

## Math 214 Problem Set 2

Kuan-Ying Fang

October 3, 2011

### Question 2-20.

Since we are allowed to use Sard's Theorem, we see that given a  $C^1$  map  $f : M^m \rightarrow N^n$  where  $n > m$ , for any point  $p \in M^m$ , it follows naturally that the rank of  $f$  is  $< n$  (as the rank is  $< m$ ). Thus all points in  $M^m$  are critical points, and so  $f(M)$  are all critical values. Sard's theorem states that if  $f : M^m \rightarrow N^n$  is  $C^1$  and  $M^m$  has at most countably many components, then the critical value of  $f$  form a set of measure 0 in  $N^n$ . Since  $f(M)$  are all critical values,  $f(M)$  has measure 0 in  $N^n$ .

### Question 2-28.

Let  $U_0$  be the open set containing  $f^{-1}(y)$ , then  $f|_{U_0}$ , which from now on will be denoted just by  $f$ , is a map of constant rank  $k$ . Now by the "constant rank theorem" proved in the book, we see that for each  $p \in f^{-1}(y)$ , there exist smooth charts  $(U, \phi)$  centered at  $p$  and  $(V, \psi)$  centered at  $f(p) = y$  such that  $\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1}(x^1, \dots, x^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^m) = (x^1, \dots, x^k, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Consider  $f^{-1}(y) \cap U$  open in  $f^{-1}(y)$ .  $\phi(f^{-1}(y) \cap U) = \{(x^1, \dots, x^m) \in U : x^1 = \dots = x^k = 0\} = \phi(U) \cap P$  where  $P$  is the  $(n - k)$ -plane  $\{x^1 = \dots = x^k = 0\}$ . Since  $P \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  and  $\phi(f^{-1}(y) \cap U)$  is open in  $P$ , we see that  $(f^{-1}(y) \cap U, \phi)$  is a chart  $((n - k)$ -dimensional) for  $p \in f^{-1}(y)$ . Finally,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is closed by continuity, so  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a closed submanifold of dimension  $n - k$ .

### Question 2-33a.

First it was noted in the question that the dimension of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  is  $n^2$ . Consider the determinant function  $\det : GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we see that the group

$$SL(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{M \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) : \det M = 1\} = \det^{-1}(1)$$

The determinant map is  $C^1$ , and the Jacobi's formula states that (I found this under the Wikipedia page "Jacobi's formula")

$$D(\det)_A(B) = (\det A) \text{Tr}(A^{-1}B)$$

We will verify that  $D(\det)_A$  has rank 1 for all  $A$ . Consider any arbitrary  $A$ , then we want to show that the linear map  $D(\det)_A$  (can be seen as a  $1 \times n^2$  matrix) is not the zero map, and so it will have rank at least 1, and as the image of  $\det$  is 1-dimensional, it has rank exactly 1. Now by the formula,  $D(\det)_A(A) = (\det A) \text{Tr}(A^{-1}A) = (\det A) \text{Tr}(I) = n * \det A \neq 0$  as  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and thus  $D(\det)_A$  has rank exactly 1 for any  $A$ , and hence  $D(\det)$  has constant rank 1. Now by the previous question (2-28), we see that this implies  $SL(n, \mathbb{R}) = \det^{-1}(1)$  is a closed submanifold of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  of dimension  $n^2 - 1$ .

### Question 3-4.

Suppose  $\tilde{f} : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is a continuous map and  $f : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  is a map (not necessarily continuous) such

that the square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & E_2 \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & B_2 \end{array}$$

and  $\tilde{f} : \pi_1^{-1}(p) \rightarrow \pi_2^{-1}(f(p))$  is linear, we will show that  $f$  has to be continuous. Now given any open  $W \subset B_2$  and any point  $p \in f^{-1}(W)$ , we can choose, by local triviality, an open  $p \in U \subset E_1$  and an open  $f(p) \in V \subset W$  to obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U \times \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & V \times \mathbb{R}^m & & \\ \phi_1 \searrow & & \nearrow \phi_2 & & \\ & \pi_1^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \pi_2^{-1}(V) & & & \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \\ U & \xrightarrow{f} & V & & \end{array}$$

