## EXOTIC METRICS ON IMMERSED SURFACES

## JOSEPH A. WOLF<sup>1</sup>

To A. A. Albert on his sixtieth birthday

1. Introduction. In a series of articles ([1], [2], [3], [4]), Tilla Klotz studied immersed surfaces by examining riemannian metrics constructed as linear combinations  $s_1I+s_2II+s_3III$  of the fundamental forms of the immersion. Here we study the Gauss curvature of pseudo-riemannian metrics  $s_1I+s_2II+s_3III$ . If  $s_i$  are constants, and if mean and Gauss curvature satisfy  $s_1+s_2H+s_3K=0$ , then we show that the metric  $s_1I+s_2II+s_3III$  is flat where it is nondegenerate. In particular we prove that II is a flat Lorentz metric on the complement of the umbilic set of a minimal surface.

2. The structure equations. Let S be a pseudo-riemannian 2manifold with metric  $d\nu^2$ . This means that S is a 2-dimensional differentiable manifold and  $d\nu^2$  is a smooth<sup>2</sup> family of nondegenerate inner products on the tangent planes of S. If the inner products are all positive definite, then S is a riemannian 2-manifold. Given  $x \in S$  we write  $S_x$  for the tangent plane at x. If  $X \in S_x$ , then  $d\nu_x^2$  denotes the inner product on  $S_x$ , and  $||X||^2$  denotes  $d\nu_x^2(X, X)$ . Let  $\{X_1, X_2\}$  be a moving frame on an open set  $U \subset S$ . This means that the  $X_i$  are smooth tangent vector fields on U which are linearly independent at every point. Then the "dual co-frame" is the pair  $\{\theta^1, \theta^2\}$  of linear differential forms on U defined by  $\theta^i(a^1X_1+a^2X_2)=a^i$ ; the metric has local expression  $d\nu^2 = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}\theta^i \otimes \theta^j$  where the "coefficients" are the functions  $g_{ij}(x) = d\nu_x^2(X_{ix}, X_{jx})$ .

The moving frame  $\{X_1, X_2\}$  is called *orthonormal* if  $g_{ij} = \pm \delta_{ij}$ . This means that  $||X_i||^2 = e_i = \pm 1$  and  $d\nu^2(X_1, X_2) \equiv 0$ , and it says that  $d\nu^2 = \sum_i e_i \theta^i \otimes \theta^i$ . An obvious modification of the Gram-Schmidt process constructs an orthonormal moving frame from an arbitrary moving frame.

Let  $\{X_1, X_2\}$  be an orthonormal moving frame on an open set  $U \subset S$ . Then the dual coframe  $\{\theta^1, \theta^2\}$  is also called "orthonormal," and we have the signs  $e_i = ||X_i||^2 = \pm 1$ . New forms are defined on U by

(2.1)  $\theta_i = e_i \theta^i,$ 

Received by the editors December 14, 1965.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant GP 2439, in part by an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship.

<sup>2</sup> "Smooth" means "sufficiently differentiable." The reader can easily keep count.

and we define functions  $a_i(x)$  on U by

$$(2.2) d\theta_i = a_i \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2.$$

Now the *connection forms* are the linear differential forms  $\omega_{ij}$  defined on U by

$$(2.3) \qquad -\omega_{12} = + \omega_{21} = e_2 a_1 \theta_1 + e_1 a_2 \theta_2, \qquad \omega_{11} = 0 = \omega_{22}.$$

They are characterized by the structure equations

(2.4) 
$$d\theta^{i} = \sum_{j} \theta^{j} \wedge \omega_{j}^{i}, \quad \omega_{j}^{i} = e_{i}\omega_{ij}, \quad \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0.$$

The connection forms  $\omega_{ij}$  are specified by  $\omega_{12}$ , and the structure equations can be written

(2.5) 
$$d\theta_1 = e_2\theta_2 \wedge \omega_{12}$$
 and  $d\theta_2 = \omega_{12} \wedge e_1\theta_1$ .

