

Commutators in finite free probability

arXiv:2209.00523

Jacob Campbell

October 24, 2022

Random unitary matrices

Notation and terminology:

- ▶ $U(d)$ is the group of $d \times d$ unitary matrices
- ▶ has a unique invariant probability measure (Haar measure)
- ▶ random unitary matrix = random element of $U(d)$ according to Haar measure

Asymptotically related to free probability:

- ▶ Voiculescu 1990: for $d \times d$ matrices A and B (converging in average eigenvalue distribution as $d \rightarrow \infty$) and random $d \times d$ unitary U , A and UBU^* are asymptotically free as $d \rightarrow \infty$
- ▶ On the other hand, in this talk d is fixed.

Review of \boxplus_d and \boxtimes_d

Notation. For a $d \times d$ matrix X , we write the characteristic polynomial as

$$c_X(X) = \sum_{k=0}^d x^{d-k} (-1)^k e_k(X)$$

where $e_k(X)$ is the k -th elementary symmetric function in the eigenvalues of X :

$$e_k(X) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d} \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k}$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ are the eigenvalues of X .

Review of \boxplus_d and \boxtimes_d

Marcus, Spielman, and Srivastava realized that expected characteristic polynomials of operations involving randomly rotated matrices recover some classical operations on polynomials (studied by Walsh and Szegő in the 1920s):

Theorem (MSS 2015). For $0 \leq k \leq d$,

$$\mathbb{E}_U e_k(A + UBU^*) = \sum_{i+j=k} \frac{(d-i)!(d-j)!}{d!(d-k)!} e_i(A)e_j(B)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_U e_k(AUBU^*) = \frac{1}{\binom{d}{k}} e_k(A)e_k(B)$$

Review of \boxplus_d and \boxtimes_d

Definition. For polynomials

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^d x^{d-k} (-1)^k a_k \text{ and } q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^d x^{d-k} (-1)^k b_k$$

define

$$p(x) \boxplus_d q(x) := \sum_{k=0}^d x^{d-k} (-1)^k \left(\sum_{i+j=k} \frac{(d-i)!(d-j)!}{d!(d-k)!} a_i b_j \right)$$

and

$$p(x) \boxtimes_d q(x) := \sum_{k=0}^d x^{d-k} (-1)^k \left(\frac{1}{\binom{d}{k}} a_k b_k \right)$$

Review of \boxplus_d and \boxtimes_d

Notation.

- ▶ $e_k(A \boxplus_d B)$ etc. means the k -th coefficient of $p(x) \boxplus_d q(x)$
- ▶ \boxminus_d is “subtraction” with respect to \boxplus_d , i.e.

$$p(x) \boxminus_d q(x) = \mathbb{E}_{UC_x}(A - UBU^*) = \mathbb{E}_{UC_x}(A + U(-B)U^*)$$

Remark.

- ▶ MSS originally proved the above theorem by replacing the continuous integrals over $U(d)$ with sums over the subgroup $H(d)$ of signed permutation matrices (key words: quadrature, minor-orthogonality)
- ▶ can also directly apply Weingarten calculus to reduce to computations with characters of symmetric groups (C-Zhi 2019)

Finite free commutator

Question. What is

$$\mathbb{E}_{UC_X}(AUBU^* - UBU^*A)$$

in terms of $c_X(A)$ and $c_X(B)$?

Theorem (C 2022). With $p(x) = c_X(A)$ and $q(x) = c_X(B)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{UC_X}(AUBU^* - UBU^*A) \\ = (p(x) \boxminus_d p(x)) \boxtimes_d (q(x) \boxminus_d q(x)) \boxtimes_d z_d(x) \end{aligned}$$

for a certain polynomial $z_d(x)$ which does not depend on A and B .

Finite free commutator

More generally, can look at

$$\mathbb{E}_U c_x(y_1 AUBU^* + y_2 UBU^* A)$$

for some formal variables y_1 and y_2 – this is a polynomial in x, y_1, y_2

- ▶ commutator is specialization at $y_1 = 1$ and $y_2 = -1$
- ▶ anticommutator is specialization at $y_1 = 1$ and $y_2 = 1$

Remark. Can assume WLOG that A and B are diagonal: follows from invariance of Haar measure + unitary conjugation preserving $c_x(\cdot)$

- ▶ Throughout this talk, let $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ and $B = \text{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_d)$

Plan:

1. Weingarten calculus to turn the analytic problem into a combinatorial one
2. a bit of representation theory to reduce to a problem about immanants
3. compute the immanants using Goulden-Jackson formula

Pre-integration

Lemma. For a fixed unitary U , we have

$$e_k(y_1 A U B U^* + y_2 U B U^* A) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [d] \\ |S|=k}} \sum_{p: S \rightarrow [d]} \left(\prod_{i \in S} b_{p(i)} \right) \\ \times \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i \in S} (y_1 a_i + y_2 a_{\sigma(i)}) u_{ip(i)} \overline{u_{\sigma(i)p(i)}}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq d$.

