an operator $\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}} \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E})$ satisfying $\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^2 = 1$, we can extend the trace to a supertrace by $\text{Tr}_s(T) = \text{Tr}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}}T)$.

Let M be a closed connected oriented smooth Riemannian manifold. Let \mathcal{E} be a smooth \mathfrak{B} -vector bundle on M with fibers isomorphic to \mathcal{E} . This means that if \mathcal{E} is defined using charts $\{U_{\alpha}\}$, then a transition function is a smooth map $\phi_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E})$. We will denote the fiber over $m \in M$ by \mathcal{E}_m . If \mathfrak{F} is a Fréchet algebra containing \mathfrak{B} , let $\mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}$ denote the \mathfrak{B} -vector bundle with fibers $(\mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})_m = \mathcal{E}_m \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}$ and transition functions $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{F}} \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})$. Let $\Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the right \mathfrak{B} -module of smooth sections of \mathcal{E} .

DEFINITION: Let $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})$ be the algebra of integral operators $T : \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})$ with smooth kernels $T(m_1, m_2) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E}_{m_2}, \mathcal{E}_{m_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})$. That is, for $s \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{E})$,

$$(Ts)(m_1) = \int_M T(m_1, m_2)s(m_2)d\text{vol}(m_2) \in \mathcal{E}_{m_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F} . \tag{12}$$

DEFINITION: For $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})$,

$$\text{TR}(T) = \int_M \text{Tr}(T(m, m)) d\text{vol}(m) \in \mathfrak{F}/[\overline{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathfrak{F}]. \tag{13}$$

PROPOSITION 2. TR is a trace.

Proof: We have

$$(TT')(m, m') = \int_M T(m, m'') T'(m'', m') d\text{vol}(m''). \tag{14}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TR}(TT') &= \int_M \text{Tr}(T(m, m'')T'(m'', m)) d\text{vol}(m'')d\text{vol}(m) = \\ &= \int_M \text{Tr}(T'(m'', m)T(m, m'')) d\text{vol}(m)d\text{vol}(m'') = \text{TR}(T'T). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

□

If the fibers of \mathcal{E} are \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded, we can extend TR to a supertrace STR on $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F})$ by

$$\text{STR}(T) = \int_M \text{Tr}_s(T(m, m)) d\text{vol}(m) \in \mathfrak{F}/[\overline{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathfrak{F}]. \tag{16}$$

III. \mathfrak{B}^{ω} -Bundles

Let Γ be a finitely-generated discrete group and let $\| \circ \|$ be a right-invariant word-length metric on Γ . For $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, define the Hilbert space

$$\ell^{2,q}(\Gamma) = \left\{ f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : |f|_q^2 = \sum_g \exp(2q\|g\|) |f(g)|^2 < \infty \right\} \tag{17}$$

and let \mathfrak{B}^{ω} be the vector space

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\omega} = \bigcap_q \ell^{2,q}(\Gamma). \tag{18}$$

LEMMA 2.

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\omega} = \left\{ f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : \text{for all } q \in \mathbf{Z}, \sup_g (\exp(q\|g\|) |f(g)|) < \infty \right\}.$$

Proof: If $f \in \mathfrak{B}^\omega$ then for all $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\exp(2q\|g\|)|f(g)|^2$ is bounded in g , and so $\exp(q\|g\|)|f(g)|$ is bounded in g . Suppose that $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is such that for all $r \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\sup_g (\exp(r\|g\|)|f(g)|) = C_r < \infty .$$

Then $\sum_g \exp(2q\|g\|)|f(g)|^2 \leq C_r^2 \sum_g \exp(2(q-r)\|g\|)$. As Γ has at most exponential growth, by taking r large enough we can ensure that the last sum is finite. □

PROPOSITION 3. \mathfrak{B}^ω is independent of the choice of $\|\circ\|$, and is an algebra with unit under convolution.

Proof: As all word-length metrics are quasi-isometric [GH], the independence follows. If $T \in \mathfrak{B}^\omega$ and $f \in \ell^{2,q}(\Gamma)$, we will show that

$$|T * f|_q \leq \text{const.}(q, T) |f|_q. \tag{19}$$

If we then take both T and f in \mathfrak{B}^ω , the proposition will follow.

Let f_h denote $f(h)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_h T_{gh^{-1}} f_h \right|^2 \leq \\ & \left(\sum_h \exp(-q\|h\|) |T_{gh^{-1}}|^{1/2} |T_{gh^{-1}}|^{1/2} \exp(q\|h\|) |f_h| \right)^2 \leq \\ & \left(\sum_h \exp(-2q\|h\|) |T_{gh^{-1}}| \right) \left(\sum_{h'} |T_{gh'^{-1}}| \exp(2q\|h'\|) |f_{h'}|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |T * f|_q^2 &= \sum_g \exp(2q\|g\|) \left| \sum_h T_{gh^{-1}} f_h \right|^2 \leq \\ & \sum_g \left(\sum_h \exp(2q(\|g\| - \|h\|)) |T_{gh^{-1}}| \right) \left(\sum_{h'} |T_{gh'^{-1}}| \exp(2q\|h'\|) |f_{h'}|^2 \right) \leq \\ & \sum_g \left(\sum_h \exp(2q\|gh^{-1}\|) |T_{gh^{-1}}| \right) \left(\sum_{h'} |T_{gh'^{-1}}| \exp(2q\|h'\|) |f_{h'}|^2 \right) \leq \\ & \left(\sum_k \exp(2q\|k\|) |T_k| \right) \left(\sum_\ell |T_\ell| \right) \left(\sum_{h'} \exp(2q\|h'\|) |f_{h'}|^2 \right) = \\ & \left(\sum_k \exp(2q\|k\|) |T_k| \right) \left(\sum_\ell |T_\ell| \right) |f|_q^2. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

□

Let Λ denote the reduced group C^* -algebra of Γ , namely the completion of $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ with respect to the operator norm on $B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$, where $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ acts on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ by convolution.

There is a Fréchet topology on \mathfrak{B}^ω coming from its definition as a projective limit of Hilbert spaces. There is also a description of \mathfrak{B}^ω as a Fréchet locally m -convex algebra. Namely, put

$$\mathcal{P} = \{T \in \Lambda : \text{for all } q \in \mathbb{Z}, T \text{ acts as a bounded operator by convolution on } \ell^{2,q}(\Gamma)\} . \tag{22}$$

By its definition, \mathcal{P} is equipped with a sequence of norms.

PROPOSITION 4. *As topological vector spaces, $\mathfrak{B}^\omega = \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof: By the proof of Proposition 3, \mathfrak{B}^ω injects continuously into \mathcal{P} . Applying an element T of \mathcal{P} to the element $e \in \bigcap_q \ell^{2,q}(\Gamma)$ gives a continuous injection of \mathcal{P} into \mathfrak{B}^ω . These two maps are clearly inverses of each other. \square

It follows that \mathfrak{B}^ω has a holomorphic functional calculus.

Note. \mathfrak{B}^ω is generally not holomorphically closed in Λ . For example, if $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ then an element T of \mathfrak{B}^ω can be identified with its Fourier transform $T = \sum T_g z^g$, a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} - 0$. This identification gives $\mathfrak{B}^\omega \cong H(\mathbb{C} - 0)$. On the other hand, in this case $\Lambda \cong C(S^1)$. Taking for example $T = z \in H(\mathbb{C} - 0) \subset C(S^1)$, the spectrum of T in $C(S^1)$ consists of the unit circle. If f is the holomorphic function defined on a neighborhood of the unit circle by $f(w) = (w - 2)^{-1}$, $f(T)$ is well-defined in $C(S^1)$, but does not lie in $H(\mathbb{C} - 0)$.

Let Γ denote the fundamental group of M . Let \widetilde{M} denote the universal cover of M , on which $g \in \Gamma$ acts on the right by $R_g \in \text{Diff}(\widetilde{M})$. Denote the covering map by $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$. As Γ acts on \mathfrak{B}^ω on the left, we can form $\widetilde{M} \times_\Gamma \mathfrak{B}^\omega$, a flat \mathfrak{B}^ω -bundle over M . Let E be a Hermitian vector bundle with Hermitian connection on M and let \widetilde{E} be the pullback of E to \widetilde{M} , with the pulled-back connection. Let $R_g^* \in \text{Aut}(\widetilde{E})$ denote the action of $g \in \Gamma$ on \widetilde{E} .