Gauss curvature is a function K(x) on S. In the notation above, it is defined on the open set  $U \subset S$  by the equation

$$(2.6) d\omega_{12} = K\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2.$$

One can check [5, Theorem 2.2.1] that this defines K independently of the choice of orthonormal moving frame, and we will note in Lemma 4.5 that it is equivalent to the classical definition for surfaces immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

3. Metrics associated to quadratic differential forms. S denotes a fixed riemannian 2-manifold with (positive definite) metric  $d\nu^2$ , and we study the geometry of a smooth family  $\Phi = {\Phi_x}_{x \in S}$  of inner products on the tangent planes of S. Eventually S will be an immersed surface and  $\Phi$  will be a linear combination of its fundamental forms.

If  $x \in S$ , then we diagonalize  $\Phi_x$  relative to  $d\nu_x^2$ ; so  $\Phi_x$  has matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & f_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

. . .

in some orthonormal basis of  $S_x$ . Generalizing the case where  $\Phi$  is the second fundamental form of an immersion, we define

 $f_i(x)$ : the principle  $\Phi$ -curvatures at x,  $H_{\Phi}(x)$ : the mean  $\Phi$ -curvature  $\frac{1}{2} \{f_1(x) + f_2(x)\}$ ,  $K_{\Phi}(x)$ : the Gauss  $\Phi$ -curvature  $f_1(x) \cdot f_2(x)$ ,  $\Phi$ -elliptic point: point x with  $K_{\Phi}(x) > 0$ ,  $\Phi$ -parabolic point: point x with  $K_{\Phi}(x) = 0$ ,  $\Phi$ -hyperbolic point: point x with  $K_{\Phi}(x) < 0$ ,  $\Phi$ -umbilic: point x with  $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$ . The set of all  $\Phi$ -umbilics, and the set of all  $\Phi$ -parabolic points, are closed in S. Thus the set

$$(3.1) S_{\Phi} = \{x \in S : x \text{ is neither } \Phi \text{-umbilic nor } \Phi \text{-parabolic} \}$$

is open in S, and  $\Phi$  restricts to a pseudo-riemannian metric  $ds_{\Phi}^2$  on  $S_{\Phi}$ . We will study the pseudo-riemannian manifold  $S_{\Phi}$  with metric  $ds_{\Phi}^2$ .

3.2. THEOREM. Let  $x \in S_{\Phi}$ . Then x has an open neighborhood  $U \subset S_{\Phi}$  which carries linear differential forms  $\theta^i$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad d\nu^2 = \theta^1 \otimes \theta^1 + \theta^2 \otimes \theta^2 \quad and \quad ds_{\Phi}^2 = f_1 \theta^1 \otimes \theta^1 + f_2 \theta^2 \otimes \theta^2 \quad in \ U.$$

Define functions  $r_i > 0$  in U by  $r_i^2 = \epsilon_i f_i$ ,  $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ , so the  $\phi^i = r_i \theta^i$  are  $ds_{\Phi}^2$ -orthonormal. Let  $\omega_{12}$  and  $\beta_{12}$  be the respective connection forms for  $dv^2$  relative to  $\{\theta^1, \theta^2\}$  and  $ds_{\Phi}^2$  relative to  $\{\phi^1, \phi^2\}$ . Then

$$(3.4) \qquad \beta_{12} = - \left| f_1 f_2 \right|^{-1/2} \left\{ (f_1 a_1 - \frac{1}{2} f_{1;2}) \theta^1 + (f_2 a_2 + \frac{1}{2} f_{2;1}) \theta^2 \right\}$$

where  $df_i = \sum_j f_{i;j} \theta^j$  and  $\omega_{12} = -(a_1 \theta^1 + a_2 \theta^2)$ .

PROOF. For the first assertion we choose an orthonormal frame  $\{X_1, X_2\}$  on a neighborhood U of x such that  $\Phi_z$  is diagonal relative to  $\{X_{1z}, X_{2z}\}$  for every  $z \in U$ . Then (3.3) follows with  $\{\theta^1, \theta^2\}$  dual to  $\{X_1, X_2\}$  because  $f_1 \neq f_2$  throughout  $S_{\Phi}$ .