Idea of proof. Straightforward application of Vieta's formula

$$e_k(X) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [d] \\ |S|=k}} \det(X(S, S))$$



Representation theory

Weingarten calculus

For integrating polynomials in unitary matrix coordinates u_{ij} :

Theorem (Collins 2003). *There is a class function $W_{g_{k,d}}^U$ on S_k such that*

$$\int_{U(d)} u_{i(1)j(1)} \cdots u_{i(k)j(k)} \overline{u_{i'(1)j'(1)}} \cdots \overline{u_{i'(l)j'(l)}} dU$$

is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi, \sigma \in S_k \\ i=i' \circ \pi \\ j=j' \circ \sigma}} W_{g_{k,d}}^U(\pi^{-1}\sigma)$$

if $k = l$, and 0 otherwise.

Representation theory

Weingarten calculus

Decomposition of $W_{g_{k,d}}^U$ into irreps:

Theorem (Collins 2003).

$$W_{g_{k,d}}^U = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq d}} w_\lambda \chi^\lambda \text{ with } w_\lambda := \frac{\dim(\lambda)^2}{(k!)^2 s_\lambda(1^d)}$$

Notation:

- ▶ χ^λ is the irreducible character of S_k labeled by λ
- ▶ $\dim(\lambda)$ is the dimension of the irrep labeled by λ , i.e. # of standard tableaux of shape λ
- ▶ $s_\lambda(1^d)$ is a special polynomial in d (specialization of Schur polynomial), can be described in terms of the shape of λ

Post-integration

Lemma.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_U e_k(y_1 AUBU^* + y_2 UBU^* A) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} w_\lambda \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [d] \\ |S|=k}} \sum_{\mu \vdash k} \\ & \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)} \left(\text{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{\substack{\pi \in P(S) \\ t(\pi)=\mu}} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \text{Sym}(S) \\ \tau \leq \pi}} \chi^\lambda(\sigma\tau) \right) \prod_{i \in S} (y_1 a_i + y_2 a_{\sigma(i)}) \\ & \frac{\ell(\mu)!}{|\text{Orb}(\mu)|} m_\mu(B) \end{aligned}$$

Idea of proof. Weingarten calculus + character expansion + elementary combinatorics □

Post-integration

Key point from last slide: we are interested in expressions of the form

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \left(\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{\substack{\pi \in P(k) \\ t(\pi) = \mu}} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S_k \\ \tau \leq \pi}} \chi^\lambda(\sigma\tau) \right) \prod_{i=1}^k (y_1 x_i + y_2 x_{\sigma(i)})$$

where x_1, \dots, x_k are some scalars.

- ▶ x_1, \dots, x_k are meant to range over a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_k} for $S \subseteq [d]$, $|S| = k$

Plan:

1. Weingarten calculus to turn the analytic problem into a combinatorial one
2. a bit of representation theory to reduce to a problem about immanants
3. compute the immanants using Goulden-Jackson formula

Representation theory

Conjugates of Young subgroups

Proposition. For $\lambda, \mu \vdash k$, there is a constant $C_{\lambda, \mu}$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \in P(k) \\ t(\pi) = \mu}} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S_k \\ \tau \leq \pi}} \chi^\lambda(\sigma\tau) = C_{\lambda, \mu} \chi^\lambda(\sigma).$$

These constants depend on the dominance ordering of partitions and related combinatorics: they are 0 in many cases, and in the non-zero cases relevant here, there is a simple formula.

Idea of proof. The sum is over conjugates of the Young subgroup S_μ , which is very well understood in the representation theory of S_k .

Immanants

Upshot: the dependence of $\mathbb{E}_{UC_X}(AUBU^* - UBU^*A)$ on A is only through

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \prod_{i \in S} (y_1 a_i + y_2 a_{\sigma(i)})$$

where $\lambda \vdash k$ and $S \subseteq [d]$ with $|S| = k$.

Definition. The *immanant* of a $k \times k$ matrix $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j}$, with respect to $\lambda \vdash k$, is

$$\text{Imm}^\lambda(X) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^k x_{i\sigma(i)}.$$

This is a common generalization of the determinant ($\chi^{(1^k)} = \text{sgn}$) and the permanent ($\chi^{(k)} = 1$).