DEFINITION: $\mathcal{E}^\omega = (\widetilde{M} \times_\Gamma \mathfrak{B}^\omega) \otimes E$, a \mathfrak{B}^ω -bundle over M .

Fix a base point $x_0 \in \widetilde{M}$.

PROPOSITION 5. *There is an isomorphism*

$$L : \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega) \rightarrow \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E}) : \text{for all } q \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ and all multi-indices } \alpha , \right. \\ \left. \sup_x (\exp(qd(x_0, x)) |\nabla^\alpha f(x)|) < \infty \right\} .$$

Proof: By the construction of \mathcal{E}^ω , $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$ consists of the Γ -equivariant elements of $C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E} \otimes \mathfrak{B}^\omega)$. Writing $s \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$ as $\sum_g s_g g$ with $s_g \in C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E})$, the equivariance means that

$$R_\gamma^* s = s \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{23}$$

This becomes $\sum_g (R_\gamma^* s_g) \gamma g = \sum_g s_{\gamma g} \gamma g$, and so $R_\gamma^* s_g = s_{\gamma g}$ for all $\gamma, g \in \Gamma$. Thus $s_g = R_g^* s_1$, and so $s = \sum_g (R_g^* s_1) g$.

Let L be the map which takes s to s_1 . We will show that L is the desired isomorphism. First, if $\tilde{m} \in \widetilde{M}$ then

$$s(\tilde{m}) = \sum_g (R_g^* s_1)(\tilde{m}) g \in \widetilde{E}_{\tilde{m}} \otimes \mathfrak{B}^\omega . \tag{24}$$

Thus for all $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\sup_g (\exp(q\|g\|) |s_1(\tilde{m}g)|) < \infty$. By the smoothness of s , we have such an estimate uniformly for \tilde{m} lying within a fundamental domain of \widetilde{M} containing x_0 . As \widetilde{M} is quasi-isometric to Γ [GH], there are constants $A > 0$ and $B \geq 0$ such that for all $x \in \widetilde{M}$ and $g \in \Gamma$,

$$A^{-1}\|g\| - B \leq d(xg^{-1}, x) \leq A\|g\| + B . \tag{25}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(qd(x_0, x)) |s_1(x)| &\leq \\ \exp(qd(x_0, xg^{-1})) \exp(qd(xg^{-1}, x)) |s_1(xg^{-1}g)| &\leq \\ \text{const.} \exp(qd(x_0, xg^{-1})) \exp(qA\|g\|) |s_1(xg^{-1}g)| . \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

By choosing g so that xg^{-1} lies within a fundamental domain containing x_0 , we obtain from (26) that $\exp(qd(x_0, x)) |s_1(x)|$ is uniformly bounded in x . The same argument applies to the covariant derivatives of s_1 .

Now suppose that $f \in C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E})$ is such that for all $q \in \mathbf{Z}$ and all multi-indices α ,

$$\sup_x (\exp(qd(x_0, x)) |\nabla^\alpha f(x)|) < \infty . \tag{27}$$

Put $L'(f) = \sum_g (R_g^* f) g$. We must show that $L'(f) \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$. It will then follow that L' is an inverse to L .

By construction, $L'(f)$ is Γ -equivariant. Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ be a collection of charts on M over which E is trivialized. Then we can reduce to the case that E is a trivial \mathbb{C} -bundle and $f \in C^\infty(V_\alpha \times \Gamma, \mathbb{C})$, with the above decay conditions. It is enough to show that when restricted to $V_\alpha \times \{e\}$, $\sum_g (R_g^* f) g$ represents a smooth map from V_α to \mathfrak{B}^ω . For $\tilde{m} \in V_\alpha \times \{e\}$,

$$\left(\sum_g (R_g^* f) g\right)(\tilde{m}) = \sum_g f(\tilde{m}g) g, \tag{28}$$

and so for all $q \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(q\|g\|) |f(\tilde{m}g)| &\leq \\ \text{const. exp}(qA d(\tilde{m}, \tilde{m}g)) |f(\tilde{m}g)| &\leq \\ \text{const. exp}(qA d(\tilde{m}, x_0)) \exp(qA d(x_0, \tilde{m}g)) |f(\tilde{m}g)| &\leq \\ \text{const. sup}_x (\exp(qA d(x_0, x)) |f(x)|) &< \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Thus $\sum_g (R_g^* f) g$ is a map from V_α to \mathfrak{B}^ω . Doing the same estimates using covariant derivatives gives the smoothness. \square

PROPOSITION 6. *The algebra $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega) \equiv \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega, \mathcal{E}^\omega)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of Γ -invariant integral operators T on $L^2(\tilde{M}, \tilde{E})$ with smooth kernels $T(x, y) \in \text{Hom}(\tilde{E}_y, \tilde{E}_x)$ such that for all $q \in \mathbf{Z}$ and multi-indices α and β ,*

$$\sup_{x,y} (\exp(qd(x, y)) |\nabla_x^\alpha \nabla_y^\beta T(x, y)|) < \infty .$$

We omit the proof, which is similar to that of Proposition 5.

Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{M})$ be such that

$$\sum_g R_g^* \phi = 1 . \tag{30}$$

Let tr denote the local trace on $\text{End}(\tilde{E}_x)$.

Note. We now have defined three traces: tr is the trace on $\text{End}(\tilde{E}_x)$, Tr is the trace on $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}(\mathcal{E}_m^\omega)$ and TR is the trace on $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$. If E is \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded, the corresponding supertraces are denoted tr_s, Tr_s and STR .

PROPOSITION 7. Representing an element $T \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$ by an operator $\tilde{T} \in B(L^2(\tilde{M}, \tilde{E}))$ as in Proposition 6, its trace is given by

$$\text{TR}(T) = \sum_g \left[\int_{\tilde{M}} \phi(x) \text{tr}((R_g^* \tilde{T})(x, x)) d\text{vol}(x) \right] g \pmod{[\mathfrak{B}^\omega, \mathfrak{B}^\omega]} \tag{31}$$

$$= \sum_g \left[\int_{\tilde{M}} \phi(x) \text{tr}(\tilde{T}(xg, x)) d\text{vol}(x) \right] g \pmod{[\mathfrak{B}^\omega, \mathfrak{B}^\omega]} . \tag{32}$$

Proof: The proof is a matter of unraveling the isomorphisms of Propositions 5 and 6. Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ be a collection of charts on M over which E is trivialized. Then we can reduce to the case that E is a trivial \mathbf{C} -bundle. We have $\pi^{-1}(V_\alpha) \cong V_\alpha \times \Gamma$. For $\tilde{m}_1, \tilde{m}_2 \in V_\alpha \times \{e\}$, we can use isomorphisms to represent

$$T(m_1, m_2) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{m_2}^\omega, \mathcal{E}_{m_1}^\omega) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}(\mathfrak{B}^\omega, \mathfrak{B}^\omega) \cong \mathfrak{B}^\omega \tag{33}$$

by $\sum_g \tilde{T}(\tilde{m}_1 g, \tilde{m}_2) g$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{V_\alpha} \text{Tr}(T(m, m)) d\text{vol}(m) = \\ & \int_{V_\alpha} \sum_g \tilde{T}(mg, m) g d\text{vol}(m) \pmod{[\mathfrak{B}^\omega, \mathfrak{B}^\omega]} = \\ & \int_{V_\alpha} \sum_g \sum_\gamma \phi(m\gamma) \tilde{T}(mg\gamma, m\gamma) g d\text{vol}(m) \pmod{[\mathfrak{B}^\omega, \mathfrak{B}^\omega]} = \\ & \int_{V_\alpha} \sum_g \sum_\gamma \phi(m\gamma) \tilde{T}(m\gamma\gamma^{-1}g\gamma, m\gamma) g d\text{vol}(m) \pmod{[\mathfrak{B}^\omega, \mathfrak{B}^\omega]} = \\ & \int_{V_\alpha} \sum_g \sum_\gamma \phi(m\gamma) \tilde{T}(m\gamma g, m\gamma) \gamma g \gamma^{-1} d\text{vol}(m) \pmod{[\mathfrak{B}^\omega, \mathfrak{B}^\omega]} = \\ & \int_{V_\alpha} \sum_g \sum_\gamma \phi(m\gamma) \tilde{T}(m\gamma g, m\gamma) (g + [\gamma g, \gamma^{-1}]) d\text{vol}(m) \pmod{[\mathfrak{B}^\omega, \mathfrak{B}^\omega]} = \\ & \int_{V_\alpha} \sum_\gamma \sum_g \phi(m\gamma) \tilde{T}(m\gamma g, m\gamma) g d\text{vol}(m) \pmod{[\mathfrak{B}^\omega, \mathfrak{B}^\omega]} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\pi^{-1}(V_\alpha)} \sum_g \phi(x) \tilde{T}(xg, x)g \, dvol(x) \pmod{[\mathfrak{B}^\omega, \mathfrak{B}^\omega]} . \tag{34}$$

