

Math 214 Problem Set 5

Kuan-Ying Fang

November 16, 2011

Question 6-6.

Theorem 5 states that every C^∞ integrable k -dimensional distribution Δ on M has an integral manifold. So consider the distribution Δ in $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\Delta_p = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m r^i \frac{\partial}{\partial t^i} \Big|_p + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m r^i f_i^k(p) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \Big|_p : r \in \mathbb{R}^m \right\}$$

Note that Δ is spanned by the vector fields

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^i} + \sum_{k=1}^n f_i^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m$$

We will first show that Δ is a C^∞ integrable k -dimensional distribution if and only if condition (**) of Theorem 1 is satisfied, and hence Δ has an integral manifold if and only if condition (**) is satisfied. Let our integral manifold be a graph of a function $\alpha(r_1, \dots, r_m) = (\alpha^1(r_1, \dots, r_m), \dots, \alpha^n(r_1, \dots, r_m))$, i.e. the integral manifold looks like $\{(r_1, \dots, r_m, \alpha^1(r_1, \dots, r_m), \dots, \alpha^n(r_1, \dots, r_m)) : r = (r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$, then being an integral manifold of Δ translates to the conditions $\frac{\partial}{\partial t^i} + \frac{\partial \alpha^1}{\partial t^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial \alpha^n}{\partial t^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \in \Delta_p$, which means $\frac{\partial \alpha^k}{\partial t^i} = f_i^k$, and so $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t^i} = f_i$, which are precisely the differential equations that Theorem 1 solves. Thus we only need to show that Δ is a C^∞ integrable k -dimensional distribution if and only if condition (**) of Theorem 1 is satisfied (which, together with the argument above, will prove Theorem 1).

We will verify that Δ is a C^∞ integrable k -dimensional distribution if and only if condition (**) of Theorem 1 is satisfied. Now by proposition 4 we only need to check that the Lie bracket on the X_i belongs to Δ . We now compute

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial f_j^k}{\partial t^i} - \frac{\partial f_i^k}{\partial t^j} + \sum_{k'=1}^n f_i^{k'} \frac{\partial f_j^k}{\partial x^{k'}} - \sum_{k'=1}^n f_j^{k'} \frac{\partial f_i^k}{\partial x^{k'}} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$$

Thus $[X_i, X_j] \in \Delta$ if and only if

$$\frac{\partial f_j^k}{\partial t^i} - \frac{\partial f_i^k}{\partial t^j} + \sum_{k'=1}^n f_i^{k'} \frac{\partial f_j^k}{\partial x^{k'}} - \sum_{k'=1}^n f_j^{k'} \frac{\partial f_i^k}{\partial x^{k'}} = 0 \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, n$$

and as i, j are arbitrary, this is precisely condition (**), and we have proved Theorem 1 from Theorem 5.

Question 6-8.

Define $\alpha(x, y) = (\alpha^1(x, y), \alpha^2(x, y))$, and letting $f_1 = (u(x, y, \alpha^1(x, y), \alpha^2(x, y)), v(x, y, \alpha^1(x, y), \alpha^2(x, y)))$ and $f_2 = (-v(x, y, \alpha^1(x, y), \alpha^2(x, y)), u(x, y, \alpha^1(x, y), \alpha^2(x, y)))$, the differential equation listed in the problem translates to solving $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} = f_1$ and $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} = f_2$. Now Theorem 1 tells us when this system of differential

equations have a solution: when f_1, f_2 satisfies condition (**). Thus it remains to check condition (**). Since $i, j = 1, 2$ in our case, we see that we only need to check $i = 1, j = 2$ (because condition (**) is automatically satisfied for $i = j$ and the case $i = 2, j = 1$ is just -1 times the case $i = 1, j = 2$). Now

$$\begin{aligned}
(**) &= \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y^1} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^2} f_2^1 + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y^2} f_2^2 - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^2} f_1^1 - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y^2} f_2^2 \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y^1}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y^1} \right) - \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^1} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^2} \right) (-v) + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y^2}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y^2} \right) (u) - \left(\frac{\partial -v}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^2} \right) (u) - \left(\frac{\partial -v}{\partial y^2}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y^2} \right) (v) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Where the last equality follows from the Cauchy-Riemann relations of u, v . Thus (**) is satisfied, and hence α is the solution to the differential equations listed above. Therefore (sorry, I am changing notations to $\alpha = \phi$) we conclude that the differential equation $\phi'(z) = f(z, \phi(z))$ has a solution in a neighborhood of z_0 with initial condition $\phi(z_0) = w_0$.

Question 7-2.

