

CONFORMAL GROUP, QUANTIZATION, AND THE KEPLER PROBLEM

Joseph A. Wolf

§1. INTRODUCTION. This is a report on some joint work with Shlomo Sternberg. We consider a variation on geometric quantization for the orthogonal groups $SO(2,n)$, realizing certain of their representations on the nonzero cotangent bundle of the $(n-1)$ -sphere. Here the elliptic orbits of the Kepler problem (with collision orbits regularized) appear as $SO(2)$ -orbits. Another viewpoint, related by a geometric Cayley transform, gives the hyperbolic orbits as $SO(1,1)$ -orbits in the nonzero cotangent bundle of real hyperbolic $(n-1)$ -space. This gives a correspondence between the classical bound states and the classical scattering states for the hydrogen atom.

Our group-theoretic considerations are valid with only minor changes for the unitary groups $U(2,n)$, the special unitary groups $SU(2,n)$, and the unitary symplectic groups $Sp(2,n)$. While there is a connection with the harmonic oscillator, the physical interpretations are not always so clear. In any case, here I just indicate the situation for $SO(2,n)$. Complete details will appear elsewhere.

§2. A NILPOTENT CO-ADJOINT ORBIT. Let $R^{2,n}$ denote the real vector space with standard basis $\{e_{-1}, e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and inner product $\langle u, v \rangle = u_{-1}v_{-1} + u_0v_0 - (u_1v_1 + \dots + u_nv_n)$. $O(2,n)$ is the orthogonal group of $R^{2,n}$, $G = SO(2,n)$ denotes its identity component, and the alternating tensor square $\Lambda^2(R^{2,n})$ is identified with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(2,n)$ under

$$u \wedge v : x \mapsto \langle x, u \rangle v - \langle x, v \rangle u .$$

Here the adjoint representation is given by $\text{Ad}(g)(u \wedge v) = gu \wedge gv$.

If $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ let E_ξ denote its range. If E_ξ is 2-dimensional and totally isotropic, then $\xi^2 = 0$, and E_ξ projects onto $R^{2,0} = \text{span}\{e_{-1}, e_0\}$, so ξ has unique expression

$$(2.1) \quad \xi = s(e_{-1} + p) \wedge (e_0 + q) \text{ where } \begin{cases} p, q \in R^{0,n} = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \\ \|p\|^2 = \|q\|^2 = -1, \langle p, q \rangle = 0 \end{cases}$$

All such ξ form a single $O(2,n)$ -orbit. Here $s = \langle \xi, e_{-1} \wedge e_0 \rangle$, and that single orbit falls into two G -orbits as $s > 0$ or $s < 0$. We will use the orbit

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{U} = \{ \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ as in (2.1) : } s > 0 \} .$$

The semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is identified with its dual space \mathfrak{g}^* under the Killing form, and we view \mathcal{U} as a (co-adjoint) orbit of G on \mathfrak{g}^* . That gives \mathcal{U} the structure of G -homogeneous symplectic manifold.

In the notation (2.1), think of q as a point on the unit sphere $S^{n-1} = \{ x \in R^{0,n} : \|x\|^2 = -1 \}$ and sp as an arbitrary nonzero cotangent vector to S^{n-1} at q . This identifies \mathcal{U} with the bundle $T^+(S^{n-1})$ of nonzero cotangent vectors to S^{n-1} . In this identification, the subgroup

$$G_1 = SO(1,n) = \{ g \in G : ge_{-1} = e_{-1} \}$$

is visibly transitive on $T^+(S^{n-1})$, and thus on \mathcal{U} . Furthermore $\xi = s(e_{-1} + p) \wedge (e_0 + q) \mapsto sp \wedge (e_0 + q)$ is a bijection of \mathcal{U} onto the principal nilpotent coadjoint orbit of G_1 , which is

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{U}_1 = \{ \xi_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_1 : \dim E_{\xi_1} = 2 \text{ and } \dim(E_{\xi_1} \cap E_{\xi_1}^\perp) = 1 \} .$$

\mathcal{U} now carries three symplectic structures: as co-adjoint orbit of G , from the natural symplectic structure on the cotangent bundle of S^{n-1} , and from the natural symplectic structure of \mathcal{U}_1 . Here our result is

THEOREM. The three symplectic structures on \mathcal{U} coincide. In particular, the natural symplectic structure on $T^+(S^{n-1})$ is invariant under the action of $G = SO(2,n)$.