Now as  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous, and  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are homeomorphisms such that the two triangles commute, we see that the map  $\phi_2 \circ \tilde{f} \circ \phi_1$  is continuous (shown as the dotted map), and the diagram above (with the dotted arrow) commutes. However, by the commutativity of the diagram, we see that the map  $\phi_2 \circ \tilde{f} \circ \phi_1$  is of the form

$$\phi_2 \circ \tilde{f} \circ \phi_1(u, r) = (f(u), \psi(r))$$

for some linear transformation  $\psi$ , and as  $\phi_2 \circ \tilde{f} \circ \phi_1$  is continuous, we see that there is an open neighborhood  $U_0 \times O \subset U \times \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\phi_2 \circ \tilde{f} \circ \phi_1(U_0 \times O) \subset V \times \mathbb{R}^m$ , which means  $f(U_0) \subset V \subset W$ , and therefore we have proved that given any open  $W \in B_2$ ,  $f^{-1}(W)$  is open. Thus  $f$  is continuous.

### Question 3-7.

(a) Suppose  $S : B \rightarrow E$  is a map such that  $s(p)$  is the 0 vector of  $\pi^{-1}(p)$  for any  $p$ , we will show that this is a section. First, the condition  $\pi \circ s = \text{Id}$  is clear because by our definition,  $s(p) \in \pi^{-1}(p)$  for all  $p$ . Thus it remains to show that  $s$  is continuous. Given any  $p \in B$ , there exists open  $p \in U$  such that  $\psi : \pi^{-1}(U) \cong U \times \mathbb{R}^n$  which is a linear isomorphism on its fibers (by local triviality). Consider then  $\psi \circ s : U \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , we see that  $\psi \circ s(p) = \psi(0_p) = (p, 0)$ , so  $\psi \circ s$  is clearly a continuous function, and thus  $s|_U = \psi^{-1} \circ (\psi \circ s)$  is a continuous function. Now as continuity is a "local" property, we conclude that  $s$  is continuous, and hence  $s$ , the zero section, is actually a section.

(b) We will proceed by first proving the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** If  $\pi_1 : E_1 \rightarrow B$  and  $\pi_2 : E_2 \rightarrow B$  are two vector bundles over a base  $B$  and  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be a continuous map which maps each vector space  $(E_1)_p$  isomorphically onto the space  $(E_2)_p$ , then  $f$  is a homeomorphism, and thus the bundles  $\pi_1 : E_1 \rightarrow B$  and  $\pi_2 : E_2 \rightarrow B$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.* Consider  $p \in B$ , and local trivializations  $\psi_1 : \pi_1^{-1}(U) \cong U \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\psi_2 : \pi_2^{-1}(V) \cong V \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then consider  $\psi_2 \circ f \circ \psi_1^{-1} : (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , we see, by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n & & \\ \psi_1 \searrow & & \nearrow \psi_2 & & \\ & \pi_1^{-1}((U \cap V)) \xrightarrow{f} \pi_2^{-1}((U \cap V)) & & & \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \\ (U \cap V) & \xrightarrow{i} & (U \cap V) & & \end{array}$$

that  $\psi_2 \circ f \circ \psi_1^{-1}(u, r) = (u, \xi_u(r))$  where  $\xi_u$  is a linear isomorphism  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the fiber above  $u$  (because  $f, \psi_1$ , and  $\psi_2$  on the fiber above  $u$  are all linear isomorphisms) depending continuously on  $u$ . Then we see that  $\psi_1 \circ f^{-1} \circ \psi_2^{-1}(u, r) = (u, (\xi_u)^{-1}(r))$ . Now as taking inverses in  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  (a map  $M \mapsto M^{-1}$ ) is a continuous function, we see that  $(\xi_u)^{-1}$  depends continuously on  $u$ . Also, for a normed vector space, for which  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is one, linear maps are continuous functions. Thus  $(\xi_u)^{-1}(r)$  is continuous on  $(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , and so  $\psi_1 \circ f^{-1} \circ \psi_2^{-1}$  is continuous. Thus  $f$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

Now suppose  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  are everywhere linearly independent, then we define a map  $\Psi : B \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow E$  by mapping  $(p, (a_1, \dots, a_n)) \mapsto a_1 s_1(p) + \dots + a_n s_n(p)$ . Since  $s_i$  are all continuous, we see that  $\Psi$  is a continuous map, and as  $s_i(p)$  are all linearly independent, we see that  $\Psi$  maps  $p \times \mathbb{R}^n$  isomorphically onto  $E_p$ . Thus by the lemma,  $B \times \mathbb{R}^n \cong E$  and so  $E \rightarrow B$  is a trivial bundle.

