# PERMUTATION-EQUIVARIANT QUANTUM K-THEORY IV. $\mathcal{D}_q$ -MODULES

#### ALEXANDER GIVENTAL

ABSTRACT. In Part II, we saw how permutation-equivariant quantum K-theory of a manifold with isolated fixed points of a torus action can be reduced via fixed point localization to permutationequivariant quantum K-theory of the point. In Part III, we gave a complete description of permutation-equivariant quantum Ktheory of the point by means of adelic characterization. Here we apply the adelic characterization to introduce the action on this theory of a certain group of q-difference operators. This action enables us to prove that toric q-hypergeometric functions represent K-theoretic GW-invariants of toric manifolds.

## Overruled cones and $\mathcal{D}_q$ -modules

In Part III, we gave the following *adelic characterization* of the big J-function  $\mathcal{J}_{pt}$  of the point target space. In the space  $\mathcal{K}$  of "rational functions" of q (consisting in fact of series in auxiliary variables with coefficients which are rational functions of q), let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the range of  $\mathcal{J}_{pt}$ . We showed that an element  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  lies in  $\mathcal{L}$  if and only if Laurent series expansions  $f_{(\zeta)}$  of f near  $q = \zeta^{-1}$  satisfy (i)  $f_{(1)} = (1-q)e^{\tau/(1-q)} \times (\text{power series in } q-1)$  for some  $\tau \in \Lambda_+, ^1$ 

(ii) when  $\zeta \neq 1$  is a primitive m-th root of unity,

$$f_{(\zeta)}(q^{1/m}/\zeta) = \Psi^m(f_{(1)}/(1-q)) \times (\text{power series in } q-1),$$

where  $\Psi^m$  is the Adams operation extended from  $\Lambda$  by  $\Psi^m(q) = q^m$ ;

(iii) when  $\zeta \neq 0, \infty$  is not a root of unity,  $f_{(\zeta)}(q/\zeta)$  is a power series  $in \ q - 1.$ 

Date: July 15, 2015.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-1007164, and by the IBS Center for Geometry and Physics, POSTECH, Korea.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For convergence purposes, we assume that the Adams operations  $\Psi^k$  on  $\Lambda$  with k > 1 increase certain filtration  $\Lambda \supset \Lambda_+ \supset \Lambda_{++} \supset \cdots$ , and that the domain of the J-function is  $\Lambda_+$ .

## A. GIVENTAL

Another way to phrase (i) is to say that  $f_{(1)}$  lies in the range  $\mathcal{L}^{fake}$ of the ordinary (or fake) J-function  $\mathcal{J}_{pt}^{ord}$  in the space  $\widehat{\mathcal{K}} = \Lambda((q-1))$ of Laurent series in q-1:

$$\mathcal{L}^{fake} = \bigcup_{\tau \in \Lambda_+} (1-q) e^{\tau/(1-q)} \widehat{K}_+, \quad \widehat{K}_+ := \Lambda[[q-1]].$$

The range  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{fake}}$  is an example of an *overruled cone*: Its tangent spaces  $T_{\tau} = e^{\tau/(1-q)} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{+}$  are tangent to  $\mathcal{L}^{fake}$  along the subspaces  $(1-q)T_{\tau}$  (which sweep  $\mathcal{L}^{fake}$  as the parameter  $\tau$  varies through  $\Lambda_{+}$ .<sup>2</sup>) As it will be explained shortly, this property leads to the invariance of  $\mathcal{L}^{fake}$  to certain finite-difference operators.

Recall that in permutation-equivariant quantum K-theory, we work over a  $\lambda$ -algebra, a ring equipped with Adams homomorphisms  $\Psi^m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \ldots, \Psi^1 = \text{Id}, \Psi^m \Psi^l = \Psi^{ml}$ . Let us take  $\Lambda := \Lambda_0[[\lambda, Q]]$  with  $\Psi^m(\lambda) = \lambda^m, \Psi^m(Q) = Q^m$ , where  $\Lambda_0$  is any ground  $\lambda$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Consider the algebra of finite-difference operators in Q. Such an operator is a non-commutative expression  $D(Q, 1-q^{Q\partial_Q}, q^{\pm 1})$ . Clearly, the space  $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_+ = \Lambda[[q-1]]$  (as well as  $(1-q)\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_+$ ) is a  $\mathcal{D}_q$ -module. Consequently each ruling space  $(1-q)T_{\tau} = e^{\tau/(1-q)}(1-q)\widehat{K}_+$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_q$ -module too. Indeed,

$$a^{Q\partial_Q}e^{\tau(Q)/(1-q)} = e^{\tau(Q)/(1-q)}e^{(\tau(qQ)-\tau(Q))/(1-q)}.$$

where the second factor lies in  $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_+$ . Moreover, we have

