

Prehistory: classical results (von Neumann and Calkin)  
Derivations: some classical facts.  
M.J. Hoffman's extension of Calkin's Theorem 2.9  
Main questions in the bounded setting  
Main result in the bounded setting  
Comments and corollaries  
The outline of the proof of Theorem

# Commutator estimates in $W^*$ -algebras and applications

Fedor Sukochev

University of NSW, AUSTRALIA

April 25, 2020

## J. von Neumann, *Some matrix inequalities and metrization of matrix-space*, Rev. Tomsk Univ. **1** (1937), 286–300.

In 1937, J. von Neumann showed that if  $\|\cdot\|_E$  is a symmetric norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then one can define a norm on the space of  $n \times n$  matrices by

$$\|A\|_E = \|(s_1(A), \dots, s_n(A))\|_E,$$

where  $s_1(A), \dots, s_n(A)$  are the singular values of  $A$  (i.e. the eigenvalues of  $(A^*A)^{1/2}$ ) in decreasing order. Infinite-dimensional development of this pioneering result by von Neumann is in highly influential books by R. Schatten, Gohberg&Krein and B. Simon. All classical Banach space geometry (books by Lindenstrauss&Tzafriri) is strongly allied with this object.

# N. Kalton and F.S., *Symmetric norms and spaces of operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **621** (2008), 81–121.

Symmetric Banach sequence space  $E$  is a Banach ideal of the space  $\ell_\infty = \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$  whose norm is invariant under permutations. Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. We define an associated *Schatten ideal*  $\mathcal{S}_E \subset B(H)$  by  $T \in \mathcal{S}_E \iff (s_n(T))_{n=1}^\infty \in E$  with a (quasi-)norm  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{S}_E} = \|(s_n(T))_{n=1}^\infty\|_E$ . Recall, that a Banach space  $(\mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}})$  which a linear subspace in  $B(H)$  is said to be a Banach ideal in  $B(H)$  if its norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$  satisfies the following estimates

$$\|XY\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|X\|_{\mathcal{E}} \|Y\|_{\infty}, \quad \|YX\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|X\|_{\mathcal{E}} \|Y\|_{\infty}, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{E}, Y \in B(H).$$

MAIN RESULT of [KS]:  $(\mathcal{S}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_E})$  is a Banach ideal in  $B(H)$ .

Prehistory: classical results (von Neumann and Calkin)

Derivations: some classical facts.

M.J. Hoffman's extension of Calkin's Theorem 2.9

Main questions in the bounded setting

Main result in the bounded setting

Comments and corollaries

The outline of the proof of Theorem

J.W. Calkin, *Two-sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space*, Ann Math. **42** (1941), 839-873.

*From Introduction* The developments of the present paper center around the observation that the ring  $B(H)$  of bounded everywhere defined operators in Hilbert space contains **non-trivial two-sided ideals**. This fact, which has escaped all but oblique notice in the development of the theory of operators, is of course **fundamental** from the point of view of algebra and at the same time differentiates  $B(H)$  sharply from the ring of all linear operators over a unitary space with finite dimension number.

## Calkin algebra

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact operators on  $H$ .  $\mathcal{K}$  is a noncommutative analogue of the space  $c_0$  of all vanishing sequences. The ideal  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed in  $B(H)$ , which is a noncommutative analogue of the algebra  $\ell_\infty$  of all bounded sequences. The quotient  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C} = B(H)/\mathcal{K}$  is called the Calkin algebra. It is a noncommutative analogue of the quotient algebra  $\ell_\infty/c_0$ . *"The Calkin algebra is important because it is the repository of all asymptotic information about operators on  $H$ ".* (Arveson).

