

# DEFICIENCIES OF LATTICE SUBGROUPS OF LIE GROUPS

JOHN LOTT

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in a connected Lie group. We show that, besides a few exceptional cases, the deficiency of  $\Gamma$  is nonpositive.

### 1. Introduction

If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely presented group, then its deficiency  $\text{def}(\Gamma)$  is the maximum, over all finite presentations of  $\Gamma$ , of the number of generators minus the number of relations. If  $G$  is a connected Lie group, then a lattice in  $G$  is a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  such that  $G/\Gamma$  has finite volume. It is uniform if  $G/\Gamma$  is compact. Lubotzky proved the following result [7, Proposition 6.2].

**THEOREM 1 (Lubotzky).** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in a simple Lie group  $G$ .*

- (a) *If  $\mathbf{R} - \text{rank}(G) \geq 2$  or  $G = \text{Sp}(n, 1)$  or  $G = F_4$ , then  $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 0$ .*
- (b) *If  $G = \text{SO}(n, 1)$  (for  $n \geq 3$ ) or  $G = \text{SU}(n, 1)$  (for  $n \geq 2$ ), then  $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 1$ .*

We give an improvement of Lubotzky's result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group. Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in  $G$ . If  $\text{def}(\Gamma) > 0$ , then*

- (1)  *$\Gamma$  has a finite normal subgroup  $F$  such that  $\Gamma/F$  is a lattice in  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ ,*  
*or*
- (2)  *$\text{def}(\Gamma) = 1$  and either*
  - (A)  *$\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a torsion-free nonuniform lattice in  $\mathbf{R} \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  or  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ ,*  
*or*
  - (B)  *$\Gamma$  is  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  or the fundamental group of a Klein bottle.*

The examples in case (2) do have deficiency one [5]. A free group on  $r$  generators,  $r > 1$ , has deficiency  $r$  and gives an example of case (1).

In some cases, we have sharper bounds on  $\text{def}(\Gamma)$ .

**THEOREM 3.** (1) *If  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $\text{SO}(4, 1)$ , then*

$$\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \text{vol}(H^4/\Gamma). \quad (1.1)$$

---

Received 20 October 1997; revised 4 February 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 20F05.

Research supported by NSF grant DMS-9704633.

*Bull. London Math. Soc.* 31 (1999) 191–195

(2) If  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $SU(2, 1)$ , then

$$\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 1 - \frac{6}{\pi^2} \text{vol}(\mathbf{CH}^2/\Gamma). \tag{1.2}$$

(We normalize  $\mathbf{CH}^2$  to have sectional curvatures between  $-4$  and  $-1$ .)

(3) If  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ , then

$$\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \text{vol}((H^2 \times H^2)/\Gamma). \tag{1.3}$$

### 2. Proofs

To prove Theorems 2 and 3, we use methods of  $L^2$ -homology. For a review of  $L^2$ -homology, see [8]. Let  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 2. Let  $b_i^{(2)}(\Gamma) \in \mathbf{R}$  denote the  $i$ th  $L^2$ -Betti number of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\text{Rad}$  be the radical of  $G$ , let  $L$  be a Levi subgroup of  $G$ , and let  $K$  be the maximal compact connected normal subgroup of  $L$ . Put  $G_1 = \text{Rad} \cdot K$  and  $G_2 = G/G_1$ , a connected semisimple Lie group whose Lie algebra has no compact factors. Let  $\beta : G \rightarrow G_2$  be the projection map. Put  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma \cap G_1$  and  $\Gamma_2 = \beta(\Gamma)$ . Then there is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\beta} \Gamma_2 \longrightarrow 1, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\Gamma_1$  is a lattice in  $G_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  is a lattice in  $G_2$  [1].

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) \neq 0$ , then  $\Gamma$  has a finite normal subgroup  $F$  such that  $\Gamma/F$  is a lattice in  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ .*

*Proof.* There are the following possibilities.

(A)  $\Gamma_1$  is infinite. Then  $\Gamma$  has an infinite normal amenable subgroup. By a result of Cheeger and Gromov, the  $L^2$ -Betti numbers of  $\Gamma$  vanish [8, Theorem 10.12].

(B)  $\Gamma_1$  is finite and  $\Gamma_2$  is finite (that is,  $\Gamma_2 = \{e\}$ ). Then  $\Gamma$  is finite and  $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) = 0$ .