Using a partition of unity subordinate to $\{V_\alpha\}$ and adding the contributions of the various charts gives (31). \square

We now give the extension of the previous propositions to form-valued sections of \mathcal{E}^ω . With the notation of Section II, put $\mathfrak{F}^\omega = \widehat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)$. As in Proposition 5, we can represent an element f of $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \mathfrak{F}^\omega)$ of degree k as $\sum f_{g_1 \dots g_k} dg_1 \dots dg_k$, with each $f_{g_1 \dots g_k} \in C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E})$ a smooth rapidly decreasing section of \widetilde{E} . As in Proposition 6, we can represent an element K of $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega, \mathcal{E}^\omega \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \mathfrak{F}^\omega)$ of degree k by smooth rapidly decreasing kernels $K_{g_1 \dots g_k}(x, y) \in \text{Hom}(\widetilde{E}_y, \widetilde{E}_x)$ such that $K = \sum K_{g_1 \dots g_k} dg_1 \dots dg_k$ is Γ -invariant. Then for $f \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$ we have

$$(Kf)(x) = \sum \int_{\widetilde{M}} K_{g_1 \dots g_k}(x, y) f(y) dvol(y) dg_1 \dots dg_k . \tag{35}$$

As in Proposition 7, we have

$$\text{TR}(K) = \sum \int_{\widetilde{M}} \phi(x) \text{tr} (K_{g_1 \dots g_k}(xg_0, x)) dvol(x) g_0 dg_1 \dots dg_k \pmod{[\widehat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\omega), \widehat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)]} . \tag{36}$$

IV. The Chern Character

Now suppose in addition that M^n is even-dimensional and spin. Let S be the \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded spinor bundle on M , with the Levi-Civita connection, and let V be a Hermitian bundle on M with Hermitian connection. Take E to be $S \otimes V$. Let Q denote the self-adjoint extension of the Dirac-type operator acting on $C_0^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E})$ [At]. In terms of a local framing of the tangent bundle,

$$Q = -i \sum_{\mu=1}^n \gamma^\mu D_\mu , \tag{37}$$

with the Dirac matrices $\{\gamma^\mu\}_{\mu=1}^n$ satisfying

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2\delta^{\mu\nu} . \tag{38}$$

PROPOSITION 8. For $T > 0$, $e^{-TQ^2} \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$.

Proof: First, e^{-TQ^2} is a Γ -invariant operator. By elliptic regularity, $e^{-TQ^2}(x, y)$ is smooth. Put $N = [n/4] + 1$. Let ϵ be a fixed sufficiently small number. If $d(x, y) > \epsilon$, put $R = d(x, y) - \epsilon$. By the finite-propagation-speed estimates of [CGT], we have the estimate [Lo2]

$$\begin{aligned} |(Q^{2k} e^{-TQ^2} Q^{2\ell})(x, y)| \leq \\ \text{const.} (R^2/T)^{-1/2} [R^{-2(k+\ell)} + R^{-2(k+\ell)-4N} + \\ R^{2(k+\ell)} T^{-2(k+\ell)} + R^{2(k+\ell)+4N} T^{-2(k+\ell)-4N}] e^{-R^2/4T}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The requisite bounds on the covariant derivatives of $e^{-TQ^2}(x, y)$ follow by standard methods. Then the proposition follows from Proposition 6. \square

Note. In the ‘‘fibration’’ picture, the fact that e^{-TQ^2} commutes with \mathfrak{B}^ω means that it corresponds to a family of vertical operators.

Let $h \in C_0^\infty(\widetilde{M})$ be such that

$$\sum_g R_g^* h = 1. \tag{40}$$

Given $f \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$, considering it as an element of $C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E})$ by Proposition 5, define its covariant derivative to be

$$\nabla_g f = h R_g^* f \in C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E}). \tag{41}$$

Note that $C^\infty(M)$ acts on sections of $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \mathfrak{F}^\omega)$ by multiplication.

PROPOSITION 9.

$$\nabla f = \sum_g \nabla_g f \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} dg$$

defines a connection

$$\nabla : \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \widehat{\Omega}_1(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)) \tag{42}$$

which commutes with the action of $C^\infty(M)$.

Proof: We first show that ∇ formally commutes with the action of $C^\infty(M)$. Given $\alpha \in C^\infty(M)$; α acts on $C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E})$ by multiplication by $\pi^*(\alpha)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(\alpha \cdot f) &= \nabla(\pi^*(\alpha)f) = \sum_g h R_g^*(\pi^*(\alpha)f) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} dg = \\ & \sum_g h \pi^*(\alpha) R_g^* f \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} dg = \alpha \cdot \nabla f . \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Thus ∇ acts fiberwise on the vector bundle \mathcal{E}^ω . To make this explicit, as in the proof of Proposition 5 we can consider the element s of $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$ corresponding to f to be a sum $s = \sum s_g g$, where $s_g \in C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E})$ and $s_g = R_g^* f$. Then ∇s becomes

$$\sum_{g,k} R_g^*(h R_k^* f) g dk = \sum_{g,k} R_g^* h (R_k^* f) g dk = \sum_{g,k} R_g^* h s_{gk} g dk . \tag{44}$$

Applied to a point $\widetilde{m} \in \widetilde{M}$, we have

$$\nabla \left(\sum_g s_g(\widetilde{m}) g \right) = \sum_{g,k} h(\widetilde{m}g) s_{gk}(\widetilde{m}) g dk . \tag{45}$$

Let

$$\nabla_m : \mathcal{E}_m^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_m^\omega \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \widehat{\Omega}_1(\mathfrak{B}^\omega) \tag{46}$$

be the restriction of ∇ to the fiber $\mathcal{E}_m^\omega \cong E_m \otimes \mathfrak{B}^\omega$ over $m = \pi(\widetilde{m})$. Then ∇_m can be represented by

$$\nabla_m \left(\sum_g t_g g \right) = \sum_{g,k} h(\widetilde{m}g) t_{gk} g dk , \tag{47}$$

where $t_g \in \widetilde{E}_m$.

By hypothesis, $t = \sum_g t_g g \in \mathcal{E}_m^\omega \cong E_m \otimes \mathfrak{B}^\omega$. We must show that $\nabla_m(t)$ is in $\mathcal{E}_m^\omega \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \widehat{\Omega}_1(\mathfrak{B}^\omega) \cong E_m \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_1(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)$.

As in Section II, let us think of $E_m \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_1(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)$ as embedded in $E_m \otimes \mathfrak{B}^\omega \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{B}^\omega$. Then $\nabla_m(t)$ is formally represented as

$$\nabla_m(t) = \sum_{g,k} h(\widetilde{m}g) t_{gk} g(1 \otimes k - k \otimes 1) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{g,k} h(\tilde{m}g) t_{gk} g \otimes k - \sum_{g,k} h(\tilde{m}g) t_{gk} gk \otimes 1 = \\
 & \sum_{g,k} h(\tilde{m}g) t_{gk} g \otimes k - \sum_{g,k} h(\tilde{m}g) t_k k \otimes 1 = \\
 & \left(\sum_g h(\tilde{m}g)g \otimes \sum_k t_{gk} k \right) - t \otimes 1 = \\
 & \left(\sum_g h(\tilde{m}g)g \otimes (g^{-1}t) \right) - t \otimes 1 .
 \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

As h has compact support, the g -sum in $\sum_g h(\tilde{m}g)g \otimes (g^{-1}t)$ is finite, and it follows that (48) makes sense in $E_m \otimes \mathfrak{B}^\omega \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{B}^\omega$.

We now show that ∇_m is a connection. If $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_m(t\gamma) &= \nabla_m \left(\sum_g t_g g\gamma \right) = \nabla_m \left(\sum_g t_{g\gamma^{-1}} g \right) = \\
 & \sum_{g,k} h(\tilde{m}g) t_{gk\gamma^{-1}} gdk = \sum_{g,k} h(\tilde{m}g) t_{gk} gd(k\gamma) = \\
 & \sum_{g,k} h(\tilde{m}g) t_{gk} g(dk)\gamma + \sum_{g,k} h(\tilde{m}g) t_{gk} gkd\gamma = \\
 \nabla_m(t)\gamma + \sum_{g,k} h(\tilde{m}g) t_k kd\gamma &= \nabla_m(t)\gamma + td\gamma .
 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Then

$$\nabla_m(tb) = (\nabla_m t)b + t \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} db \tag{50}$$

for any $b \in \mathfrak{B}^\omega$.