We want to show that $\bar{\wedge}$ is not associative. To see this, we let $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^1(V)$ and $\theta \in \Omega^2(V)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega \bar{\wedge} (\eta \bar{\wedge} \theta)(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) &= w \bar{\wedge} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (\eta \otimes \theta)^\sigma \right) (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \\
&= \sum_{\xi \in S_4} \text{sgn}(\xi) \left(\omega \otimes \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (\eta \otimes \theta)^\sigma \right)^\xi (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \\
&= \sum_{\xi \in S_4} \text{sgn}(\xi) \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \omega(v_{\xi(1)}) \eta(v_{\sigma \circ \xi(2)}) \theta(v_{\sigma \circ \xi(3)}, v_{\sigma \circ \xi(4)})
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
(\omega \bar{\wedge} \eta) \bar{\wedge} \theta(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) &= \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (\omega \otimes \eta)^\sigma \right) \bar{\wedge} \theta(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \\
&= \sum_{\xi \in S_4} \text{sgn}(\xi) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (\omega \otimes \eta)^\sigma \otimes \theta \right)^\xi (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \\
&= \sum_{\xi \in S_4} \text{sgn}(\xi) \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \omega(v_{\sigma \circ \xi(1)}) \eta(v_{\sigma \circ \xi(2)}) \theta(v_{\xi(3)}, v_{\xi(4)})
\end{aligned}$$

The two expressions are not equal, because the first one sums over S_4 "three times", while the second one sums over S_4 "two times". Thus we see that the $\bar{\wedge}$ so defined is NOT associative.

Question 7-4.

(a) Let $a, b \in V$ and $c \in \Omega^k(V)$, then for any v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}

$$\begin{aligned}
a-(b-c)(v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}) &= b-c(a, v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}) \\
&= c(b, a, v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}) \\
&= -c(a, b, v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}) \\
&= -a-c(b, v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}) \\
&= -b-(a-c)(v_1, \dots, v_{k-2})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $a-(b-c) = -b-(a-c)$.

(b) Let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis of V and ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n be the dual basis, then letting $v_j = X_1$ we see that $v_j \lrcorner (\phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k})(X_2, \dots, X_k) = \phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k}(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \det(\phi_{i_r}(X_s))$. If $j \neq i_\alpha$ for any i_α , then we see that the first column of this matrix is all 0, and hence the determinant is 0. On the other hand, if $j = i_\alpha$, then we see that the first column of the matrix has a 1 in the α -th position, and 0 otherwise. Therefore the determinant $\det(\phi_{i_r}(X_s)) = (-1)^{\alpha-1} \det M$ where M is obtained from taking out the first column and α -th row in the matrix $(\phi_{i_r}(X_s))$. However, $\det M$ is precisely $\phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\phi_{i_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k}(X_2, \dots, X_k)$, and hence we see that $v_j \lrcorner (\phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k}) = (-1)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\phi_{i_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k}$ when $j = i_\alpha$.

(c) We will use part (b) to prove this. Since $\Omega^k(V)$ has basis $\{\phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k} : i_1 < \dots < i_k\}$ and similarly $\Omega^l(V)$ has basis $\{\phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_l} : i_1 < \dots < i_l\}$, and both sides of the equation

$$v \lrcorner (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = (v \lrcorner \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + (-1)^k \omega_1 \wedge (v \lrcorner \omega_2)$$

are linear (in the "v" entry, the " ω_1 " entry, and " ω_2 " entry), we can just verify it for $v = v_r$ for some r , $\omega_1 = \phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k}$, and $\omega_2 = \phi_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{j_l}$. Then part (b) tells us that if $r \neq i_\alpha, j_\alpha$ for any i_α, j_α , then both sides equal 0. On the other hand, if $r = i_\alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned} v_r \lrcorner (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) &= (-1)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\phi_{i_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k} \wedge \phi_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{j_l} \\ &= ((-1)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\phi_{i_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k}) \wedge (\phi_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{j_l}) + 0 \\ &= (v_r \lrcorner \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + (-1)^k \omega_1 \wedge (v_r \lrcorner \omega_2) \end{aligned}$$

where the last term in the last equation is 0, while if $r = j_\beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} v_r \lrcorner (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) &= (-1)^{\beta+k-1} \phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k} \wedge \phi_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\phi_{j_\beta}} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{j_l} \\ &= 0 + (-1)^k (\phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k}) \wedge (-1)^{\beta-1} (\phi_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\phi_{j_\beta}} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{j_l}) \\ &= (v_r \lrcorner \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + (-1)^k \omega_1 \wedge (v_r \lrcorner \omega_2) \end{aligned}$$

where the first term in the last equation is 0. Thus we conclude that

$$v \lrcorner (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = (v \lrcorner \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + (-1)^k \omega_1 \wedge (v \lrcorner \omega_2)$$

Question 7-5.