On the other hand, suppose  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  is a trivial bundle, where  $\Psi : E \cong B \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is the trivialization, then define  $s'_i : B \rightarrow B \times \mathbb{R}^n$  to be  $s'_i(p) = (p, e_i)$  where  $e_i$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Continuity is clear, so we see that  $s'_i$  is a section. Now let  $s_i = \Psi^{-1} \circ s'_i$ , then  $s_i$  is a section (continuity follows from composition), and since  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism of the fibers,  $\{s_i(p)\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  are linearly independent for all  $p$ . Thus  $\{s_i(p)\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  are the  $n$  sections which are everywhere linearly independent, as desired.

(c) This is just an application of part (b), because locally every vector bundle is trivial, and so that locally every  $n$ -plane bundle has  $n$  linearly independent sections.

### Question 3-19.

(a) I sort of don't understand what this first part is asking. In the question, the division algebra is defined so that it satisfy  $a \cdot (1, 0, \dots, 0) = a$ , and  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , so it is clear that for every point  $p \in S^{n-1}$ , it is equal to  $p \cdot e_1$  for some unique  $p$ . Hopefully I didn't understand this wrong and made it too trivial.

(b) To show that  $a \cdot e_1, a \cdot e_2, \dots, a \cdot e_n$  are linearly independent, suppose there exist  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n$  such that  $k_1 a \cdot e_1 + k_2 a \cdot e_2 + \dots + k_n a \cdot e_n = 0$ , then  $a \cdot k_1 e_1 + a \cdot k_2 e_2 + \dots + a \cdot k_n e_n = 0$ , so  $a \cdot (k_1 e_1 + k_2 e_2 + \dots + k_n e_n) = 0$ . Since there are no zero divisors, and  $a \neq 0$ , it must be that  $k_1 e_1 + k_2 e_2 + \dots + k_n e_n = 0$ , so  $k_1, \dots, k_n = 0$  as  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are linearly independent. Thus we have shown that  $a \cdot e_1, a \cdot e_2, \dots, a \cdot e_n$  are linearly independent.

(c) If  $p = a \cdot e_1 \in S^{n-1}$ , then by the previous part, we see that  $a \cdot e_1, a \cdot e_2, \dots, a \cdot e_n$  are linearly independent. Now as  $(S^{n-1}, i)_p$  consists of all elements of  $T_p \mathbb{R}^n$  that is perpendicular to  $p = a \cdot e_1$ , we see that the projection of  $a \cdot e_1, a \cdot e_2, \dots, a \cdot e_n$  on  $(S^{n-1}, i)_p$ , denoted  $\bar{a} \cdot e_1, \dots, \bar{a} \cdot e_n$  are of the form  $\bar{a} \cdot e_i = a \cdot e_i - r_i(a \cdot e_1)$  for some  $r_i$ . Therefore, if for some  $k_2, \dots, k_n$ ,  $k_2 \bar{a} \cdot e_2 + \dots + k_n \bar{a} \cdot e_n = 0$ , then we see that  $k_2 a \cdot e_2 + \dots + k_n a \cdot e_n - (k_2 r_2 + \dots + k_n r_n) a \cdot e_1 = 0$ , so by part (b),  $k_2 = \dots = k_n = 0$ , and hence  $\bar{a} \cdot e_1, \dots, \bar{a} \cdot e_n$  are linearly independent.

(d) We will show that given any  $e_i$  the multiplication map  $r_{e_i} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by mapping  $a \mapsto a \cdot e_i$  is continuous. Note that  $r_{e_i}$  is a linear map because the original map  $(a, b) \mapsto a \cdot b$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is bilinear. Now as linear maps between finite dimensional normed vector spaces are continuous, it follows that  $r_{e_i} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by mapping  $a \mapsto a \cdot e_i$  is continuous.