Define  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  by  $d\phi_i = b_i\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$  and  $d\theta_i = a_i\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2$ . Then (2.3) says

 $\omega_{12} = -(a_1\theta_1 + a_2\theta_2)$  and  $\beta_{12} = -(\epsilon_2 b_1\phi_1 + \epsilon_1 b_2\phi_2).$ 

Now compute

$$d\phi_{i} = d(\epsilon_{i}\phi^{i}) = \epsilon_{i}d\phi^{i} = \epsilon_{i}(dr_{i} \wedge \theta^{i} + r_{i}d\theta^{i}),$$
  

$$\epsilon_{i}dr_{i} \wedge \theta^{i} = \epsilon_{i}r_{i}d(\log r_{i}) \wedge \theta^{i} = d(\log r_{i}) \wedge \phi_{i}$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{2}d(\log r_{i}^{2}) \wedge \phi_{i} = \frac{1}{2}r_{i}^{-2}d(\epsilon_{i}f_{i}) \wedge \phi_{i}$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{2}f_{i}^{-1}(f_{i;1}\theta^{1} + f_{i;2}\theta^{2}) \wedge \phi_{i} = \frac{1}{2f_{i}}\left\{\frac{\epsilon_{1}}{r_{1}}f_{i;1}\phi_{1} + \frac{\epsilon_{2}}{r_{2}}f_{i;2}\phi_{2}\right\} \wedge \phi_{i},$$
  

$$\epsilon_{i}r_{i}d\theta^{i} = \epsilon_{i}r_{i}d\theta_{i} = \epsilon_{i}r_{i}a_{i}\theta_{1} \wedge \theta_{2} = \epsilon_{i}\epsilon_{1}\epsilon_{2}\frac{r_{i}a_{i}}{r_{1}r_{2}}\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}.$$

Thus

$$b_1 = rac{\epsilon_2}{r_2} \left\{ a_1 - rac{f_{1;2}}{2f_1} 
ight\}$$
 and  $b_2 = rac{\epsilon_1}{r_1} \left\{ a_2 + rac{f_{2;1}}{2f_2} 
ight\}$ ,

so

$$\begin{split} \beta_{12} &= -\left\{\frac{1}{r_2}\left(a_1 - \frac{f_{1;2}}{2f_1}\right)\phi_1 + \frac{1}{r_1}\left(a_2 + \frac{f_{2;1}}{2f_2}\right)\phi_2\right\} \\ &= -\left\{\frac{\epsilon_1 r_1}{r_2}\left(\frac{2f_1 a_1 - f_{1;2}}{2f_1}\right)\theta^1 + \frac{\epsilon_2 r_2}{r_1}\left(\frac{2f_2 a_2 + f_{2;1}}{2f_2}\right)\theta^2\right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{r_1 r_2}\left\{\left(f_1 a_1 - \frac{1}{2}f_{1;2}\right)\theta^1 + \left(f_2 a_2 + \frac{1}{2}f_{2;1}\right)\theta^2\right\}.\end{split}$$

The assertion follows from  $f_i = \epsilon_i r_i^2$ .

q.e.d.

A pseudo-riemannian 2-manifold is called *flat* if its Gauss curvature is identically zero.

3.5. COROLLARY. If  $2a_1f_1 = f_{1;2}$  and  $2a_2f_2 + f_{2;1} = 0$ , then  $S_{\Phi}$  is flat.

4. Metrics defined by immersions. An immersed surface is a pair  $(S, \nu)$  where S is a two dimensional differentiable manifold and  $\nu: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is a differentiable map with nowhere vanishing Jacobian determinant. Thus  $\nu(S)$  is a smooth surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which has no singularities but may have self intersections. The inner products on the tangent planes of  $\nu(S)$  define a riemannian metric  $d\nu^2 \equiv d\nu \cdot d\nu$  on S, and we view S as a riemannian 2-manifold with that metric.

Let  $\xi$  be a smooth choice of unit normal to  $\nu(S)$ , defined over an open set  $U \subset S$ . Then we recall the classical quadratic differential forms

 $I = d\nu \cdot d\nu, \text{ first fundamental form;} \\ II = d\nu \cdot d\xi, \text{ second fundamental form;} \\ III = d\xi \cdot d\xi, \text{ third fundamental form.} \end{cases}$ 

Of course II is only defined up to sign unless we have an orientation on S. Principle, mean and Gauss curvature of  $(S, \nu)$ , and elliptic, parabolic, hyperbolic and umbilic points, are classically defined as in §3 for the case  $\Phi = II$ .

