Math 54: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Jeffrey Kuan

July 8, 2019

1 Review

Recall that a **vector space** over \mathbb{R} is an abstract set of objects called **vectors**, equipped with the operations of vector addition and multiplication by scalars (real numbers) that satisfy given properties (the most important of which are that the vector space is **closed** under vector addition and scalar multiplication).

We then discussed functions that map between vector spaces. Let V and W be vector spaces over \mathbb{R} . A function $f: V \to W$ is called a **linear transformation** if

$$f(c_1u + c_2v) = c_1f(u) + c_2f(v)$$

for all vectors u, v in V and for all real numbers c_1 and c_2 .

We then defined the kernel and range of a linear transformation $f: V \to W$.

- Recall that the **kernel** of a linear transformation is the set of vectors v in V such that f(v) = 0, where 0 here denotes the zero vector in W.
- The **range** of a linear transformation is the set of vectors w in W such that there is a vector v in V with f(v) = w.

Example 1.1. Consider the linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$T(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 - x_2$$

- The kernel is the set of (x_1, x_2) such that $T(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 x_2 = 0$. So we see that the kernel is all vectors of the form (s, 2s) where s is a real number.
- The range is the set of all real numbers that can be obtained as $2x_1 x_2$ from some (x_1, x_2) . But given any real number c, note that T(0, -c) = c. So every real number is in the range. So the range is all real numbers.

Example 1.2. Consider the linear transformation, $tr: M_{3\times 3} \to \mathbb{R}$, given by the trace.

- The kernel is the set of matrices that have zero trace. For example, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is in the kernel.
- The range is all real numbers. This is because given any real number c,

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix}c & 0 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\right) = c$$

2 One-to-One and Onto

There are two natural questions we can ask about functions. First, does every element get mapped to a unique value (one-to-one)? And second, does the function map onto every element in the set of potential values (onto)? We can consider these notions for a linear transformation.

Definition: Let $f: V \to W$ be a linear transformation between two vector spaces.

- f is one-to-one if $f(v_1) = f(v_2)$ implies that $v_1 = v_2$.
- f is onto if for every vector w in W, there is v in V such that f(v) = w.

These definitions conceptually make sense. But they can be difficult to use. The following conditions are much more useful.

- $f: V \to W$ is **one-to-one** if and only if ker $(f) = \{0\}$. (The only element in the kernel of f is the zero vector in V.)
- $f: V \to W$ is **onto** if and only if range(f) = W. (Every vector in W is in the range of f.

Example 2.1. The map $T(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 - x_2$ from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R} is not one-to-one, but it is onto. The trace tr : $M_{3\times 3} \to \mathbb{R}$ is not one-to-one, but it is onto.

A linear transformation $f: V \to W$ that is both one-to-one and onto is **bijective**. A bijective linear transformation associates elements in V and elements in W in a nice way, where every vector in V is associated to a vector in W and every vector in W is associated to a vector in V. This means that the linear transformation gives a "translation" between vectors in V and vectors in W.

For a bijective linear transformation $f: V \to W$, we can define the **inverse linear trans**formation $f^{-1}: W \to V$, where

$$f^{-1}(w) = v$$
 if and only if $f(v) = w$

So in essence, f^{-1} , if you give it w in W, tells you which vector v in V gets mapped to it by f. So f^{-1} undoes f. In particular,

$$f^{-1} \circ f = f \circ f^{-1} = \mathrm{Id}$$

where $\mathrm{Id}: V \to V$ is the identity linear transformation.

Problem 1

Show that the linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

is bijective and find T^{-1} .

Problem 2

Show that the transpose linear transformation $t: M_{2\to 2} \to M_{2\to 2}$ is bijective and find t^{-1} .

3 Subspaces of Vector Spaces

Recall that we defined a vector space as an abstract set with vectors, vector addition, and scalar multiplication that satisfied the following properties.

- V is closed under addition, so $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ is in V, whenever \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are.
- Addition of vectors is commutative: $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$
- Addition of vectors is associative: $\mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{w}$
- There is some zero vector ${\bf 0}$ such that ${\bf u}+{\bf 0}={\bf u}$
- Every vector **u** has an additive inverse $-\mathbf{u}$ such that $\mathbf{u} + (-\mathbf{u}) = 0$
- For every scalar (real number) c and every vector \mathbf{u} , $c\mathbf{u}$ is in V.
- The distributive property for scalar multiplication holds: $c(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = c\mathbf{u} + c\mathbf{v}$
- $(c+d)\mathbf{u} = c\mathbf{u} + d\mathbf{u}$
- $c(d\mathbf{u}) = (cd)\mathbf{u}$
- $1\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}$

When we define a mathematical structure, we are usually interested in "nested" instances of that structure. In particular, is it possible for a vector space to have a vector space inside of it?

Definition: A **subspace** is a subspace of a vector space that is also a vector space.

Note that if you have a subset of a vector space, that subset inherits most of the properties above from the vector space that it is sitting in. In particular, to check that something is a subspace we only need to check the following condition.

Important Result: If U is a subset of a vector space V, then U is a **subspace** of V if for each u_1, u_2 in U, $c_1u_1 + c_2u_2$ is in U too, where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary real numbers.

Examples of Subspaces:

- Recall that $M_{3\times3}$, the set of 3 by 3 matrices is a vector space. The subset $\text{Sym}_{3\times3}$ of symmetric 3 by 3 matrices is a subspace of $M_{3\times3}$, since for any two symmetric matrices A and B, $c_1A + c_2B$ is still a symmetric matrix.
- Recall that \mathbb{R}^3 is a vector space. Then, the set S of vectors $(x_1, x_2, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 , since

$$c_1(a_1, a_2, 0) + c_2(b_1, b_2, 0) = (c_1a_1 + c_2b_1, c_1a_2 + c_2b_2, 0)$$

is still in S (since the last coordinate is still zero).

- Recall that P_n is a vector space. The set of polynomials with constant term equal to zero is a subspace, since $c_1p(x) + c_2q(x)$ has constant term equal to zero if p(x) and q(x) have constant term equal to zero.
- Every vector space is a subspace of itself.
- Let {0} be the set of just the zero vector. Then {0} is a subspace of any vector space. It is called the **trivial (zero) subspace**.
- The set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 with first coordinate equal to 1 is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 , since 2(1,1,1) = 2(1,1,1) + 0(1,1,1) = (2,2,2) which is a vector that does not have first coordinate equal to 1.