As h is smooth, it follows that ∇ is also a connection. □

Note. There is a strong relationship between the connections ∇ considered here and the partially flat connections of [Ka, Chapitre 4].

Define the superconnection

$$D_s = \nabla + sQ \in \text{Hom}^\infty (\mathcal{E}^\omega, \mathcal{E}^\omega \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \hat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)) . \tag{51}$$

Then $D_s^2 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}^\infty (\mathcal{E}^\omega, \mathcal{E}^\omega \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \hat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\omega))$ is given by

$$D_s^2 = s^2Q^2 + s(\nabla Q + Q\nabla) + \nabla^2 . \tag{52}$$

Here $\nabla Q + Q\nabla$ is given explicitly by

$$(\nabla Q + Q\nabla)(f) = \sum_g (\partial h) R_g^* f \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} dg, \tag{53}$$

where $f \in C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{E})$ and

$$\partial h = [Q, h] = -i \sum_\mu \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu h, \tag{54}$$

and ∇^2 is given by

$$\nabla^2(f) = \sum_g \sum_{g'} h R_g^* h R_{g'g}^* f \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} dg dg'. \tag{55}$$

Put

$$\mathfrak{P} = -(s(\nabla Q + Q\nabla) + \nabla^2), \tag{56}$$

and for $\beta > 0$ define

$$\exp(-\beta D_s^2) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega}^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\omega, \mathcal{E}^\omega \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \widehat{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)) \tag{57}$$

to be

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\beta D_s^2) &= \exp(-\beta s^2 Q^2) + \int_0^\beta \exp(-u_1 s^2 Q^2) \mathfrak{P} \\ &\quad \exp(-(\beta - u_1) s^2 Q^2) du_1 + \\ &\quad \int_0^\beta \int_0^{u_1} \exp(-u_1 s^2 Q^2) \mathfrak{P} \exp(-u_2 s^2 Q^2) \mathfrak{P} \\ &\quad \exp(-(\beta - u_1 - u_2) s^2 Q^2) du_2 du_1 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

As only a finite number of terms of the expansion of (58) contribute to the degree- k component of $\exp(-\beta D_s^2)$, it is clear that (58) converges.

DEFINITION: For $s > 0$, the Chern character $\text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega) \in \overline{\Omega}_{\text{even}}(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)$ is given by

$$\text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega) = \text{STR} \exp(-\beta D_s^2). \tag{59}$$

PROPOSITION 10. $\text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$ is closed.

We omit the proof, which is straightforward.

PROPOSITION 11. The class of $\text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$ in $\overline{H}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)$ is independent of $s \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof: Formally,

$$\frac{d}{ds} \text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega) = d(-\beta \text{STR} Q e^{-\beta D^2}). \tag{60}$$

It is straightforward to check that this equation is valid. Then if $s_1, s_2 \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\text{ch}_{\beta,s_1}(\mathcal{E}^\omega) - \text{ch}_{\beta,s_2}(\mathcal{E}^\omega) = d\left(-\beta \int_{s_2}^{s_1} \text{STR} Q e^{-\beta D^2} ds\right). \tag{61}$$

□

Let η be an antisymmetric left-invariant (unnormalized) group k -cocycle. Then η defines a cyclic k -cocycle τ_η on $\mathbf{C}\Gamma$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\eta(g_0, \dots, g_k) &= \eta(g_0, g_0 g_1, g_0 g_1 g_2, \dots, g_0 g_1 \dots g_k) \text{ if } g_0 g_1 \dots g_k = e \\ \tau_\eta(g_0, \dots, g_k) &= 0 \text{ if } g_0 g_1 \dots g_k \neq e \quad [\text{Col}]. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Suppose that there are constants C and D so that

$$|\tau_\eta(g_0, \dots, g_k)| \leq C \exp(D(\|g_0\| + \dots + \|g_k\|)). \tag{63}$$

Then τ_η extends to a k -cocycle on \mathfrak{B}^ω and so can be paired with $\text{ch}_{\beta,s}$. By Proposition 11, the pairing $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega), \tau_\eta \rangle$ is independent of s .

V. Small-Time Limit

PROPOSITION 12.

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega), \tau_\eta \rangle = \beta^{k/2} / (k!) \int_M \widehat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \omega, \tag{64}$$

where ω is the closed k -form on M given by

$$\pi^* \omega = \sum R_{g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \quad \eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k) \in \Lambda^k(\widetilde{M}). \tag{65}$$

Proof: First, let us consider the contribution to $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle$ coming from the term

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-1)^k \int_0^\beta \dots \int_0^{u_{k-1}} \exp(-u_1 s^2 Q^2) s(\nabla Q + Q\nabla) \exp(-u_2 s^2 Q^2) \\
 & s(\nabla Q + Q\nabla) \dots s(\nabla Q + Q\nabla) \exp(-(\beta - u_1 - \dots - u_k) s^2 Q^2) du_k \dots du_1
 \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

of $\exp(-\beta D_s^2)$. Written out explicitly, this will be

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum (-1)^k \int_0^\beta \dots \int_0^{u_{k-1}} \int_{\tilde{M}} \phi(x_0) \text{tr}_s [R_{g_0}^* \exp(-u_1 s^2 Q^2) s(\partial h) R_{g_1}^* \\
 & \exp(-u_2 s^2 Q^2) s(\partial h) R_{g_2}^* \dots s(\partial h) R_{g_k}^* \\
 & \exp(-(\beta - u_1 - \dots - u_k) s^2 Q^2)](x_0, x_0) \\
 & d\text{vol}(x_0) du_k \dots du_1 \tau_\eta(g_0, \dots, g_k) =
 \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum (-1)^k \int_0^\beta \dots \int_0^{u_{k-1}} \int_{\tilde{M}} \phi(x_0) \text{tr}_s [\exp(-u_1 s^2 Q^2) s R_{g_0}^* (\partial h) \\
 & \exp(-u_2 s^2 Q^2) s R_{g_0 g_1}^* (\partial h) \dots s R_{g_0 \dots g_{k-1}}^* (\partial h) \\
 & \exp(-(\beta - u_1 - \dots - u_k) s^2 Q^2) \\
 & R_{g_0 \dots g_k}^*](x_0, x_0) d\text{vol}(x_0) du_k \dots du_1 \tau_\eta(g_0, \dots, g_k) =
 \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum (-1)^k \int_0^\beta \dots \int_0^{u_{k-1}} \int_{\tilde{M}} \dots \int_{\tilde{M}} \phi(x_0) \text{tr}_s [\exp(-u_1 s^2 Q^2)(x_0, x_1) \\
 & s(\partial h)(x_1 g_0) \exp(-u_2 s^2 Q^2)(x_1, x_2) s(\partial h)(x_2 g_0 g_1) \dots s(\partial h)(x_k g_0 g_1 \dots g_{k-1}) \\
 & \exp(-(\beta - u_1 - \dots - u_k) s^2 Q^2)(x_k g_0 g_1 \dots g_k, x_0)] d\text{vol}(x_k) \dots d\text{vol}(x_0) \\
 & du_k \dots du_1 \tau_\eta(g_0, \dots, g_k) .
 \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Because for small s the heat kernels are concentrated near the diagonal, the only terms which will survive in the $s \rightarrow 0$ limit will have $g_0 \dots g_k = e$. Furthermore, the $s \rightarrow 0$ limit reduces to a question of local asymptotics on \tilde{M} . By the Getzler calculus [G], (69) equals $(2\pi)^{-n} \int_{T\tilde{M}} \text{tr}_s(\sigma P)_{s^{-1}} dx d\xi$, where P denotes the operator appearing in (69), σP is its symbol in the Getzler calculus and $(\sigma P)_{s^{-1}}$ is the rescaled symbol. A straightforward

calculation gives that in the limit $s \rightarrow 0$, this becomes

$$\sum (-1)^k \beta^{-k/2} \left(\int_0^\beta \dots \int_0^{u_{k-1}} du_k \dots du_1 \right) \int_{\tilde{M}} \phi(x) \hat{A}(x) \wedge \text{Ch}(\tilde{V})(x) \wedge dh(xg_0) \wedge \dots \wedge dh(xg_0 \dots g_{k-1}) \eta(g_0, g_0g_1, g_0g_1g_2, \dots, g_0g_1 \dots g_{k-1}, e) = \tag{70}$$

$$\sum (-1)^k \beta^{k/2} / (k!) \int_{\tilde{M}} \phi \hat{A}(\tilde{M}) \wedge \text{Ch}(\tilde{V}) \wedge R_{g_0}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_0 \dots g_{k-1}}^* dh \eta(g_0, g_0g_1, g_0g_1g_2, \dots, g_0 \dots g_{k-1}, e) = \tag{71}$$

$$\beta^{k/2} / (k!) \int_{\tilde{M}} \phi \hat{A}(\tilde{M}) \wedge \text{Ch}(\tilde{V}) \wedge \tilde{\omega}, \tag{72}$$

where $\tilde{\omega} \in \Lambda^k(\tilde{M})$ is given by

$$\tilde{\omega} = \sum R_{g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k). \tag{73}$$