Let  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  be a Darboux frame on an open set  $U \subset S$ . This means that  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is a moving orthonormal frame and  $v_3$  is a smooth unit normal. Viewing  $\nu$  as position vector, now

(4.1) 
$$d\nu = \theta^1 v_1 + \theta^2 v_2 \text{ where } \{\theta^1, \theta^2\} \text{ is dual to } \{v_1, v_2\}.$$

We define forms  $\omega_i^i$  on S by

(4.2) 
$$dv_j = \sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_j^i v_i.$$

Writing out  $0 = d(d\nu)$  and  $0 = d(dv_j)$ , one has

$$(4.3) \quad d\theta^{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \theta^{j} \wedge \omega_{j}^{i}, \quad 0 = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \theta^{j} \wedge \omega_{j}^{3}, \quad d\omega_{j}^{i} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \omega_{j}^{k} \wedge \omega_{k}^{i}.$$

As  $||v_i||^2 = 1$  now  $\omega_{ij} = \omega_j^i$ , and differentiation of  $v_i \cdot v_j = \delta_{ij}$  gives  $\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0$ . Now (4.3) yields

$$(4.4) d\theta_1 = \theta_2 \wedge \omega_{12}, d\theta_2 = \omega_{12} \wedge \theta_1, d\omega_{12} = \omega_{32} \wedge \omega_{13};$$

and (2.4) shows that  $\omega_{12}$  is the connection form.

4.5. LEMMA. Let  $k_i$  be the principle curvatures on (S, v) and suppose that  $x \in S$  is not an umbilic. Then x has an open neighborhood  $U \subset S$ which carries a Darboux frame  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  in which

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \theta^{i} \otimes \theta^{i}, \quad II = \sum_{i=1}^{2} k_{i} \theta^{i} \otimes \theta^{i} \quad \text{and} \quad III = \sum_{i=1}^{2} k_{i}^{2} \theta^{i} \otimes \theta^{i}.$$

In this frame  $\omega_{3i} = k_i \theta_i$ , so Gauss curvature  $K = k_1 k_2$ .

The result is standard. x has a neighborhood  $U_1$  of nonumbilics, which contains a smaller neighborhood U carrying a smooth unit normal  $v_3$ . Order the  $k_i$  on U with  $k_1 > k_2$ , and let  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  give the corresponding principle directions. That constructs the Darboux frame, and I and II have the required form. It follows that  $dv_3 = \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i \theta^i v_i$ , so  $\omega_{3i} = k_i \theta_i$  and III has the required form. Now the structure equations give

$$K heta_1 \wedge heta_2 = d\omega_{12} = \omega_{32} \wedge \omega_{13} = \omega_{31} \wedge \omega_{32} = k_1k_2 heta_1 \wedge heta_2$$

so  $K = k_1 k_2$ .

4.6. THEOREM. Let  $(S, \nu)$  be an immersed surface with principle curvatures  $k_i$ , mean curvature  $H = \frac{1}{2}(k_1+k_2)$  and Gauss curvature  $K = k_1k_2$ . Let  $s_i$  be differentiable functions on S and define  $\Phi$  to be the quadratic differential form  $s_1I + s_2II + s_3III$ . Choose a Darboux frame  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ satisfying Lemma 4.5 and define functions  $a_i$ ,  $k_{i;j}$  and  $s_{i;j}$  by  $d\theta^i$  $= a_i\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2$ ,  $dk_i = k_{i;1}\theta^1 + k_{i;2}\theta^2$  and  $ds_i = s_{i;1}\theta^1 + s_{i;2}\theta^2$ . If

$$2a_1(s_1 + s_2H + s_3K) - (s_{1;2} + s_{2;2}k_1 + s_{3;2}k_1^2) = 0$$

and

$$2a_2(s_1+s_2H+s_3K)+(s_{1;1}+s_{2;1}k_2+s_{3;1}k_2^2)=0,$$

then  $S_{\Phi}$  is flat.

**PROOF.** Following Corollary 3.5, we find the condition for  $2a_1f_1$ 

q.e.d.