## Theorem 2.9 from J.W. Calkin's paper

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be an ideal in  $B(H)$ ,  $\mathcal{J} \neq B(H)$ . Then the center  $B(H)/\mathcal{J}$ , that is the set of all elements from  $B(H)/\mathcal{J}$  which commute with every element of  $B(H)/\mathcal{J}$ , is the set of all elements  $\lambda\mathbf{1}$ , where  $\lambda$  is a complex number.*

**THE GIST:** If  $A \in B(H)$  is such that  $AB - BA \in \mathcal{J}$  for all  $B \in B(H)$ , then  $A = T + \lambda\mathbf{1}$  for some  $T \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**RESTATEMENT:**  $D(B(H), \mathcal{J}) := \{T \in B(H) : [T, S] := TS - ST \in \mathcal{J} \forall S \in B(H)\} = \mathcal{J} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$

## Some notations

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a complex algebra. For  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , the *commutator*  $[x, y]$  is defined by setting

$$[x, y] := xy - yx.$$

A linear map  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a derivation if

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

If  $w \in \mathcal{A}$ , then the map  $\delta_w : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  given by  $\delta_w(x) = [w, x]$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  is a derivation. A derivation of this form is called inner.

Not every derivation on a  $C^*$ -algebra is inner. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the algebra of compact operators on  $H$ .

Example (Sakai,  $C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras, Springer, 1971, see also Ber-Huang-Levitina-S.-JFA-2017 for more examples)

Take an arbitrary element  $w \in B(H)$  which is **not** in  $\mathcal{K} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ .

Consider  $\delta_w : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ . It is trivial that  $\delta_w(x) \in \mathcal{K}$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ . That is  $\delta := \delta_w|_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a derivation on  $\mathcal{K}$ . However,  $\delta$  is **not** inner on  $\mathcal{K}$ ! Indeed, suppose there exists an element  $v \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\delta = \delta_v$ . Then since  $\delta_v = \delta_w$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ , we immediately conclude that  $v - w$  belongs to the center  $Z(\mathcal{K})$ . Hence,  $w = v + \lambda\mathbf{1}$ , which is a contradiction, since  $w \notin \mathcal{K} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{K}$ .



However, we claim that **every derivation**  $\delta_w : B(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is **inner** (see Johnson–Parrott–Popa Theorem (Popa, JFA, 1985) and Ber–Huang–Levitina–S. for more general results).

We provide here a very short proof of a slightly more general result. Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra,  $d \in M$  and  $[d, M] \subset J$ , where  $J$  is **closed in the uniform norm**. Obviously,  $du - ud \in J$  for any unitary  $u \in M$ . Equivalently  $u^*du - d \in J$  for any unitary  $u \in M$ . By the DAT, there exists a convex combination of  $u_i^*du_i$  converging to  $z \in Z(M)$  in norm. Obviously, the same convex combination of  $u_i^*du_i - d$  converging to  $z - d$  in norm. Since  $J$  is norm closed and since  $u_i^*xu_i - d \in J$ , we obtain that the uniform norm limit  $z - d \in J$  ( $\approx$  Theorem 2.9). Finally,  $[d, \cdot] = [d - z, \cdot]$ . That is  $\delta_d = \delta_{d-z}$ .

M.J. Hoffman, *Essential commutants and multiplier ideals*,  
Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981), no. 6, 859–869.

Replace  $B(H)$  with an arbitrary ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . That is, fix two self-adjoint ideals  $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I}$  in  $B(H)$ . We set

$$\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = \{x \in B(H) : x\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}\}$$

and

$$D(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) = \{T \in B(H) : [T, S] \in \mathcal{J}, \forall S \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

MAIN RESULT:  $D(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ . If  $\mathcal{I} = B(H)$ , then  $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J} : B(H) = \mathcal{J}$  and the assertion above yields Calkin's Th.2.9.

## Theorem 4.1.6. from Sakai's book " $C^*$ and $W^*$ -algebras"

### Theorem

Let  $\delta$  be a derivation on a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\delta$  is inner, namely there exists an element  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\delta(x) = [a, x]$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ .  
Moreover, we can choose such an element  $a$  as follows:  $\|a\| \leq \|\delta\|$ .