Let $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined as $F = (f^1, \dots, f^n)$ where $f^i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth. Given $p \in M$, let (x, U_0) be a coordinate system of M . Now f^i form a coordinate system in a neighborhood U of $p \in M$ if and only if $F|_U$ is a diffeomorphism from U onto an open subset V of \mathbb{R}^n , and this happens if and only if $DF(p)$ is a nonsingular matrix (by Inverse Function Theorem). Now $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n(p) \neq 0$ if and only if $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}) \neq 0$ (this follows from question 7-16, which will be proved later). But

$$df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right) = \det \left(df^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) \right) = \det \left(\frac{\partial f^j}{\partial x^i} \right)$$

and this last expression is precisely $\det(DF(p))$, and hence we see that $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n(p) \neq 0$ if and only if f^i form a coordinate system in a neighborhood U of $p \in M$.

Question 7-6.

(a) For $\dim V = 1$ and 2 , $\Omega^2(V)$ is 0-dimensional and 1-dimensional, respectively, and hence every $\omega \in \Omega^2(V)$ is automatically decomposable. So suppose $\dim V = 3$. Let $\epsilon^1, \epsilon^2, \epsilon^3$ be a dual basis for V , then

every $\omega \in \Omega^2(V)$ can be written as $a\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^2 + b\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^3 + c\epsilon^2 \wedge \epsilon^3$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((a+1)\epsilon^1 + \epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{b+c}{a} + c \right) \epsilon^3 \right) \wedge \left(\epsilon^1 + \epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{b+c}{a} \right) \epsilon^3 \right) \\ &= (a+1)\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^2 + (a+1) \left(\frac{b+c}{a} \right) \epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^3 + \epsilon^2 \wedge \epsilon^1 + \left(\frac{b+c}{a} \right) \epsilon^2 \wedge \epsilon^3 + \left(\frac{b+c}{a} + c \right) \epsilon^3 \wedge \epsilon^1 + \left(\frac{b+c}{a} + c \right) \epsilon^3 \wedge \epsilon^2 \\ &= a\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^2 + b\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^3 + c\epsilon^2 \wedge \epsilon^3 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $a\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^2 + b\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^3 + c\epsilon^2 \wedge \epsilon^3 = \left((a+1)\epsilon^1 + \epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{b+c}{a} + c \right) \epsilon^3 \right) \wedge \left(\epsilon^1 + \epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{b+c}{a} \right) \epsilon^3 \right)$ is decomposable as the wedge product of two elements in $\Omega^1(V)$, and thus we conclude that for $\dim V \leq 3$, every $\omega \in \Omega^2(V)$ is decomposable.

(b) Suppose $\phi_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$ are independent, and $\omega = (\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) + (\phi_3 \wedge \phi_4)$. We will show that ω is not decomposable. We compute $\omega \wedge \omega$.

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \wedge \omega &= ((\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) + (\phi_3 \wedge \phi_4)) \wedge ((\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) + (\phi_3 \wedge \phi_4)) \\ &= 2\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 \wedge \phi_4 \end{aligned}$$

If ω is decomposable, then $\omega \wedge \omega = 0$, so we see that ω cannot be decomposable. This shows that for $\dim V \geq 4$ there are elements $\omega \in \Omega^2(V)$ that is NOT decomposable.

Question 7-16.

(a) Since ϵ^I (where I is an increasing multi-index of length k) forms a basis of $\Omega^k(V)$, we can write $\omega = \sum_I c_I \epsilon^I$. Now as $w_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ji} v_j$ (where $i = 1, \dots, k$), we let $T = (\alpha_{ji})$ be the matrix consisting of entries α_{ji} , then $w_i = T v_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(w_1, \dots, w_k) &= \omega(T v_1, \dots, T v_k) \\ &= \sum_I c_I \epsilon^I(T v_1, \dots, T v_k) \\ &= \sum_I c_I \alpha_I \end{aligned}$$

where α_I is the determinant of the $k \times k$ minor of T obtained by selecting rows i_1, \dots, i_k . On the other hand, given $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, we have $\omega(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}) = \sum_I c_I \epsilon^I(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}) = c_{i_1 < \dots < i_k}$. Thus we see that $\omega(w_1, \dots, w_k) = \sum_I c_I \alpha_I = \sum_I \alpha_I \omega(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k})$.