Proposition.  $e^{\lambda D(Q,1-q^{Q\partial_Q},q)/(1-q)}\mathcal{L}^{fake} = \mathcal{L}^{fake}.$ 

**Proof**. The ruling space  $(1-q)T_{\tau}$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_q$ -module, and hence invariant under D. Therefore for  $f \in (1-q)T_{\tau}$ , we have  $Df/(1-q) \in T_{\tau}$ , i.e. the vector field defining the flow  $t \mapsto e^{t\lambda D/(1-q)}$  is tangent to  $\mathcal{L}^{fake}$ , and so the flow preserves  $\mathcal{L}^{fake}$ . It remains to take t = 1, which is possible thanks to  $\lambda$ -adic convergence.

**Remark.** Generally speaking, linear transformation  $e^{\lambda D/(1-q)}$  does not preserve ruling spaces  $(1-q)T_{\tau}$ , but transforms each of them into another such space. Indeed, preserving  $\mathcal{L}^{fake}$ , it transform tangent spaces  $T_{\tau}$  into tangent spaces, and since it commutes with multiplication by 1-q, it also transforms ruling spaces  $(1-q)T_{\tau}$  into ruling spaces.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In terminology of S. Barannikov [1], this is a variation of semi-infinite Hodge structures: The flags  $\cdots \subset (1-q)T_{\tau} \subset T_{\tau} \subset (1-q)^{-1}T_{\tau} \subset \cdots$  vary in compliance with "Griffiths' transversality condition".

#### $\mathcal{D}_q$ -MODULES

Likewise, cone  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$  is ruled by subspaces comparable to  $(1-q)\mathcal{K}_+$ , namely by  $(1-q)L_{\tau}$ , where  $L_{\tau} := e^{\sum_{k>0} \Psi^k(\tau)/k(1-q^k)}\mathcal{K}_+$ . However  $L_{\tau}$ are not tangent to  $\mathcal{L}$ . Nonetheless the following result holds.

**Theorem.** The range  $\mathcal{L}$  of the big J-function  $\mathcal{J}_{pt}$  in the permutationequivariant quantum K-theory of the point target space is preserved by operators of the form

$$e^{\sum_{k>0}\lambda^k\Psi^k\left(D(1-q^{kQ\partial_Q},q^{\pm 1})\right)/k(1-q^k)}.$$

**Remarks.** (1) The operator D has constant coefficients, i.e. is independent of Q.

(2) Note that  $\Psi^k(q^{Q\partial_Q}) = q^{kQ^k\partial_{Q^k}} = q^{Q\partial_Q}$ , and not  $q^{kQ\partial_Q}$  as in the exponent.

(3) The reader is invited to check that the theorem and its proof are extended without any changes to the case finite difference operators in several variables  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_K$ . We will use the theorem in this more general form in Part V.

**Proof.** Assuming that  $(1-q)f \in \mathcal{L}$ , we use the adelic characterization of  $\mathcal{L}$  to show that  $(1-q)g \in \mathcal{L}$ , where

$$g(q) := e^{\sum_{k>0} \lambda^k \Psi^k \left( D(1 - q^{kQ \partial_Q}, q^{\pm 1}) \right) / k(1 - q^k)} f(q).$$

First, this relationship between g and f also holds between  $g_{(1)}$  and  $f_{(1)}$ where however both sides need to be understood as Laurent series in q-1. Since  $f_{(1)} \in \mathcal{L}^{fake}$ , Proposition implies that  $g_{(1)} \in \mathcal{L}^{fake}$  too.

