

Answer the questions in the spaces provided. If you run out of room for an answer, continue on the back of the page.

Name: Solutions

Student ID: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Write the definition for a set of vectors to be linearly independent.

A set  $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of vectors is linearly independent iff when  $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n = 0$  for  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$ , then we must have  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = 0$ .

2. Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $W \subset V$  a subset. What must be true about  $W$  for it to be a subspace?

$W$  is a subspace iff

1)  $0 \in W$

2) If  $v, w \in W$  then  $v + w \in W$

3) If  $v \in W$ ,  $a \in F$  then  $av \in W$ .

3. Which of the following are vector spaces? (Yes/no is enough.)

1.  $\mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  with the standard interpretation of addition and multiplication.

No

2.  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  with the standard interpretation of addition and multiplication.

Yes

3.  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with the standard interpretation of addition and multiplication.

Yes

4. Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $F$ . Let  $a \in F$ . Prove that  $a0 = 0$ . Justify each step using vector space axioms and field axioms.

We have  $a0 = a(0+0)$  by definition of the additive identity. Then,  $a(0+0) = a0 + a0$  by distributivity of scalar multiplication. Since every vector has an additive inverse, there is  $-a0$ . Then,  $a0 + -a0 = a0 + a0 + -a0$ . By definition of additive inverse,  $a0 + -a0 = 0$ . So, we have  $0 = a0$  as desired.

5. Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $S$  a set of vectors. Show that  $\text{span } S$  is the smallest subspace containing  $S$ . That is, prove:

(a)  $\text{span } S$  is a subspace of  $V$ , and

(b) if  $W \subseteq V$  is a subspace of  $V$  containing  $S$ , then  $\text{span}(S) \subseteq W$ .

a) We will use the subspace axioms to show  $\text{span } S$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

We know  $0 \in \text{span } S$  since if  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  then

$$0 = 0s_1 + \dots + 0s_n \in \text{span } S.$$

Let  $v, w \in \text{span } S$ . Then there are  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in F$  with  $v = a_1s_1 + \dots + a_ns_n$  and  $w = b_1s_1 + \dots + b_ns_n$  by definition of  $\text{span}$ . Then,  $v + w = (a_1 + b_1)s_1 + \dots + (a_n + b_n)s_n \in \text{span } S$ .

Let  $c \in F$  and  $v \in \text{span}(S)$ . Then we can write  $v = a_1s_1 + \dots + a_ns_n$ , and  $cv = (ca_1)s_1 + \dots + (ca_n)s_n \in \text{span } S$ .

6. Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$ . Assume that  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  are (each different, nonzero) subspaces of  $V$  so that

$$V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_k.$$

Show that  $k \leq n$ .

Lemma: If  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$  and  $V_1 \neq V_2$ ,  
then  $\dim V_1 < \dim V_2$ .

proof: Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be a basis for  $V_1$ .  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is still linearly independent in  $V_2$  but isn't spanning since  $\text{span } v_1, \dots, v_n = V_1 \subsetneq V_2$ . So, we nontrivially extend  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  to a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_m$  for  $V_2$ .  
But,  $\dim V_2 = m > n = \dim V_1$ .

proof:

Since  $V_1$  is nonzero,  $\dim V_1 \geq 1$ .

Then,  $1 \leq \dim V_1 < \dim V_2 < \dots < \dim V_k \leq n$

so  $k \leq n$ .