(e) To show that  $TS^{n-1}$  is trivial, we construct  $n$  sections from  $S^{n-1} \rightarrow TS^{n-1}$  such that they are everywhere linearly independent. To do this, for any  $p \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $p = p \cdot e_1$ , so consider the map  $s_i : S^{n-1} \rightarrow TS^{n-1}$  by taking  $p \mapsto \bar{p} \cdot e_i \in (S, i)_p \cong T_p S^{n-1}$ , then since the map  $a \mapsto a \cdot e_i$  is continuous (by part (d)), and projections to subspaces is continuous (we have also write out explicit formulas in part (c)), we see that  $s_i$  is a continuous function. It is also clear that  $\pi \circ s = \text{Id}$ . Thus  $s_i$  is a section for all  $i$ . Given any  $p \in S^{n-1}$ , part (c) shows that  $\{s_i(p)\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  are linearly independent. Thus we have  $n$  sections which are everywhere linearly independent, so by question 3-7(b),  $TS^{n-1}$  is trivial.

### Question 3-26.

(a) Given any point  $p \in M \times N$ , we see that a smooth chart in  $M \times N$  containing  $p$  is of the form

$(U_1 \times U_2, x \times y)$  where  $(U_1, x), (U_2, y)$  are smooth charts of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. Let  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$  and  $y = (y^1, \dots, y^n)$  be the coordinate functions. Thus we see that in coordinates,  $T_p(M \times N)$  consists of elements of the form  $(p, a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}|_p + a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}|_p + \dots + a_m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}|_p + a_{m+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}|_p + \dots + a_{m+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}|_p)$ , and thus  $T_p(M \times N)$ , by our definition, is equal to the direct sum of the vector spaces  $\pi_M^*(TM)_p \oplus \pi_N^*(TN)_p$ . Thus we define a map from  $\pi_M^*(TM) \oplus \pi_N^*(TN) \rightarrow T(M \times N)$  by mapping  $((p, a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}|_p + a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}|_p + \dots + a_m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}|_p), (p, a_{m+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}|_p + a_{m+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}|_p + \dots + a_{m+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}|_p)) \mapsto (p, a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}|_p + a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}|_p + \dots + a_m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}|_p + a_{m+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}|_p + \dots + a_{m+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}|_p)$ . This map is continuous, and on every fiber, it is a linear isomorphism. Thus by the lemma we proved in question 3-7(b), it is a bundle isomorphism.

(b) If  $M$  and  $N$  are orientable, then using the result from 3-23(e), we see that  $\pi_M^*(TM)$  and  $\pi_N^*(TN)$  are both orientable, and 3-24(e) tells us that  $\pi_M^*(TM) \oplus \pi_N^*(TN)$  is orientable. Since  $T(M \times N) \cong \pi_M^*(TM) \oplus \pi_N^*(TN)$ , we conclude that  $M \times N$  is orientable.

(c) On the other hand, if  $M \times N$  is orientable, then  $\pi_M^*(TM) \oplus \pi_N^*(TN)$  is orientable, and 3-24(f) tells us that  $\pi_M^*(TM)$  and  $\pi_N^*(TN)$  are both orientable. Now as the underlying set for the top space of  $\pi_M^*(TM)$  is a subset of  $M \times N \times TM$ , we see that if there is an orientation for  $\pi_M^*(TM)$ , it means that there is a "compatible" choice of orientation for the fiber above  $p$  for all  $p$ . But then taking the subset of the top space of  $\pi_M^*(TM)$  consisting of a fixed  $n \in N$  gives a "compatible" orientation for the bundle  $TM$ , and thus  $TM$  has an orientation, and therefore  $M$  is orientable. Similarly,  $N$  is orientable.

### Question 1.

As  $f$  is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^3 - (0, 0, z)$ , computing  $Df|_{(x_0, y_0, z_0)}$  gives

$$Df|_{(x_0, y_0, z_0)} = \left( -2x \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} - 1 \right), -2y \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} - 1 \right), 2z \right)$$

Consider  $f^{-1}(1)$ . As  $Df|_{(x_0, y_0, z_0)}$  is the zero map at exactly the points  $\{(x_0, y_0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 4\}$ , which maps, under  $f$ , to 0. Thus as  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is normal, there exists an open neighborhood of  $f^{-1}(1)$  such that  $Df|_{(x_0, y_0, z_0)}$  is not the zero map. Thus on that neighborhood,  $Df|_{(x_0, y_0, z_0)}$  has constant rank 1, and thus by question 2-28, we see that  $M = f^{-1}(1)$  is a closed submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of dimension 2, and thus it is a smooth manifold.