Next, applying  $\Psi^m$  to both sides, we find

$$\Psi^m(g_{(1)}) = e^{\sum_{l>0} \lambda^{ml} \Psi^{ml} \left( D(1-q^{lQ\partial_Q}, q^{\pm 1}) \right)/l(1-q^{ml})} \Psi^m(f_{(1)})$$

On the other hand, for an *m*-th primitive root of unity  $\zeta$ , taking into account that  $\Psi^{ml}(q) = q^{ml}$  turns after the change  $q \mapsto q^{1/m}/\zeta$  into  $q^l$ , and that  $q^{mlQ\partial_Q}$  turns after this change into  $q^{lQ\partial_Q}$ , we find

$$g_{(\zeta)}(q^{1/m}/\zeta) = e^{\Delta} e^{\sum_{l>0} \lambda^{ml} \Psi^{ml} \left( D(1-q^{lQ\partial_Q}, q^{\pm 1/m}) \right)/ml(1-q^l)} f_{(\zeta)}(q^{1/m}/\zeta),$$

where the finite-difference operator  $\triangle$  has coefficients regular at q = 1. Here we factor off the terms regular at q = 1 using the fact that our operators have constant coefficients, and hence commute. Namely,  $e^{A+B/(1-q)}$ , where A and B are regular at q = 1, can be rewritten as  $e^A e^{B/(1-q)}$ .

We are given that  $f_{(\zeta)}(q^{1/m}/\zeta) = p \Psi^m(f_{(1)})$  where  $p \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_+$ . Since  $[q^{Q\partial_Q}, Q] = (q-1)Qq^{Q\partial_Q}$  is divisible by q-1, for any finite-difference

### A. GIVENTAL

operator B, the commutator  $\operatorname{ad}_B(p) = [B, p]$  with the operator of multiplication by p is divisible by q - 1. Therefore  $e^{B/(1-q)}p = Pe^{B/(1-q)}$ , where  $P = e^{\operatorname{ad}_{B/(1-q)}}(p)$  is regular at q = 1. Thus, for some P regular at q = 1 we have:

$$g_{(\zeta)}(q^{1/m}/\zeta) = e^{\Delta} P e^{\sum_{l>0} \lambda^{ml} \Psi^{ml} \left( D(1-q^{lQ\partial_Q}, q^{\pm 1/m}) \right)/ml(1-q^l)} \Psi^m(f_{(1)}).$$

Comparing this expression with  $\Psi^m(g_{(1)})$ , take into account that  $q^{\pm 1/m}$  coincides with  $q^{\pm 1}$  modulo q - 1, and  $1/(1 - q^{-lm}) - 1/m(1 - q^{-l})$  is regular at q = 1. Thus, again factoring off the terms regular at q = 1, we conclude that  $g_{(\zeta)}(q^{1/m}/\zeta)$  is obtained from  $\Psi^m(g_{(1)})$  by the application of an operator regular at q = 1.

From the explicit description of  $\mathcal{L}^{fake}$ , we have  $g_{(1)} \in e^{\tau/(1-q)}\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_+$ for some  $\tau$ . Therefore  $\Psi^m(g_{(1)}) \in e^{\Psi^m(\tau)/m(1-q)}\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_+$ . The latter is a  $\mathcal{D}_q$ -module, and hence  $g_{(\zeta)}(q^{1/m}/\zeta) \in \Psi^m(g_{(1)})\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_+$  as required.

Finally, for  $\zeta \neq 0, \infty$ , which is not a root of unity, regularity of g at  $q = \zeta^{-1}$  is obvious whenever the same is true for f.  $\Box$ 

## $\Gamma$ -operators

Lemma. Let l be a positive integer. Suppose that  $\sum_{d\geq 0} f_d Q^d$  represents a point on the cone  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$ . Then the same is true about:

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} f_d Q^d \prod_{r=0}^{ld-1} (1-\lambda q^{-r}), \ \sum_{d\geq 0} \frac{f_d Q^d}{\prod_{r=1}^{ld} (1-\lambda q^r)}, \ and \ \sum_{d\geq 0} f_d Q^d \prod_{r=1}^{ld} (1-\lambda q^r).$$