§3. ORBITS FOR THE KEPLER PROBLEM. We have $R^{2,n} = R^{2,0} \oplus R^{0,n}$ as above, and the G -stabilizer of this splitting is the maximal compact subgroup $K = SO(2) \times SO(n)$. Here $SO(n)$ acts on \mathcal{U} through its usual action on the tangent bundle $T(S^{n-1})$,

$A : s(e_{-1} + p) \wedge (e_0 + q) \mapsto s(e_{-1} + Ap) \wedge (e_0 + Aq)$, and $SO(2)$ acts by rotations, the rotation r_φ through an angle φ sending $s(e_{-1} + p) \wedge (e_0 + q)$ to

$$\begin{aligned} & s(\cos\varphi e_{-1} + \sin\varphi e_0 + p) \wedge (-\sin\varphi e_{-1} + \cos\varphi e_0 + q) \\ & = s(e_{-1} + \cos\varphi p - \sin\varphi q) \wedge (e_0 + \sin\varphi p + \cos\varphi q) . \end{aligned}$$

On (co)-tangent vectors of length s , this rotation r_φ is geodesic

flow $f_{\phi/s}$ at time ϕ/s . The infinitesimal generator of the geodesic flow $\{f_t\}$ is the vector field V_H corresponding (by exterior derivative and the symplectic form) to $H = -s^2/2$, so $\{r_\phi\}$ has infinitesimal generator that is the Hamiltonian field for $(-2H)^{1/2} = s$. Since the $SO(2)$ -orbits are the orbits of the geodesic flow, they are the elliptic orbits of the Kepler problem with collision orbits regularized.

Similarly $R^{2,n} = R^{1,1} \oplus R^{1,n-1}$ where $R^{1,1} = \text{span}\{e_{-1}, e_n\}$ and $R^{1,n-1} = \text{span}\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$. The G -stabilizer of this splitting is a two-component group with identity component $K' = SO(1,1) \times SO(1,n-1)$, and \mathcal{U} is the union of three K' -invariant sets

$$\mathcal{U}^+ = \{t(e_{-1}+p) \wedge (e_n+q) : t > 0, p, q \in R^{1,n-1}, \|p\|^2 = -1, \|q\|^2 = 1, p \perp q, \langle e_0, q \rangle > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{U}^0 = \{\xi \in \mathcal{U} : E_\xi \cap R^{1,1} \neq \emptyset\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{U}^- = \{t(e_{-1}+p) \wedge (e_n+q) : t < 0, p, q \in R^{1,n-1}, \|p\|^2 = -1, \|q\|^2 = 1, p \perp q, \langle e_0, q \rangle < 0\}.$$

Let H_+^{n-1} (resp. H_-^{n-1}) denote the real hyperbolic $(n-1)$ -space that is is the sheet $\langle e_0, q \rangle > 0$ (resp. $\langle e_0, q \rangle < 0$) of the mass hyperboloid $\|q\|^2 = 1$ in $R^{1,n-1}$. Then \mathcal{U}^+ (resp. \mathcal{U}^-) is identified with its bundle $T^+(H_+^{n-1})$ (resp. $T^+(H_-^{n-1})$) of nonzero cotangent vectors, $\xi = t(e_{-1} + p) \wedge (e_n + q)$ corresponding to the vector tp of length $|t|$ at q . Here $SO(1,n-1)$ acts through its usual action by isometries and $SO(1,1)$ acts, as before, by hyperbolic rotations proportional to the geodesic flow. So the $SO(1,1)$ -orbits on \mathcal{U}^\pm are the hyperbolic orbits of the Kepler problem.

If one interprets the $SO(2)$ -orbits on \mathcal{U} as the classical bound states for the hydrogen atom, and the $SO(1,1)$ -orbits on \mathcal{U}^\pm as the scattering states, then the Cayley transform relating $SO(2)$ to $SO(1,1)$ gives a sort of correspondence between those states. The geometric picture for this Cayley transform comes from noting that the sign condition on $\langle e_0, q \rangle$ identifies H_+^{n-1} with the upper hemisphere of S^{n-1} and H_-^{n-1} with the lower hemisphere:

$$t(e_{-1}+p) \wedge (e_n+q) = t \langle e_0, q \rangle \{ (e_{-1}+p) \wedge (e_0 + \langle e_0, q \rangle^{-1} (q - \langle e_0, q \rangle e_0 + e_n)) \}.$$

Another interesting picture comes from taking p for base point and q for (co)-tangent vector.

§4. GEOMETRIC QUANTIZATION. We turn to the question of quantizing the action of $G = SO(2,n)$ on its co-adjoint orbit $\mathcal{U} = T^+(S^{n-1})$.

The standard Kostant-Souriau quantization procedure does not work here because there is no G -invariant polarization. In effect, a result of Ozeki and Wakimoto says that any such polarization would be a parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{q} of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$, a result of mine would then say $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$ for some parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{g} , and of course \mathfrak{p} would necessarily have codimension $n-1$ in \mathfrak{g} . But the maximal parabolic subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} are the stabilizers of null lines, which have codimension n , and the stabilizers of null planes, which have codimension $2n-1$, and so \mathfrak{p} does not exist.