 $-f_{1;2}=0=2a_2f_2+f_{2;1}$ . Here Lemma 4.5 shows that  $f_i=s_1+s_2k_i+s_3k_i^2$ ; thus

(4.7) 
$$f_{i;j} = s_{1;j} + s_{2;j}k_i + s_{3;j}k_i^2 + s_2k_{i;j} + 2s_3k_ik_{i;j}.$$

To evaluate this we compute

$$(a_1k_1 - k_{1;2})\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 = dk_1 \wedge \theta_1 + k_1 d\theta_1 = d(k_1\theta_1) = d\omega_{13} = \omega_{23} \wedge \omega_{12}$$
$$= k_2\theta_2 \wedge \omega_{12} = a_1k_2\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2$$

and similarly

$$(a_2k_2 + k_{2;1})\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 = d(k_2\theta_2) = a_2k_1\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2,$$

so

(4.8) 
$$k_{1;2} = a_1(k_1 - k_2)$$
 and  $k_{2;1} = a_2(k_1 - k_2)$ .

Combining (4.7) and (4.8) we have

$$f_{1;2} = s_{1;2} + s_{2;2}k_1 + s_{3;2}k_1^2 + (s_2 + 2s_3k_1)a_1(k_1 - k_2),$$
  
$$f_{2;1} = s_{1;1} + s_{2;1}k_2 + s_{3;1}k_2^2 + (s_2 + 2s_3k_2)a_2(k_1 - k_2).$$

It follows that

$$2a_{1}f_{1} - f_{1;2} = 2a_{1}(s_{1} + s_{2}H + s_{3}K) - (s_{1;2} + s_{2;2}k_{1} + s_{3;2}k_{1}^{2}),$$
  

$$2a_{2}f_{2} + f_{2;1} = 2a_{2}(s_{1} + s_{2}H + s_{3}K) + (s_{1;1} + s_{2;1}k_{2} + s_{3;1}k_{2}^{2}).$$

Now our assertion follows from Corollary 3.5. q.e.d.

The most tractable special case is when the  $s_i$  are constants. Then  $s_{i;j}=0$  and Theorem 4.6 simplifies to:

4.9. THEOREM. Let  $(S, \nu)$  be an immersed surface with mean curvature H and Gauss curvature K. Let  $s_i$  be constants and suppose

(4.10) 
$$s_1 + s_2 H + s_3 K \equiv 0 \text{ on } S.$$

Then  $S_{s_1I+s_2II+s_3III}$  is flat.

Condition (4.10) specifies an interesting class of Weingarten surfaces, including the surfaces of constant mean curvature and the surfaces of constant Gauss curvature. For those surfaces we have:

4.11. COROLLARY. Let (S, v) be an immersed surface of mean curvature H and Gauss curvature K, and let b be a nonzero real number. 1.  $S_{-bHI+bII}$  is the set of nonumbilic points of S. If H is constant then  $S_{-bHI+bII}$  is a flat Lorentz<sup>3</sup> 2-manifold.

2.  $S_{-bKI+bIII}$  is the set of nonumbilic nonparabolic points of S where  $H \neq 0$ . If K is constant then  $S_{-bI+bIII}$  is flat.

Recall that *minimal surface* means an immersed surface with mean curvature H=0.

4.12. COROLLARY. If (S, v) is an immersed minimal surface, then S<sub>II</sub> is a flat Lorentz 2-manifold.

In the context of Corollaries 4.11 and 4.12, we note that one combines (3.4) and (4.8) to see that  $S_{II}$  has connection form

(4.13) 
$$\beta_{12} = \frac{H}{\mid K \mid^{1/2}} \,\omega_{12}.$$

<sup>8</sup> Here, Lorentz signature means that the pseudo-reimannian metric is neither positive definite nor negative definite.

## References

1. T. Klotz, Some uses of the second conformal structure on strictly convex surfaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 793-799.

2. ——, Some geometric consequences of conformal structure, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1963), 38–53.

3. ——, Another conformal structure on immersed surfaces of negative curvature, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 1281–1288.

4. ——, Further geometric consequences of conformal structure, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1964), 67–78.

5. J. A. Wolf, Spaces of constant curvature, McGraw-Hill, New York (to appear).

The Institute for Advanced Study and University of California, Berkeley