**Proof**. We use q-Gamma-function

$$\Gamma_q(x) := e^{\sum_{k>0} x^k / k(1-q^k)} \sim \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - xq^r}$$

for symbols of q-difference operators:

$$\frac{\Gamma_{q^{-1}}(\lambda q^{-lQ\partial_Q})}{\Gamma_{q^{-1}}(\lambda)} Q^d = Q^d \frac{\prod_{r=-\infty}^0 (1-\lambda q^r)}{\prod_{r=-\infty}^{-ld} (1-\lambda q^r)} = Q^d \prod_{r=0}^{ld-1} (1-\lambda q^{-r}),$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_{q^{-1}}(\lambda q^{lQ\partial_Q})}{\Gamma_{q^{-1}}(\lambda)} Q^d = Q^d \frac{\prod_{r=-\infty}^0 (1-\lambda q^r)}{\prod_{r=-\infty}^{ld} (1-\lambda q^r)} = \frac{Q^d}{\prod_{r=1}^{ld} (1-\lambda q^r)}, \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_{q^{-1}}(\lambda)}{\Gamma_{q^{-1}}(\lambda q^{lQ\partial_Q})} Q^d = Q^d \prod_{r=1}^{ld} (1-\lambda q^r) \text{ respectively.}$$

The result follows now from the theorem of the previous section.  $\Box$ 

### $\mathcal{D}_q$ -MODULES

### Application to fixed point localization

In Part II, we used fixed point localization to characterize the range (denote it  $\mathcal{L}_X$ ) of the big J-function in permutation- (and torus-) equivariant quantum K-theory of  $X = \mathbb{C}P^N$ . Namely a vector-valued "rational function"  $f(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} f^{(i)}(q)\phi_i$  represents a point of  $\mathcal{L}_X$  if and only if its components pass two tests, (i) and (ii):

(i) When expanded as meromorphic functions with poles  $q \neq 0, \infty$ only at roots of unity,  $f^{(i)} \in \mathcal{L}$ , i.e. represent values of the big Jfunction  $\mathcal{J}_{pt}$  in permutation-equivariant theory of the point target space;

(ii) Away from  $q = 0, \infty$ , and roots of unity,  $f^{i}$  may have at most simple poles at  $q = (\Lambda_j / \Lambda_i)^{1/m}$ ,  $j \neq i$ , m = 1, 2, ..., with the residues satisfying the recursion relations

$$\operatorname{Res}_{q=(\Lambda_j/\Lambda_i)^{1/m}} f^{(i)}(q) \frac{dq}{q} = -\frac{Q^m}{C_{ij}(m)} f^{(j)}((\Lambda_j/\Lambda_i)^{1/m}),$$

where  $C_{ij}(m)$  are explicitly described rational functions.

We even verified that the hypergeometric series

$$J^{(i)} = (1-q) \sum_{d \ge 0} \frac{Q^d}{\left(\prod_{r=1}^d (1-q^r)\right) \prod_{j \ne i} \prod_{r=1}^d (1-q^r \Lambda_i / \Lambda_j)}$$

pass test (ii). Now we are ready for test (i). Indeed, we know from Part I (or from Part III) that

$$(1-q)\Gamma_q(Q) := (1-q)e^{\sum_{k>0} Q^k/k(1-q^k)} = (1-q)\sum_{d\geq 0} \frac{Q^d}{\prod_{r=1}^d (1-q^r)}$$

lies in  $\mathcal{L}$ . According to Lemma,

$$J^{(i)} = \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{-1}}(\Lambda_i \Lambda_j^{-1} q^{Q\partial_Q})}{\Gamma_{q^{-1}}(\Lambda_j \Lambda_j^{-1})} (1-q)\Gamma_q(Q)$$

also lies in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Thus, we obtain

Corollary 1. The  $K^0(\mathbb{C}P^N)$ -valued function

$$J_{\mathbb{C}P^N} := \sum_{i=0}^N J^{(i)} \psi_i = (1-q) \sum_{d \ge 0} \frac{Q^d}{\prod_{j=0}^N \prod_{r=1}^d (1-P\Lambda_j^{-1}q^r)},$$

where  $P = \mathcal{O}(-1)$  satisfies  $\prod_{j=0}^{N} (1 - P\Lambda_j^{-1}) = 0$ , represents a value of of the big J-function  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}P^N}$ .

**Remark.** Note that all summands with d > 0 are reduced rational functions of q, and so the Laurent polynomial part of  $J_{\mathbb{C}P^N}$  consists of the dilaton shift term 1-q only. This means that  $J_{\mathbb{C}P^N}$  represents the

### A. GIVENTAL

value of the big J-function  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}P^N}(\mathbf{t})$  at the input  $\mathbf{t} = 0$ . Hence it is the small J-function (not only in permutation-equivariant but also) in the *ordinary* quantum K-theory of  $\mathbb{C}P^N$ . In this capacity it was computed in [4] by *ad hoc* methods.

