

# Lusztig's Canonical bases

Setup:  $\mathfrak{g}$  of type  $ADE\tilde{}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  quantised UEA

Root data:  $\Gamma \subset \Delta_+ \subset \Delta$ ;  $W$ : Weyl group  
simple positive

We say:

$\alpha_i, \alpha_j$  adjacent  $\Leftrightarrow$  their corresponding nodes in the Dynkin diagram are adjacent  
 $\Leftrightarrow \alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_j$

Construction: (Tingley 2017)

In  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  we have generators

$$K_i^{\pm \alpha_i}, E_i^{\pm \alpha_i}, F_i^{\pm \alpha_i} \quad ; \alpha_i \in \Gamma$$

But what about other roots?

Thm: Fix a reduced expression  $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$

$$\Delta_+ = \{ \alpha_{i_1}, s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}), s_{i_1} s_{i_2}(\alpha_{i_3}), \dots, s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{N-1}}(\alpha_{i_N}) \}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Enumerate  $\Delta_+$  via reflections "on  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ "

# Braid group action

Def. On  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $\alpha_i \in \Gamma$  define the automorphisms  $T_i$  of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  via

$$T_i(F_j) := \begin{cases} F_j & ; \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \\ F_j F_i - q F_i F_j & ; \alpha_i = \alpha_j \\ -k_j^{-1} E_j & ; \alpha_i = \alpha_j \end{cases}$$

$$T_i(E_j) := \begin{cases} E_j & ; \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \\ E_j E_i - q^{-1} E_i E_j & ; \alpha_i = \alpha_j \\ -F_j k_j & ; \alpha_i = \alpha_j \end{cases}$$

$$T_i(k_j) := \begin{cases} k_j & ; \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \\ k_i k_j & ; \alpha_i = \alpha_j \\ k_j^{-1} & ; \alpha_i = \alpha_j \end{cases}$$

The  $T_i$  satisfy the braid relations

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad (\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j)$$

$$T_i T_j T_i = T_j T_i T_j \quad (\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_j)$$

Def: Denote  $i = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$  for the reduced expression  $w_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_N}$

We define

$$F_{i, \beta_1} := F_{i_1}$$

$$F_{i, \beta_2} := T_{i_1} F_{i_2}$$

$$F_{i, \beta_3} := T_{i_1} T_{i_2} F_{i_3}$$

⋮

$$F_{i, \beta_N} := T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{N-1}} F_{i_N}$$

Rmk: In fact  $\text{wt}(F_{i, \beta_k}) = -s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k})$

Ex:  $g = \mathfrak{sl}_3$

1.  $w_0 = s_1 s_2 s_1$ . Then  $i = (1, 2, 1)$ . We have

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2) \text{ and}$$

$$(F_{i, \beta_1}, F_{i, \beta_2}, F_{i, \beta_3}) = (F_1, F_2 F_1^{-q} F_1, F_2)$$

2.  $w_0 = s_2 s_1 s_2$ . Then  $i = (2, 1, 2)$ . We have

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) = (\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1) \text{ and}$$

$$(F_{i, \beta_1}, F_{i, \beta_2}, F_{i, \beta_3}) = (F_2, F_1 F_2^{-q} F_2, F_1)$$

Def: For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$  and  $w_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_N}$  define

$$F_i^\alpha := F_{i, \beta_1}^{(\alpha_1)} F_{i, \beta_2}^{(\alpha_2)} \dots F_{i, \beta_N}^{(\alpha_N)} \text{ where}$$

$$F_{i, \beta_k}^{(\alpha_k)} := \frac{F_{i, \beta_k}^{\alpha_k}}{[\alpha_k]_q!}$$

Thm: (PBW) Fix  $w_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_N}$ . The set

$$B_i := \{ F_i^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N \} \text{ is a } \mathbb{Q}(q)\text{-basis of } \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$$

But are different PBW bases linked?

Thm/Def: Fix  $w_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_n}$ . Consider

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} B_i$$

Then:

(i)  $\mathcal{L}$  is independent of the reduced expression  $i$

(ii) The basis  $B_i \cap q\mathcal{L}$  in  $\mathcal{L}/q\mathcal{L}$  is independent of  $i$

Prnc: Suppose  $i$  and  $i'$  are connected via a braid move at  $(\dots, i_k, i_{k+1}, i_{k+2}, \dots)$

Then we have  $F_i^a \equiv F_{i'}^{a'} \pmod{q\mathcal{L}}$  where

$a'_v = a_v$  for  $v \notin \{k, k+1, k+2\}$  and

$$a'_k = \max \{ a_{k+1}, a_{k+1} + a_{k+2} - a_k \}$$

$$a'_{k+1} = \min \{ a_k, a_{k+2} \}$$

$$a'_{k+2} = \max \{ a_{k+1}, a_{k+1} + a_k - a_{k+2} \}$$

Ex:  $g = \mathfrak{sl}_3$   $i = (1, 2, 1)$ ,  $i' = (2, 1, 2)$

$$F_{i, \beta_1}^{(2)} F_{i, \beta_2}^{(3)} F_{i, \beta_3}^{(4)} \equiv F_{i', \beta_1}^{(5)} F_{i', \beta_2}^{(2)} F_{i', \beta_3}^{(3)} \pmod{q \mathcal{L}}$$