(C)  $\Gamma_1$  is finite and  $\Gamma_2$  is infinite. By the Leray–Serre spectral sequence for  $L^2$ -homology,  $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) = b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma_2)/|\Gamma_1|$ . Suppose that  $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma_2) \neq 0$ . If  $G_2$  had an infinite centre, then  $\Gamma_2$ , being a lattice, would have to have an infinite centre. This would imply, by [8, Theorem 10.12], that  $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma_2)$  vanishes, so  $G_2$  must have a finite centre  $Z(G_2)$ . Put  $G_3 = G_2/Z(G_2)$ , let  $\gamma : G_2 \rightarrow G_3$  be the projection, and put  $\Gamma_3 = \gamma(\Gamma_2)$ , a lattice in  $G_3$ . Then there is the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_2 \cap Z(G_2) \longrightarrow \Gamma_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Gamma_3 \longrightarrow 1, \tag{2.2}$$

and so  $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma_2) = b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma_3)/|\Gamma_2 \cap Z(G_2)|$ . Let  $K_3$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G_3$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fundamental domain for the  $\Gamma_3$ -action on  $G_3/K_3$ . Let  $\Pi(x, y)$  be the Schwartz kernel for the projection operator onto the  $L^2$ -harmonic 1-forms on  $G_3/K_3$ . By [4, Theorem 1.1],

$$b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma_3) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \text{tr}(\Pi(x, x)) d\text{vol}(x).$$

Hence  $G_3/K_3$  has nonzero  $L^2$ -harmonic 1-forms. By the Künneth formula for  $L^2$ -cohomology and [2, Section II.5], the only possibility is  $G_3 = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ . Then there is the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma \cap \text{Ker}(\gamma \circ \beta) \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\gamma \circ \beta} \Gamma_3 \longrightarrow 1, \tag{2.3}$$

with  $\Gamma \cap \text{Ker}(\gamma \circ \beta)$  finite.

Let  $\text{geom dim } \Gamma$  be the minimal dimension of a  $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -complex [3, p. 185]. We shall need the following result of Hillman [6, Theorem 2]. For completeness, we give the short proof.

LEMMA 2 (Hillman). *If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely-presented group, then  $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 1 + b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ . Equality implies that there is a finite  $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -complex  $X$  with  $\text{dim}(X) \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Gamma$  is finite, then  $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 0$ , so we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is infinite. Given a presentation of  $\Gamma$  with  $g$  generators and  $r$  relations, let  $X$  be the corresponding 2-complex. As  $X$  is two-dimensional, its second  $L^2$ -homology group is the same as the space of square-integrable real cellular 2-cycles on the universal cover  $\tilde{X}$ . This contains the ordinary integer cellular 2-cycles as a subgroup.

We have

$$\chi(X) = 1 - g + r = b_0^{(2)}(X) - b_1^{(2)}(X) + b_2^{(2)}(X) = -b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) + b_2^{(2)}(X). \tag{2.4}$$

Hence

$$g - r = 1 + b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) - b_2^{(2)}(X) \leq 1 + b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma). \tag{2.5}$$

If  $g - r = 1 + b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ , then  $b_2^{(2)}(X) = 0$ . Hence  $H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$ . From the Hurewicz theorem,  $\tilde{X}$  is contractible.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Suppose that  $\text{def}(\Gamma) > 0$ . Then, first,  $|\Gamma| = \infty$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$  does not have a finite normal subgroup  $F$  such that  $G/F$  is a lattice in  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ . By Lemma 1,  $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) = 0$ . Then Lemma 2 implies that  $\text{def}(\Gamma) = 1$  and  $\text{geom dim } \Gamma \leq 2$ . In particular,  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free.

As  $\Gamma_1$  is a lattice in  $K \cdot \text{Rad}$ , it is a uniform lattice [9, Chapter III]. Furthermore, as  $\Gamma_1$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\text{geom dim } \Gamma_1 \leq 2$ , and so  $\Gamma_1$  must be  $\{e\}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  or the fundamental group of a Klein bottle. We go through the possibilities.

(i)  $\Gamma_1 = \{e\}$ . Then  $\Gamma = \Gamma_2$  is a torsion-free lattice in the semisimple group  $G_2$ . Using a result of Borel and Serre [3, p. 218], the fact that  $\text{geom dim } \Gamma \leq 2$  implies that the Lie algebra of  $G_2$  is  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{R})$  or  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{C})$ . One possibility is  $G_2 = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ . Using the embedding  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \cong \mathbf{Z} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ , in this case we can say that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a lattice in  $\mathbf{R} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ . On the other hand, if  $G_2$  is a finite covering of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ , then  $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) \neq 0$ , contrary to assumption. If  $G_2$  is an infinite covering of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ , then the Leray–Serre spectral sequence implies that  $\Gamma_2$  has cohomological dimension greater than two, contrary to assumption. If  $G_2$  is a finite covering of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ , then Lemma 3 below will show that  $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 0$ , contrary to assumption. If  $G_2 = \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ , let  $p : \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$  be the projection map. Then there is the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma \cap \text{Ker}(p) \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{p} p(\Gamma) \longrightarrow 1. \tag{2.6}$$