One can derive this way many other applications. To begin with, consider quantum K-theory on the target E which is the total space of a vector bundle  $E \to X$ . To make the theory formally well-defined, one equips E with the fiberwise scaling action of a circle, T', and defines correlators by localization to fixed points  $E^{T'} = X$  (the zero section of E). This results in systematic *twisting* of virtual structure sheaves on the moduli spaces  $X_{q,n,d}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{O}_{g,n,d}^{virt}(E) := \frac{\mathcal{O}_{g,n,d}^{virt}(X)}{\operatorname{Euler}_{T'}^{K}(E_{g,n,d})}, \quad E_{g,n,d} = (\operatorname{ft}_{n+1})_* \operatorname{ev}_{n+1}^*(E),$$

where the T'-equivariant K-theoretic Euler class of a bundle V is defined by

$$\operatorname{Euler}_{T'}^{K}(V) := \operatorname{tr}_{\lambda \in T'} \left( \sum_{k} (-1)^{k} \bigwedge^{k} V^{*} \right).$$

The division is possible in the sense that the T'-equivariant Euler class is invertible over the field of fractions of the group ring of T'. The elements  $E_{g,n,d} \in K^0(X_{g,n,d})$  are invariant under permutations of the marked points. (In fact [2, 3], for  $d \neq 0$ ,  $E_{g,n,d} = \text{ft}^* E_{g,0,d}$  where ft :  $X_{g,n,d} \to X_{g,n,d}$  forgets all marked points.) Thus, we obtain a well-defined permutation-equivariant quantum K-theory of E.

Corollary 2. Let  $X = \mathbb{C}P^N$ , and  $E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^M \mathcal{O}(-l_j)$ . Then the following q-hypergeometric series

$$I_E := (1-q) \sum_{d \ge 0} \frac{Q^d}{\prod_{j=0}^N \prod_{r=1}^d (1-P\Lambda_j^{-1}q^r)} \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{\prod_{r=-\infty}^{l_j d-1} (1-\lambda P^{-l_j}q^{-r})}{\prod_{r=-\infty}^{-1} (1-\lambda P^{-l_j}q^{-r})}$$

represents a value of the big J-function in the permutation-equivariant quantum K-theory of E.

Here  $\lambda \in T' = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  acts on the fibers of E as multiplication by  $\lambda^{-1}$ . The K-theoretic Poincaré pairing on X is twisted into  $(a, b)_E = \chi(X; ab/\operatorname{Euler}_T^K(E))$ .

### $\mathcal{D}_q$ -MODULES

**Example.** Let  $X = \mathbb{C}P^1$ ,  $E = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ . In  $I_E$ , pass to the non-equivariant limit  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_1 = 1$ :

$$I_E = (1-q) + (1-\lambda P^{-1})^2 \times (1-q) \sum_{d>0} Q^d \frac{(1-\lambda P^{-1}q^{-1})^2 \cdots (1-\lambda P^{-1}q^{1-d})^2}{(1-Pq)^2 (1-Pq^2)^2 \cdots (1-Pq^d)^2}.$$

The factor  $(1 - \lambda P^{-1})^2$ , equal to  $\operatorname{Euler}_{T'}^K$ , reflects the fact that the part with d > 0 is a push-forward from  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  to E. In the second non-equivariant limit,  $\lambda = 1$ , it would turn into 0 (since  $(1 - P^{-1})^2 = 0$  in  $K^0(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ ). However, what the part with d > 0 is push-forward of, survives in this limit:

$$(1-q)\sum_{d>0} \frac{Q^d}{P^{2d-2}q^{d(d-1)}(1-Pq^d)^2}, \text{ where } (1-P)^2 = 0.$$

This example is usually used to extract information about "local" contributions of a rational curve  $\mathbb{C}P^{-1}$  lying in a Calabi-Yau 3-fold with the normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ .

Note that decomposing the terms of this series into two summands: with poles at roots of unity, and with poles at 0 or  $\infty$ , we obtain non-zero Laurent polynomials in each degree d. They form the input  $\mathbf{t} = \sum_{d>0} \mathbf{t}_d(q, q^{-1})Q^d$  of the big J-function whose value  $\mathcal{J}_E(\mathbf{t})$  is given by the series.