Recall: The bar involution  $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$

$$\overline{E_i} = E_i; \quad \overline{F_i} = F_i; \quad \overline{K_i} = K_i^{-1}; \quad \overline{q} = q^{-1}$$

Thm: Fix reduced expression  $i$  and  $a \in \mathcal{M}_0^N$ . Then

$$\overline{F_i^a} = F_i^a + \sum_{a' \prec a} p_{a'}^a(q) F_i^{a'} \quad \text{where}$$

$$p_{a'}^a(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$$

where  $a' \prec a \iff \text{wt}(F_i^{a'}) = \text{wt}(F_i^a)$   
and  $a' \succ_{\text{lex}} a$  and  $a' \succ_{\text{invlex}} a$

here,  $a' \succ_{\text{invlex}} a$  means, if  $a = (a_{n_1-}, a_{n_1})$ ,  $a' = (a'_{n_1-}, a'_{n_1})$

$$(a'_{n_1-}, a'_{n_1}) \succ_{\text{lex}} (a_{n_1-}, a_{n_1})$$

Thm: There is a unique basis  $B$  of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  s.t.

$$(i) \quad B \subseteq \mathcal{L}$$

(ii)  $B \cap q\mathcal{L} = B \cap i q\mathcal{L}$  in  $\mathcal{L}/q\mathcal{L}$  for some reduced expression  $i$

$$(iii) \quad \forall b \in B: \bar{b} = b$$

Additionally the base-change  $B_i \rightarrow B$  is unit-triangular with coefficients in  $q\mathbb{Z}[q]$

We call  $B$  the canonical basis

Proof: Induction on  $\leq$  for a fixed  $\lambda = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ .

1st step: Minimal elements are in  $B$ .

2nd step: Assume  $B$  is constructed for all  $a' < a$ .

$$\text{Then } \overline{F_i^a} \stackrel{\text{induction}}{=} F_i^a + \sum_{a' < a} p_{a'}^a(q) b^{a'}; \quad p_{a'}^a(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$$

$$\text{But } \overline{\overline{F_i^a}} = F_i^a$$

$$\Rightarrow F_i^a = F_i^a + \sum_{a' \prec a} p_{a'}^a(q) b^{a'} + \sum_{a' \prec a} p_{a'}^a(q^{-1}) b^{a'}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{a'}^a(q) = -p_{a'}^a(q^{-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{a'}^a(q) = q f_{a'}^a(q) - q^{-1} f_{a'}^a(q^{-1}); \text{ for some } f_{a'}^a(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$$

$$\text{Set } \bar{b}^a := F_i^a + \sum_{a' \prec a} q f_{a'}^a(q) b^{a'}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{b}^a &= F_i^a + \sum_{a' \prec a} (q f_{a'}^a(q) - q^{-1} f_{a'}^a(q^{-1})) b^{a'} + \sum_{a' \prec a} q^{-1} f_{a'}^a(q^{-1}) b^{a'} \\ &= b^a \end{aligned}$$



Example: For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  we have

$$B = \{ F_1^{(a)} F_2^{(b)} F_1^{(c)}, F_2^{(a)} F_1^{(b)} F_2^{(c)} \mid b \geq a + c \}$$

where

$$F_1^{(a)} F_2^{(a+c)} F_1^{(c)} = F_2^{(c)} F_1^{(a+c)} F_2^{(a)}$$

is considered once.

## Properties of $\beta$ :

$$(i) \quad b, b' \in \beta \Rightarrow b \cdot b' = \sum_{b'' \in \beta} c_{b, b'}^{b''} b'' \quad w/ \quad c_{b, b'}^{b''} \in \mathbb{N}[\mathfrak{q}, \bar{\mathfrak{q}}]$$

(ii) Given  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}^+ \Rightarrow \beta(\lambda) := \{ b \in \beta \mid b \cdot \nu_\lambda \neq 0 \}$  is a basis of

$V(\lambda) \Rightarrow V(\lambda)$  has structure constants in  $\mathbb{N}[\mathfrak{q}, \bar{\mathfrak{q}}]$

We call  $\beta(\lambda)$  the canonical basis of  $V(\lambda)$

(iii) Given  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{P}^+$ , and  $b \in \beta(\lambda), b' \in \beta(\mu)$

$$\Rightarrow \exists! b \diamond b' \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{q}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$\text{s.t. } b \diamond b' = b \otimes b' + \sum_{\substack{x \in \beta(\lambda) \\ y \in \beta(\mu) \\ (x, y) < (b, b')}} q^{f_{x, y}(a)} b_x \otimes b_y, \quad f_{x, y}(a) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{q}]$$

for an order  $<$  and  $\{ b \diamond b' \mid b \in \beta(\lambda), b' \in \beta(\mu) \}$

satisfies similar properties to the canonical

bases.

For details on (iii), see Theorem 24.3.3 (in section 24.3) in Lusztig's book.

## References:

P. W. Tingley, Elementary construction of Lusztig's canonical basis (2017); MR3649181

G. Lusztig, Introduction to quantum groups (2010); MR2759715  
—> Chapters 14 and Part IV (in particular, Chapters 24,25 and 27)