Now let us consider the contribution to $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle$ coming from a term of $\exp(-\beta D_s^2)$ which contains a ∇^2 , such as, for example,

$$(-1)^k \int_0^\beta \dots \int_0^{u_{k-1}} \exp(-u_1 s^2 Q^2) \nabla^2 \exp(-u_2 s^2 Q^2) s(\nabla Q + Q \nabla) \dots s(\nabla Q + Q \nabla) \exp(-(\beta - u_1 - \dots - u_k) s^2 Q^2) du_k \dots du_1. \tag{74}$$

Written out explicitly, this gives

$$\sum (-1)^k \int_0^\beta \dots \int_0^{u_{k-1}} \int_{\tilde{M}} \dots \int_{\tilde{M}} \phi(x_0) \text{tr}_s [\exp(-u_1 s^2 Q^2)(x_0, x_1) h(x_1g_0) h(x_1g_0g_1) \exp(-u_2 s^2 Q^2)(x_1, x_2) s(\partial h)(x_2g_0g_1g'_1) \dots s(\partial h)(x_kg_0g_1g'_1g_2 \dots g_{k-1}) \exp(-(\beta - u_1 - \dots - u_k) s^2 Q^2) (x_kg_0g_1g'_1g_2 \dots g_k, x_0)] d\text{vol}(x_k) \dots d\text{vol}(x_0) du_k \dots du_1 \tau_\eta(g_0, g_1, g'_1, g_2, \dots, g_k). \tag{75}$$

By the Getzler calculus, in the $s \rightarrow 0$ limit, (75) becomes

$$\sum (-1)^k \beta^{-(k-1)/2} \left(\int_0^\beta \dots \int_0^{u_{k-1}} du_k \dots du_1 \right) \int_{\tilde{M}} \phi(x) \hat{A}(x) \text{Ch}(\tilde{V})(x) h(xg_0) h(xg_0g_1) dh(xg_0g_1g'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge dh(xg_0g_1g'_1g_2 \dots g_{k-1}) \eta(g_0, g_0g_1, g_0g_1g'_1, \dots, g_0g_1g'_1g_2 \dots g_{k-1}, e) = \tag{76}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum (-1)^k \beta^{(k+1)/2} / (k!) \\ & \int_{\widetilde{M}} \phi \widehat{A}(\widetilde{M}) \wedge \text{Ch}(\widetilde{V}) \wedge R_{g_0}^* h \wedge R_{g_0 g_1}^* h \wedge R_{g_0 g_1 g'_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \\ & \wedge R_{g_0 g_1 g'_1 g_2 \dots g_{k-1}}^* dh \eta(g_0, g_0 g_1, g_0 g_1 g'_1, \dots, g_0 g_1 g'_1 g_2 \dots g_{k-1}, e) = (77) \\ & \pm \beta^{(k+1)/2} / (k!) \int_{\widetilde{M}} \phi \widehat{A}(\widetilde{M}) \wedge \text{Ch}(\widetilde{V}) \wedge \widetilde{\omega}' , \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where $\widetilde{\omega}' \in \Lambda^k(\widetilde{M})$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\omega}' = \sum R_{g_1}^* h \wedge R_{g'_1}^* h \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \eta(e, g_1, g'_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) . \tag{79}$$

As $\eta(e, g_1, g'_1, g_2, \dots, g_k)$ is antisymmetric in g_1 and g'_1 , it follows that $\widetilde{\omega}'$ vanishes. The same argument shows that all of the terms involving ∇^2 vanish. □

LEMMA 3. The form $\widetilde{\omega}$ of (73) is a closed Γ -invariant form on \widetilde{M} .

Proof: $\widetilde{\omega}$ is clearly closed. For all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_\gamma^* \widetilde{\omega} &= \sum R_{\gamma g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{\gamma g_k}^* dh \eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k) = \\ & \sum R_{g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \eta(e, \gamma^{-1} g_1, \dots, \gamma^{-1} g_k) = \\ & \sum R_{g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \eta(\gamma, g_1, \dots, g_k) . \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

From the cocycle condition, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum R_{g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh [\eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k) - \eta(e, \gamma, g_2, \dots, g_k) + \dots + \\ & (-1)^k \eta(e, \gamma, g_1, \dots, g_{k-1})] . \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

But for all r ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum R_{g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \eta(e, \gamma, g_1, \dots, \widehat{g}_r, \dots, g_k) = \\ & \pm \left(\sum_{g_r} R_{g_r}^* dh \right) \wedge \sum R_{g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_{r-1}}^* dh \wedge R_{g_{r+1}}^* dh \wedge \\ & \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \eta(e, \gamma, g_1, \dots, \widehat{g}_r, \dots, g_k) \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

and

$$\sum_{g_r} R_{g_r}^* dh = d \left(\sum_{g_r} R_{g_r}^* h \right) = d(1) = 0 . \tag{83}$$

Thus only the first term of (81) contributes, and so

$$R_{\gamma}^* \tilde{\omega} = \sum R_{g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k) = \tilde{\omega}. \tag{84}$$

□

End of Proof of Proposition 12: From Lemma 3, there is a closed form ω on M such that $\tilde{\omega} = \pi^*(\omega)$. Then

$$\int_{\tilde{M}} \phi \hat{A}(\tilde{M}) \wedge \text{Ch}(\tilde{V}) \wedge \tilde{\omega} = \int_M \hat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \omega. \tag{85}$$

□

We now wish to show that the cohomology class of the closed form $\tilde{\omega}$ is the pullback to M of the cohomology class $[\eta]$ on $B\Gamma$. To do so, it is convenient to first relax the smoothness conditions on $\tilde{\omega}$.

Let h be a Lipschitz function on \tilde{M} of compact support with

$$\sum_g R_g^* h = 1. \tag{86}$$

As the distributional derivatives of a Lipschitz function are L^∞ -functions, it makes sense to define $\tilde{\omega}_h$ by

$$\tilde{\omega}_h = \sum R_{g_1}^* dh \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k), \tag{87}$$

a closed Γ -invariant L^∞ k -form on \tilde{M} , and let $\omega_h \in \Lambda^k(M)$ be such that $\pi^* \omega_h = \tilde{\omega}_h$. It is known that one can compute the de Rham cohomology of M using flat forms (i.e. L^∞ -forms τ such that $d\tau$ is also L^∞) [Te].