As  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free,  $\Gamma \cap \text{Ker}(p) = \{e\}$ , and so  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $p(\Gamma)$ , a lattice in  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Thus in any case,  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a torsion-free lattice in  $\mathbf{R} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  or  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ . If  $\Gamma$  is uniform, then  $\text{geom dim } \Gamma = 3$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  must be nonuniform. The torsion-free nonuniform lattices in  $\mathbf{R} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\mathbf{R} \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  are isomorphic, as they both correspond to the Seifert fibre spaces whose base is a hyperbolic orbifold with boundary [10]. We conclude that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a torsion-free nonuniform lattice in  $\mathbf{R} \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  or  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ .

(ii)  $\Gamma_1 = \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\Gamma'_2$  be a finite-index torsion-free subgroup of  $\Gamma_2$  which acts trivially on  $\mathbf{Z}$ , and put  $\Gamma' = \beta^{-1}(\Gamma'_2)$ , a finite-index subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Then there is the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow \Gamma' \xrightarrow{\beta} \Gamma'_2 \longrightarrow 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma'_2$ -module, and let  $\beta^*M$  be the corresponding  $\Gamma'$ -module. If  $H^*(\Gamma'_2; M) \neq 0$ , let  $k$  be the largest integer such that  $H^k(\Gamma'_2; M) \neq 0$ . Then by the Leray–Serre spectral sequence,  $H^{k+1}(\Gamma'; \beta^*M) \neq 0$ . As  $\text{geom dim } \Gamma' \leq 2$ , we must have  $k \leq 1$ . Thus the cohomological dimension of  $\Gamma'_2$  is at most one, and the Stallings–Swan theorem implies that  $\Gamma'_2$  must be trivial or a free group [3, p. 185]. If  $\Gamma'_2 = \{e\}$ , then  $G_2 = \{e\}$  and  $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}$ . If  $\Gamma'_2$  is a free group, then  $G_2$  is a finite covering of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ . Let  $\sigma : G_2 \rightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  be the projection map, and put  $L = (\sigma \circ \beta)(\Gamma)$ . Then there is the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma \cap \text{Ker}(\sigma \circ \beta) \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sigma \circ \beta} L \longrightarrow 1, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $L$  is a lattice in  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\Gamma \cap \text{Ker}(\sigma \circ \beta)$  is virtually cyclic. As  $\Gamma \cap \text{Ker}(\sigma \circ \beta)$  is torsion-free, it must equal  $\mathbf{Z}$ . It follows that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a lattice in  $\mathbf{R} \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  or  $\mathbf{R} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \widetilde{\text{PSL}}_2(\mathbf{R})$ . If  $\Gamma$  is uniform, then  $\text{geom dim } \Gamma = 3$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is nonuniform and is isomorphic to a lattice in  $\mathbf{R} \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ .

(iii)  $\Gamma_1 = \mathbf{Z}^2$ . Let  $\Gamma'_2$  be a finite-index torsion-free subgroup of  $\Gamma_2$  which acts on  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  with determinant 1, and put  $\Gamma' = \beta^{-1}(\Gamma'_2)$ , a finite-index subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma'_2$ -module, and let  $\beta^*M$  be the corresponding  $\Gamma'$ -module. If  $H^*(\Gamma'_2; M) \neq 0$ , let  $k$  be the largest integer such that  $H^k(\Gamma'_2; M) \neq 0$ . Then by the Leray–Serre spectral sequence,  $H^{k+2}(\Gamma'; \beta^*M) \neq 0$ . As  $\text{geom dim } \Gamma' \leq 2$ , we must have  $k = 0$ . Thus the cohomological dimension of  $\Gamma'_2$  is zero, so  $\Gamma'_2 = \{e\}$  and  $G_2 = \{e\}$ . Then  $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}^2$ .