(b) If $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a C^∞ function between n -manifolds, then $f^*(g dy^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{i_k}) = (g \circ f) d(y^{i_1} \circ f) \wedge \dots \wedge d(y^{i_k} \circ f)$ (Since f^* is linear, we will check only on basis elements $dy^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{i_k}$). By part (a), a k -form is determined by where it sends $(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k})$ for $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ an increasing multi-index. Now

$$(g \circ f) d(y^{i_1} \circ f) \wedge \dots \wedge d(y^{i_k} \circ f) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_k}} \right) = (g \circ f) \det \left(\frac{\partial(y^{i_\alpha} \circ f)}{\partial x^{j_\beta}} \right)$$

Now since $dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_k}} \right) = 1$, we see that

$$f^*(g dy^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{i_k}) = \sum_J (g \circ f) \det \left(\frac{\partial(y^{i_\alpha} \circ f)}{\partial x^{j_\beta}} \right) dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k}$$

and this is a generalization of Theorem 7. From what we proved in this part, letting $f = Id$, corollary 8 now generalizes to: If (x, U) and (y, V) are two coordinate systems on M then

$$g dy^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{i_k} = \sum_J g \cdot \det \left(\frac{\partial(y^{i_\alpha})}{\partial x^{j_\beta}} \right) dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gdy^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{i_k}) &= dg \wedge dy^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{i_k} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} dx^i \right) \wedge \sum_J \det \left(\frac{\partial(y^{i_\alpha})}{\partial x^{j_\beta}} \right) dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k} \\
&= \sum_{i \notin J} \sum_J \det \left(\frac{\partial(y^{i_\alpha})}{\partial x^{j_\beta}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} dx^i \right) \wedge dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k} = \sum_J \sum_i \det \left(\frac{\partial(y^{i_\alpha})}{\partial x^{j_\beta}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} dx^i \right) \wedge dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k} \\
&= \sum_J dg \det \left(\frac{\partial(y^{i_\alpha})}{\partial x^{j_\beta}} \right) \wedge dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k} = d \left(\sum_J g \cdot \det \left(\frac{\partial(y^{i_\alpha})}{\partial x^{j_\beta}} \right) dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

So we see that d is coordinate-independent.

Question 7-27.

(a) If $\nabla f(p) = w_p$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_v f)(p) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(p + tv) \\
&= \sum v^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \\
&= \left\langle \sum v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right\rangle \\
&= \langle v, w \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\langle v, w \rangle$ attains maximum value when $v = cw$ for some c , we see that $\nabla f(p)$ is the direction in which f is changing the fastest at p .

(b)

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1} dx^1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2} dx^2 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^3} dx^3 = w_{\nabla f}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\omega_X) &= d(a^1 dx^1 + a^2 dx^2 + a^3 dx^3) \\
&= da^1 \wedge dx^1 + da^2 \wedge dx^2 + da^3 \wedge dx^3 \\
&= \sum_i \sum_j \frac{\partial a^i}{\partial x^j} dx^j \wedge dx^i \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial a^2}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial a^1}{\partial x^2} \right) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \left(\frac{\partial a^3}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial a^1}{\partial x^3} \right) dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \left(\frac{\partial a^3}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial a^2}{\partial x^3} \right) dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \\
&= \eta_{\text{curl } X}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\eta_X) &= d(a^1 dx^2 \wedge dx^3 - a^2 dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + a^3 dx^1 \wedge dx^2) \\
&= da^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 - da^2 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + da^3 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \\
&= \frac{\partial a^1}{\partial x^1} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 - \frac{\partial a^2}{\partial x^2} dx^2 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \frac{\partial a^3}{\partial x^3} dx^3 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial a^1}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial a^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial a^3}{\partial x^3} \right) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \\
&= (\text{div } X) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Now as $d \circ d = 0$, first of all $d \circ df = d(w_{\nabla f}) = \eta_{\text{curl } \nabla f} = 0$, so we see that $\text{curl } \nabla f = 0$. On the other hand, $d \circ d(w_X) = d(\eta_{\text{curl } X}) = (\text{div curl } X)dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = 0$, we see that $\text{div curl } X = 0$.

(d) It was shown in Spivak that if M is smoothly contractible to a point, then every closed form on M is exact. Now as star shaped open set U is smoothly contractible to a point, we see that forms of U are exact. Therefore, if $\text{curl } X = 0$, then $\eta_{\text{curl } X} = d(w_X) = 0$, so $w_X = df$ for some f , but $df = w_{\nabla f}$, so $X = \nabla f$ for some f . Similarly, if $\text{div } X = 0$, then $d(\eta_X) = (\text{div } X)dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 = 0$, so we see that $\eta_X = d(w_Y)$ for some w_Y . But $d(w_Y) = \eta_{\text{curl } Y}$, so we see that $X = \text{curl } Y$ for some Y on U .