Plan:

1. Weingarten calculus to turn the analytic problem into a combinatorial one
2. a bit of representation theory to reduce to a problem about immanants
3. compute the immanants using Goulden-Jackson formula

Immanants

Definition (Schur polynomials). For $\lambda \vdash k$, write

$$s_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = \sum_{T \in \text{SST}(\lambda)} \alpha_1^{\omega_1(T)} \cdots \alpha_k^{\omega_k(T)}$$

Notation:

- ▶ $\text{SST}(\lambda)$ is the set of semistandard tableaux with shape λ
- ▶ “semistandard” means weakly increasing rows, strictly increasing columns
- ▶ $\omega_i(T)$ is the number of entries i in T

Example. With $\lambda = (2, 1) \vdash 3$,

<table border="1"><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td></td></tr></table>	1	1	2		<table border="1"><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td></td></tr></table>	1	1	3		<table border="1"><tr><td>1</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td></td></tr></table>	1	2	2		<table border="1"><tr><td>1</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td></td></tr></table>	1	2	3		<table border="1"><tr><td>1</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td></td></tr></table>	1	3	2		<table border="1"><tr><td>1</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td></td></tr></table>	1	3	3		<table border="1"><tr><td>2</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td></td></tr></table>	2	2	3		<table border="1"><tr><td>2</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td></td></tr></table>	2	3	3	
1	1																																						
2																																							
1	1																																						
3																																							
1	2																																						
2																																							
1	2																																						
3																																							
1	3																																						
2																																							
1	3																																						
3																																							
2	2																																						
3																																							
2	3																																						
3																																							
$\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2$	$\alpha_1^2 \alpha_3$	$\alpha_1 \alpha_2^2$	$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3$	$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3$	$\alpha_1 \alpha_3^2$	$\alpha_2^2 \alpha_3$	$\alpha_2 \alpha_3^2$																																

Immanants

Theorem (Goulden-Jackson 1992). *Let Y be a $k \times k$ matrix and let $Z = \text{diag}(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ be a diagonal matrix of commuting formal variables. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ be the eigenvalues of ZY . Then*

$$\text{Imm}^\lambda(Y) = [z_1 \cdots z_k] s_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$$

This might seem like another complicated expression (it is) but we only care about a particular matrix: let

$$Y = (y_1 x_i + y_2 x_j)_{i,j}$$

which has rank 2, i.e. ZY has only two non-zero eigenvalues α, β

Immanants

Lemma. For non-zero α, β , we have

$$s_{\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, 0, \dots, 0) = \begin{cases} \alpha^k \frac{(\beta/\alpha)^{\lambda_2 - (\beta/\alpha)^{\lambda_1 + 1}}}{1 - \beta/\alpha} & \text{if } \ell(\lambda) \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1	1	1
2	2	

$$\alpha^3 \beta^2$$

1	1	2
2	2	

$$\alpha^2 \beta^3$$

1	2	2
2	2	

$$\alpha \beta^4$$

2	2	2
2	2	

$$\beta^5$$

Immanants

Key point:

- ▶ In the case of the commutator, i.e. $y_1 = 1$ and $y_2 = -1$, it turns out that $\beta = -\alpha$
- ▶ Then the non-zero expression for $s_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ is just $(-1)^{\lambda_2} \alpha^k$, which does not depend on λ except through a sign.

So

$$\text{Imm}^\lambda(x_i - x_j)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\lambda_2} \text{Per}(x_i - x_j)_{i,j} & \text{if } \ell(\lambda) \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Straightforward to compute

$$\text{Per}(x_i - x_j)_{i,j} = \sum_{i+j=k} (-1)^i i! j! e_i(X) e_j(X)$$

Main theorem in terms of coefficients

Theorem.

$$\mathbb{E}_U e_k(AUBU^* - UBU^*A) = \left(\sum_{i+j=k} (-1)^i \frac{(d-i)!(d-j)!}{d!(d-k)!} e_i(A)e_j(A) \right) \left(\sum_{i+j=k} (-1)^i \frac{(d-i)!(d-j)!}{d!(d-k)!} e_i(B)e_j(B) \right) \frac{(d-k)!}{(d-k/2)!} (k/2)! \frac{d+1-k/2}{d+1}$$

Corollary.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_U c_x(AUBU^* - UBU^*A) \\ = (p(x) \boxminus_d p(x)) \boxtimes_d (q(x) \boxminus_d q(x)) \boxtimes z_d(x) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$z_d(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} x^{d-2k} \binom{d}{2k} (d)_k \frac{k!}{(2k)!} \frac{d+1-k}{d+1}$$

The polynomial $z_d(x)$

$$z_d(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} x^{d-2k} \binom{d}{2k} (d)_k \frac{k!}{(2k)!} \frac{d+1-k}{d+1}$$

Question. Is there a polynomial $r(x)$ such that $z_d(x) = r(x) \boxplus_d r(x)$?