(iv)  $\Gamma_1$  is the fundamental group of a Klein bottle. Let  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  be the unique maximal abelian subgroup of  $\Gamma_1$ . Any automorphism of  $\Gamma_1$  acts as an automorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ . Thus we obtain a homomorphism  $\phi : \text{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ . Let  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$  be given by  $(\rho(\gamma))(\gamma_1) = \gamma\gamma_1\gamma^{-1}$ . Put  $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \text{Ker}(\det \circ \phi \circ \rho)$ , an index-2 subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , and put  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_2 = \beta(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ . Then there is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}^2 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\beta} \widetilde{\Gamma}_2 \longrightarrow 1. \tag{2.9}$$

As in case (iii), it follows that  $G_2 = \{e\}$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1$  is the fundamental group of a Klein bottle.

This proves Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $X$  be as in the proof of Lemma 2. As the classifying map  $X \rightarrow B\Gamma$  is 2-connected,  $b_2^{(2)}(X) \geq b_2^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ . Then from (2.5),

$$\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 1 + b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) - b_2^{(2)}(\Gamma). \tag{2.10}$$

For the lattices in question, let  $G$  be the Lie group, let  $K$  now be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ , and put  $M = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ , an orbifold. As  $G/K$  has no  $L^2$ -harmonic 1-forms [2, Section II.5], it follows from [4, Theorem 1.1] that  $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) = b_3^{(2)}(\Gamma) = 0$ . As  $|\Gamma| = \infty$ , we have  $b_0^{(2)}(\Gamma) = b_4^{(2)}(\Gamma) = 0$ . If  $\chi(\Gamma)$  is the rational-valued group Euler characteristic of  $\Gamma$  [3, p. 249], then

$$\chi(\Gamma) = b_0^{(2)}(\Gamma) - b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) + b_2^{(2)}(\Gamma) - b_3^{(2)}(\Gamma) + b_4^{(2)}(\Gamma) = b_2^{(2)}(\Gamma). \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 1 - \chi(\Gamma). \tag{2.12}$$

Furthermore, letting  $e(M, g) \in \Omega^4(M)$  denote the Euler density, it follows from [4, Theorem 1.1] that

$$\chi(\Gamma) = \int_M e(M, g). \quad (2.13)$$

Let  $G^d/K$  be the compact dual symmetric space to  $G/K$ . By the Hirzebruch proportionality principle,

$$\frac{\int_M e(M, g)}{\chi(G^d/K)} = \frac{\text{vol}(M)}{\text{vol}(G^d/K)}. \quad (2.14)$$

We have the following table.

$G$	$G^d/K$	$\chi(G^d/K)$	$\text{vol}(G^d/K)$
$\text{SO}(4, 1)$	$S^4$	2	$8\pi^2/3$
$\text{SU}(2, 1)$	$\mathbf{CP}^2$	3	$\pi^2/2$
$\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$	$S^2 \times S^2$	4	$16\pi^2$

This proves Theorem 3.

LEMMA 3. *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group with a surjective homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\text{Ker}(\rho)$  is central in  $G$  and finite. If  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $G$ , then  $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Equation (2.12) is still valid for  $\Gamma$ . We have  $\chi(\Gamma) = \chi(\rho(\Gamma))/|\Gamma \cap \text{Ker}(\rho)|$ . Applying (2.13) to  $\rho(\Gamma)$ , the proof of Theorem 3 gives  $\chi(\rho(\Gamma)) > 0$ . Hence  $\chi(\Gamma) > 0$  and  $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 0$ .

### References

1. L. AUSLANDER, 'On radicals of discrete subgroups of Lie groups', *Amer. J. Math.* 85 (1963) 145–150.
2. A. BOREL and N. WALLACH, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups and representations of reductive groups*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 94 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1980).
3. K. BROWN, *Cohomology of groups* (Springer, New York, 1982).
4. J. CHEEGER and M. GROMOV, 'Bounds on the von Neumann dimension of  $L^2$ -cohomology and the Gauss–Bonnet theorem for open manifolds', *J. Differential Geom.* 21 (1985) 1–34.
5. D. EPSTEIN, 'Finite presentations of groups and 3-manifolds', *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)* 12 (1961) 205–212.
6. J. HILLMAN, 'On  $L^2$ -homology and asphericity', *Israel J. Math.* 99 (1997) 271–283.
7. A. LUBOTZKY, 'Group presentation,  $p$ -adic analytic groups and lattices in  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ ', *Ann. of Math.* 118 (1983) 115–130.
8. W. LÜCK, ' $L^2$ -invariants of regular coverings of compact manifolds and CW-complexes (survey)', *Handbook of geometric topology* (Elsevier), to appear.
9. M. RAGHUNATHAN, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups* (Springer, New York, 1972).
10. P. SCOTT, 'The geometry of 3-manifolds', *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 15 (1983) 401–487.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1109  
USA