LEMMA 4. *The cohomology class of ω_h is independent of h .*

Proof: Let h' be another choice for h . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_h - \tilde{\omega}_{h'} &= \sum [R_{g_1}^* d(h - h') \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh + \dots + \\ &R_{g_1}^* dh' \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* d(h - h')] \eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k). \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

Put

$$\tilde{\sigma}_\tau = \sum R_{g_1}^* dh' \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_r}^* (h - h') \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k), \tag{89}$$

a flat $(k - 1)$ -form on \widetilde{M} . Then

$$\widetilde{\omega}_h - \widetilde{\omega}_{h'} = d \left(\sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r+1} \widetilde{\sigma}_r \right). \tag{90}$$

Furthermore, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\gamma}^* \widetilde{\sigma}_r &= \sum R_{g_1}^* dh' \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_r}^* (h - h') \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh \eta(\gamma, g_1, \dots, g_k) = \\ &= \sum R_{g_1}^* dh' \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_r}^* (h - h') \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dh [\eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k) - \\ &\eta(e, \gamma, g_2, \dots, g_k) + \dots + (-1)^k \eta(e, \gamma, g_1, \dots, g_{k-1})]. \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

As

$$\sum_g R_g^* dh = \sum_g R_g^* dh' = \sum_g R_g^* (h - h') = 0, \tag{92}$$

it follows that $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$ is Γ -invariant. Then $\omega - \omega' = d\sigma$, where $\sigma \in \Lambda^{k-1}(M)$ is such that

$$\pi^* \sigma = \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r+1} \widetilde{\sigma}_r. \tag{93}$$

□

Let X be the simplicial complex whose ordered cochain complex is the standard complex of Γ [Br]. The k -simplices of X are $(k + 1)$ -tuples of distinct elements of Γ . We will take Γ to act on the right on X . Then the simplicial complex X/Γ is a model for $B\Gamma$. For a vertex v , let b_v denote the barycentric coordinate (on a simplex containing v) corresponding to v . Let j be the continuous piecewise linear function on X given by

$$\begin{aligned} j(x) &= 0 \text{ if } x \in [g_0, \dots, g_k] \text{ and } g_0 \neq e, \dots, g_k \neq e \\ &= b_e \text{ if } x \in [g_0, \dots, g_k] \text{ and } g_i = e \text{ for some } i. \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

LEMMA 5. $\sum_g R_g^* j = 1$.

Proof: Suppose that $x \in [g_0, \dots, g_k]$. Then

$$\sum_g (R_g^* j)(x) = \sum_g j(xg) = \sum_{i=0}^k j(xg_i^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^k b_e(xg_i^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^k b_{g_i}(x) = 1. \tag{95}$$

□

Let $\tilde{\omega}_j$ be the polynomial form on X , with coefficients in \mathbb{C} , given by

$$\tilde{\omega}_j = \sum R_{g_1}^* dj \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dj \eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k). \tag{96}$$

Let ω_j be the polynomial form on X/Γ such that $\tilde{\omega}_j = \pi^* \omega_j$.

We define a k -cocycle $\tilde{\eta} \in C^k(X; \mathbb{C})$ by putting

$$\langle \tilde{\eta}, [\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k] \rangle = \eta(\gamma_0^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_k^{-1}). \tag{97}$$

By the left invariance of the group cocycle η , $\tilde{\eta}$ is right-invariant on X . With abuse of notation, let η denote the corresponding simplicial cocycle on X/Γ .

PROPOSITION 13. *As elements of $H^k(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$, $[\omega_j] = [\eta]$.*

Proof: Let A denote the de Rham map from polynomial forms on X to $C^*(X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (A\omega_j)[\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k] &= \\ \sum \eta(e, g_1, \dots, g_k) \langle R_{g_1}^* dj \wedge \dots \wedge R_{g_k}^* dj, [\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k] \rangle &= \\ \sum \eta(e, \gamma_{i_1}^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_k}^{-1}) \langle R_{\gamma_{i_1}^{-1}}^* dj \wedge \dots \wedge R_{\gamma_{i_k}^{-1}}^* dj, [\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k] \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$. Now (98) equals

$$\sum \eta(e, \gamma_{i_1}^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_k}^{-1}) \langle db_{\gamma_{i_1}} \wedge \dots \wedge db_{\gamma_{i_k}}, [\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k] \rangle. \tag{99}$$

A simple calculation gives that (99) in turn equals

$$\sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^{r+1} \eta(e, \gamma_0^{-1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_r^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_k^{-1}) = \eta(\gamma_0^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_k^{-1}). \tag{100}$$

Thus $A(\omega_j)$ is the cochain η . As the de Rham map is an isomorphism on complex cohomology [GM], the proposition follows. □

Let ν be the canonical (up to homotopy) map $\nu : M \rightarrow B\Gamma$ classifying the universal cover \tilde{M} , with lift $\tilde{\nu} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow E\Gamma$.

PROPOSITION 14. *As elements of $H^*(M, \mathbb{C})$, $[\omega] = \nu^*([\eta])$.*

Proof: Let us triangulate M . Upon subdivision, we can homotop ν to be a simplicial map. Then with $h = \tilde{\nu}^*j$, we have $\omega_h = \nu^*\omega_j$. Thus as elements of $H^*(M, \mathbb{C})$,

$$[\omega_h] = [\nu^*\omega_j] = \nu^*[\omega_j] = \nu^*[\eta] . \tag{101}$$

By Lemma 4, $[\omega_h]$ is independent of the particular choice of h , and the proposition follows. □

COROLLARY 2. For all $s > 0$,

$$\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega), \tau_\eta \rangle = \beta^{k/2}/(k!) \int_M \widehat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \nu^*([\eta]) . \tag{102}$$

Note. One can equally well pair $\text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$ with any element of $HC^*(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)$. Modulo growth conditions, there is a way of producing an element $\tau \in HC^k(\mathfrak{B}^\omega)$ from a conjugacy class $\langle x \rangle$ of Γ and a k -cocycle of the group $\Gamma_x/\{x\}$, where Γ_x is the centralizer of x in Γ and $\{x\}$ is the subgroup generated by x [Bu]. (The cocycle (62) comes from the special case when $\langle x \rangle = \langle e \rangle$.) However, the cyclic cohomology classes corresponding to $\langle x \rangle \neq \langle e \rangle$ will pair with $\text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega)$ to give zero. The reason is that a cyclic k -cocycle τ based on $\langle x \rangle$ will have $\tau(g_0, \dots, g_k) = 0$ if $g_0g_1 \dots g_k \notin \langle x \rangle$. However, by the proof of Proposition 12, in the $s \rightarrow 0$ limit one sees that the terms with $g_0g_1 \dots g_k \neq e$ do not contribute to $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\omega), \tau \rangle$.

VI. Reduction to the Index Bundle

We first review some of the results of [MF]. Recall that Λ is the reduced group C^* -algebra of Γ . Let \mathcal{E} denote the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Λ -bundle over M given by $\mathcal{E} = (\widetilde{M} \times_\Gamma \Lambda) \otimes E$. The L^2 -sections $\Gamma^0(\mathcal{E})$ of \mathcal{E} form a right Λ -Hilbert module. The Dirac-type operator \widetilde{D} is an odd densely-defined unbounded operator on $\Gamma^0(\mathcal{E})$. One can find finitely-generated right projective Λ -Hilbert submodules F^\pm of $\Gamma^0(\mathcal{E}^\pm)$ and complementary Λ -Hilbert modules $G^\pm \subset \Gamma^0(\mathcal{E}^\pm)$ such that \widetilde{D} is diagonal with respect to the decomposition $\Gamma^0(\mathcal{E}^\pm) = G^\pm \oplus F^\pm$, and writing $\widetilde{D} = \widetilde{D}_G \oplus \widetilde{D}_F$, in addition $\widetilde{D}_G : G^\pm \rightarrow G^\mp$ is invertible. By definition, the index of \widetilde{D} is

$$\text{Index}(\widetilde{D}) \equiv [F^+] - [F^-] \in K_0(\Lambda) ; \tag{103}$$

this is independent of the choice of F^\pm .

Now suppose that \mathfrak{B}^∞ is a densely-defined subalgebra of Λ which is stable with respect to the holomorphic functional calculus on Λ , and $\mathfrak{B}^\omega \subset \mathfrak{B}^\infty \subset \Lambda$. A standard result in K -theory is that $K_0(\Lambda) \cong K_0(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ [Bo, Appendice]. There is a Chern character Ch_β from $K_0(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ to $\overline{HC}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$, the reduced cyclic homology of \mathfrak{B}^∞ [Ka]. Let η be a group k -cocycle on $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ which extends to an element τ_η of the cyclic cohomology of \mathfrak{B}^∞ . By the explicit formula (62), τ_η is a reduced cyclic cohomology class if $k > 0$.

We will sketch a proof of the following proposition. Many of the details are as in [Bi].