- ▶ Motivated by Nica-Speicher 1998, Marcus 2016, and Arizmendi-Perales 2016, I've tried letting $r(x)$ be the “finite free Poisson” polynomial $P_{\lambda,d}(x)$
 - ▶ associated Laguerre polynomial + some normalizations
 - ▶ root distribution is asymptotically Marchenko-Pastur
- ▶ it's close but not quite right: with rate $\lambda = 1 + \frac{1}{d}$, coefficient of x^{d-2k} in $P_{\lambda,d}(x) \boxplus_d P_{\lambda,d}(x)$ is

$$(-1)^k \frac{1}{d^{2k}} \binom{d}{2k} (d)_k \frac{(2k)!}{k!} \frac{d+1}{d+1-k}$$

Finite free cumulants

Arizmendi & Perales (2016) found a sequence $\kappa_n^{(d)}$ of *finite free cumulants* which

- ▶ linearize \boxplus_d : $\kappa_n^{(d)}(A \boxplus_d B) = \kappa_n^{(d)}(A) + \kappa_n^{(d)}(B)$
- ▶ satisfy a moment-cumulant relation:

$$m_n^{(d)}(A) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{d^{n+1}(n-1)!} \sum_{\substack{\sigma, \tau \in P(n) \\ \sigma \vee \tau = 1_n}} d^{|\sigma|+|\tau|} \mu(0_n, \sigma) \mu(0_n, \tau) \kappa_\sigma^{(d)}(A)$$

- ▶ converge to free cumulants: $\kappa_n^{(d)}(A) \rightarrow \kappa_n(A)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$

These can be seen as symmetric functions in eigenvalues, defined in terms of $\{e_k(A) : 0 \leq k \leq d\}$

Finite free cumulants

Obvious question: what is

$$\mathbb{E}_U \kappa_n^{(d)}(AUBU^* - UB U^* A)$$

in terms of $\kappa_n^{(d)}(A)$ and $\kappa_n^{(d)}(B)$? Naive attempt:

- ▶ can argue similarly to A-GV-P 2021 by mimicking their use of coefficient-cumulant formulas
- ▶ I haven't been able to do much with the resulting expression

So take a step back and look at the free commutator.

Free probability

Let a, b be free and self-adjoint. For non-trivial combinatorial reasons, can assume a and b are even. Let $\alpha_n := \kappa_{2n}(a)$ and $\beta_n = \kappa_{2n}(b)$.

Theorem (Nica-Speicher 1998). $i(ab - ba)$ is even and

$$\kappa_{2n}(i(ab - ba)) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\pi, \sigma \in NC(n) \\ \sigma \leq K(\pi)}} \alpha_\pi \beta_\sigma$$

Idea: ab is R -diagonal and the distribution of $ab - ba$ is encoded in the joint distribution of ab and $ba = (ab)^*$

Free probability

Potential blueprint for finite setting:

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa_{2n}(i(ab - ba)) \\ &= \kappa_{2n}(ab, ba, \dots, ab, ba) + \kappa_{2n}(ba, ab, \dots, ba, ab) \quad (R\text{-diagonal}) \\ &= 2\kappa_{2n}(ab, ba, \dots, ab, ba) \quad (\text{tracial}) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\substack{\pi \in NC(4n) \\ \pi \vee \pi \cdots \pi = 1_{4n}}} \kappa_{\pi}(a, b, b, a, \dots, a, b, b, a) \quad (\text{products as arguments}) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\substack{\pi, \sigma \in NC(2n) \\ \sigma \leq K(\pi)}} \alpha_{\pi} \beta_{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

Multivariate characteristic polynomial

Mirabelli (PhD thesis, 2021) has introduced a multivariate characteristic polynomial

$$c_{x,y_1,\dots,y_m}(A_1,\dots,A_m) = \det(xI - y_1A_1 - \dots - y_mA_m)$$

and shown that the formula for \boxplus_d extends straightforwardly to multiple variables. Open:

$$\mathbb{E}_U c_{x,y_1,y_2}(AUBU^*, (AUBU^*)^*) = ?$$

If A and B are self-adjoint, then this is the same as

$$\mathbb{E}_U c_x(y_1AUBU^* + y_2UBU^*A)$$

and the commutator is the specialization at $y_1 = 1$ and $y_2 = -1$.

Questions

- ▶ understand $\text{Imm}^\lambda(y_1 x_i + y_2 x_j)_{i,j}$, towards

$$\mathbb{E}_U c_{x,y_1,y_2}(AUBU^*, (AUBU^*)^*) = ?$$

- ▶ multivariate finite free cumulants – in terms of the coefficients of

$$c_{x,y_1,\dots,y_n}(A_1, \dots, A_n)?$$

- ▶ finite R -diagonality?

Thanks for listening!