### Question 2.

$N_r = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 = r^2\}$ . For  $r < 1$  and  $r > 3$ ,  $N_r \cap M = \emptyset$ , so we can just consider  $1 \leq r \leq 3$ . For  $1 < r < 3$ , we see that the intersection is the disjoint union of two circles  $\{(x, y, z_0) : x^2 + y^2 = r^2 \text{ and } 1 - (2 - r)^2 = z_0^2\}$ , while when  $r = 1$  or  $r = 3$  the intersection is one single circle of radius  $r$  on the  $x, y$ -plane, and hence  $M \cap N_r$  is a smooth manifold for  $1 \leq r \leq 3$ . Suppose  $1 \leq r \leq 3$  and  $p \in N_r \cap M$ . We can parametrize  $M$  to be  $\{((2 + \cos(\phi))\cos(\theta), (2 + \cos(\phi))\sin(\theta), \sin(\phi)) : \psi \in [0, 2\pi), \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$ , and we let  $p = ((2 + \cos(\phi_0))\cos(\theta_0), (2 + \cos(\phi_0))\sin(\theta_0), \sin(\phi_0))$ . Then  $T_p M$ , considered as embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , is spanned by the vectors  $p + (-(2 + \cos(\phi_0))\sin(\theta_0), (2 + \cos(\phi_0))\cos(\theta_0), 0)$  and  $p + (-\sin(\phi_0)\cos(\theta_0), -\sin(\phi_0)\sin(\theta_0), \cos(\phi_0))$ , while  $T_p N_r$  is spanned by the vectors  $p + (-(2 + \cos(\phi_0))\sin(\theta_0), (2 + \cos(\phi_0))\cos(\theta_0), 0)$  and  $p + (0, 0, 1)$ . Thus when  $r \neq 1$  and  $r \neq 3$ , we see that  $\phi_0 \neq 0$  or  $\pi$ , and hence  $(0, 0, 1)$  and  $(-\sin(\phi_0)\cos(\theta_0), -\sin(\phi_0)\sin(\theta_0), \cos(\phi_0))$  are linearly independent. Therefore, when  $1 < r < 3$ ,  $T_p \mathbb{R}^3 = T_p M + T_p N_r$  and so  $M \cap N_r$  transversely.

### Question 3.

Let  $P_h = \{(h, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$ . Then for  $-3 \leq h \leq 3$ ,  $P_h \cap M$  is not empty, and is an embedded submanifold of  $M$  almost directly by definition of an embedded submanifold, because  $P_h \cap M$  is an  $x$ -slice. We want to find

where they intersect transversely. Let  $p \in P_h \cap M$ . Again we parametrize  $M$  to be  $\{((2 + \cos(\phi))\cos(\theta), (2 + \cos(\phi))\sin(\theta), \sin(\phi)) : \psi \in [0, 2\pi), \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$ , then  $T_p M$ , considered as embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , is spanned by the vectors  $p + (-(2 + \cos(\phi_0))\sin(\theta_0), (2 + \cos(\phi_0))\cos(\theta_0), 0)$  and  $p + (-\sin(\phi_0)\cos(\theta_0), -\sin(\phi_0)\sin(\theta_0), \cos(\phi_0))$ , while  $T_p P_h$  is spanned by the vectors  $p + (0, 1, 0)$  and  $p + (0, 0, 1)$ . Therefore, for  $-3 < h < 3$ , we see that  $(-\sin(\phi_0)\cos(\theta_0), -\sin(\phi_0)\sin(\theta_0), \cos(\phi_0))$  is not in the plane spanned by  $(0, 1, 0)$  and  $(0, 0, 1)$ , and thus  $T_p \mathbb{R}^3 = T_p M + T_p P_h$  and so  $M \cap P_h$  transversely. On the other hand, if  $h = \pm 3$ , then the vector listed for  $T_p M$  and  $T_p P_h$  span the same plane, and so they do not intersect transversely anymore. So we conclude that for  $-3 \leq h \leq 3$  exactly,  $T_p \mathbb{R}^3 = T_p M + T_p P_h$  and so  $M \cap P_h$  transversely.