PROPOSITION 15.

$$\langle \text{Ch}_\beta(\text{Index}(\tilde{D})), \tau_\eta \rangle = \beta^{k/2} / (k!) \int_M \widehat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \nu^*([\eta]) .$$

Proof: Define \mathcal{E}^∞ to be $(\widetilde{M} \times_\Gamma \mathfrak{B}^\infty) \otimes E$. An examination of the proof of [MF] shows that F^\pm and G^\pm can be chosen to be of the form $F^\pm = \mathcal{F}^\pm \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}^\infty} \Lambda$ and $G^\pm = \mathcal{G}^\pm \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}^\infty} \Lambda$, where \mathcal{F}^\pm and \mathcal{G}^\pm are subspaces of $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$. (This uses the fact that \mathfrak{B}^∞ is stable with respect to the holomorphic functional calculus in Λ .) Write $\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{F}^\pm}$ and $\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{G}^\pm}$ for the restrictions of \tilde{D} to \mathcal{F}^\pm and \mathcal{G}^\pm respectively. Put

$$\mathcal{H}^\pm = \mathcal{G}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{F}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{F}^\mp . \tag{104}$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, define $R_\alpha^\pm : \mathcal{H}^\pm \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\mp$ by

$$R_\alpha^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{D}_{\mathcal{G}^\pm} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{D}_{\mathcal{F}^\pm} & \alpha \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{105}$$

We have that $\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{G}^\pm}$ is invertible. Put

$$S_\alpha^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{D}_{\mathcal{F}^\pm} & \alpha \\ \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{106}$$

and let

$$S_\alpha^\pm \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}^\infty} \Lambda : F^\pm \oplus F^\mp \rightarrow F^\mp \oplus F^\pm \tag{107}$$

be the extension to a bounded operator on finitely-generated Hilbert Λ -modules. As \tilde{D}_F is a bounded operator, it follows that $S_\alpha^\pm \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}^\infty} \Lambda$ is invertible for α large. Then the fact that \mathfrak{B}^∞ is stable under the holomorphic

functional calculus in Λ implies that S_α^\pm is also invertible for α large. Thus R_α^\pm is invertible for α large. We define $\exp(-\text{TR}_\alpha^2)$ by the Duhamel expansion in α . As R_α differs from $\tilde{D} \oplus 0$ by a finite-rank operator in the sense of [Kas], there is no problem in showing that $\exp(-\text{TR}_\alpha^2)$ is well-defined.

Extend the \mathfrak{B}^ω -connection ∇ on \mathcal{E}^ω to a \mathfrak{B}^∞ -connection on

$$\mathcal{E}^\infty = \mathcal{E}^\omega \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}^\omega} \mathfrak{B}^\infty. \tag{108}$$

Let $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}$ be a \mathfrak{B}^∞ -connection on \mathcal{F} and let

$$\nabla' = \nabla \oplus \nabla_{\mathcal{F}} \tag{109}$$

be the sum connection on \mathcal{H} . Define the Chern character

$$\text{ch}_{\beta,s,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{STR} \exp(-\beta(\nabla' + sR_\alpha)^2) \in \widetilde{\Omega}(\mathfrak{B}^\infty) \tag{110}$$

by a Duhamel expansion in ∇' . For $\alpha = 0$, we have

$$\text{ch}_{\beta,s,0}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\infty) - \text{STR} \exp(-\beta\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}^2). \tag{111}$$

Now $\text{STR} \exp(-\beta\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}^2) \in \widetilde{\Omega}(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ represents $\text{Ch}_\beta([\mathcal{F}])$ [Ka]. If we can show that $\text{ch}_{\beta,s,0}(\mathcal{H})$ is zero in $\overline{H}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ then we will have that as classes in $\overline{H}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$,

$$\text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\infty) = \text{STR} \exp(-\beta\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}^2) = \text{Ch}_\beta([\mathcal{F}]) = \text{Ch}_\beta(\text{Index}(\tilde{D})), \tag{112}$$

and the proposition will follow.

A standard homotopy argument shows that the class of $\text{ch}_{\beta,s,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$ in $\overline{H}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ is independent of α . Take α large enough that R_α is invertible.

We define a pseudodifferential calculus as in [MF], except that the symbol $\sigma(m, \xi)$ will take value in $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^\infty}(\mathcal{E}_m^\infty)$. Then R_α is an elliptic first-order ψ do. (In terms of the ‘‘fibration’’ picture, it corresponds to a smooth family of elliptic first-order vertical ψ do’s.) As in the usual calculus of ψ do’s, R_α has a parametrix P_α , an order -1 ψ do, such that

$$I - R_\alpha P_\alpha = K_{1\alpha} \text{ and } I - P_\alpha R_\alpha = K_{2\alpha}, \tag{113}$$

where $K_{1\alpha}$ and $K_{2\alpha}$ are smoothing operators. It follows that

$$(R_\alpha)^{-1} = P_\alpha + K_{2\alpha}(R_\alpha)^{-1} \tag{114}$$

is also an order -1 ψ do.

Define a connection $\nabla''_{\mathcal{H}^-}$ on \mathcal{H}^- by

$$\nabla''_{\mathcal{H}^-} = (R_\alpha^-)^{-1} \nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+} R_\alpha^- \tag{115}$$

and define ∇'' to be $\nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+} \oplus \nabla''_{\mathcal{H}^-}$. Then

$$\nabla''_{\mathcal{H}^+} - \nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+} = 0 \tag{116}$$

and

$$\nabla''_{\mathcal{H}^-} - \nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^-} = (R_\alpha^-)^{-1} (\nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+} R_\alpha^- - R_\alpha^- \nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+}) \tag{117}$$

is an order -1 operator. We have a homotopy of connections on H from ∇' to ∇'' given by $\nabla' + u(\nabla'' - \nabla')$, $u \in [0, 1]$. It follows as in [Bi, Prop. 2.10] that $\text{ch}_{\beta,s,\alpha}(H) = \text{STR exp}(-\beta(\nabla' + sR_\alpha)^2)$ represents the same class in $\overline{H}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ as $\text{STR exp}(-\beta(\nabla'' + sR_\alpha)^2)$.

We claim that if $\text{STR exp}(-\beta(\nabla'' + sR_\alpha)^2)$ is expanded in ∇'' , the terms vanish algebraically. To see this formally, write $\nabla'' + sR_\alpha$ in terms of the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla'' + sR_\alpha &= \begin{pmatrix} \nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+} & sR_\alpha^- \\ sR_\alpha^+ & (R_\alpha^-)^{-1} \nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+} R_\alpha^- \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & s^{-1}(R_\alpha^-)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+} & I \\ s^2 R_\alpha^- R_\alpha^+ & \nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & s(R_\alpha^-) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

and so formally,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{STR exp}(-\beta(\nabla'' + sR_\alpha)^2) &= \text{STR exp} \left(-\beta \begin{pmatrix} \nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+} & I \\ s^2 R_\alpha^- R_\alpha^+ & \nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+} \end{pmatrix}^2 \right) \\ &\in \overline{\Omega}_*(\mathfrak{B}^\infty). \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

However, expanding (119) in $\nabla'_{\mathcal{H}^+}$, one finds that (119) vanishes for algebraic reasons.

(To see this last point, consider an analogous statement in the finite-dimensional case. For $A, B \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ put

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2N}(\mathbb{C}). \tag{120}$$

Then $\det(M) = \det(A^2 - B)$ and if $A^2 - B$ is invertible,

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (A^2 - B)^{-1}A & -(A^2 - B)^{-1} \\ I - A(A^2 - B)^{-1}A & A(A^2 - B)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{121}$$

Thus $\text{Str } M^{-1} = 0$. If $\lambda \notin \text{Spec}(M)$, by changing A to $A - \lambda I$, we obtain that $\text{Str}(M - \lambda I)^{-1} = 0$. Then by the functional calculus, if f is a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of $\text{Spec}(M)$, $\text{Str } f(M) = 0$.

This formal argument can be made rigorous as in [Bi, Prop. 2.17].

Note. If M is odd-dimensional then one can use Quillen’s formalism [Q] to define the odd Chern character

$$\text{ch}_{\beta,s}(\mathcal{E}^\infty) = \text{Tr}_\sigma \exp(-\beta(\nabla + sQ\sigma)^2) \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\text{odd}}(\mathfrak{B}^\infty). \tag{122}$$

The operator \widetilde{D} gives an element $\text{Index}(\widetilde{D})$ of $K_1(\mathfrak{B}^\infty)$ [Kas]. Using a suspension argument as in [BF], one can show that Proposition 15 also holds in the odd case.