### REMARK

Observe that what this theorem actually says is the following: given  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ , there exists an element  $c \in Z(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $\|a - c\| \leq \|\delta_a\|$ . Indeed, if for  $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ , the inner derivations  $\delta_a$  and  $\delta_b$  coincide, then we necessarily have  $\delta_{a-b} = 0$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , and therefore  $a - b \in \mathcal{M}'$ . The latter implies immediately that  $a - b \in Z(\mathcal{M})$ .

## Questions motivated by Calkin and Hoffman's work

**Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{M}$ . We fix this notation.**

Motivated by Calkin's Th.2.9, we ask: “Let  $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{I}$  be a canonical epimorphism. Do we have

$$\pi^{-1}(\text{center}(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{I})) = Z(\mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{I}?”$$

Motivated by Hoffman's “derivation viewpoint” on Calkin's Th.2.9, we ask: “Let  $\delta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  be a derivation. *Does there exist an element  $a \in \mathcal{I}$ , such that  $\delta(\cdot) = \delta_a(\cdot) = [a, \cdot]$ ?”*

Remember, our ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is not necessarily uniform norm closed. The DAT is not applicable! Calkin's and Hoffman's techniques (which are heavily  $B(H)$ -type) are not applicable!

## Question motivated by Sakai's Theorem 4.1.6

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra and let  $Z(\mathcal{M})$  be the center of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Fix  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  and consider the inner derivation  $\delta_a$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . It follows from Sakai's Theorem 4.1.6, that there exists  $c \in Z(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $\|\delta_a\| \geq \|a - c\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ . In view of this result, it is natural to ask whether there exists an element  $y \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\|y\| \leq 1$  and  $c \in Z(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $|[a, y]| \geq |a - c|$ ?

Our main result (next slide) answers this as well as preceding questions.

## Theorem (Ber-S)

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra and let  $a = a^* \in \mathcal{M}$ .

- (i) *There exists  $c_0 = c_0^* \in Z(\mathcal{M})$ , so that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon^* \in U(\mathcal{M})$  such that*

$$|[a, u_\varepsilon]| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)|a - c_0|. \quad (1)$$

- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a finite  $W^*$ -algebra or else a purely infinite  $\sigma$ -finite  $W^*$ -algebra, then there exists  $c_0 = c_0^* \in Z(\mathcal{M})$  and  $u_0 = u_0^* \in U(\mathcal{M})$ , such that*

$$|[a, u_0]| = u_0^*|a - c_0|u_0 + |a - c_0|, \quad (2)$$

where  $U(\mathcal{M})$  is the group of all unitary elements in  $\mathcal{M}$ ;

## Sharpness

Observe that the equality (2) trivially yields the estimate (1) even for the case  $\varepsilon = 0$ . Nevertheless, the result of (i) is still sharp. Indeed, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is an infinite semifinite  $\sigma$ -finite factor, then there exists a self-adjoint element  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  such that for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $u \in U(\mathcal{M})$  the inequality  $|[a, u]| \geq |a - \lambda \mathbf{1}|$  fails. Hence, the multiplier  $(1 - \varepsilon)$  in the part (i) of Theorem ?? can not be omitted.

## First version of extension of Calkin's Th.2.9

Our Main Theorem yields a completely different proof of Calkin's Th.2.9. It also answers the questions stated above.

### Corollary (Ber-S)

*Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\delta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  be a derivation. Then there exists an element  $a \in \mathcal{I}$ , such that  $\delta = \delta_a = [a, \cdot]$ .*



## Proof.

Since  $\delta$  is a derivation on a  $W^*$ -algebra, it is necessarily inner (Sakai's [Theorem 4.1.6]). Thus, there exists an element  $d \in \mathcal{M}$ , such that  $\delta(\cdot) = \delta_d(\cdot) = [d, \cdot]$ . It follows from our hypothesis that  $[d, \mathcal{M}] \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ .

Without loss of generality, let  $d$  be self-adjoint. It follows now from Ber-S Theorem, that there exist  $c \in Z(\mathcal{M})$  and  $u \in U(\mathcal{M})$ , such that  $|[d, u]| \geq 1/2|d - c|$ . This implies obtain  $d - c \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Setting  $a := d - c$ , we deduce that  $a \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\delta = [a, \cdot]$ .  $\square$

## Second version of extension of Calkin's Th.2.9

### Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra, let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{I}$  be a canonical epimorphism. Then,  
$$\pi^{-1}(\text{center}(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{I})) = Z(\mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{I}.$$

### Proof.

Let  $a \in \pi^{-1}(\text{center}(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{I}))$ . Then  $[a, x] = ax - xa \in \mathcal{I}$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . By the preceding Corollary applied to  $\delta_a$ , we obtain  $a + c \in \mathcal{I}$  for some  $c \in Z(\mathcal{M})$ . Therefore  $a \in Z(\mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{I}$ , that is  $\pi^{-1}(\text{center}(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{I})) \subset Z(\mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{I}$ . The converse inclusion is trivial. □

## Setting up the scene for Hoffman-type results

Let us fix a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  and two self-adjoint ideals  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We set

$$\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : x\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}\}$$

and

$$D(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : [x, y] \in \mathcal{J}, \forall y \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Observe that  $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular,

$$(\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I})^* = \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{I}x \subset \mathcal{J}\}.$$

Prehistory: classical results (von Neumann and Calkin)  
Derivations: some classical facts.  
M.J. Hoffman's extension of Calkin's Theorem 2.9  
Main questions in the bounded setting  
Main result in the bounded setting  
**Comments and corollaries**  
The outline of the proof of Theorem

## Extending Hoffman's results

### Corollary

*For any  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  and any ideals  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  we have*  
$$D(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} + Z(\mathcal{M}).$$

## Applications to normed ideals.

### Definition

A linear subspace  $\mathcal{I}$  in the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  is said to be a *symmetric operator ideal* if

- (1)  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq \|S\|_{\infty}$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- (2)  $\|S^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|S\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- (3)  $\|ASB\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|A\|_{\infty} \|S\|_{\mathcal{I}} \|B\|_{\infty}$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Observe, that every symmetric operator ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is a two-sided ideal in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and therefore, it follows from  $0 \leq S \leq T$  and  $T \in \mathcal{I}$  that  $S \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ .

## Applications to normed ideals.

### Corollary

*Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra, let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a symmetric operator ideal in  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $\delta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  be a self-adjoint derivation. Then there exists an element  $a \in \mathcal{I}$ , satisfying the inequality  $\|a\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|\delta\|_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}}$  and such that  $\delta = \delta_a = [a, \cdot]$ .*

## The main idea in finite-dimensional setting-1

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  coincide with algebra  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  of all  $n \times n$  complex matrices. Fix  $a = a^* \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The claim of Theorem (Ber-S)

$$|[a, u_0]| = u_0^* |a - c_0| u_0 + |a - c_0|,$$

is invariant under the action of inner  $*$ -automorphisms and so, we can assume that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ .

## The main idea in finite-dimensional setting-2

Let the unitary matrix  $u \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be counter-diagonal, that is

$$u = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix},$$

and observe that

$$u^* a u = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n-1} & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 \end{vmatrix}.$$



## The main idea in finite-dimensional setting-3

Therefore,

$$|[a, u]| = |u^* a u - a| = \begin{vmatrix} |\lambda_n - \lambda_1| & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & |\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_2| & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & |\lambda_1 - \lambda_n| \end{vmatrix}.$$

If  $n$  is odd, then for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$  we have

$$|\lambda_k - \lambda_{n+1-k}| = |\lambda_k - \lambda_0| + |\lambda_{n+1-k} - \lambda_0|$$

for  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{(n+1)/2}$ .

## The main idea in finite-dimensional setting-4

If  $n$  is even, then for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$  we have

$$|\lambda_k - \lambda_{n+1-k}| = |\lambda_k - \lambda_0| + |\lambda_{n+1-k} - \lambda_0|$$

for every  $\lambda_0 \in [\lambda_{n/2}, \lambda_{n/2+1}]$ .

Therefore, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$|[a, u]| = u^* |a - \lambda_0 \mathbf{1}| u + |a - \lambda_0 \mathbf{1}|.$$

This completes the proof.