There are several possibilities for circumventing this lack of polarizations:

- (i) weaken the definition of polarization,
- (ii) view \mathcal{U} as a limit of polarized co-adjoint orbits,
- (iii) use the Kostant-Sternberg-Blattner half-form method.

In the first approach, one takes the usual definition of invariant polarization as complex subalgebra \mathfrak{q} of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$, but no longer requires that $\mathfrak{q} + \bar{\mathfrak{q}}$ be an algebra; that is done implicitly in N. Woodhouse's report at this conference. In the second approach, one has a smooth family \mathcal{U}_t of co-adjoint orbits with $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_0$, with representations π_t associated to \mathcal{U}_t for $t \neq 0$, in such a way that one can make sense of $\pi_0 = \lim \pi_t$ and associate it to \mathcal{U} ; in E. Onofri's report here, that is done for elliptic semisimple approximating orbits and holomorphic discrete series approximating representations, and I have a comment on this in §6 below. Sternberg and I use the third approach.

§5. HALF FORMS AND VARYING POLARIZATIONS. Let P denote the standard polarization on $T^+(S^{n-1})$; its maximal integral manifolds are the cotangent spaces with origin deleted. Then $G_1 = SO(1,n)$ is the stabilizer of P in $G = SO(2,n)$, and the G -translates of P are parameterized by the mass shell $H = \{x \in R^{2,n} : \|x\|^2 = 1\}$:

$$G/G_1 = SO(2,n)/SO(1,n) \cong SO(2,n)(e_{-1}) = H.$$

Given $x = ge_{-1} \in H$, let P_x denote the image $g(P)$. The half form method gives a family of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_x , and nondegenerate pairings between them, stable under the action of G . Here G_1 has

natural irreducible unitary representation ψ on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{e^{-1}}$ by standard geometric quantization using $P = P_{e^{-1}}$; in fact ψ is the principal series representation that corresponds to the trivial character on the minimal parabolic subgroup, and \mathcal{H} is $L^2(S^{n-1})$. More generally, if $g \in G$ then g carries \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H}_x , $x = ge_{-1}$, and we pair this back to \mathcal{H} using the half forms. Thus G acts on $L^2(S^{n-1})$, and this action π restricts to the representation ψ of G_1 . Sternberg and I still have to clarify some technical matters with the half form pairing here.

§6. LIMIT METHOD. I'll close by exhibiting the representation π of G , corresponding to the co-adjoint orbit $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{T}^+(S^{n-1})$, as a limit of spherical principal series representations. This has the advantage of simplicity over Onofri's procedure with the holomorphic discrete series, but the disadvantage of obscuring the place of G_1 and $L^2(S^{n-1})$ as compared with the half form method.

Fix $\xi = (e_{-1} + e_{n-1}) \wedge (e_0 + e_n) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Its matrix is $\begin{pmatrix} J & 0 & -J \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ J & 0 & -J \end{pmatrix}$ where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\eta = -\frac{1}{4}(e_{-1} - e_{n-1}) \wedge (e_0 - e_n)$ is another nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} . It has matrix $\frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 & -J \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ J & 0 & J \end{pmatrix}$, and so $h = [\xi, \eta]$ has matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Now

$$[h, \xi] = 2\xi, \quad [h, \eta] = -2\eta \quad \text{and} \quad [\xi, \eta] = h.$$

So $\{h, \xi, \eta\}$ is a standard generating triple for a split three dimensional simple subalgebra (TDS) in \mathfrak{g} , that is

$$h \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a Lie algebra isomorphism of $\text{span}\{h, \xi, \eta\}$ onto $\mathfrak{sl}(2; \mathbb{R})$.

From this we see that

$$\xi_t = \xi + t\eta \text{ is semisimple with real eigenvalues for } t \neq 0.$$

Let B be a minimal parabolic subgroup of G whose Lie algebra contains ξ and h , and denote

$$\mathcal{U}_t = \text{Ad}(G) \cdot \xi_t \text{ viewed as a co-adjoint orbit,}$$

π_t : the corresponding principal series representation ($t \neq 0$),

ϕ_t : the positive definite spherical function for π_t ($t \neq 0$).

Then the π_t , $t \neq 0$, are irreducible unitary representations of G

on $L^2(G/B)$ given by formulas that depend smoothly on t , and one has

$$\pi = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \pi_t : \text{unitary representation of } G \text{ on } L^2(G/B).$$

Here π_t corresponds to the orbit \mathcal{U}_t for $t \neq 0$, and so π corresponds to $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_0$.

One obtains the same limit with the spherical functions. For ϕ_t defines π_t in the standard manner when $t \neq 0$, and $\phi = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_t$ is a positive definite spherical function and thus defines a limit representation π .

Departments of Mathematics,

University of California at Berkeley
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
Tel Aviv University