Finally, note that though the input is non-trivial, it is defined over the  $\lambda$ -algebra  $\mathbb{Q}[[Q]]$ . This means that, although we are talking about permutation-equivariant quantum K-theory, the hypergeometric functions here, and in Corollary 2 in general, represent symmetrized Ktheoretic GW-invariant, i.e.  $S_n$ -invariant part of the sheaf cohomology.

Similarly, one can introduce K-theoretic GW-invariants of the superbundle  $\Pi E$  (which is obtained from  $E \to X$  by the "parity change"  $\Pi$ of the fibers) by redefining the virtual structure sheaves as

$$\mathcal{O}_{a,n,d}^{virt}(\Pi E) := \mathcal{O}_{a,n,d}^{virt}(X) \operatorname{Euler}_{T'}^{K}(E_{g,n,d})$$

When genus-0 correlators of this theory have non-equivariant limits (e.g. when E is a positive line bundle, and d > 0), the limits coincide with the appropriate correlators of the submanifold  $Y \subset X$  given by a holomorpfic section of  $\Pi E$ .

Corollary 3. Let  $X = \mathbb{C}P^N$ , and  $E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^M \mathcal{O}(l_j)$ . Then the following q-hypergeometric series

$$I_{\Pi E} := (1-q) \sum_{d \ge 0} \frac{Q^d}{\prod_{j=0}^N \prod_{r=1}^d (1-P\Lambda_j^{-1}q^r)} \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{\prod_{r=-\infty}^{l_j d} (1-\lambda P^{l_j}q^r)}{\prod_{r=-\infty}^0 (1-\lambda P^{l_j}q^r)}$$

represents a value of the big J-function in the permutation-equivariant quantum K-theory of E.

Here  $\lambda \in T' = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  acts on fibers of E as multiplication by  $\lambda$ . The Poincaré pairing is twisted into  $(a, b)_{\Pi E} = \chi(X; ab \operatorname{Euler}_{T}^{K}(E))$ .

**Example.** When all  $l_j > 0$ , it is safe pass to the non-equivariant limit  $\Lambda_j = 1$  and  $\lambda = 1$ :

$$I_{\Pi E} = (1-q) \sum_{q \ge 0} Q^d \frac{\prod_{j=1}^M \prod_{r=1}^{l_j d} (1-P^{l_j} q^r)}{\prod_{r=1}^d (1-Pq^r)^{N+1}},$$

which represents a value of the big J-function of  $Y \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ , pushedforward from  $K^0(Y)$  to  $K^0(\mathbb{C}P^N)$ . Here Y is a codimension-M complete intersection given by equations of degrees  $l_j$ . Taking in account the degeneration of the Euler class in this limit, one may assume that P satisfies the relation  $(1 - P)^{N+1-M} = 0$ .

When  $\sum_{j} l_j^2 \leq N + 1$ , the Laurent polynomial part of this series is 1-q, i.e. the corresponding input **t** of the J-function vanishes. In this case the series represents the small J-function of the ordinary quantum K-theory on Y. This result was obtained in [5] in a different way: based on the adelic characterization of the whole theory, but without the use of fixed point localization. As we have seen here, when  $\mathbf{t} \neq 0$ , the series still represents the value  $\mathcal{J}_Y(\mathbf{t})$  in the symmetrized quantum K-theory of Y.

In Part V these results will be carried over to all toric manifolds X, toric bundles  $E \to X$ , or toric super-bundles  $\Pi E$ . In fact, the intention to find a home for toric q-hypergeometric functions with non-zero Laurent polynomial part was one of the motivations for developing the permutation-equivariant version of quantum K-theory.

### References

- S. Barannikov. Quantum periods. I. Semi-infinite variations of Hodge structures. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 23 (2001), 12431264.
- [2] T. Coates. Riemann-Roch theorems in Gromov-Witten theory. PhD thesis, 2003, available at http://math.harvard.edu/ tomc/thesis.pdf
- [3] T. Coates, A. Givental. Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre. Ann. of Math. (2), 165 (2007), 15-53.
- [4] A. Givental, Y.-P. Lee. Quantum K-theory on flag manifolds, finite difference Toda lattices and quantum groups. Invent. Math. 151, 193-219, 2003.
- [5] A. Givental, V. Tonita. The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem in true genus-0 quantum K-theory. Preprint, arXiv:1106.3136