COROLLARY 3 [CM]. *If Γ is a hyperbolic group in the sense of Gromov [GH] then for all $[\eta] \in H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$, the higher-signature $\int_M L(M) \wedge \nu^*([\eta])$ is an (orientation-preserving) homotopy invariant of M .*

Proof: Let \mathfrak{B}^∞ be the algebra

$$\mathfrak{B}^\infty = \{A \in \Lambda : \widetilde{\partial}^k(A) \text{ is bounded for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \tag{123}$$

where $\widetilde{\partial}$ is the operator of [CM, p. 383]. By [CM, p. 385], if $[\eta] \in H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$ then $[\eta]$ can be represented by a group cocycle η such that τ_η extends to a cyclic cocycle on \mathfrak{B}^∞ . Letting \widetilde{D} be the signature operator, the result of Mishchenko and Kasparov [Mi, Kas, HS] on the homotopy invariance of

$$\text{Index}(\widetilde{D}) \in K_0(\Lambda) \cong K_0(\mathfrak{B}^\infty) \tag{124}$$

along with Corollary 2 implies the result. (As usual when dealing with the signature operator, it is irrelevant whether or not M is spin.) □

VII. Bivariant Extension

Let \mathfrak{A} be the C^* -algebra $C(M)$. Then $(\Gamma^0(\mathcal{E}), \tilde{D})$ forms an unbounded (\mathfrak{A}, Λ) Kasparov module, and so gives an element of $KK(\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda)$ [BJ]. A bivariant Chern character $\text{ch}_{\beta,s}$ was defined in [Lo1] in the case of finite-dimensional projective modules, and it was indicated that the bivariant Chern character should be well-defined whenever there is a good notion of trace on the Hilbert modules. Such is the case here. The bivariant Chern character is a combination of Quillen’s superconnection Chern character [Q] and the entire cyclic cocycle of [JLO]. In the setup of Section IV, given $\eta \in Z^k(\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$ such that τ_η pairs with \mathfrak{B}^∞ , there is a corresponding entire cyclic cocycle $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle \in C_\epsilon^*(C^\infty(M))$. It is given explicitly as follows:

DEFINITION: For $a_0, \dots, a_m \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle (a_0, \dots, a_m) = & \beta^{-m/2} \left\langle \int_0^\beta \dots \int_0^{u_{m-1}} \text{STR } a_0 \exp(-u_1 D_s^2) \right. \\ & [D_s, a_1] \exp(-u_2 D_s^2) [D_s, a_2] \dots [D_s, a_m] \exp(-(\beta - u_1 - \dots - u_m) D_s^2) \\ & \left. du_m \dots du_1, \tau_\eta \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

(Note that the $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle (1)$ of equation (125) equals the $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle$ of Proposition 12.)

As before, the class of $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle$ in $H_\epsilon^*(C^\infty(M))$ is independent of s . As in Section V, we can take the $s \rightarrow 0$ limit to obtain that $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle$ is cohomologous to the entire cyclic cocycle $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,0}, \tau_\eta \rangle$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{ch}_{\beta,0}, \tau_\eta \rangle (a_0, \dots, a_m) = & \beta^{k/2} / (k!m!) \int_M \hat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \\ & \omega \wedge a_0 da_1 \wedge da_2 \wedge \dots \wedge da_m. \end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

Here ω is the differential form of (65).

If $W \in K^0(M)$ is represented by a projection $p \in M_r(C^\infty(M))$, let $\text{Ch}_*(p)$ be the entire cyclic cycle of [GS]. Then we obtain that $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle (\text{Ch}_*(p))$ is proportionate to $\int_M \hat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \omega \wedge \text{Ch}(W)$. Note that in the case of the signature operator, the entire cyclic cohomology class of $\langle \text{ch}_{\beta,s}, \tau_\eta \rangle$ is not a homotopy invariant, as otherwise one could take $[\eta]$ to be a 0-group cocycle and conclude that the rational L -class is a homotopy invariant, which is false.

References

- [AS1] M. ATIYAH, I. SINGER, The index of elliptic operators: III, *Ann. Math.* 87 (1968), 546-604.
- [AS2] M. ATIYAH, I. SINGER, The index of elliptic operators: IV, *Ann. Math.* 93 (1971), 119-138.
- [At] M. ATIYAH, Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras, *Astérisque* 32/33 (1976), 43-72.
- [Bi] J.-M. BISMUT, The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: two heat equation proofs, *Inv. Math.* 83 (1986), 91-151.
- [BF] J.-M. BISMUT, D. FREED, The analysis of elliptic families II, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 107 (1986), 103-163.
- [BJ] S. BAAJ, P. JULG, Théorie bivariante de Kasparov et opérateurs non bornés dans les C^* -modules Hilbertiens, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 296, Ser. I (1983), 875-878.
- [Bo] J.-B. BOST, Principe d'Oka, K -théorie et systèmes dynamiques non-commutatifs, *Inv. Math.* 101 (1990), 261; and references therein.
- [Br] K. BROWN, *Cohomology of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1982).
- [Bu] D. BURGHELEA, The cyclic homology of group rings, *Comm. Math. Helv.* 60 (1985), 354-365.
- [CGT] J. CHEEGER, M. GROMOV, M. TAYLOR, Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* 17 (1982), 15-53.
- [CM] A. CONNES, H. MOSCOVICI, Cyclic cohomology, the Novikov conjecture and hyperbolic groups, *Topology* 29 (1990), 345-388.
- [Co1] A. CONNES, "Noncommutative differential geometry", *Publ. Math. IHES* 62 (1985), 41-144.
- [Co2] A. CONNES, Entire cyclic cohomology of Banach algebras and characters of θ -summable Fredholm modules, *K-Theory* 1 (1988), 519-548.
- [Co3] A. CONNES, Cyclic cohomology and noncommutative differential geometry, *Proc. ICM 1986 at Berkeley*, AMS (1987), 879-889; and references therein.
- [G] E. GETZLER, Pseudodifferential operators on supermanifolds and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 92 (1983), 163-178.
- [GH] E. GHYS, P. DE LA HARPE, EDs., *Sur les Groupes Hyperboliques D'après Mikhael Gromov*, Birkhauser, Boston (1990).
- [GM] P. GRIFFITHS, J. MORGAN, *Rational Homotopy Theory and Differential Forms*, Birkhauser, Boston (1981).
- [GS] E. GETZLER, A. SZENES, On the Chern character of a theta-summable Fredholm module, *J. Func. Anal.* 84 (1989), 343.
- [Hi] N. HIGSON, A primer on KK -theory, in "Operator Theory, Operator Algebras and Applications", *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 51, AMS (1990), 239-283.
- [HS] M. HILSUM, G. SKANDALIS, Invariance par homotopie de la signature à coefficients dans un fibré presque plat, preprint, Collège de France (1990).
- [JLO] A. JAFFE, A. LESNIEWSKI, K. OSTERWALDER, Quantum K -theory. I. The Chern character, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 118 (1988), 1-14.
- [Ka] M. KAROUBI, Homologie Cyclique et K -théorie, *Astérisque* 149 (1987).
- [Kas] G. KASPAROV, Operator K -theory and its applications: elliptic operators, group representations, higher signatures, C^* -extensions, *Proc. ICM 1983*

at Warsaw, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1984), 987-1000; and references therein

G. KASPAROV, Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture, *Inv. Math.* 91 (1988), 147-201.

- [Lo1] J. LOTT, Superconnections and noncommutative de Rham homology, preprint (1990).
- [Lo2] J. LOTT, Heat kernels on covering spaces and topological invariants, to appear, *J. Diff. Geom.*
- [Lu] G. LUSZTIG, Novikov's higher signature and families of elliptic operators, *J. Diff. Geom.* 7 (1971), 229-256.
- [Mal] A. MALLIOS, Topological algebras — selected topics, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1986).
- [Mi] A. MISHCHENKO, C^* -algebras and K -theory, Springer LNM 763 (1974), 262-274.
- [MF] A. MISHCHENKO, A. FOMENKO, The index of elliptic operators over C^* -algebras, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* 43 (1979), 831-859.
- [Mo] H. MOSCOVICI, Cyclic cohomology and invariants of multiply-connected manifolds, *Proc. ICM 1990 at Kyoto*, to appear; and references therein.
- [No] S. NOVIKOV, Pontryagin classes, the fundamental group and some problems of stable algebras, in "Essays on Topology and Related Topics, Mémoires Dédiés à Georges de Rham", Springer, Berlin (1969), 147-155.
- [Qu] D. QUILLEN, Superconnections and the Chern character, *Topology* 24 (1985), 89-95.
- [Ro] J. ROSENBERG, C^* -algebras, positive scalar curvature and the Novikov conjecture, *Publ. Math. IHES* 58 (1983), 197-212.
- [Te] N. TELEMAN, The index of signature operators on Lipschitz manifolds, *Publ. Math. IHES* 58 (1983), 39-78.

John Lott
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Michigan
 Ann Arbor, MI 48109
e-mail: lott@math.lsa.umich.edu

Submitted: October 1991